NEW YORK
ANCHORED SPM POVERTY TRENDS 1967 - 2014

Using a new measure of poverty, the anchored Supplemental Poverty Measure (SPM), this fact sheet compares poverty rates in New York to national poverty rates, and shows the role that taxes and transfers play in reducing poverty in New York.

We find:

- The poverty rate in New York declined from 18.9% in 1967 to 17.7% in 2014. The national poverty rate declined from 24.1% to 16.0%.
- Taxes and transfers today reduce the national poverty rate by 12.0 percentage points. Taxes and transfers today reduce the New York poverty rate by 12.4 percentage points.
- The role of taxes and transfers in New York has grown from 2.4 percentage points in 1967 to 12.4 percentage points in 2014, which highlights the growing importance of government programs in reducing poverty in the state.
- The child poverty rate in New York would have been 13.0 percentage points higher in 2014 absent government programs, but with government programs stands at 18.3%.
- The working-age poverty rate in New York would have been 6.5 percentage points higher in 2014 absent government programs, but with government programs stands at 17.8%.
- The elderly poverty rate in New York would have been 36.1 percentage points higher in 2014 absent government programs, but with government programs stands at 16.6%.

The anchored SPM improves upon the official poverty measure by 1) adjusting poverty thresholds for costs of living and different housing situations, 2) taking into account post-tax income, tax payments, in-kind benefits, and non-discretionary expenses such as out-of-pocket medical expenditures and work/child care expenses, and 3) defining the household unit more broadly to include cohabiters and unrelated children living in the home. The Census Bureau and the Bureau of Labor Statistics developed the SPM and we use an anchored historical version here to track poverty trends since 1967, for New York and the nation.

Poverty rates in New York have generally decreased over time, from 18.9% in 1967 to 17.7% in 2014. Nationally, poverty rates have decreased from 24.1% in 1967 to 16.0% in 2014. Nationally, the difference between pre-tax/pre-transfer poverty and post-tax/post-transfer poverty has increased over time, and today transfers reduce poverty by 12.0 percentage points, from 28.0% to 16.0%. In New York, the role of the safety net has also grown over time. In 2014, taxes and transfers reduced poverty in New York by 12.4 percentage points.

The next three graphs present the impact that taxes and transfers have on poverty for children (ages 0-17), working-age adults (ages 18-64) and the elderly (ages 65 and above).
In 1967, the child poverty rate in New York before counting taxes and transfers was 22.6%. Including taxes and transfers decreased the poverty rate for children in 1967 to 22.5%.

By 2014, taxes and transfers reduced child poverty substantially. Before counting taxes and transfers, the child poverty rate in New York was 31.3%. After counting taxes and transfers, the child poverty rate falls to 18.3% in New York.

In 1967, the working-age adult poverty rate in New York before counting taxes and transfers was 14.0%. Including taxes and transfers decreased the poverty rate for working-age adults in 1967 to 13.7%.

By 2014, taxes and transfers reduced working-age adult poverty substantially. Before counting taxes and transfers, the working-age adult poverty rate in New York was 24.3%. After counting taxes and transfers, the working-age adult poverty rate falls to 17.8% in New York.

In 1967, the elderly poverty rate in New York before counting taxes and transfers was 54.6%. Including taxes and transfers decreased the poverty rate for elderly adults in 1967 to 34.8%.

By 2014, taxes and transfers reduced elderly poverty substantially. Before counting taxes and transfers, the elderly adult poverty rate in New York was 52.6%. After counting taxes and transfers, the elderly poverty rate falls to 16.6% in New York.

*The range of the y axis on this graph for the elderly is larger than it is on graphs for children and working-age adults; this reflects the exceedingly high levels of pre-tax/pre-transfer poverty in this age group.