MN NWAC Risk	Common Name	Latin Name
Assessment Worksheet (04-2011)	Amur maple	Acer ginnala Maxim., syn Acer
	_	tataricum ssp. ginnala
Reviewer	Affiliation/Organization	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
Laura Van Riper,	MN Department of Natural Resources,	09/17/2015
Tim Power	MN Nursery and Landscape Association	

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
1	Is the plant species or genotype non-native?	Yes. Amur maple is native to Asia.	Go to Box 3
3	Is the plant species, or a related species,	Yes.	Go to Box 6
	documented as being a problem elsewhere?	Regulated as a Restricted Invasive Species In Wisconsin	
		(all cultivars exempt)	
		( <a href="http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Invasives/fact/AmurMaple.html">http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Invasives/fact/AmurMaple.html</a> ).	
		Ranked as moderately invasive in New York	
		(http://www.nyis.info/user_uploads/4a6d0_1db2a_Acer.g	
		<u>innala.NYS.pdf</u> ).	
		Listed on Illinois Departments of Natural Resources	
		Exotic Species webpages	
		( <u>http://dnr.state.il.us/education/exoticspecies/amurmaple.</u>	
		<u>htm</u> ).	
		NatureServe I rank of Medium/Insignificant	
		(http://explorer.natureserve.org/servlet/NatureServe?sear	
		<u>chName=Acer+ginnala).</u>	
		Listed as potentially invasive, but not banned in	
		Connecticut	
		( <a href="http://plants.usda.gov/java/noxious?rptType=State&amp;stat">http://plants.usda.gov/java/noxious?rptType=State&amp;stat</a>	
		efips=09, http://cipwg.uconn.edu/invasive_plant_list/).	
6	Does the plant species have the capacity to	Yes.	Go to Box 7
	establish and survive in Minnesota?		

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
	A. Is the plant, or a close relative, currently established in Minnesota?	Yes. Amur maple has been widely planted in Minnesota. EDDMaps reports Amur maple as present in 42 counties in Minnesota, especially in the northeastern part of the state (http://eddmaps.org/distribution/uscounty.cfm?sub=3965 ). MN Department of Natural Resources has 541 records of Amur maple on state lands.	Go to Box 7
7	Does the plant species have the potential to reproduce and spread in Minnesota?	Yes.	
	A. Does the plant reproduce by asexual/vegetative means?	No.	Go to 7C
	C. Does the plant produce large amounts of viable, cold-hardy seeds?	Yes. Plants can produce 5,000 or more fruits per year (each fruit has two seeds) and seeds require stratification to germinate (Ma and Moore 2008, Wisconsin 2011).	Go to 7F
	E. Is this species self-fertile?	Amur maple is monoecious and is likely self-fertile (personal communication, Kevin Johnston, Bailey Nurseries Director of MN Production, 9 July 2015).	This text is provided as additional information not directed through the decision tree process for this particular risk assessment.
	F. Are sexual propagules – viable seeds – effectively dispersed to new areas?	Yes. The fruits are winged samaras and are typically borne in pairs. While most of the seeds land within 100m of the parent tree, a portion could be carried long distances by wind and water (Oliver 2004, Ma and Moore 2008).	Go to 7I

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
	G. Can the species hybridize with native species	Not known to hybridize (Wisconsin 2011). The	This text is provided
	(or other introduced species) and produce viable	horticultural species Acer ginnala and A. tataricum	as additional
	seed and fertile offspring in the absence of	are closely related though geographically separated in	information not
	human intervention?	their native ranges of NE Asia and SW Asia/Europe,	directed through the
		respectively. However, none of the cultivars of either	decision tree process
		species currently available in the nursery trade is listed	for this particular risk
		as a hybrid (personal communication, Tim Power, 6 July 2015).	assessment.
		Some botanists classify Acer ginnala as a subspecies of	
		Acer tataricum (Acer tataricum ssp. ginnala). Maples	
		sold commercially as Acer ginnala often exhibit	
		intermediate characteristics between these two species	
		and may be hybrids between Acer ginnala and Acer	
	II If the construction and the transfer and	tataricum (Herman et al. 2015).	771
	H. If the species is a woody (trees, shrubs, and	Juvenile period is 3 years or less (personal	This text is provided as additional
	woody vines) is the juvenile period less than or equal to 5 years for tree species or 3 years for	communication, Kevin Johnston, 9 July 2015).	information not
	shrubs and vines?		directed through the
	Sin uos una vines:		decision tree process
			for this particular risk
			assessment.

