MN NWAC Risk	Common Name	Latin Name
Assessment Worksheet (04-2011)	Garlic Mustard	<i>Alliaria petiolata</i> (M. Bieb.) Cavara & Grande
Reviewer	Affiliation/Organization	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
Laura Van Riper	MN DNR	08/13/2013

Garlic mustard is a biennial forb that grows well in shaded areas. While garlic mustard is most commonly found in deciduous forests, it can also be found in coniferous forests or along edges of forests, roadsides, flooded riverbanks, and steep sandy soil (Cavers et al. 1979).

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
1	Is the plant species or genotype non-native?	Native to Europe (Cavers et al. 1979).	Go to Box 3.
3	Is the plant species, or a related species,	Yes. Listed as a noxious weed in 7 other states	Go to Box 6.
	documented as being a problem elsewhere?	(http://plants.usda.gov/java/profile?symbol=ALPE4)	
6	Does the plant species have the capacity to		
	establish and survive in Minnesota?		

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
	A. Is the plant, or a close relative, currently	Yes. Established in many counties in Minnesota	Go to Box 7.
	established in Minnesota?	(EDDMapS. 2013)	
7	Does the plant species have the potential to		
	reproduce and spread in Minnesota?	N	
	A. Does the plant reproduce by asexual/vegetative means?	No.	Go to /C.
	C. Does the plant produce large amounts of viable, cold-hardy seeds?	Yes. Garlic mustard plants in Minnesota had a mean of 2-22 siliques/stem (Van Riper et al. 2010). Minnesota sites had means of $134 - 888$ siliques/m <sup>2</sup> in 2006 (Van Riper et al. 2010). Cavers et al. (1979) document 10-20 seeds/silique. Nuzzo (1999) found that plants produce an average of $13.7 - 15.8$ seeds/silique. In Minnesota that could translate to $1,835 - 14,030$ seeds/m <sup>2</sup> .	Go to 7F
	E. Is this species self-fertile?	Yes (Anderson et al. 1996).	
	F. Are sexual propagules – viable seeds –	Yes. Seeds can be moved by animals, water, and	Go to 7I
	effectively dispersed to new areas?	numans (Cavers et al. 1979, Nuzzo 1999).	
	1. Do natural controls exist, species native to Minnesota, that are documented to effectively prevent the spread of the plant in question?	(Evans and Landis 2007, Van Riper et al. 2010)	Go to Box 8.

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
8	Does the plant species pose significant human or livestock concerns or has the	Listed below are studies related to the impacts of garlic mustard. In addition to these studies, there are papers	Yes, go to Box 9. No, then no regulation.
	potential to significantly harm agricultural production, native ecosystems, or managed landscapes?	that question these studies, there are those that have not found strong impacts of garlic mustard (e.g. Davis et al. 2012) or those that propose that garlic mustard is not a driver of change (Nuzzo et al. 2009) (but see "back-seat drivers" Bauer 2012).	
	A. Does the plant have toxic qualities, or other detrimental qualities, that pose a significant risk to livestock, wildlife, or people?	Garlic mustard is edible for humans and wildlife (Cavers et al. 1979). Garlic mustard can be toxic to some wildlife, such as native butterflies (Porter 1994, Courant et al. 1994, Huang et al. 1995, Renwick et al. 2001, Keeler et al. 2006).	
	B. Does, or could, the plant cause significant financial losses associated with decreased yields, reduced crop quality, or increased production costs?	No documentation of significant financial losses at this time. Many forests are managed for timber production. Literature suggests that garlic mustard has negative impacts on desirable tree seedlings (Stinson et al. 2006) so there may be financial impacts in the future.	
	C. Can the plant aggressively displace native species through competition (including allelopathic effects)?	<ul> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Garlic mustard grows in dense stands and can have negative impacts on native plants, including spring ephemerals and trees (Cavers et al. 1979, Yost et al. 1991, Anderson et al. 1996, McCarthy 1997, Meekins and McCarthy 1999, Scott 2000, Myers &amp; Anderson 2003, Stinson et al. 2006, Stinson et al. 2007, Hochstedler et al. 2007).</li> <li>Garlic mustard has impacts on native species due to allelopathy and chemical weapons (Vaughn and Berhow 1999, Roberts and Anderson 2001, Prati and Bossdorf 2004, Cipollini &amp; Gruner 2007).</li> <li>Garlic mustard is allelopathic to native soil</li> </ul>	

