Driving DC to Opportunity
Wealth Should Not Determine Who Gets to Keep Their Driver’s License
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The District of Columbia's “Clean Hands” Law disqualifies tens of thousands of DC residents from renewing their driver's licenses solely because they owe more than $100 in parking or traffic tickets that they cannot afford to pay. Without driver's licenses, these residents struggle with critical daily activities, including purchasing food at the grocery store, attending medical appointments, getting kids to childcare, and finding and keeping jobs outside their homes. In effect, the Clean Hands Law punishes DC residents for their poverty.

In this report, Tzedek DC, in partnership with a pro bono team from the Venable law firm, shares stories of DC residents affected by the Clean Hands Law, shows how the law penalizes poverty and disproportionately harms Black DC residents, highlights how perpetuating a wealth-based driver's license system makes DC a regional and national outlier, and proposes practical, specific legislative options for reform. Three years ago, DC led the nation in moving away from reliance on wealth-based driver's license systems. After DC ended suspensions of driver's licenses for unpaid debts in 2018, Maryland, Virginia, and many other states followed suit. But by continuing to deny license renewals as punishment for unpaid fines and fees, DC is now behind the curve, joined by only two states in the country that continue to do so. To keep pace with the region and the nation, to stop punishing poor people, and to stop exacerbating racial inequalities, DC must change this law.

### Stories from DC Residents

**Salle is a Ward 5 resident, a parent of four school-age children, and a student at the University of the District of Columbia.** Her dream is to go to medical school, but her outstanding debts to DC—for a single moving violation ticket and a slew of parking tickets—are holding her back. Because she was able to pay only a small fraction of her outstanding fines, the Clean Hands Law barred her from renewing her license when it expired in 2018, and she has not driven since because she does not want to risk arrest for driving without a license and the possibility of losing her children as a result. Forced to survive on $500 per month in food stamps, Salle walks long distances to get to grocery stores, uses rideshare services to take her children to the doctor and buy essentials for her family, and takes three different buses to get her two-year-old twin daughters to daycare. In the era of COVID-19, these hour-long, one-way bus rides make Salle worry that she is exposing her children and herself to the dangerous coronavirus. “Until I get a license,” Salle explains, “I fear that I will continue to have an extremely hard time supporting my family and achieving my dreams.”
Billiedee is a Ward 8 resident and a mother. After receiving parking tickets, she entered a payment plan and made timely payments, but she then lost her job. Billiedee began having circulation issues that required hospitalization, and she later became homeless for two years. During that time, Billiedee and her children often slept in her car to keep their valuables safe. The Clean Hands Law prevents her from renewing her now-expired license because she owes thousands of dollars in unpaid fines as well as penalties for missed payments. Without a license, she walks to and from the laundromat with a shopping cart to do her family’s laundry, even though she has had a series of foot operations—including toe amputations—due to her diabetes. “The loss of my driver’s license has an ongoing and significant impact on my family’s life,” Billiedee says. “If I were allowed, I would do everything in my powers to use my vehicle in ways that would enable me to provide for my children and take care of their health, and my own.”

Shawn is a Ward 8 resident and a former plumbing specialist in the United States Air Force. After he received a medical discharge for post-traumatic stress disorder, he earned a certificate in building maintenance and moved to DC so he could work as a plumber and receive psychological care at the local Veterans Affairs hospital. But Shawn became homeless and slept in his car for six months to keep his plumbing tools safe. During this time, his car was often ticketed, even while he slept. Because he now owes $3,070 in tickets and fines that he cannot pay, the Clean Hands Law bars him from obtaining a DC driver’s license. Shawn says, “If I had a license, I could seek plumbers’ jobs in DC and surrounding areas. I would be able to drive to the grocery store and to the VA.”

Evelyn is a Ward 7 resident who takes care of her disabled mother. After a pothole severely damaged her car, she paid to have it towed home and called the DC government to request compensation. She soon ran into a bureaucratic maze: the government took months to send a reimbursement form to her and then falsely told her she had already been reimbursed. Because of heavy snow, it took several more months for a mechanic to come to her house, take the photographs she needed for reimbursement, and repair the car. Throughout this time, the car sat idle outside her home. Once Evelyn’s registration and inspection tags expired, the car was ticketed repeatedly. By the time her driver’s license expired in 2019, Evelyn had accumulated over $400 in parking tickets and related penalties. DC also rejected Evelyn’s reimbursement claim, finding that she had filed it three days late. Without the money to pay her debt, Evelyn cannot renew her license and has not driven in nearly two years due to the Clean Hands Law. She no longer works and uses her limited Social Security income to pay others to drive her, assist her with errands, and take her mother to medical appointments.
Anne is a Ward 5 grandmother. She used to work as a driver transporting people with disabilities. She has over $1,000 in outstanding parking tickets for violations such as parking in a restricted zone too early in the day and for additional penalties and doubling of fines for missed payments. Unable to renew her now-expired driver’s license under DC’s Clean Hands Law due to the unpaid fines, Anne lacks eligibility to drive and earn money to pay off her debt. She now must pay rideshare fees to visit her elderly mother in Virginia and, even during the pandemic, sometimes goes without vital medications unavailable at her local pharmacy.

Norris is a Ward 5 resident and grandfather who once worked as a loan officer for a mortgage company. Norris was frequently ticketed between 2003 and 2005, and his unpaid tickets and related penalties more than doubled to $2,795. At first, Norris tried to pay off his debts under a payment plan, but medical expenses stemming from a heart attack eventually made it impossible to keep doing so. Diagnosed with lung cancer in 2017, Norris is now disabled and survives on $814 in monthly Social Security and disability checks. Unable to pay his fines and penalties, the Clean Hands Law prohibits him from renewing his driver’s license and leaves him unable to drive to visit his adult children and grandchildren in the DC region. Norris explains that DC’s refusal to renew his license punishes him daily for mistakes he made nearly two decades ago. “Without a driver’s license, I can no longer take care of myself; I now suffer from depression, and I feel like less than a full man.” Ineligibility to drive, Norris says, “makes me feel that I now face a life sentence . . . for my mistakes.”

***

These stories, and the others presented throughout this report, highlight the urgent need for reform. For Salle, Billiedee, Shawn, Evelyn, Anne, Norris, and tens of thousands of other DC residents, the Clean Hands Law punishes them for unpaid debts by denying them driver’s licenses without any regard for their inability to pay. Any aggregate debt of more than $100 owed to the DC government—even parking debt—deprives a DC resident of the right to renew their license. When this occurs, the resident faces an unfair choice: drive illegally and risk further punishment (including jail time), or forgo driving to pick up children from school, attend medical appointments, care for ill or disabled family members, and commute to the many jobs that require a car and can earn them the money necessary to pay off the debt.

The time for reform has arrived.
In 2018, the DC Council took a vital first step toward eliminating its wealth-based driver's license system by ending suspensions of DC driver's licenses based on unpaid debt—an important acknowledgement that driver's licenses should be suspended only for public safety reasons. In the process that led to this reform, the Council briefly considered but did not address the Clean Hands Law, which prevents residents from obtaining or renewing a license if they owe more than $100 to the District. The Council’s failure to address the Clean Hands Law is undercutting the prior reform by continuing to allow what is, in effect, the slow-motion suspension of driver’s licenses for currently licensed drivers who will be barred from renewing their licenses. In this regard, DC is an outlier—the only jurisdiction in the region and one of only three state-level jurisdictions in the nation with a law that disqualifies people from obtaining or renewing a driver’s license as punishment for unpaid debts.

It does not take much to accumulate more than $100 in debt to the District. Tickets for tailgating, parking in a loading zone, or turning right despite a “no turn on red” sign all result in fines of $100. Failure to pay a ticket within 30 days (60 days for red light and speed cameras) results in a doubled fine, and failure to pay within 90 days prompts DC's Department of Motor Vehicles to send the fine to a collections agency, which assesses an additional 20% surcharge. As an example, a traffic ticket with a face value of $100 would double to $200 if not paid within 30 days, and another $40 surcharge would be added if not paid within 90 days. Thus, for the exact same violation, a person with the means to pay on time will pay $100, while a person without the money to pay on time may end up owing $240 and facing a much higher barrier to renewing their license.

