Life Enhancing Activities for Family Caregivers of people with dementia: protocol for a randomized controlled trial of a positive affect skills intervention
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Abstract: Given the increasing number of family caregivers of persons with dementia (PWD) and the associated burden and detriments to both physical and mental health, interventions that aim to improve such outcomes are important. Studies are increasingly demonstrating the unique importance of positive emotions in coping with stress, independent from the impact of negative emotions. However, none have examined the benefits of interventions that target positive emotions for caregivers of individuals with a chronic and debilitating disease such as dementia. This paper presents the design and methods for a randomized controlled trial (RCT) of a positive affect skills intervention for family caregivers of PWD. The RCT is of a skills-based intervention that seeks to increase the frequency and intensity of positive affect in order to improve outcomes such as well-being, coping, and physical and mental health. The skills are delivered by trained facilitators via five one-to-one Internet video sessions with family caregivers of persons diagnosed with dementia (eg, Alzheimer’s disease, vascular dementia, unspecified). The control group is an emotion reporting/waitlist control. Follow-up assessments are conducted post-intervention and at 1, 3, and 6 months post-completion of the intervention. This study promises to be an important and needed step toward improving the lives of caregivers of PWD.
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Introduction

Dementia is a debilitating disease for the afflicted individuals as well as for the family members who care for them. As of 2017, 5.5 million people in the US alone live with a diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease, and this number, which does not include other causes of dementia, is projected to nearly double by 2050.1 Individuals with a diagnosis of dementia experience increased psychological, functional, and physical dependence as the disease progresses. Although the average life expectancy following the onset of the earliest symptoms is 8–11 years (depending on the type of dementia),2 individuals can live as long as 20 years. As dementia progresses and severity increases, so does the time devoted to caregiving and the subsequent toll on caregivers.1,3–6 In addition, dementia caregiving in the US is estimated to cost approximately $9.3 billion per year.7

A number of studies indicate that caregiving has negative physical and psychological effects.1,8 Caring for persons with dementia (PWD) can be a chronic stressor and burden as the PWD lose the ability to perform daily tasks and come to rely heavily on the caregiver(s) to meet their needs. In a nationwide poll of caregivers and
non-caregivers, 59% of dementia caregivers reported high levels of emotional and physical stress. This stress puts caregivers at greater risk than non-caregivers of developing a range of health issues. In addition to deterrents in physical health, dementia caregivers can also experience higher levels of depression and reduced well-being. Despite powerful evidence of the negative impact of caregiving, it is important to highlight that the act of caregiving can also be a meaningful experience, and some caregivers exhibit a tremendous amount of resilience and positivity throughout the caregiving experience. Prior research has demonstrated that personality traits, coping styles, levels of social support, and relationship quality are linked to differences in caregiver outcomes and their overall caregiving experience. For some, caregiving can be a positive and meaningful experience while also contributing to the experience of stress and burden.

Given the well-documented psychological and physical toll of caregiving, there is an important need for targeted interventions for dementia caregivers that seek to lessen burden and stress and increase positive emotions and experiences. To date, stress reduction, coping, educational, and psychosocial interventions for dementia caregivers have shown modest success. However, a growing body of research suggests that positive emotion is independently associated with beneficial outcomes, even when controlling for negative emotion, and indicates that interventions focused specifically on increasing positive emotion may decrease the deleterious impact of caregiving stress on the psychological and physical well-being of caregivers. Life Enhancing Activities for Family Caregivers (LEAF) is a randomized trial of a 5-week, evidence-based intervention designed to teach skills to boost positive affect and subsequently improve caregiver outcomes in physical and psychological functioning. This paper describes the theoretical and empirical underpinnings and design of a randomized controlled trial of the LEAF intervention for informal caregivers of PWD.

