



Algebra A

1. [3] How many integer pairs (a, b) with $1 < a, b \leq 2015$ are there such that $\log_a b$ is an integer?

Solution:

We do casework on how large $\log_a b$ is. Note that $\log_a b > 0$ since $b > 1$ and $a \geq 2$ while $b \leq 2015$ so $\log_a b \leq \log_2 2015 < 11$.

If $\log_a b = 1$, then $a = b$ so there are 2014 such pairs.

If $\log_a b = 2$, then $a^2 = b \leq 2015$ so $a < 45$ so there are 43 such pairs.

If $\log_a b = 3$, then $a^3 = b \leq 2015$ so $a < 13$ so there are 11 such pairs. Note that we can find this bound easily by $12^3 = 144 \cdot 12 < 150 \cdot 12 = 1800$ and $13^3 = 169 \cdot 13 > 160 \cdot 13 = 2080$.

We continue for each integer value of $\log_a b$ less than 11 and in total there are $2014 + 43 + 11 + 5 + 3 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 = \boxed{2082}$ such pairs.

Author: Roy Zhao

2. [3] There are real numbers a, b, c, d such that for all (x, y) satisfying $6y^2 = 2x^3 + 3x^2 + x$, if $x_1 = ax + b$ and $y_1 = cy + d$, then $y_1^2 = x_1^3 - 36x_1$. What is $a + b + c + d$?

Solution:

We have $6y^2 = 2x^3 + 3x^2 + x$. Make the following substitution: $y = 3y'$, $x = 3x'$. This gives $y'^2 = x'^3 + \frac{1}{2}x'^2 + \frac{1}{18}x'$. Further, substitute $x'' = x' + \frac{1}{6}$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} y'^2 &= x''^3 - \frac{1}{36}x'' \\ 36^3 y'^2 &= 36^3 x''^3 - 36^2 x'' \\ (6^3 y')^2 &= (36x'')^3 - 36(36x''). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, make the substitution $y_1 = 216y'$ and $x_1 = 36x''$. Thus $y_1^2 = x_1^3 - 36x_1$, and by substitution, $y_1 = 72y$ and $x_1 = 12x + 6$. So our answer is $12 + 6 + 72 + 0 = \boxed{90}$.

EDIT: This problem did not specify that a and c should be non-zero. As such, any solution using $a = c = 0$ and (b, d) a solution to $y_1^2 = x_1^3 - 36x_1$ was valid. This problem has been thrown out due to such a wide variety of possible answers. *Author: Heesu Hwang*

3. [4] Find the sum of the non-repeated roots of the polynomial $P(x) = x^6 - 5x^5 - 4x^4 - 5x^3 + 8x^2 + 7x + 7$.

Solution:

Note that $P(x) = (x^6 - 5x^5 - 4x^4 - 5x^3 + x^2) + (7x^2 + 7x + 7) = x^2(x^4 - 5x^3 - 4x^2 - 5x + 1) + 7(x^2 + x + 1)$. We check that $(x^4 - 5x^3 - 4x^2 - 5x + 1) = (x^2 + x + 1)(x^2 - 6x + 1)$. Thus $P(x) = (x^2 + x + 1)(x^2(x^2 - 6x + 1) + 7) = (x^2 + x + 1)(x^4 - 6x^3 + x^2 + 7)$. There are still no convenient roots of the quartic, thus we again use standard guess-and-check with quadratics of constant terms 7 and 1 to find $(x^2 + x + 1)(x^2 - 7x + 7) = x^4 - 6x^2 + x^2 + 7 \implies P(x) = (x^2 + x + 1)^2(x^2 - 7x + 7)$. The distinct roots are those of $(x^2 - 7x + 7)$, and thus by Vieta's, the sum of the distinct roots is $\boxed{7}$.

Author: Christopher Zhang



4. [4] Define the sequence a_i as follows: $a_1 = 1, a_2 = 2015$, and $a_n = \frac{na_{n-1}^2}{a_{n-1} + na_{n-2}}$ for $n > 2$. What is the least k such that $a_k < a_{k-1}$?

Solution:

The recursion is equivalent to $\frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} = \frac{a_{n-2}}{a_{n-1}} + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{2015} + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{1}{i}$. The first k for which $\frac{a_{k-1}}{a_k} > 1$ occurs when $k = \boxed{7}$ by a simple computation of the sum.

Author: Bill Huang

5. [5] Since counting the numbers from 1 to 100 wasn't enough to stymie Gauss, his teacher devised another clever problem that he was sure would stump Gauss. Defining $\zeta_{15} = e^{2\pi i/15}$ where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, the teacher wrote the 15 complex numbers ζ_{15}^k for integer $0 \leq k < 15$ on the board. Then, he told Gauss:

On every turn, erase two random numbers a, b , chosen uniformly randomly, from the board and then write the term $2ab - a - b + 1$ on the board instead. Repeat this until you have one number left. What is the expected value of the last number remaining on the board?