The harms of this wealth-based driver's license system fall disproportionately on Black DC residents. As detailed in this report, the median white DC household has 81 times more wealth than the median Black DC household. Meanwhile, Black adult drivers in DC are nearly four times more likely than white adult drivers to receive traffic tickets, and Black adult residents are 19 times as likely as white adult residents to be arrested for driving without a valid license. By denying tens of thousands of DC residents the ability to obtain or renew their driver’s licenses, the Clean Hands Law criminalizes poverty and perpetuates systemic racism. These outcomes contradict the DC Council’s important goal of eliminating racial inequities in the District, recently established in the Racial Equity Achieves Results (REACH) Amendment Act of 2020, which requires the Mayor to ensure that any proposed budget “advances racial equity in the District” and “reduces disparate outcomes.”

Our report proceeds in five parts.

Part I recap's the history and structure of DC’s wealth-based driver’s license system.

Part II recounts the harms that this system imposes on tens of thousands of DC residents as well as society at large. The exact number of individuals affected is unknown because DC’s Department of Motor Vehicles and Office of the Chief Financial Officer refuse to collect data on the number or demographics of people denied driver’s licenses due to the Clean Hands Law. The current “clean hands” system punishes poverty, exacerbates racial inequalities, exposes residents to criminal punishment where they pose no threat to public safety, undermines residents’ efforts to become financially stable, hurts employers, diverts precious public safety resources, and makes DC vulnerable to expensive lawsuits challenging the enforcement of an unconstitutional law.
Part III shows how the Clean Hands Law makes DC a regional and national outlier by punishing residents for unpaid debts. Three years ago, DC led the nation in moving away from reliance on wealth-based driver's license schemes. After DC ended suspensions of driver's licenses for unpaid debts in 2018-2019, Maryland, Virginia, and many other states followed suit. But DC is now behind the curve. Reform is necessary to keep pace with the rest of the nation.

Part IV explains that DC is not well served by the current system. We show that the Clean Hands Law has no public safety benefit. We also explain why amending the Clean Hands Law will have no significant detrimental fiscal impact on DC, as drivers who can pay their debts will continue to have incentives to do so, and the vast majority of DC’s unpaid traffic and parking debts are owed by non-DC residents who do not need (and are not eligible for) DC driver’s licenses. The residents who would most benefit from reform are those who are currently unable to pay, so DC is unlikely to lose much, if any, revenue by ending the withholding of driver's licenses under the Clean Hands Law.

Part V concludes with a call to action: The 2021-2022 legislative session presents DC policymakers with the opportunity to reform the Clean Hands Law and stop punishing DC residents for being too poor to pay their debts. We propose two options for reform. The first option, a “clean fix,” would revise the law to end the withholding of driver's licenses to all DC residents based on unpaid debts. The second option, a “narrow fix,” would end the withholding of driver’s licenses to those DC residents unable to pay their debts. DC would identify residents who are unable to pay by, for example, identifying residents who have already been deemed eligible for various government-administered, means-tested public benefits programs. Whichever proposal is adopted, the core principle is the same: wealth should not determine which DC residents get to keep their driver's licenses.

I. Background on DC’s Wealth-Based Driver’s License Scheme

Starting in 2017, the DC Council considered two sets of proposals to restore driver's licenses to people who lost their eligibility to drive. The first set passed and resulted in major gains for DC residents; the second set remains outstanding and is the subject of this report.

The first set of proposals, introduced by Councilmembers Anita Bonds, Mary Cheh, Kenyan McDuffie, Jack Evans, Vincent Gray, Brianne Nadeau, Elissa Silverman (who proposed key protections that were in substance reflected in the final bill), Robert White, Trayon White, and cosponsored by David Grosso and Charles Allen, ended the suspension of driver's licenses based on non-payment of traffic debt and civil court judgments.1 These proposals, enacted in 2018 and supported by DC Attorney General Karl Racine,2 (1) ended the suspension of driver’s licenses for unpaid traffic debts and nonattendance at traffic court hearings; (2) required the DC Department of Motor Vehicles to restore all licenses suspended on those bases; and (3) barred insurance companies from registering civil court judgments with the Mayor and petitioning the Mayor to suspend the defendant’s license until the money judgment was satisfied.3

The Council’s reforms have proven significant and beneficial: 15,521 DC residents had their driver's licenses restored, and 50,401 non-residents (who are not eligible for a DC driver's license but can have DC driving privileges based on their having, for example, a Maryland license) had their DC driving privileges restored after their privileges were suspended for unpaid traffic debts or court nonattendance.4 Another 2,282 DC residents have gained the opportunity to restore their licenses now that insurance companies can no longer register civil court judgments.5

Also in 2018, Mayor Muriel Bowser launched an admirable pilot program through the Mayor’s Office of Returning Citizens (MORCA) that enabled 250 DC residents returning from prison with unpaid traffic debt to have their licenses reinstated in exchange for entry into modest payment plans. In announcing the program, the Mayor’s office stressed that “[t]he No. 1 reason for recently released men and women being re-incarcerated . . . is for driving without a valid license.”6
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Although these initial reforms were positive developments, they were only a first step. Ultimately, the thousands of residents who benefited immediately from them—and the thousands of others who have received fines or fees from DC since—remain disqualified from being able to renew their licenses if they cannot pay their debts. That’s where the second proposal came in.

The second proposal sought to end DC’s practice of withholding driver’s licenses from residents under the Clean Hands Law, which, as noted above, prohibits DC residents from obtaining or renewing a driver’s license if they owe more than $100 to the DC government.

That bill was introduced by Councilmembers Silverman, Gray, White (Trayon), Nadeau, and Bonds, cosponsored by Councilmember Grosso, and referred to committee, but it was never enacted. Reforming the Clean Hands Law remains critical unfinished business.

When the Clean Hands Law originally passed in 1996, its purpose was much narrower: to deter littering, illegal dumping, and delinquent tax filing by withholding licenses and permits from people who committed those offenses and failed to pay their fines. The Council added moving and parking infractions to the list of Clean Hands Law-triggering violations in 2001. The amendment’s timing—while DC was working to end the reign of the Financial Control Board, which Congress established in response to DC’s budget crisis and endowed with the power to override the budgetary choices of DC’s elected Mayor and Council—as well as the legislative history, suggest that its main purpose was to generate additional revenue for DC, not to enhance public safety by deterring people from committing traffic violations. The Council report accompanying the 2001 bill expressed no public safety reasons for amending the Clean Hands Law. Instead, it emphasized that 570,000 tickets dating back to 1979 and worth about $53 million remained outstanding because DC motorists lacked a “compelling reason to respond to the notices of violations.” The report concluded that one way to address this backlog was to “condition[] the issuance of any District license or permit on the payment of outstanding fines owed to the District,” such as fines for traffic and parking violations.

DC’s appetite for revenue from fines and fees—especially from traffic cameras—has only grown over the past 20 years. In 2010, when the District considered increasing traffic and parking fees as a way to stanch a revenue shortfall without raising taxes, one observer noted that the proposal “removed the veneer that this is about traffic or safety. It’s about revenue. I genuinely worry that residents, motorists can become ATM machines.”