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
	D. Can the plant hybridize with native species resulting in a modified gene pool and potentially negative impacts on native	<ul> <li>mycorrhizal fungi and this in turn negatively impacts native plants. This interaction results in garlic mustard having a positive effect on its own growth (Roberts and Anderson 2001, Klironomos 2002, Wolfe and Klironomos 2005, Stinson et al. 2006, Callaway et al. 2008, Wolfe et al. 2008).</li> <li>Native species return when garlic mustard is removed (McCarthy 1997, Drayton and Primack 1999)</li> <li>Responses of species can be variable. Garlic mustard doesn't have documented negative impacts on all species tested (Meekins and McCarthy 1999, Davis et al. 2012).</li> <li>No.</li> </ul>	
	populations? E. Does the plant have the potential to change native ecosystems (adds a vegetative layer, affects ground or surface water levels, etc.)?	Garlic mustard has been found to alter soil nutrient levels (Ashton et al. 2005, Rodgers et al. 2008).	
	F. Does the plant have the potential to introduce or harbor another pest or serve as an alternate host?	No.	
9	Does the plant species have clearly defined benefits that outweigh associated negative impacts?		
	A. Is the plant currently being used or produced and/or sold in Minnesota or native to Minnesota?	No.	Go to Box 10.

Box	Question	Answer	Outcome
10	Should the plant species be enforced as a noxious weed to prevent introduction &/or dispersal; designate as prohibited or restricted?		
	A. Is the plant currently established in Minnesota?	Yes.	Go to 10B.
	B. Does the plant pose a serious human health threat?	No.	Go to 10C.
	C. Can the plant be reliably eradicated (entire plant) or controlled (top growth only to prevent pollen dispersal and seed production as appropriate) on a statewide basis using existing practices and available resources?	The entire plant can be killed when garlic mustard plants are hand-pulled or herbicides are applied. Mowing has been used to control top growth and to prevent seed production. Mowing must be as low as possible and timed before the emergence of flowers. Plants may still re-sprout and flower. There is no data on how many years of mowing are needed to suppress a population (MIPN Control Database 2013). Since garlic mustard grows in forests it is often difficult to use a mower. Garlic mustard is now fairly widespread in Minnesota. Once populations get large, control is difficult. No biological control insects are currently available for garlic mustard. There is on-going research for biological control insects for garlic mustard (Blossey et al. 2001, Gerber et al 2007 <i>a</i> , 2007 <i>b</i> ). A petition for release of the biocontrol insect <i>Ceutorhynchus</i> <i>scrobicollis</i> was submitted to USDA-APHIS Technical Advisory Group in Sept. 2011. The response received in June 2013 indicated the petition was denied, and that additional testing of Threatened and Endangered Brassicaceae species was necessary before an additional	Yes, then list the plant as prohibited noxious weed (control category). No, then list the plant as restricted noxious weed.
		petition could be submitted (L. Van Riper).	

Question	Answer	Outcome
Fi	nal Results of Risk Assessment	
<b>Review Entity</b>	Comments	Outcome
NWAC Listing Subcommittee	First review – 06/20/2013, Final Review 08/12/2013	Restricted Noxious Weed
	Subcommittee recommended listing as a restricted	
	noxious weed with prohibited-control as an acceptable	
	alternative. This suggestion is based on the difficulty to	
	enforce the noxious weed on landowners regarding	
	Garlic Mustard in forested areas where the only reliable	
	methods of control are labor-intensive (physical	
	removal) or expensive and require sufficient knowledge	
	(herbicide applications). No one disagrees that garlic	
	mustard is not a serious pest that takes over large areas	
	of forest understory; however, the difficulty of	
	management for landowners makes this species very	
	difficult for enforcement, thus the need to reclassify.	
NWAC Full-group	Reviewed 12/18/2013	Vote $9 - 4$ to reclassify as
		a Restricted Noxious
		Weed per the Listing
		Subcommittee's
		suggestion.
MDA Commissioner	Reviewed 12/18/2013	Accepted NWAC's
		Recommendation
FILE # MDARA00026GMUS_2_24_2014	Restricted Noxious Weed	

## **References:**

Anderson, R. C., S. D. Shivcharn, and T. M. Kelley. 1996. Aspects of the ecology of the invasive plant, garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*) in central Illinois. Restoration Ecology **4**:181-191.