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
	I. Do natural controls exist, species native to Minnesota, that are documented to effectively prevent the spread of the plant in question?	No. The Wisconsin (2011) risk assessment states "pests and pathogens of <i>A. ginnala</i> include: yellow-bellied sapsucker ( <i>Sphyrapius varius</i> ); bacterial disease including crown gall ( <i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i> ); and fungal disease including <i>Anthracnose</i> , <i>Phytophthora</i> spp. basal rot and root rot, Verticillium wilt, and wood rots and decays. No species-specific pathogens have been identified." There is no documentation that these controls prevent the spread.  Verticillium wilt caused by the fungus <i>Verticillium dahliae</i> can be a significant disease problem in nursery field production of Amur maple, but is unlikely to provide any natural control except in the nursery or in the managed landscape, in locations where Amur maple is planted on sites previously occupied by trees affected by Verticillium wilt. Verticillium overwinters in the soil as microsclerotia that can persist in well-drained soils for 10+ years (University of Minnesota Extension 2013).	Go to Box 8
8	Does the plant species pose significant human or livestock concerns or has the potential to significantly harm agricultural production, native ecosystems, or managed landscapes?		
	A. Does the plant have toxic qualities, or other detrimental qualities, that pose a significant risk to livestock, wildlife, or people?	No. No reports found. It has been found that other maple species, such as red maple ( <i>Acer rubrum</i> ) are toxic to horses when horses eat the wilted leaves. The University of Minnesota's Extension program on horses classifies the wilted leaves of all maples as toxic ( <a href="http://www.extension.umn.edu/agriculture/horse/pasture/maple/">http://www.extension.umn.edu/agriculture/horse/pasture/maple/</a> , personal communication Krishona Martinson, University of Minnesota, 2 June 2015).	Go to 8B

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
	B. Does, or could, the plant cause significant financial losses associated with decreased yields, reduced crop quality, or increased production costs?	No. No reports found.	Go to 8C
	C. Can the plant aggressively displace native species through competition (including allelopathic effects)?	Yes. Amur maple is "shade-tolerant and can shade out understory species in forests or herbaceous species in grasslands" (Wisconsin 2011). Allelopathic chemicals have been found in Amur maple (Cawly et al. 2005 cited by Wisconsin 2011).	Go to Box 9 Or if no, go to 8D
	D. Can the plant hybridize with native species resulting in a modified gene pool and potentially negative impacts on native populations?	No. No reports found.	This text is provided as additional information not directed through the decision tree process for this particular risk assessment.
	E. Does the plant have the potential to change native ecosystems (adds a vegetative layer, affects ground or surface water levels, etc.)?	Yes. Can add a shrub layer to a prairie/grassland or an open woodland (Ma and Moore 2008, Wisconsin 2011).	This text is provided as additional information not directed through the decision tree process for this particular risk assessment.
	F. Does the plant have the potential to introduce or harbor another pest or serve as an alternate host?	No. No reports found.	This text is provided as additional information not directed through the decision tree process for this particular risk assessment.
9	Does the plant species have clearly defined benefits that outweigh associated negative impacts?		