Since then, DC has continued to rely on “fines and forfeitures” for more than 2% of its annual budget. Though this amount may seem small, it has a significant impact on DC residents: as chronicled in an article aptly titled “No US City Fines People Like Washington Fines People”, as of 2017, DC collected $261 in fines and fees per resident, more than any other major U.S. city and more than twice the $118 per resident collected by the next highest municipal collector, New York City. Notably, as detailed in Part IV below, DC’s already tops-in-the-nation figure does not include the fines and fees that DC residents are assessed but are unable to pay—i.e., the fines and fees that disqualify them from obtaining driver’s licenses under the Clean Hands law.
II. Assessing the Harms of DC’s Wealth-Based Driver’s License Scheme

A. Estimating the Number of DC Residents Harmed

The DC government refuses to collect data on the number and demographics of DC residents who are unable to obtain or renew a driver’s license due to the Clean Hands Law, despite calls for it to do so. Nonetheless, the fact that nearly 18,000 DC residents had their driver’s licenses or privileges restored after the 2018 reforms went into effect strongly suggests that tens of thousands of residents already are or soon will be at risk of triggering the law’s prohibition on renewing their licenses.

Data on unpaid fines corroborate this estimate. As of 2019, DC residents had 175,869 unpaid fines or fees of $100 or more. Some of these unpaid fines or fees may be held by the same person, and DC collects no data on how many unique individuals owe these debts. But even if one assumes a ratio of three fines per person, this would still mean that 58,623 adults—more than 10% of DC’s adult population (totaling 577,000)—are disqualified from obtaining a driver’s license.

It is both unjust and bad public policy for the government to deprive District residents of something as fundamental to their livelihoods and quality of life as a driver’s license without knowing how many people are affected and whether the law disproportionately harms Black residents and other residents of color. DC’s refusal to collect data on “clean hands”-based denials of driver’s licenses is especially problematic in light of the Racial Equity Achieves Results (REACH) Amendment Act of 2020, which, among other things, requires the Mayor to assess how her proposed budget “advances racial equity in the District” and “reduces disparate outcomes.” The Mayor will be unable to make these assessments unless and until the DMV and Office of the Chief Financial Officer start collecting data on who is denied a driver’s license due to the Clean Hands Law. Until DC has data showing that the Clean Hands Law has no racially disparate impact—a conclusion that would contradict all of the currently available evidence on the law’s impact—DC should stop denying driver’s licenses for failure to pay outstanding debts.

Even without precise data on the number of people harmed, the available evidence regarding the law’s impact demonstrates that depriving tens of thousands of DC residents of the right to drive lawfully precipitates numerous harms. As the next section of the report shows, the Clean Hands Law punishes residents for being poor and exacerbates racial inequalities. It exposes residents—particularly Black men—to criminal punishment and decreases opportunities for them to find and sustain employment, which in turn undermines their ability to pay off their debts. And it hurts employers, diverts public safety resources, and poses serious constitutional concerns.

Angela, a Ward 8 resident, is the executive director of a day care and educational center for low-income families and holds degrees in nursing and education. Yet because she owes DC significant debt that she amassed years ago, she cannot legally drive. Angela could afford to pay her debt under a payment plan, but she has been told that she is ineligible for one. She is forced to rely on expensive rideshare services to get her two teenaged children to and from school or drives illegally, when she must. Almost all of her tickets from the DMV stem from non-moving violations.

Regina Pixley, a Ward 8 resident, testified to councilmembers in 2017 that she was unable to renew her driver’s license, which had been suspended in 2012, because she still owed thousands of dollars in fines after her car was towed. Because Ms. Pixley could not pay her fines on time, they doubled. When her mother had a heart attack in 2015, she had to decide whether to drive illegally to be by her side. As she said at the time, “I believe in the law, I obey the law, but I had to make a decision. This is a system that’s broken.”

Source: Justin William Moyer, Bill Would Let Some DC Drivers Keep Licenses Despite Unpaid Parking Tickets, WASHINGTON POST (Dec. 5, 2017), ow.ly/jDla30h6sRr.
Harms from DC’s Law Denying Driver’s Licenses as Punishment for Unpaid Fines

- **Punishes Poverty:**
The Clean Hands Law does not account for the fact that fines and fees disproportionately affect poorer DC residents. A wealthy person who commits the same infraction as a poor person will pay a smaller portion of their income to eliminate their debt and regain their driver’s license.

- **Violates the Constitution:**
Because it automatically bars citizens from obtaining and renewing a driver’s license for the nonpayment of debt, DC’s wealth-based driver’s license scheme is unconstitutional.

- **Diverts Public Safety Resources:**
Police officers spend a significant amount of time on arrests for driving without a valid license, diverting public safety resources away from violent crime.

- **Reduces Employment and Hurts Employers:**
Without a valid driver’s license, it is hard for DC residents to find and sustain employment. After an employee loses a job, employers are also penalized, as they must hire and train new employees and potentially pay unemployment insurance.

- **Exposes Residents to Criminal Punishment:**
Driving without a valid license is not inherently dangerous yet exposes motorists to arrest, charge, and conviction. People continue to drive without a valid license to get to their jobs, pay off debts, and fulfill other responsibilities of daily life.

- **Exacerbates Racial Inequalities:**
Residents harmed by the Clean Hands Law are disproportionately Black: the majority of fines DC collects come from traffic tickets, and Black motorists are disproportionately ticketed.
B. Punishing Poverty

All fines and fees operate as regressive taxes: they are set in flat amounts regardless of income or wealth. This means that a rich person who commits the same infraction as a poor person will pay a smaller portion of their income to eliminate their debt and regain their driver's license. The Clean Hands Law makes no exception for people who lack the ability to pay, so a poor person is simply out of luck if they cannot afford to buy back their right to drive. In both law and practice, DC makes no attempt to determine a person’s ability to pay before disqualifying them from renewing a driver’s license.

It is not hard to accumulate more than $100 in debt to DC. For example, exceeding the speed limit by 11 miles per hour triggers fines of more than $100.23 And committing two or more of a broad array of offenses can also lead to total fines exceeding $100, as many individual fines start at $50 or more, including driving with a broken tail light ($75), and parking within 25 feet of a stop sign ($50).24

Failure to pay a traffic or parking ticket by the 30-day deadline (60 days for red light and speed cameras) results in a doubled fine.25 If the fine remains outstanding after 90 days, it is sent to a collections agency,26 which imposes an additional 20% surcharge.27 The consequence of this enforcement regime is that a resident with a higher income who drives with a broken tail light will be able to pay the $75 ticket by the 30-day deadline, but someone with low or no income or wealth who is unable to pay by the deadline will face a $150 fine after 30 days and a $180 fine after 90 days. If that person’s license expires and they are unable to pay their fines, they will be unable to renew it.

Disparate Impact of Unpaid Tickets for DC Residents with Fewer Economic Resources
Under DC’s current rules, payment plans are available for a DC resident only if they (i) owe $250 or more and (ii) can pay at least 25% of the total debt up front. Residents may enter into only one payment plan at a time and only two payment plans each year. The rules are harsh and often impossible for a person coping with the stresses of poverty to comply with. A single failure to successfully complete a payment plan bars a driver from entering into a new payment plan at any time in the future.

Further, reports have surfaced of residents facing pressure to pay invalid tickets. This practice adversely affects less wealthy residents in particular, as wealthier residents have the means to pay and forgo the hassle of navigating bureaucratic hurdles to challenge the validity of the tickets. One news story identified drivers who, because of the Clean Hands Law, “were forced to pay thousands of dollars in old tickets that they never knew about.” The imperative to obtain a license often forces drivers to pay for old tickets even if they doubt their validity. Yet under current law, a driver waives the right to challenge tickets they have already paid. As a DMV spokesperson explained, “Your legal process is ended” once a ticket is paid, and “by paying the tickets and going into a ticket payment plan, you have admitted guilt.” DC law places drivers “over a barrel,” one resident noted. “You can fight it later [but] if you . . . want to drive, you have to do this.”

Some District officials have even celebrated this unfair state of affairs. One senior official was anonymously quoted as saying, “One of the beauties of parking, it’s like the [Internal Revenue Service]. If you get a parking ticket, you are guilty until you have proven yourself innocent. . . . That has worked well for us.”