Theoretical foundation of positive affect and stress
There is a growing literature regarding the unique beneficial impact of positive affect on psychological adjustment and functioning, particularly during times of chronic stress. Revised Stress and Coping Theory suggests that positive reappraisal, goal-directed problem-solving, and other meaning-focused forms of coping lead to increased positive affect, thereby providing a psychological “time-out” from chronic stress and supporting continued practice of more effective coping strategies that reduce the negative effects of chronic stress. The Broaden and Build Model of positive emotion proposes a complementary pathway through which positive emotion may alleviate the experience of stress and improve coping. Broadening refers to the ability of positive emotion to help individuals see beyond the immediate stressor and leads to more creative alternative solutions. Building refers to the rebuilding of individual coping resources, such as social support and self-esteem that tend to be depleted during chronic stress. Fredrickson argues that repeated experiences of positive emotion build personal resources (eg, social support, physical health), which in turn buffer against the deleterious effects of stress. (Throughout this paper, the term “affect”, referring to the experience of feeling emotion, will be utilized to describe the current study and prior research when appropriate, and “emotion” will be utilized when referencing prior research when appropriate.)

Empirical findings for positive affect and stress
As discussed, research in the realm of positive affect in healthy individuals suggests that positive affect, or specifically positive emotions, can have protective qualities and beneficial health outcomes. For example, Danner et al analyzed handwritten autobiographies written by 180 Catholic nuns prior to entering the convent. Positive emotional content in the written autobiographies was associated with lower risk of mortality over the next 60 years, even after controlling for negative emotion content, age, education, and measures of linguistic ability. Fredrickson et al examined how positive emotion was an important link between psychological resilience and posttraumatic growth following the terrorist attacks that occurred in the US on the 11th of September 2001. This finding supports the idea that positive emotions help to rebuild resources that are depleted during stressful times, even acute stressors such as the 9/11 attacks.

In the domain of positive affect interventions, results of a recent meta-analysis suggest that interventions enhance well-being and reduce depressive symptoms. In a study examining the impact of positive affect training on individuals with recently diagnosed HIV, individuals in the positive affect intervention condition demonstrated lower use of antidepressants, fewer intrusive and avoidant thoughts, and higher levels of positive emotion at follow-up than individuals in the control condition.

Positive emotion and caregiving
Among caregivers, positive affect predicts lower frailty over a 2-year follow-up and engagement in pleasant activities.
is associated with higher positive affect and lower negative affect. Positive caregiver resources such as optimism, resilience, and a sense of coherence are associated with lower levels of burden and higher quality of life. In the realm of dementia caregiving, few studies have examined the link between positive affect and psychological or physical health outcomes. However, one study found that caregivers who “focus on the positive” report greater well-being, suggesting that skills such as positive reappraisal may have beneficial effects on caregiver psychological outcomes. Caregiver interventions have primarily focused on education/information, support, environmental/technical interventions, and caregiving-specific skill building. For example, interventions such as the “First you should get strong” program have demonstrated the beneficial impact of interventions that seek to increase caregiver knowledge about dementia on caregiver health. Although these types of interventions have been effective in reducing caregiver stress, burden, and depression, they tend to be time and resource intensive; hence, dissemination to a wider population is difficult. The intervention proposed in this article is unique in that it focuses on increasing positive affect, with the goal of reducing the negative psychological impact of caregiving.

Methods
The LEAF study (NCT01825681 on ClinicalTrials.gov) compares a five-session positive affect skills-building program to an emotion reporting/waitlist control group. Intervention sessions (LEAF sessions) are delivered one on one by trained facilitators via videoconference on study-supplied tablet computers. LEAF sessions follow a script to impart information about positive affect-building skills, and the facilitator discusses skills practice with participants individually, inviting them to use pertinent examples from their own lives to practice and develop each skill. Between the weekly sessions, participants complete short home practice assignments each day. Home practice is recorded in a workbook and sent back to the study coordinator when all sessions are complete. A sixth and final session is conducted to review the previous week’s homework and to discuss strategies for long-term practice.

