

From: Assistant assistant@villageofnewpaltz.org

Subject: RE: Need your help for 32N parking

Date: October 27, 2016 at 4:17 PM

To: David Shepler d@vidshepler.com

Cc: Mayor Tim Rogers Mayor@villageofnewpaltz.org, Planning Department planning@villageofnewpaltz.org, Village Clerk clerk@villageofnewpaltz.org

B-9.1

Good afternoon, David et al--

The Village Board took action on this topic during the 10/26 regular meeting. Their motion follows below:

Mayor Rogers motioned to support the idea of parallel parking on Route 32/North Chestnut in front of the proposed Net Zero apartment complex, while deferring to the Village Planning Board regarding consideration of what is an adequate level of parking; Trustee Young seconded; all present voted Aye (3-0).

Thanks so much,

Alex

From: Mayor Tim Rogers

Sent: Thursday, October 20, 2016 11:05 AM

To: Rebecca Rotzler; Dennis Young; Thomas Rocco; Don Kerr; Assistant; Village Clerk; Planning Department

Cc: David Shepler

Subject: Fwd: Need your help for 32N parking

Alex

Please add this to the 10/26 VB agenda

--Tim

Village of New Paltz
25 Plattekill Ave - New Paltz, NY 12561
T: 845-255-1413
mayor@VillageofNewPaltz.org

----- Original message -----

From: David Shepler <d@vidshepler.com>

Date: 10/20/2016 9:21 AM (GMT-05:00)

To: Mayor Tim Rogers <Mayor@villageofnewpaltz.org>

Subject: Need your help for 32N parking

Tim,

I need your help to take the "next steps" outlined in #5 of Dave Gilmour's (attached) summary of our meeting with NYSDOT, Rike Ped, and the Planning Board. I would like to

summary of our meeting with NTRDOT, Bike Ped, and the Planning Board. I would like to see the Village Board actively support parallel parking on 32N in accordance with our proposal to go the extra length to create curb bump outs (physical curbing to increase the safety of the proposed 7 parallel spots on 32N) and add a second crosswalk on that stretch. And to quote the Bike Ped Commission:

"We are in favor of the proposed plan for parallel parking on 32, given that the applicant's proposed design allows for a 6-foot shoulder between parking areas and traffic lanes. We feel that if a 6-foot shoulder exists the parallel parking area would increase driver awareness, serving as a signal to inbound motorists that they are entering an area of increased population density."

Where it makes sense, such parallel parking is consistent with the new NBR zoning and increase densification of the 32N corridor. But the Village Board needs to assert this. What is necessary to make that happen?

Thank you,
David