And indeed, in its recent report, the DC Police Reform Commission called out the Clean Hands Law’s harmful impact on poor people, noting “the financial impact of fines for minor violations on District residents—particularly poorer residents who often cannot afford to pay and who, under current District law, are prohibited from renewing their driver’s licenses (essential for so many daily activities, including transportation to work) for traffic debts of over $100.”

### C. Exacerbating Racial and Other Inequalities

The available evidence strongly suggests that the DC residents harmed by the denial of driver’s licenses under the Clean Hands Law are disproportionately Black. More than 97% of the “fines and forfeitures” the DC government collects each year come from parking and traffic tickets, and Black motorists in DC are ticketed disproportionately. At the same time, Black DC residents have, on average, fewer financial assets than white residents and are far more likely than white residents to have consumer, medical, and other types of debts and debts in collection.
Black drivers are far more likely than white drivers to be ticketed during traffic stops. In 2020, Black adult drivers comprised 65% of motorists who received tickets during traffic stops, even though Black adults comprise only 43% of DC’s adult population—a statistically significant difference (that is, a difference too large to be due to chance). Black men comprised 44% of motorists who received tickets during traffic stops, even though Black men comprise only 19% of DC’s adult population, another statistically significant difference. Black adult drivers in DC were nearly four times more likely than white adult drivers to receive traffic tickets. Thus, regardless of why Black drivers are ticketed more often (whether due to racial profiling or more innocuous reasons like driving longer distances or driving more often), Black drivers receive the largest proportion of tickets from traffic stops, which comprise 35% of the “fines and forfeitures” collected by the DC government. Even if Black drivers were to receive a proportionate share of tickets from red light and speed cameras, which together comprise 62% of revenue from fines and forfeitures, this would still mean that the burden of paying traffic fines in DC falls disproportionately on Black drivers.

Even as Black drivers are more likely than white drivers to face fines that must be paid in order to receive or renew a driver’s license, Black DC residents are also much less likely than white residents to have the resources to pay those fines. The median white household in DC has a net worth of $284,000, 81 times the net worth of the median Black household, $3,500. And the median white household in DC brings in $138,231 in annual income, three times as much as the median Black household, which earns $45,072 annually.

Black DC residents are also more likely than white residents to be in debt: an Urban Institute study of 2017 data concluded that 43% of people of color in DC have a debt in collections, versus only 9% of white people. The median debt owed by people of color ($1,374) is also nearly twice the median debt owed by white people ($691). As of 2014, 52.9% of Black DC households owed credit card debt, compared to 37.7% of white DC households. Similarly, 29.3% of Black DC households owed student loan debt, compared to 19.4% of white DC households.
As previously noted, the racially disparate impact of the Clean Hands Law is problematic under the recently passed REACH Amendment Act of 2020. That law requires the Mayor to evaluate how any proposed budget “advances racial equity in the District” and “reduces disparate outcomes.” Without reform of the Clean Hands Law, any DC budget that relies on fines collected because of the law is unlikely to meet that standard. The burden here is on the government, and because the DMV and the Office of the Chief Financial Officer have failed to collect—let alone publish—data on the people harmed by the Clean Hands Law, the Mayor should direct the DMV to immediately cease denying driver’s licenses to DC residents based on the law while the Council addresses the issue through the legislative process.

In addition and closely related to disproportionately affecting Black and other DC residents of color, DC’s wealth-based driver’s license scheme also disproportionately affects disabled DC residents. Adults with disabilities are more than twice as likely to experience poverty than non-disabled adults. Disabled people are often on public assistance and have few assets, making it difficult to save for any financial crisis or emergency. Disabled people are also more likely than their non-disabled peers to rely on non-bank borrowing, to experience medical debt, and to be contacted by debt collection agencies. Disability cannot be examined separately from race: nearly 40% of Black/African American disabled individuals live in poverty, compared to about 24% of White/Non-Hispanic disabled individuals. For a disabled person, all it could take is parking in an accessible spot and forgetting to place a placard on their mirror once to receive a ticket far in excess of $100.

Driving is of critical importance to disabled residents, particularly those who live in areas with more limited public transportation. While traveling nearly a mile to a bus or Metro station may be feasible for a non-disabled individual, it is often infeasible for a disabled person. In a 2018 Bureau of Transportation Statistics study, 60.4% of disabled respondents reported that they drive a car. For disabled clients like Billiedee, who experiences difficulty walking long distances due to her toe amputations and surgeries caused by diabetes, having a license and being able to drive for something as basic as getting the family’s clothes laundered makes an enormous difference.

**D. Exposing Residents to Criminal Punishment**

**Latika, a Ward 4 resident, once worked as a commercial truck driver who was authorized to drive dump trucks, snowplows, and D.C. Metro buses. But in 2018, another vehicle sideswiped her daughter’s car while she was riding in it as a passenger. Although the car was insured in Latika’s name, she was unable to promptly produce proof of the insurance and, as a result, was ticketed and briefly jailed. Latika participated in two payment plans related to other debts, but because of a dispute over the first payment plan, DC has barred her from entering into another plan to resolve her current debt. She now owes $1,200 for an insurance-related ticket and another $1,400 for parking tickets. Due to the Clean Hands Law, Latika, who is diabetic, unemployed, and surviving on food stamps, has little hope of regaining her right to drive or her prior job, and faces increased challenges finding new work.**

In DC, driving without a valid license is a criminal misdemeanor punishable by up to a year in jail and a fine of up to $2,500. Yet because driving is so vital for accessing jobs, childcare, groceries, and other necessities, many people continue to drive without a license despite the risk of arrest, criminal charges, and conviction. Indeed, approximately three-fourths of drivers who used to have a valid license—but no longer do—drive at least occasionally.

Driving without a license is by far the most common offense that leads to an arrest for a traffic violation in DC. As noted in Part I, driving without a license is also the most common reason why DC residents recently released from jail and prison are re-incarcerated. Of the nearly 30,000 arrests for traffic violations made by the DC Metropolitan Police Department between January 2013 and November 2020, driving without a license was the most serious offense the motorist was arrested for nearly 80% of the time. That is a staggeringly high rate, given that driving without a license is not, by itself, inherently dangerous.
The data also indicate that 70% of adult DC residents arrested for driving without a license were Black men, even though Black men comprise less than 20% of DC’s adult population. One-quarter of these arrests of adult DC residents were of Black men between 18 and 29 years old; another quarter of arrests were of Black men between ages 30 and 44. Black adult residents are 19 times more likely than white adult residents to be arrested for driving without a license.

There are no publicly available data showing precisely what fraction of DC residents who were arrested for driving without a valid license lacked a valid license due to the Clean Hands Law. Nevertheless, the evidence demonstrates that the Clean Hands Law exposes residents to criminal punishment because it prevents people from obtaining or renewing their license so that they may drive lawfully. The evidence also shows that DC residents who are arrested for driving without a license are overwhelmingly Black. The evidence is consistent with the conclusion that the Clean Hands Law contributes to the racially disparate arrest rates for driving without a valid license in DC.

E. Reducing Employment and Hurting Employers

DC’s wealth-based driver’s license scheme harms both DC workers and employers. Without a valid driver’s license, it is hard for DC residents to find and sustain employment outside the home. As of 2019, 64% of DC residents used a car to get around. These statistics may be explained by the fact that only about one in three jobs in the DC metro area is accessible via public transit within 90 minutes, fewer than one in five jobs is accessible within 60 minutes, and fewer than one in ten jobs is accessible within 45 minutes. By necessity, driving remains the most common way for workers to commute to their jobs in the DC region. Before the pandemic, just under 50% of essential workers in DC drove a car to get to work. The pandemic has made commuting only more difficult, as social distancing can be challenging on public transit, and Metro has proposed permanent service cuts.