All participants are assessed at baseline, after the intervention, and at three follow-up time points: 1, 3, and 6 months post-intervention. Waitlist control subjects are assessed at baseline and also at a second baseline (Assessment 2), after an initial wait period of 6 weeks, equal to the length of the intervention. Figure 1 presents the participant flow diagram.

Participants
Eligibility
Each participant enrolled was the primary family caregiver of a person who had been 1) diagnosed with a degenerative dementia condition and 2) living with the caregiver or in his/her own home, with the caregiver visiting often. Participants were English speaking and reading, and had reliable WiFi Internet at home or in another private location accessible to them. The study coordinator, who holds a Master’s in counseling psychology, screened anyone who showed obvious signs of potential cognitive difficulty using the Telephone Instrument for Cognitive Status. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board (UCSF IRB #14-13236), and all participants provided informed consent.

Study procedures
Recruitment and screening
Participants were recruited via brochures in clinic waiting rooms, by word of mouth in caregiver support groups, both in-person and online, and by Internet postings on online bulletin boards, clinical trial matching sites, and Facebook. Interested caregivers were directed to the LEAF study website and asked to fill out a short contact survey, which included questions about their eligibility and their caregiving situation. The study coordinator then contacted them to set up a phone screening appointment.

During the phone screening appointment, the coordinator explained to interested caregivers that they would be taking part in a program to learn and practice new skills that aimed to reduce their level of stress. The program was delivered via videoconference on a tablet computer that we supplied to them, and participants were asked to complete short home practice activities and online surveys. The randomization process with the corresponding timelines of assessments was explained in detail. Willing participants were then sent a link to the online consent form.

Consent, assessments, randomization, and setup
After signing the online consent form, participants were sent an email that contained a link to their first assessment survey. As soon as the survey was completed, they were randomized and notified of their group assignment and next steps.

The study statistician generated a randomization table for two groups (intervention, control), population density category (Department of Defense: rural [1–999 persons per mi²], suburban [1,000–3,000 persons per mi²], and urban [>3,000 persons per mi²]), and gender (male, female). Randomization
Figure 1 Participant flow.
Abbreviation: LEAF, Life Enhancing Activities for Family caregivers.
within each category was in sets of four cases. Participants were automatically randomized after completing their baseline assessment via a FileMaker database that contained their demographic information and population classification.

Size/power estimation
The first two primary aims were used to determine the sample size for the study. The first is to test the effect of the intervention compared to a control group, and the second is to estimate the main effects of age and geography on psychological and coping outcomes. Given a sample size of 170, assuming a two-sided alpha of 0.05 and a power of 0.80, a constant correlation between the three assessments of 0.4, and an overall attrition of 19%, we will be able to detect a medium effect of 0.5 (Cohen’s $d^{\alpha}$). With these same assumptions, we would be able to detect the very large effect of 1.03 (Cohen’s $d$) between the intervention and control groups with a sample of 42 (21 in each group). This effect size is based on our prior research, from which we estimated an effect size of 0.21 (partial eta-squared) on the measure of burden. Due to the importance of examining the main effect due to age on our outcomes for this population, we are powering the study for this second primary aim. Estimation was carried out with RMass2.97

LEAF intervention group
Intervention group participants were contacted immediately and asked to confirm that they were ready to move forward and would be available to receive a package containing a tablet computer, a LEAF workbook, directions, and accessories. They were asked to give their availability for a 30-minute tablet setup appointment with the coordinator to test a videoconference meeting (WebEx) and schedule their LEAF sessions. As soon as they confirmed and gave appointment availability, the coordinator scheduled the setup appointment and shipped the tablet package to the participants.

Intervention group participants received emailed links to an emotion checklist survey (Differential Emotions Scale [DES]19) each evening that they were in the LEAF program. The emails were sent automatically starting the day of Session 1 and ending the day of Session 6, regardless of time spent in the program.