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
Box	A. Is the plant currently being used or produced and/or sold in Minnesota or native to Minnesota?	Yes. At least 9 Minnesota Soil and Water Conservation Districts are selling Amur maple (Kerry Saxton pers. comm. 15 June 2015).  Amur maple has been sold by the nursery industry in Minnesota since its introduction to the state between 1900 and 1930 at the L. L. May Nursery in Afton and Bailey Nurseries in St. Paul (personal communication, Afton Historical Society, 2011). The following forms and cultivars are commonly available in Minnesota, typically sold bare-root or container- grown for their excellent fall color and red samaras and their suitability in a variety of soils, including disturbed urban soils:  • Species – grown in both shrub- and tree-form • 'Flame' – often grown shrub-form; orange-red to red fall color  • 'Embers' – tree-form, showy red samaras and red fall color  • 'Bailey Compact' - shrub-form, finer-textured, 8' x 8'  • 'Emerald Elf' – shrub-form, 5' x 5'  • 'Red Wing' – showy red samaras and red fall color Bailey Nurseries cited national 2014 sales of 14,000+ Amur maple plants.	Outcome Go to 9B
	B. Is the plant an introduced species and can its	(Personal communication Tim Power 6 July 2015)  Yes. Amur maple is an introduced species.  Oliver (2004) states "the Amur maple is easily controlled."	If yes, then go to Box
	spread be effectively and easily prevented or controlled, or its negative impacts minimized	Oliver (2004) states "the Amur maple is easily controlled by cutting and treating the stumps with glyphosate	11
	through carefully designed and executed	herbicide. Apparently the shoots may resprout, but do not	If no, then go to 9C
	management practices?	form roots. This species can also be removed by use of	5 Table 1
	-	fire, which is useful in prairie habitats."	
	C. Is the plant native to Minnesota?	No. Amur maple is native to Asia.	Go to 9D

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
Box	Question  D. Is a non-invasive, alternative plant material commercially available that could serve the same purpose as the plant of concern?	Native Substitutes on MN Department of Natural Resources Amur maple web page (http://www.dnr.state.mn.us/invasives/terrestrialplants/w oody/amurmaple.html):  • Mountain maple (Acer spicatum) • American hornbeam (Carpinus caroliniana ssp. virginiana) • Pagoda dogwood (Cornus alternifolia) • Fireberry hawthorn (Crataegus chrysocarpa) • Pin Cherry (Prunus pensylvanica); requires well- drained, acid soil • Nannyberry (Viburnum lentago)	Outcome  If yes, then go to Box 10  If no, then go to 9E
		<ul> <li>High-bush cranberry (Viburnum trilobum)</li> <li>Non-invasive substitutes from Dan Shaw of the MN Board of Water and Soil Resources (pers. comm. 1 June 2015):</li> <li>Saskatoon Serviceberry (Amelanchier alnifolia) (mostly western MN)</li> <li>Smooth Serviceberry (Amelanchier laevis)</li> <li>American Hazelnut (Corylus americana)</li> <li>Ninebark (Physocarpus opulifolius)</li> <li>American Wild Plum (Prunus pensylvanica)</li> <li>Choke cherry (Prunus virginiana)</li> <li>Smooth Sumac (Rhus glabra)</li> <li>Red Berried Elder (Sambucus racemosa)</li> <li>Showy Mountain Ash (Sorbus decora) (mostly northern MN)</li> <li>However, there is no understory maple that will thrive in</li> </ul>	
		urban soils in Minnesota other than <i>Acer ginnala</i> and <i>A. tataricum</i> .	

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
	E. Does the plant benefit Minnesota to a greater	Opinions will vary on this question. Amur maple fills a	If yes, then go to Box
	extent than the negative impacts identified at	role as a small urban tree. People will disagree as to	11
	Box #8?	whether the substitutes are acceptable. Benefits of this	
		plant are economic benefits to those who sell it and the	If no, then go to Box
		aesthetic benefits to those that plant it. The ecological	10
		impacts of Amur maple have not been thoroughly	
		studied. The listing subcommittee recommends	
		answering "yes" to this question.	
10	Should the plant species be enforced as a		
	noxious weed to prevent introduction &/or		
	dispersal; designate as prohibited or restricted?		
	A. Is the plant currently established in	Yes.	Go to 10B
	Minnesota?	Amur maple has been widely planted in Minnesota.	
		EDDMaps reports Amur maple as present in 42 counties	
		in Minnesota, especially in the northeastern part of the	
		state	
		(http://eddmaps.org/distribution/uscounty.cfm?sub=3965	
		).	
	B. Does the plant pose a serious human health	No. No reports found.	Go to 10C
	threat?		
	C. Can the plant be reliably eradicated (entire	Like other woody invasive species, the plant can be	
	plant) or controlled (top growth only to prevent	killed by cutting and applying herbicide. Amur maple is	
	pollen dispersal and seed production as	widespread in Minnesota. Amur maple is present in	
	appropriate) on a statewide basis using existing	many people's planted landscapes. Listing as a	
	practices and available resources?	prohibited noxious weed is not appropriate.	