Holding a valid driver’s license is often a requirement for jobs that provide a pathway out of poverty, such as those in construction, manufacturing, and security. In 2019, there were approximately 100,000 security guard, construction, parking attendant, administrative assistant, custodian, home health aide, office clerk, fast food worker, and housekeeper positions in DC that paid a median hourly wage greater than $13. Nationwide, 26.5% of civilian jobs require driving some of the time. And as nationwide demand for delivery and rideshare drivers grows, including in the DC region, the need for workers with valid driver’s licenses can only be expected to increase.

Studies from around the country confirm the importance of a driver’s license for sustaining employment. A survey from Phoenix found that reinstatement of driver’s licenses had a major impact: 41.2% of people whose driver’s licenses were reinstated reported an increase in income, with a median increase of $24,000 per year. A study from Milwaukee found that holding a valid driver’s license was more important than having a high school equivalency diploma in predicting whether someone was able to sustain a job earning wages at or above the poverty line. The same study found that public assistance recipients were twice as likely to find employment at or above the poverty line when they had a driver’s license.

Stephanie is a disabled Ward 5 resident who moved to DC in 2007. At the time, she owed $1,100 for tickets issued in Virginia. DC also issued her tickets, and it suspended her license when they went unpaid after the DMV sent notices to the wrong address. In March 2019, the DMV told Stephanie that her license had expired and demanded payment of her outstanding DC-based debt of $325. Yet even after she paid in full, Stephanie was told that to drive in DC, she also had to resolve her Virginia debt. Because she does not live in Virginia, she is ineligible for a payment plan for that debt. She relies on her monthly $783 Social Security disability check to feed, clothe, and house herself and her two children, including a disabled adult son. “I am not an unsafe driver,” Stephanie says, “and I have always passed my driver’s test on the first try.” As long as the Clean Hands Law is on the books, however, Stephanie will “have an extremely hard time finding work and supporting my family.”
On the other hand, in a widely cited survey of New Jersey drivers whose licenses were suspended, 64% of low-income drivers (drivers whose annual household income was less than $30,000 in 2004, or $41,000 in 2020 dollars) reported that they were unable to keep their job after their license was suspended, and 51% of low-income drivers who lost their job were unable to find a new job.\(^81\) For these drivers, the lack of a license directly impeded their ability to keep or find work.\(^82\)

Denying driver’s licenses as punishment for unpaid debt also hurts employers. Employees can’t show up for their jobs, and after an employee loses a job due to loss of a driver’s license, employers must hire and train new employees and potentially pay unemployment insurance for the employee who was terminated.\(^83\) Led by the Fines and Fees Justice Center and its allies, including Tzedek DC and dozens of anti-poverty civil rights groups, and in response to the barriers that driver’s license denials pose to employment, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and major U.S. financial institutions, such as J.P. Morgan Chase, have called for abolishing laws across the country that deny driver’s licenses based on unpaid fines.\(^84\)

### F. Diverting Critical Public Safety Resources

By expanding the pool of residents at risk of arrest for driving without a valid license, the Clean Hands Law diverts significant public safety resources. DC Metropolitan Police Department officers spend an average of 20 minutes to complete a traffic stop in which the motorist is arrested for driving without a valid license.\(^85\) In 2019 alone, DC police made 2,797 adult arrests for which the most serious offense was driving without a license.\(^86\) Just completing the traffic stops in these cases consumed 932 hours (38.8 days) of police time. This figure excludes the substantial time officers expended on processing paperwork associated with the arrests.

Data from around the country further support the argument that by increasing the pool of residents at risk of being subject to enforcement of criminal prohibitions against driving without a license, the Clean Hands Law contributes to the diversion of critical public safety resources. One county in Ohio found that it took an average of nine hours to arrest and prosecute an individual for driving with a suspended license: each police officer spent seven hours on the roadside stop, waiting for the tow truck, transporting the motorist to jail, completing paperwork, and/or attending court; the booking officer spent 30 minutes on the booking process; the prosecutor spent an hour preparing and presenting the case; and the judge spent 30 minutes hearing and adjudicating the case.\(^87\) If the nine-hour figure were applied to the 2,797 adult arrests the Metropolitan Police Department made in 2019 for driving without a license, that would represent 25,173 hours—2.87 years—of police time annually.

### In a Phoenix survey, 41.2% of people whose driver’s licenses were reinstated reported an increase in income, with a median increase of $24,000 per year.

### A Milwaukee study found that a valid driver’s license was more important than a high school equivalency diploma in predicting whether someone was able to earn wages at or above the poverty line and sustain a job.

### Enforcing driving without a valid license consumes nearly 932 hours, or 38.8 days, of DC police time annually.
Focusing on drivers who lack a valid license may also distract from law enforcement’s time and ability to address violent crime. One study found that cities that collect a greater share of their revenue from fees solve violent crimes at significantly lower rates. The researchers concluded that “institutional reforms, such as decreasing municipal government reliance on fines and fees for revenue, may also be an important step for reforming criminal justice systems and providing higher levels of public safety.”

### G. Violating the Constitution

By automatically barring DC residents with unpaid fines or fees to DC of more than $100 from renewing their driver’s licenses without considering their ability to pay, the Clean Hands Law does “little more than punish[] a person for his poverty.” The law is therefore not only harmful public policy, but also unconstitutional, under both the Constitution’s due process and equal protection clauses. As DC Attorney General Karl Racine recently emphasized, the DC government should correct laws of questionable constitutionality: “[I]t is in the public interest for state and local governments to proactively address potential constitutional issues present in their laws.”

In the aftermath of the 2014 police killing of Michael Brown, a young Black man in Ferguson, Missouri, the U.S. Department of Justice published a report detailing how Ferguson’s police and court practices trapped the city’s residents in unending cycles of poverty, debt and incarceration by punishing the nonpayment of fines with additional fees, driver’s license suspensions, and arrests. The Department concluded that Ferguson’s “focus on revenue rather than . . . public safety needs” had spawned a system that “raise[d] significant due process and equal protection concerns.”

Since the release of the Ferguson report in 2015, lawsuits have been filed in more than a dozen states to challenge laws that automatically suspend or bar renewal of driver’s licenses for unpaid debt without consideration of motorists’ ability to pay. These cases demonstrate that laws like DC’s Clean Hands Law are constitutionally suspect. They also show that jurisdictions that fail to reform such laws risk time-consuming, resource-intensive litigation.

The Clean Hands Law has three constitutional defects. First, it violates what the Supreme Court calls the “converge[nce]” of “[d]ue process and equal protection principles.” In a series of decisions, the Court has sought to guarantee “equal justice” by striking down government practices that penalize people because of their poverty. In one of these decisions, the Court ruled that the government may not revoke a criminal defendant’s probation for failure to pay a fine without first determining that they “willfully refused to pay or failed to make sufficient bona fide efforts legally to acquire the resources to pay.” Because it automatically bars DC residents with unpaid debt from renewing their driver’s licenses without inquiring into their ability to pay, the Clean Hands Law suffers from the same flaw. In the words of another Supreme Court case that invalidated a discriminatory, wealth-based scheme, DC’s wealth-based driver’s license scheme “embodies elements of punitiveness and discrimination which violate the rights of citizens to equal treatment under the law.”

Second, the Clean Hands Law’s prohibition on driver’s license renewal disregards procedural due process principles. The law offers DC residents no procedure for challenging the non-renewal of their licenses based on their inability to pay their debts. The Supreme Court has made clear that such a procedure is constitutionally required: “[Driver’s] licenses are not to be taken away without . . . procedural due process” because, once issued, “their continued possession may become essential in the pursuit of a livelihood.”
A recent case from Virginia illustrates how wealth-based driver’s license schemes like DC’s violate procedural due process and invite long, drawn-out litigation. A Virginia law previously on the books required suspension of driver’s licenses for unpaid debts. A federal court ruled—four years after the initial lawsuit had been filed—that the law likely violated procedural due process because it failed to provide motorists an adequate opportunity to show they were unable to pay. In reaching its decision, the court condemned the irrationality of the statute: “There is no indication that a loss of [a] license will incentivize individuals to pay court fines and costs where those individuals simply cannot afford to pay.” The court continued: “In practice, the loss of a driver’s license adversely affects people’s ability to gain and maintain employment, often resulting in a reduction of income. This deprives individuals of means to pay their court debt, hindering the fiscal interests of the government.” Last year, after the state’s defeat in court, the Virginia legislature repealed the statute and reinstated 626,000 previously suspended driver’s licenses. The state must now contend with a request for attorneys’ fees that will likely be significant after nearly five years of litigation.