Emotion reporting/waitlist control group
Control group participants were contacted and notified that they would begin receiving a daily email with a link to the emotion checklist. They were to complete this survey daily for the next 6 weeks (the length of the skills intervention). After the completion of these 6 weeks, caregivers in the control group were notified and sent another assessment survey link (Assessment 2). Then, they were walked through the tablet setup and proceeded into the LEAF intervention sessions, identical to the intervention group (Figure 1). Waitlist control participants continued to receive daily links to the emotion checklist throughout setup and sessions, ending on the day of Session 6.

For both groups, follow-up assessment links were sent out within a week of the completion of Session 6, and then at 1, 3, and 6 months post-intervention. If a participant had not completed an assessment survey within 7 days of assignment, the coordinator sent him/her a reminder email.

Attrition/retention
To minimize attrition, the project director maintained an open line of communication with all participants, starting prior to informed consent, all the way through the last follow-up assessment. If contact was lost with a participant, the project director made several attempts to contact him/her by email and phone.

Technology
Using videoconference on tablet computers to communicate with study participants gave us the ability to easily include caregivers all over the country, including those in rural areas where resources are often scarce. This method enabled participants without their own computers to take part with minimal invasiveness and minimal setup time and cost. Videoconferencing also helped in building rapport between the participant and the facilitator.

Each participant, once randomized (intervention group) or once the second baseline completed (emotion reporting/waitlist control group), was contacted and sent a package that contained study materials and a Samsung 8-inch tablet computer. The tablet had been previously set up by the study coordinator and included an anonymous Google account, WebEx app, 10-minute guided breath awareness .mp3 accessible by an audio player on the home screen of the tablet, and a simple timer app (for silent breath awareness practice, alternative to the guided audio track). Before scheduling LEAF sessions, the study coordinator had a tech setup meeting with participants individually to walk them through the steps of joining a WebEx meeting and to familiarize them with the other uses of the tablet.

The participants were invited to keep their tablet for their own personal use at the end of study participation, after all follow-up data had been collected. Participants who
withdrawn from the study early were given instructions and mailing supplies to return the tablet and study workbook to the study office.

The LEAF intervention: skills
The LEAF intervention consists of five sessions in which a facilitator teaches participants a series of eight behavioral and cognitive “skills” for increasing positive affect. The intervention has demonstrated feasibility, acceptability, and preliminary efficacy in pilot tests and randomized trials in a number of different samples including dementia caregivers. An important characteristic of successful positive affect interventions is match of the person to the activity. It is likely that preferred and effective skills will differ across people, so having several options to choose from, as in this program, may increase the likelihood that the intervention, as a whole, will have an effect. We briefly review the rationale for inclusion of each of the skills here. Details on development of the positive affect skills intervention are published elsewhere. Table 1 provides an overview of the intervention sessions and home practice.