Solution:

If we let the operation \diamond be defined as $a \diamond b = 2ab - a - b + 1$, then it can be shown that \diamond is associative and commutative. Therefore we will get the same value regardless of how we erase the elements from the board. Then given an arbitrary sequence of numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , it is not hard to show by induction that:

$$a_1 \diamond a_2 \diamond \dots \diamond a_n = 2^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^n (a_i - 1/2) + 1/2$$

Therefore we have that:

$$\zeta_{15}^0 \diamond \zeta_{15}^1 \diamond \dots \diamond \zeta_{15}^{14} = 2^{14} \prod_{k=0}^{14} (\zeta_{15}^k - 1/2) + 1/2$$

But we can factor:

$$1 - x^{15} = \prod_{k=0}^{14} (\zeta_{15}^k - x)$$

And hence our answer is:

$$2^{14} \prod_{k=0}^{14} (\zeta_{15}^k - 1/2) + 1/2 = 2^{14} (1 - (1/2)^{15}) + 1/2 = 2^{14} = \boxed{16384}$$

Author: Roy Zhao

6. [6] We define the function $f(x, y) = x^3 + (y - 4)x^2 + (y^2 - 4y + 4)x + (y^3 - 4y^2 + 4y)$. Then choose any distinct $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the following holds: $f(a, b) = f(b, c) = f(c, a)$. Over all such choices of a, b, c , what is the maximum value achieved by:

$$\min(a^4 - 4a^3 + 4a^2, b^4 - 4b^3 + 4b^2, c^4 - 4c^3 + 4c^2)?$$



Solution:

Let $f(x) = x^4 - 4x^3 + 4x^2$ then the equalities become $\frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a} = \frac{f(c) - f(b)}{c - b} = \frac{f(a) - f(c)}{a - c}$. So this implies that the points $(a, f(a)), (b, f(b)), (c, f(c))$ lie on the same line and the question now becomes: what is the maximum, over all such lines which intersect $f(x)$ at least 3 times, of the 3rd highest intersection point?

We claim that the highest intersection points occurs when the line is horizontal and tangent to $f(x)$ at $x = 1, f(x) = 1$. This is simply because this is a valid point and if we choose a, b such that $f(a), f(b) > 1$, then it is clear from looking at the graph of $f(x)$ that the line between $(a, f(a)), (b, f(b))$ can only intersect $f(x)$ two times. Therefore $f(x) = \boxed{1}$ is our answer.

Author: Roy Zhao

7. [7] We define the *ridiculous* numbers recursively as follows:

- (a) 1 is a *ridiculous* number.
- (b) If a is a *ridiculous* number, then \sqrt{a} and $1 + \sqrt{a}$ are also *ridiculous* numbers.

A closed interval I is *boring* if

- I contains no *ridiculous* numbers, and
- There exists an interval $[b, c]$ containing I for which b and c are both *ridiculous* numbers.

The smallest non-negative l such that there does not exist a *boring* interval with length l can be represented in the form $\frac{a + b\sqrt{c}}{d}$ where a, b, c, d are integers, $\gcd(a, b, d) = 1$, and no integer square greater than 1 divides c . What is $a + b + c + d$?

Solution:

The smallest ridiculous number is 1. This is true because if $a \geq 1$, then $\sqrt{a} \geq 1$ and $1 + \sqrt{a} \geq 1$. The supremum of the ridiculous numbers (the smallest number that is greater than all ridiculous numbers) is $\frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$. This is because the largest ridiculous number that is n recursive steps away from 1 is $1 + \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + \dots \sqrt{1}}}$, where there are n square root signs. As n approaches infinity, the largest ridiculous numbers approach $M = 1 + \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 + \dots}}}$. It can be seen that $M = \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ by observing that m satisfies the identity $(M - 1)^2 = M$.

There are no ridiculous numbers in the interval $[\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, 2)$: In the case that r is of the form $1 + \sqrt{a}$ for some ridiculous a , then r must be at least 2, because a must be at least 1. In the case that r is of the form \sqrt{a} , then r must be less than $\sqrt{\frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$. Since these are the only two cases, if $I = [\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}, 2 - \epsilon]$ for some small $\epsilon > 0$, then I is a boring interval, so l must be at least $2 - \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} = \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Now we show that there does not exist a boring interval of length $\frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}$. Assume for the sake of contradiction that such an interval exists. It must be contained in $[1, \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}]$ or $[2, \frac{3 + \sqrt{5}}{2}]$. The first case is ruled out because $\sqrt{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\sqrt{2}$ are ridiculous numbers. The second case is



ruled out because $1 + \sqrt{\sqrt{2}}$ and $1 + \sqrt{2}$ are ridiculous. Therefore $l = \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and our answer is $3 + (-1) + 5 + 2 = \boxed{9}$.

Author: Ben Edelman

8. [8] Let $P(x)$ be a polynomial with positive integer coefficients and degree 2015. Given that there exists some $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying:

$$\omega^{73} = 1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$P(\omega^{2015}) + P(\omega^{2015^2}) + P(\omega^{2015^3}) + \dots + P(\omega^{2015^{72}}) = 0,$$

what is the minimum possible value of $P(1)$?

Solution:

Note that $\omega \neq 1$ since $P(1) > 0$ by the condition that the coefficients are all positive. Let $P(x) = a_{2015}x^{2015} + \dots + a_0x^0$. Now, we claim that 2015 is a primitive root mod 73. It suffices to show that $2015^{24}, 2015^{36}$ are not equal to 1 mod 73. The latter follows by quadratic reciprocity and the first can be calculated to be equal to $-9 \pmod{73}$.

Now, the given expression is equivalent to:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{72} P(\omega^k) = \sum_{k=1}^{73} P(\omega^k) - P(1) = 73 \cdot (a_0x^0 + a_{73}x^{73} + \dots + a_{27 \cdot 73}x^{27 \cdot 73}) - P(1) = 0$$

And since $a_i \geq 1$, we have:

$$P(1) = 73 \cdot (a_0x^0 + a_{73}x^{73} + \dots + a_{27 \cdot 73}x^{27 \cdot 73}) \geq 73 \cdot 28 = 2044$$

Thus, the minimum possible value of $P(1)$ is $\boxed{2044}$.

Author: Bill Huang