The Clean Hands Law’s third constitutional defect is that it violates substantive due process principles. Depriving people of the ability to renew their driver’s licenses based solely on their inability to pay is not rationally related to any legitimate government objective. The only objective the Council ever articulated for the law’s prohibition on issuing and renewing driver’s licenses was to secure fine payments and thus generate revenue for the DC government. But as explained in Part IV.B below, withholding driver’s licenses from indigent DC residents does not reasonably relate to, much less achieve, that purpose. Rather than securing payments and generating revenue, the law makes it more difficult for residents with low or no income to find and sustain employment that would enable them to satisfy their debts.

The DC government has never offered a public safety rationale for the Clean Hands Law, but even if it had, the statute is not rationally related to that objective either. Rather than promoting public safety, the law simply denies driver’s licenses to people too poor to pay their debts. Drivers with thousands of dollars in tickets, even for more dangerous conduct like running red lights and speeding, generally can renew their licenses as long as they have the means to pay. The only difference between these drivers and drivers barred from renewing their licenses under the Clean Hands Law is poverty.

DC should not retain a law of doubtful constitutionality, especially when it is affirmatively harming District residents. If DC fails to reform the Clean Hands Law, Virginia’s recent experience may forecast what is to come: time-consuming, costly litigation. There is no sound public policy reason for DC to invite such a lawsuit.

III. DC Is a Regional and National Outlier

The Clean Hands Law is part and parcel of DC’s longstanding reliance on fines and fees to support its budget and avoid the politically more difficult task of raising taxes. Yet reliance on fines and fees to fund government operations has come under increasing scrutiny since the U.S. Department of Justice published its report on Ferguson. Several studies have found that cities with higher proportions of Black residents tend to rely more heavily on fines and fees. In light of these studies, the fact that DC collects more than twice as many fines per capita as any major city in the nation—with most of those fines coming through traffic-related enforcement—is particularly troubling.
After DC ended suspensions of driver’s licenses for unpaid debts in 2018, and spurred by a national “Free to Drive” coalition of anti-poverty and business groups, Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginia, as well as Hawaii, Mississippi, Montana, New York, and Oregon, followed suit. In 2020, Maryland ended the suspension and nonrenewal of driver’s licenses due to unpaid traffic debt and judgments for motorists who enter into a payment plan. As noted above, in 2020, Virginia also ended the suspension of driver’s licenses for outstanding fines and failure to appear in court. In addition, Virginia reinstated 626,000 driver’s licenses that were suspended for failure to pay fines and fees. The same year, West Virginia stopped suspending driver’s licenses for unpaid fines and required reinstatement of previously suspended licenses for motorists who enter into a payment plan. West Virginia’s policy change garnered widespread support from groups as diverse as the ACLU and the Koch family-funded Americans for Prosperity.

Once a national leader in this important area of public policy, DC is now just the opposite, both nationally and in the region. DC remains one of only three state-level jurisdictions in the United States (Illinois and Texas are the others) that deny driver’s licenses to people who owe debt to the government. DC’s policy is especially problematic given that DC belongs to an integrated, compact regional economy—more than half of income earned in DC is earned by non-residents, and more than half of the jobs in DC are held by non-residents—and Maryland and Virginia (and West Virginia) do not deny license renewals (or suspend licenses) for unpaid fines and fees, but DC still does, uniquely punishing its residents in this way for unpaid fines and fees.

**DC Remains One of Only Three Jurisdictions with a Law that Denies Driver’s License Renewals to People Who Owe Debt to the Government**

By withholding driver’s licenses under the Clean Hands Law, DC disadvantages its own residents compared to Maryland and Virginia residents. As noted above in Part II.E, a driver’s license may be a job requirement or a necessity to commute to jobs inaccessible via public transit. Maryland and Virginia, which did not have a clean hands law, eliminated a further barrier to these jobs by ending the suspension of driver’s licenses for unpaid debt and reinstating revoked licenses. Urging reform in his state, Maryland’s Attorney General Brian Frosh explained that under the regime at the time before Maryland’s reform, people got “sucked into a vortex of punishment and poverty” because “[t]hey can’t afford to pay the fine or fee; they lose their driver’s license . . . .” In the interest of regional coherence, consistency, and connectedness, and in the interests of DC’s own economic vitality, DC should sync up with Maryland and Virginia (and West Virginia) and amend the Clean Hands Law to enable residents to renew their driver’s licenses when debt is the only obstacle to renewal.
IV. The Costs of Doing Nothing Vastly Exceed Any Potential Concerns About the Reform

Skeptics may raise two main questions about reforming the Clean Hands Law: (1) whether reform will undermine public safety, and (2) whether the reform will be costly to DC because the Clean Hands Law is necessary to provide a continuing incentive for people to pay their fines. Both concerns are not only misplaced, but are massively outweighed by the benefits of reform.

A. Reform Will Not Undermine Public Safety

The Clean Hands Law is unnecessary to ensure that unsafe drivers do not receive driver’s license renewals. First, nothing in the reforms proposed here are intended to or will in any way change the current rules that assign points or take away a license as a result of serious driving offenses. DC’s current rules imposing penalties for seriously unsafe driving would remain unchanged. That is important and consistent with the reform proposed here.

Second, drivers with tickets and means to pay are currently able to buy the right to drive, even when they receive tickets for unsafe driving offenses. In contrast, the drivers who are punished under the current Clean Hands Law are those unable to pay. As the stories of DC residents shared in this report illustrate, their conduct may have been as innocuous as a parking violation over a decade ago. The Clean Hands Law serves no real public safety purpose.

In fact, as explained in Part II.F, the Clean Hands Law undermines public safety because it leads to more people driving without a valid license and, therefore, to more arrests for that offense and more law enforcement time diverted away from actual threats to public safety.

Indeed, public safety was never the impetus for the Clean Hands Law and remains a red herring in the debate. When the Council introduced the law in 1996 and amended it in 2001 to include traffic and parking infractions, no one suggested a public safety rationale for denying driver’s licenses to DC residents. The only rationale offered was that people needed a “compelling reason” to pay their tickets. More recently, when the Council held hearings on the legislation that resulted in the 2018 reforms, Ward 8 Councilmember Trayon White pointedly asked the DMV director, with understandable frustration, “I’ve heard a lot of conversations about revenue, revenue, revenue, revenue. Do you have data that reflects that as a result of this amount of money collected, DC is in fact safer?”

The DMV Director referred Councilmember White to the Metropolitan Police Department, but, MPD has never claimed that denial of driver’s licenses under the Clean Hands Law is necessary for or beneficial to public safety. As detailed above, the evidence from around the country reveals that it is not.

B. Reform Will Not Deprive DC of Revenue

The Clean Hands Law is also unnecessary to provide an incentive for people to pay their fines. No evidence shows that withholding the driver’s license of someone who is too poor to pay will somehow push them to come up with money they do not have. As one study by a consortium of law enforcement agencies concluded, “The common belief that a driver[’s] license suspension provides effective, sustainable motivation to encourage individuals to comply with court ordered or legislated mandates to avoid suspension is not supported by empirical evidence.”

In 2017, Ward 8 Councilmember Trayon White asked the DMV Director the following question: “I’ve heard a lot of conversations about revenue, revenue, revenue, revenue. Do you have data that reflects that as a result of this amount of money collected, DC is in fact safer?”