Table 1 Overview of the intervention sessions and home practice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session number</th>
<th>Skills</th>
<th>Goals of session</th>
<th>Home practice</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Positive events, capitalizing, gratitude</td>
<td>Discuss what can constitute a positive or meaningful event. What it means to note, savor, and capitalize on positive events. Discuss gratitude for positive things in life</td>
<td>Write down three things that went well each day and why they went well. Begin a gratitude journal (writing one thing each day you are grateful for) that will continue throughout the rest of the intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mindfulness</td>
<td>Teach the concepts of mindful attention and nonjudgment</td>
<td>1) Practice awareness of breathing and meditation for 10 minutes daily, and 2) once a day, take time to enjoy something that you usually hurry through – live in the moment doing one thing at a time and pay attention to it. Participants will be encouraged to continue the breathing exercise through the remaining weeks of the intervention. Continue gratitude journal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Positive reappraisal</td>
<td>Discuss the meaning of positive reappraisal and how to apply it to everyday occurrences</td>
<td>Each day think of one negative or stressful thing that happened. Practice positive reappraisal – why it may not be as bad as initially thought or something good that might come of it. Write about your experience reappraising at the end of each day. Continue gratitude journal and mindful breathing exercises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Personal strengths, attainable goals</td>
<td>Generate a list of personal strengths that can be used in everyday life</td>
<td>Set one attainable goal related to personal strengths each day and strive to achieve it. Write about this experience at the end of the day. Continue gratitude journal and mindful breathing exercises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Altruistic behaviors/ acts of kindness – doing for others</td>
<td>Discuss the positive impact of doing for others</td>
<td>Practice a small act of kindness every day, and write about this experience. Continue gratitude journal and mindful breathing exercises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Review of all skills</td>
<td>Plan how to continue utilizing the skills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Monitoring the fidelity of intervention sessions during the course of the study included: 1) revision and adaptation of detailed curriculum manuals for each of the sessions, 2) intensive training of facilitators, 3) incorporation of mock training sessions to ensure all facilitators met performance criteria for intervention session delivery before working with participants, and 4) audio and video recording of sessions for continued quality assurance. Throughout the study, each facilitator was periodically reviewed by the study data manager and checked to ensure that all main points of each session were covered. Whenever needed, facilitators were given detailed feedback and tips to improve content fidelity and/or quality of delivery.
improved well-being has been well studied and documented. Several populations with serious mental or physical illness have shown fewer physical symptoms, greater positive and less negative affect, greater life satisfaction, and better sleep after keeping a regular gratitude practice.\(^7\)\(^0\)\(^7\)\(^1\)

**Mindfulness**

Mindfulness is defined as paying attention to one’s experience (sensations, feelings, thoughts) in the present moment, while maintaining a nonjudgmental attitude toward that experience.\(^7\)\(^2\) Trait and state mindfulness have been correlated with higher levels of positive affect and lower levels of negative affect, and interventions that aim to increase mindfulness demonstrate increased positive affect.\(^7\)\(^3\)\(^7\)\(^4\)

**Positive reappraisal**

Positive reappraisal of an event is a cognitive technique in which the event’s significance is reinterpreted in a more positive way. For example, a stressful event can be reappraised as “not as bad as it could have been” or by finding a “silver lining” that came as a result of the event. Stress and coping theory states that an event is experienced as stressful in relation to the individual’s appraisal, or interpretation, of the event. Positive reappraisal has been associated with increased positive affect.\(^2\)\(^1\)\(^7\)\(^5\)\(^7\)\(^6\)

**Focusing on personal strengths**

Recognizing and focusing on one’s personal strengths is a form of self-affirmation that can increase positive affect. Research on self-affirmation and secondary appraisal has indicated that evaluating one’s available resources to help cope with stress leads to a milder appraisal of the stressful event.\(^7\)\(^7\) Acknowledging one’s positive qualities and strengths is associated with positive psychological and physical outcomes.\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^7\)\(^9\)

**Attainable goals**

The perceptions of persons of the progress they make toward achieving their goals are associated with higher levels of positive affect and greater well-being.\(^8\)\(^0\)\(^8\)\(^1\) Pursuing attainable goals (shorter term, smaller goals vs. more distant, longer term goals) is related to subjective reports of greater well-being. One study examined an intervention for students to promote goal attainment, finding greater increases in positive emotion in the intervention group.\(^8\)\(^2\)

**Acts of kindness**

Performing altruistic behaviors, or acts of kindness toward others, is associated with increased levels of positive emotion, lower risk of serious illness, and lower risk of mortality.\(^8\)\(^3\)–\(^8\)\(^6\) We hypothesize that performing acts of kindness may increase positive affect by distracting ones from their own experience and their own stress, and it may increase self-esteem and feelings of self-efficacy and sense of control.

**Outcome measures**

**Psychological well-being**

**Positive and negative emotion**

A 20-item modified version of the DES is used to assess positive and negative emotion. The version used includes additional positive affect and positive affectivity items, scored to create total positive and total negative affect scores. In the baseline data for the present study, the modified DES shows acceptable reliability with the positive affect subscale \((\alpha = 0.91)\) and the negative affect subscale \((\alpha = 0.85)\).