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome	
11	Should the plant species be allowed in	Wisconsin restricted the species from sale beginning in	List as a Specially	
	Minnesota via a species-specific management	2015, but allowed sale of all cultivars.	Regulated Plant with	
	plan; designate as specially regulated?		sellers affixing a label	
		Amur maple is the only shrub-form understory maple	that advises buyers to	
		that is appropriately hardy and adaptable to plant in	only plant Amur	
		Minnesota's compacted urban soils. Since the invasion	maple and its cultivars	
		risk with Amur maple is based on the spread of seeds, an	in landscapes where	
		effective management strategy is to control seedlings by	the seedlings will be	
		mowing. Amur maple seeds are spread primarily by	controlled by mowing	
		wind, not by birds or other animals, so the risk of	or other means. Amur	
		invasion drops dramatically as distance increases from a	maple should be	
		parent plant.	planted at least 100	
			yards from natural	
		Sales of Amur maple and its cultivars should be allowed	areas.	
		to continue in Minnesota, with the caveat that plant		
		sellers advise buyers that Amur maple should be planted		
		only in managed landscapes where seedlings will be		
		controlled by mowing or other methods. Amur maple		
		should not be planted near natural areas, including		
		prairie, savanna and upland forest ecosystems, in		
		Minnesota.		
		D 14 CD: 1 A		
	Final Results of Risk Assessment			
	Review Entity	Comments	Outcome	

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
	NWAC Listing Subcommittee	Recommend Specially Regulated Plant. The regulation	Specially Regulated
		would read as follows:	Plant with labeling
		Any person, corporation, business or other retail entity	information.
		distributing Amur maple or its cultivars for sale within	
		the state, must have information directly affixed to the	
		plant or container packaging that it is being sold with,	
		stating the following: "Amur maple should only be	
		planted in areas where the seedlings will be controlled	
		or eradicated by mowing or other means. Amur maple	
		should not be planted closer than 100 yards from	
	NWAC Evil group	natural areas."	DECLII ATE LICT
	NWAC Full-group	11 in favor and 0 opposed.	REGULATE. LIST AS A SPECIALLY
			REGULATED
			PLANT WITH THE
			AGREED
			REGULATION.
	MDA Commissioner	Approved NWAC Recommendation	REGULATE. LIST
	TVIDIT COMMISSIONET	Tippio ved TVVITE Recommendation	AS A SPECIALLY
			REGULATED
			PLANT WITH THE
			AGREED
			REGULATION.
	FILE#		
	AmurMaple_2015_MDARA00056AMAP		

## **References:**

Cawly, J., Newton, S. and M. Bolyard. 2005. Allelopathic activity of a testa-derived solution from Siberian maple (Acer ginnala Maxim.) seeds. Allelopathy Journal 16(2): 227-238.

Herman, D.E., C.M. Stange, and V.C. Quam (eds). 2015 North Dakota Tree Handbook. [Online] North Dakota State University. <a href="https://www.ag.ndsu.edu/trees/handbook/th-3-87.pdf">https://www.ag.ndsu.edu/trees/handbook/th-3-87.pdf</a>) [8-13-2015].

Ma, J. and G. Moore. 2008. New York non-native plant Invasiveness ranking form: *Acer ginnala* [Online] <a href="http://www.nyis.info/user\_uploads/4a6d0\_1db2a\_Acer.ginnala.NYS.pdf">http://www.nyis.info/user\_uploads/4a6d0\_1db2a\_Acer.ginnala.NYS.pdf</a> [5-14-2015].

Oliver, L. 2004. *Acer ginnala*. U.S. Invasive Species Impact Rank (I-Rank). NatureServe Explorer. [Online] <a href="http://explorer.natureserve.org/servlet/NatureServe?searchName=Acer+ginnala">http://explorer.natureserve.org/servlet/NatureServe?searchName=Acer+ginnala</a> [5-14-2015].

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. 2011. *Acer ginnala* Literature Review. [Online] http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Invasives/documents/classification/LR\_Acer\_tataricum.pdf [5-14-2015].

University of Minnesota Extension. 2013. Verticillium Wilt of Trees and Shrubs. Listed at <a href="http://cues.cfans.umn.edu/old/edlist.htm">http://cues.cfans.umn.edu/old/edlist.htm</a> [8-3-2015].