DMV Director Lucinda Babers’ only answer was to refer the Council to the Metropolitan Police Department, which has never attempted to justify the denial of driver’s licenses under the Clean Hands Law.
Policymakers have recognized this truth as well. As then-California Governor Jerry Brown explained in 2017 when he came out in support of his state’s reforms, “There does not appear to be a strong connection between suspending someone’s driver’s license and collecting their fine or penalty. Often, the primary consequence of a driver’s license suspension is the inability to legally drive to work or take one’s children to school.” Any fiscal impact analysis needs to acknowledge the evidence-based, empirical insight that “clean hands” regimes do not successfully coerce people who cannot afford to pay into paying.

Common sense reinforces this data-based insight. **The inability to find and maintain employment reduces income and therefore reduces the ability to pay off fines.** The Clean Hands Law may actually decrease the likelihood that the government will be able to collect the fines it is owed. As the saying goes, “You can’t get blood from a stone.”

Additionally, it is non-DC drivers who are the main culprits in the nonpayment of traffic and parking tickets, and the Clean Hands Law is irrelevant to them because they have out-of-state driver’s licenses.

85% of DMV-Based Fines Owed to DC Were Owed By Non-DC Drivers

The Clean Hands Law disproportionately injures DC residents while doing nothing to recoup the 85% of unpaid traffic and parking tickets that are owed by non-DC drivers.

*Amount owed by each jurisdiction’s residents*
Non-DC drivers from within the DMV owe 85% of unpaid traffic and parking fines owed to DC. Over the four years between January 2016 and February 2020, of more than two million unpaid traffic and parking tickets worth a total of $440 million, Maryland drivers accounted for 55% of the value of those tickets—worth $240 million—and Virginia drivers accounted for 30%—worth $133.2 million. By contrast, DC residents owed $64 million, half of what Virginia drivers owed and a quarter of what Maryland drivers owed.

In other words, the only drivers who are punished by the Clean Hands Law owe only about 15% of the potential value of unpaid traffic and parking tickets owed DC. The law thus disproportionately injures DC residents while doing nothing to recoup 85% of unpaid fines. Reforming the Clean Hands Law to end the withholding of driver’s licenses would not undercut DC’s ability to go after the $376 million in unpaid tickets currently owed by non-DC drivers.

The Clean Hands Law is also unnecessary to coerce payments of fines or fees from residents with the means to pay. Beyond the psychic benefit many feel when paying off their debts, at least four other incentives remain to ensure payment by those who can afford to pay. First, failure to pay can lead to other problems, such as the denial of the ability to obtain a professional or business license or other permits requiring clean hands, including vehicle registration. Second, debt collection agencies are notoriously unpleasant to deal with—sending notices and letters and making phone calls—and residents will want to avoid the hassle. Third, residents concerned about specialty credit reports and public records searches by landlords or employers will have incentives to pay. Finally, failure to pay outstanding fines leads to the withholding of DC tax refunds. For drivers who presently lack the means to pay, there are far better ways to promote debt repayment than to withhold driver’s licenses. The single most effective way to ensure repayment of debt is to reduce debt to an amount that drivers can afford. It is counter-productive to impose unmanageable sanctions on people who have no ability to pay them.

Empirical evidence shows that individuals who feel daunted by the challenges of paying significant debt on time—to say nothing of the penalties that come with untimely payments—may abandon their attempts to pay. By contrast, people are more likely to abide by the law when it treats them fairly.

The common belief that a driver[s] license suspension provides effective, sustainable motivation to encourage individuals to comply with court ordered or legislated mandates to avoid suspension is not supported by empirical evidence.


Recent data reinforces the conclusion that a “clean hands,” wealth-based driver’s license system is unnecessary to collect debt. The city of Dallas, Texas, like DC, uses a computer program that automatically places a hold on a driver’s license when someone fails to pay a fine or fee. The neighboring city of Fort Worth, Texas does not use this program. Yet the Fort Worth Municipal Court collects more per case ($116) than the Dallas Municipal Court ($113). Automatic license deprivations are simply unnecessary and ineffective.

For drivers who presently lack the means to pay, there are far better ways to promote debt repayment than to withhold driver’s licenses. The single most effective way to ensure repayment of debt is to reduce debt to an amount that drivers can afford. It is counter-productive to impose unmanageable sanctions on people who have no ability to pay them.
Further, if Congress passes the now-pending Driving for Opportunity Act, DC could apply for a grant to recoup any losses it may incur as a result of reforming the Clean Hands Law. The Driving for Opportunity Act would authorize five years of annual grant payments of $20 million to states (including DC) that repeal statutes that permit the refusal to renew driver’s licenses based on failure to pay civil or criminal fines. Grant recipients would then report on the number of driver’s licenses reinstated as a result of repealing their license-restricting laws.

For residents unable to pay their fines or fees, the Clean Hands Law fails to either advance public safety or enhance revenue. To make matters worse, even as it fails to achieve these goals, it is inflicting disproportionate harms on DC’s Black and brown community members; disabled residents; and the full array of residents without wealth or sufficient income to pay fines and fees. When a license is as fundamental to daily life as a driver’s license is—and when the renewal of that license is conditioned solely on a driver’s ability to pay their debts—the burden of proof rests with the government to show that its wealth-based licensing system is achieving valid public policy goals. In 2021, amidst DC’s (and the nation’s) heightened commitment to addressing racial inequities, the burden is greater than ever to show that the Clean Hands Law makes sense.

This is a showing the District cannot make.

Public safety was not a basis for the Council’s 2001 decision to add moving and parking infractions to the list of Clean Hands Law-triggering violations. In all the years since 2001, neither the DMV nor MPD has ever maintained that denial of driver’s licenses under the Clean Hands Law is necessary or beneficial to public safety.

And, while the law was intended to stimulate revenue by incentivizing the payment of outstanding tickets, the Council has never found that the current system of withholding the driver’s license of those who are too poor to pay helps achieve this result. Instead, the current law punishes poverty, exacerbates racial inequalities, exposes poor people to criminal punishment, diverts public safety resources and hurts the economic well-being of drivers and employers alike. The continued application of the current Clean Hands Law to driver’s licenses cannot be justified.

V. Call to Action

It is time for change. In the immediate term, the Mayor should direct the DMV to stop denying driver’s licenses for failure to pay outstanding fines and fees. Meanwhile, the Council should introduce and enact legislative reform in the 2021-2022 session. In 2017-2018, the Council considered a reform that was ultimately stalled. It is time for the Council to follow through on its previous efforts.

We propose two alternative legislative options to end DC’s wealth-based driver’s license system: (1) a “clean” repeal that would end the withholding of driver’s licenses from all DC residents, no matter their ability to pay; or (2) a more narrow repeal that would end the withholding of driver’s licenses from DC drivers who lack the ability to pay, as confirmed by their receipt of public benefits.

Mikael, a Ward 1 resident with an expired driver’s license, is a student at the University of the District of Columbia. At the start of 2020, Mikael had nearly $8,200 in driving-related debt, including thousands of dollars of tickets issued to other people who were driving cars he owned. Mikael works three jobs in addition to going to school, and is trying to resolve his tickets through a payment plan. To date, he has paid off $5,000, but the DMV has told him he must still pay approximately $2,000 more to regain his license. In recent years, Mikael has been assaulted and robbed several times, and shot at least once while getting to and from the Metro. One time, as he walked from the Metro near his home, he was hit in the face with a pistol, which required him to get surgery and seek counseling. Due to this trauma, Mikael continued to drive when necessary, even without a license. To obtain a driver’s license, Mikael recently moved from DC to Maryland where he now lives with his girlfriend.
A clean repeal is preferable because it is simpler to implement and provides the broadest relief. It is what was originally intended by the councilmembers who introduced the legislation that abolished driver’s license suspensions in 2018. Implementing a clean repeal would require no extra administrative costs and would even save resources the DC government currently expends to enforce the prohibition on issuing driver’s licenses to people who owe more than $100. And, for the reasons outlined in Part IV, it would not undermine public safety or have a significant detrimental fiscal impact, as evidence-based analyses have shown.