**Stress**

The 10-item Perceived Stress Scale\(^8\)\(^7\) is used to measure how overloaded, unpredictable, and uncontrollable respondents perceive their lives to be. Scores range from 0 to 40, and higher scores indicate a higher stress level.

**Burden**

The Zarit Burden Interview\(^8\)\(^8\) is used to assess perceived burden in caregivers. This measure’s 22 items assess subjective feelings of the impact of caregiving on emotional and physical health, financial strain, and social functioning. Higher scores reflect greater burden.

**Strain**

Caregiver Strain Index (CSI)\(^8\)\(^9\) is used to assess perceived strain. The CSI is a 13-item measure of both objective and subjective elements of caregiver strain. Scoring includes dichotomous response choices consisting of “yes” if the item applies to the caregiver’s situation and “no” if it does not. The number of “yes” answers is added to calculate the total score, which ranges from 0 to 13. A score of 7 or higher has been shown to indicate clinically significant caregiver strain.

**Depressive mood**

Emotional Distress – Depression, Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System Item Bank, v.1.0 (PROMIS\(^9\)\(^0\)) is used to assess depressive mood. Participants rate 28 items focused on depressive symptoms over the past 7 days. Each item is rated on a scale from Never to Always. Supported by the National Institutes for Health, PROMIS
provides access to adult-reported measures of symptoms and aspects of health-related quality of life.

Anxiety
The NeuroQOL is used to assess anxiety. This measure contains 29 items assessing anxiety over the past 7 days. Each item is rated on a scale from Never to Always.

Coping
Participants completed the coping scale previously used by Dr. Moskowitz that combines the short Ways of Coping Scale items with a number of other measures hypothesized to be correlated with positive affect. The scale measures 23 forms of coping responses, such as escape avoidance, mastery of stress, problem-solving, emotional processing and expression, and positive reappraisal.

Demographic measures
In addition to collecting information regarding caregiver age, length of time as a caregiver, relationship to care recipient, sex, race/ethnicity, marital status, education, and contact information of the primary care provider, the following measures are collected to characterize the sample.

Global health
Global Health Scale, PROMIS v.1.0/1.1 is used to assess the study participants’ perceptions of their health, quality of life, and abilities to carry out physical activities. The Global Health Scale contains 10 items that are rated on a scale of Excellent to Poor.

Rating of dementia severity
The Dementia Severity Rating Scale (DSRS) is used to characterize the level of functional abilities of the PWD being cared for by the study subjects. The DSRS collects information from the caregiver on impairment severity of the PWD in 12 functional and cognitive ability domains. The full range of dementia severity is assessed, from no impairment observed to extreme impairment observed in each category.

Positive aspects of caregiving
These are measured by Positive Aspects of Caregiving, an 11-item scale that identifies positive consequences of caregiving such as feeling more useful, feeling appreciated, and strengthening relationships with others. Higher scores indicate greater identification of the positives of being a caregiver.

Planned analyses
The primary outcomes examined in this study are positive affect, negative affect, stress, burden, depression, anxiety, and other mental and physical health outcomes (outlined in more detail above). Our first and primary aim is to test whether participants in the intervention group demonstrate significantly greater improvements in psychological outcomes and engagement in problem-focused and positive appraisal forms of coping, when compared to the waitlist participants. The second aim is to test the associations of age and geography with each outcome over time and whether these demographics moderate the effects of the intervention. The third aim is an extension of Aim 1, using additional assessments of outcomes to demonstrate the trajectories of the combined intervention and waitlist groups, once the latter completes the intervention.

Before testing hypotheses, we will examine the baseline characteristics of the intervention and waitlist groups, to ensure that variables of interest are evenly distributed between groups. Key variables of interest include age, gender, education, ethnicity, geographic location, length of time as caregivers, and relationship to the PWD. Status variables that differ across the two group assignments will be included in the analyses as covariates.

