

Yil
60
Acow
EN

THE WHITE HOUSE
WASHINGTON
July 18, 1977

Frank Press

The attached was returned in the President's outbox. It is forwarded to you for appropriate handling.

Rick Hutcheson

cc: Jim Schlesinger

RE: RELEASE OF FOSSIL CO₂

~~THE~~ PRESIDENT'S OFFICE SEEN.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY POLICY

AK
J

July 7, 1977

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20500

MEMORANDUM TO THE PRESIDENT

From: Frank Press *FP*

Subject: Release of Fossil CO₂ and the Possibility of a Catastrophic Climate Change

Fossil fuel combustion has increased at an exponential rate over the last 100 years. As a result, the atmospheric concentration of CO₂ is now 12 percent above the pre-industrial revolution level and may grow to 1.5 to 2.0 times that level within 60 years. Because of the "greenhouse effect" of atmospheric CO₂, the increased concentration will induce a global climatic warming of anywhere from 0.5° to 5°C. To place this in perspective, a ΔT of 5°C would exceed in 60 years the normal temperature swing between an ice age and a warm period which takes place over tens of thousands of years.

The potential effect on the environment of a climatic fluctuation of such rapidity could be catastrophic and calls for an impact assessment of unprecedented importance and difficulty. A rapid climatic change may result in large scale crop failures at a time when an increased world population taxes agriculture to the limits of productivity. The urgency of the problem derives from our inability to shift rapidly to non-fossil fuel sources once the climatic effects become evident not long after the year 2000; the situation could grow out of control before alternate energy sources and other remedial actions become effective. Natural dissipation of CO₂ would not occur for a millenium after fossil fuel combustion was markedly reduced.

As you know this is not a new issue. What is new is the growing weight of scientific support which raises the CO₂-climate impact from speculation to a serious hypothesis worthy of a response that is neither complacent nor panicky. The authoratative National Academy of Sciences has just alerted us that it will issue a public statement along these lines in a few weeks.

The present state of knowledge does not justify emergency action to limit the consumption of fossil fuels in the near term. However, I believe that we must now take the potential CO₂ hazard into account in developing our long-term energy stragegy. Beyond conservation, we must be prepared to exploit nuclear energy more fully. As insurance against over-reliance on a nuclear energy economy, we should emphasize targeted basic research which could lead to breakthroughs for solar electric, biomass conversion or other renewable energy sources. I am already working with OMB and other Federal agencies on a national climate research program which would lead to a better assessment of the CO₂ hazard. If you agree, I will work with OMB, ERDA, FEA, and NSF on alternate strategies for R&D, responsive to a possible CO₂ hazard.