Alternatively, a narrow repeal would end the current system of punishing people who lack the ability to pay their fines. To identify people who lack the means to pay, the government could look at whether an individual receives public assistance, such as Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, Social Security Disability Insurance, Supplemental Security Income, or Medicaid. A narrow repeal would still enable the government to deny driver’s licenses to people with the means to pay. Determining whether a DC resident receives some sort of public assistance to determine their ability to pay is preferable to a system in which all motorists would have to submit to a hearing at which ability to pay is decided, as such hearings would impose additional costs on both motorists and the DMV.

A narrow repeal, though far superior to the status quo, may be more problematic than a clean repeal in two respects. First, an individual might fall on hard times but still be ineligible for public assistance and thus unable to benefit from reform under a narrow repeal. Second, there would be administrative costs associated with the Office of the Chief Financial Officer and the DMV verifying a driver’s receipt of public benefits.

Whichever path it takes, the Council should reform the Clean Hands Law’s prohibition on obtaining and renewing driver’s licenses. As Ward 8 resident Regina Pixley aptly put it, “[T]his is a system that’s broken.”

Withholding driver’s licenses from people too poor to pay their debts is cruel, counterproductive, and racially inequitable. Wealth should not determine who can keep driving on DC’s streets. DC has the opportunity to turn this principle into policy, and help residents get on—and stay on—the road forward.
Cleaning Repeal

D.C. Code § 47-2862

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the District government shall not issue or reissue a license or permit, other than a license to drive or motor vehicle operator’s permit, to any applicant for a license or permit if the applicant:

. . .

Narrow Repeal

D.C. Code § 47-2862

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the District government shall not issue or reissue a license or permit to any applicant for a license or permit, except as provided in subsection (e), if the applicant:

. . .

(e) The District government shall not withhold a license to drive or a motor vehicle’s operator’s permit from any applicant who is unable to pay any fine, penalty, interest, or past due tax to the District.

(1) For purposes of this subsection, any applicant who receives any of the following forms of public assistance shall be deemed unable to pay:

(A) D.C. Healthcare Alliance, as authorized by D.C. Official Code § 7-1401 et seq.;
(B) Emergency Shelter Family Services, as established by D.C. Official Code § 4-202.01;
(C) General Assistance for Children, as established by D.C. Official Code § 4-202.01;
(D) Housing assistance programs established by Section 8 of the United States Housing Act of 1937, approved September 1, 1937 (50 Stat. 888, 42 U.S.C. § 1437(f) et seq.);
(E) Interim Disability Assistance, as established by D.C. Official Code § 4-202.01;
(F) Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program or Utility Discount Program as established by D.C. Official Code §§ 8-1774.14-15;
(G) Medicaid, as established by the Social Security Amendments Act of 1965;
(H) Program on Work, Employment, and Responsibility, as established by D.C. Official Code § 4-202.01;
(I) Social Security Disability Insurance, as established by Title II of the Social Security Act;
(J) Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children, as established by section 17 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, approved September 26, 1972 (86 Stat. 729: 42 U.S.C. § 1786);
(K) Supplemental Nutrition Assistance program, as established by section 4 of the Food Stamp Act of 1964, approved August 31, 1964 (78 Stat. 703: 7 U.S.C. § 2013);
(L) Supplemental Security Income, as established by Title XVI of the Social Security Act;
(M) Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, as established by D.C. Official Code § 4-202.01;

(2) The DMV may promulgate regulations to identify additional applicants to be deemed unable to pay.
(3) Within one year of the effective date of this act, the OCFO shall develop and the DMV shall maintain a database of persons deemed unable to pay, including all persons who receive any form of public assistance set forth in § 47-2862(e)(1) and all persons who are deemed unable to pay as a result of any regulation promulgated pursuant to § 47-2862(e)(2).

(4) Any applicant who self-certifies pursuant to § 47-2863(a)(3) shall be deemed unable to pay.

(5) Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect the DMV's ability to promulgate regulations that suspend or revoke a person's driver's license after the accumulation of a set amount of points.

D.C. Code § 47-2863

(a)

(1) This subchapter shall be enforced by self-certification by the applicant for a license or permit, provided that the veracity of the self-certification may be investigated upon the initiative of the District government at any time.

(2) At the time of application for a license or permit the applicant shall be provided an opportunity to certify on a form provided by the District government that the applicant owes no outstanding debt over $100 to the District government as a result of any fine, fee, penalty, interest, or past due tax as set forth in § 47-2862.

(3) If an applicant owes outstanding debt over $100 to the District government as a result of any fine, fee, penalty, interest, or past due tax as set forth in § 47-2862 and does not appear in the DMV's database of persons deemed unable to pay as set forth in § 47-2862(e)(3), the applicant shall be provided an opportunity to certify on a form provided by the District government that the applicant either receives any form of public assistance set forth in § 47-2862(e)(1) or has been deemed unable to pay as a result of any regulation promulgated pursuant to § 47-2862(e)(2).

(4) Upon receipt of the applicant's certification that the issuance of the license or permit is not prohibited by this subchapter, the District government shall consider the application as otherwise provided by law, unless the government has information indicating that the applicant has not paid an outstanding debt under § 47-2862.
## Appendix 2: Legislative History of DC’s Clean Hands Law

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Law</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Description of Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04/08/2005</td>
<td>D.C. Law 15-307, § 201, 52 D.C. Reg. 1700</td>
<td>Department of Motor Vehicles Reform Amendment Act of 2004</td>
<td>Added parking fines assessed by other jurisdictions as fines that trigger Clean Hands prohibitions, if DC has a reciprocity agreement with the other jurisdiction. Also added fines for car dealers and cab drivers as fines that trigger Clean Hands prohibitions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/02/2007</td>
<td>D.C. Law 16-191, §§ 81, 94, 53 D.C. Reg. 6794</td>
<td>Technical Amendments Act of 2006</td>
<td>Made technical amendments; removed fines for cab drivers as fines that trigger Clean Hands prohibitions because the legislation establishing fines for cab drivers had not been enacted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/02/2007</td>
<td>D.C. Law 16-192, §§ 1011(c), 1013, 53 D.C. Reg. 6899</td>
<td>Fiscal Year 2007 Budget Support Act of 2006</td>
<td>Added failure to file a DC tax return as conduct that triggers Clean Hands prohibitions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/14/2007</td>
<td>D.C. Law 16-279, § 209(b), 54 D.C. Reg. 1086</td>
<td>Department of Motor Vehicles Service and Safety Amendment Act of 2006</td>
<td>Made technical amendments; removed failure to file a DC tax return as conduct that triggers Clean Hands prohibitions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective Date</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Description of Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/20/2009</td>
<td>D.C. Law 17-303, § 2(c), 55 D.C. Reg. 12,803</td>
<td>Vehicle Towing, Storage, and Conveyance Fee Amendment Act of 2008</td>
<td>Added unpaid vehicle conveyance (towing) fees as fees that trigger Clean Hands prohibitions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/20/2012</td>
<td>D.C. Law 19-168, § 1054(b)(1)(C), 59 D.C. Reg. 8025</td>
<td>Fiscal Year 2013 Budget Support Act of 2012</td>
<td>Added any unpaid “fines, penalties, or interest” of more than $100 as debts that trigger Clean Hands prohibitions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/24/2013</td>
<td>D.C. Law 20-61, § 7192(c), 60 D.C. Reg. 12,472</td>
<td>Fiscal Year 2014 Budget Support Act of 2013</td>
<td>Re-added failure to file a DC tax return as conduct that triggers Clean Hands prohibitions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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