Aim 1
The primary analysis is based on the intention-to-treat paradigm (ie, in primary analyses, all randomized subjects are analyzed based on their assigned group, regardless of their compliance with the intervention). To test the primary hypotheses that experimental subjects who participate in LEAF demonstrate significantly higher levels of positive affect and lower levels of negative affect, in addition to improvement in various psychological outcomes (eg, depression, physical health) compared to the waitlist control condition, we will conduct a multilevel regression analysis of the repeated measures. The dependent variables in these analyses are measured on two occasions (baseline and post-intervention for the experimental group and baseline and post-intervention for the control group). Group (experimental or waitlist control) is the between-subjects factor. This method allows for testing the main effects for time and group, and the cross-level interaction (the interaction of group by time). The interaction is most relevant because it tests whether changes in the dependent variables over time are the same for the two groups.

Before hypothesis tests are conducted, the distributional properties of the dependent variables will be checked for
significant departure from normality, by conducting residual analyses with regressions (to determine the appropriateness of a linear regression model based on the raw data). Those that are normally distributed and whose residuals are randomly dispersed will be examined with multilevel general linear models, and those that are not normally distributed will be examined with multilevel generalized linear models. The use of multilevel models (also called multilevel regression and hierarchical linear models) has several advantages over traditional repeated measures analysis such as analysis of variance (eg, multilevel models enables the use of all available data on each subject, and are unaffected by missing data).98–100 Analyses will be conducted using the Restricted Maximum Likelihood approach in SPSS 19.

Aim 2
Multilevel regression models will be conducted to test the associations of age (in years) and geography (urban, suburban, and rural) with the change for each outcome over the two assessments. Further, the possibility that age and/or geography moderate the effects of the intervention will be tested with three-way interactions (eg, group by age by time).

Aim 3
Aim 3 analyses will utilize the post-intervention assessments (months 3, 6, and 10) across both groups. Such analyses will enable us to examine whether benefits from the intervention are maintained over time. Since the waitlist control group is administered the LEAF intervention after the intervention group finishes the intervention (about 6 weeks), the follow-ups collected are comparable across both study groups. The test of change for the two combined groups will therefore be able to determine the shape of the trajectory for study participants following the end of the intervention, and whether benefits to caregivers remain at the 1-, 3-, and 6-month follow-ups.

Discussion
There is a vast literature on the experiences of dementia caregivers, demonstrating that in combination with positive and rewarding experiences attained, caregivers exhibit higher levels of burden, stress, and reduced levels of well-being101 compared to non-caregivers. Detrimental impacts on physical health are also worse for caregivers than for non-caregivers and increase in severity the more hours of caregiving an individual engages in.10,11 As the number of PWD in the US, and in the world, increases, so does the number of individuals who are and will become familial caregivers. Hence, there is an important need for interventions that alleviate negative effects of caregiving and increase the frequency of positive experiences and positive affect.

Recent research has demonstrated the unique benefits of positive affect for both psychological and physical health. Intervention studies that seek to boost the experience of positive affect have demonstrated success at enhancing well-being and reducing depressive symptoms.46,102 A recent study of the positive affect intervention delivered in person to people newly diagnosed with HIV demonstrated that individuals in the intervention had significantly less use of antidepressants and fewer intrusive and avoidant thoughts over time compared to participants in an active control condition. In addition, intervention participants demonstrated higher levels of positive affect at the three post-intervention assessments, compared to the controls.34 Studies such as these demonstrate the potential for positive affect skills interventions to improve the aspects of well-being and mental health. The design and successful implementation of our study provides evidence that positive affect interventions designed for caregivers of PWD are feasible. Given the high levels of stress, burden, and depression in family caregivers of PWD, the present study is an important and meaningful step toward improving the lives of these caregivers.
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