Ashton, I.W., L. A. Hyatt, K. M. Howe, J. Gurevitch, M.T. Lerdau. 2005. Invasive species accelerate decomposition and litter nitrogen loss in a mixed deciduous forest. Ecological Applications **15**: 1263–1272.

Bauer, J. T. 2012. Invasive species: "back-seat drivers" of ecosystem change? Biological Invasions 14: 1295-1304.

Blossey, B., V. A. Nuzzo, H. L. Hinz, and E. Gerber. 2001. Developing biological control of *Alliaria petiolata* (M. Bieb.) Cavara and Grande (garlic mustard). Nat. Areas J. **21**:357–367.

Callaway, R.M., Cipollini, D., Barto, K., Thelen, G.C., Hallett, S.G., Prati, D., Stinson, K, Klironomos, J. 2008. Novel weapons: Invasive plant suppresses fungal mutualists in America, but not in its native Europe. Ecology **89**: 1043-1055.

Cavers, P. B., M. I. Heagy, and R. F. Kokron. 1979. The biology of Canadian weeds. 35. *Alliaria petiolata* (M. Bieb.) Cavara and Grande. Canadian Journal of Plant Science **59**:217-229.

Cipollini, D. and B. Gruner. 2007. Cyanide in the chemical arsenal of garlic mustard, *Alliaria petiolata*. J Chem Ecol **33**:85–94.

Courant, A. V., A. E. Holbrook, E. D. Van der Reijden, and F. S. Chew. 1994. Native pierine butterfly (Pieridae) adapting to naturalized crucifer? Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society **48**:168-170.

Davis, M.A., A. Colehour, J. Daney, E. Foster, C. MacMillan, E. Merrill, J. O'Neill, M. Pearson, M. Whitney, M.D. Anderson, J.J. Dosch. 2012. The Population Dynamics and Ecological Effects of Garlic Mustard, Alliaria petiolata, in a Minnesota Oak Woodland. Am. Midl. Nat. **168**:364–374

Drayton, B., and R. B. Primack. 1999. Experimental extinction of garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*) populations: implications for weed science and conservation biology. Biological Invasions **1**:159–167.

EDDMapS. 2013. Early Detection & Distribution Mapping System. The University of Georgia - Center for Invasive Species and Ecosystem Health. Available online at http://www.eddmaps.org/; last accessed March 28, 2013.

Evans, J. A. and D. A. Landis. 2007. Pre-release monitoring of *Alliaria petiolata* (garlic mustard) invasions and the impacts of extant natural enemies in southern Michigan forests. Biol. Control **42**:300–307.

Gerber, E., H. L. Hinz, and B. Blossey. 2007a. Interaction of specialist root and shoot herbivores of *Alliaria petiolata* and their impact on plant performance and reproduction. Ecol. Entomol. **32**:357–365.

Gerber, E., H. L. Hinz, and B. Blossey. 2007b. Impact of the belowground herbivore and potential biological control agent, *Ceutorhynchus scrobicollis*, on *Alliaria petiolata* performance. Biol. Control **42**:355–364.

Hochstedler, W. W., B. S. Slaughter, D. L. Gorchov, L. P. Saunders, and H. H. Stevens. 2007. Forest floor plant community response to experimental control of the invasive biennial, *Alliaria petiolata* (garlic mustard). J. Torrey Bot. Soc. **134**:155–165.

Huang, X. P., J. A. A. Renwick, and F. S. Chew. 1995. Oviposition stimulants and deterrents control acceptance of *Alliaria petiolata* by *Pieris rapae* and *P. napi oleracea*. Chemoecology **5/6**:79-87.

Keeler, M. S., F. S. Chew, B. Goodale, and J. M. Reed. 2006. Modelling the impacts of two exotic invasive species on a native butterfly: topdown vs. bottom-up effects. Journal of Animal Ecology **75**:777-788.

Klironomos, J. N. 2002. Feedback with soil biota contributes to plant rarity and invasiveness in communities. Nature 417:67-70.

McCarthy, B. C. 1997. Response of a forest understory community to experimental removal of an invasive nonindigenous plant (*Alliaria petiolata*, Brassicaceae). Pages 117-130 *in* J. O. Luken and J. W. Thieret, editors. Assessment and management of plant invasions. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Meekins, J. F., and B. C. McCarthy. 1999. Competitive ability of *Alliaria petiolata* (garlic mustard, Brassicaceae), an invasive, nonindigenous forest herb. International Journal of Plant Science **160**:743-752.

MIPN Control Database. 2013. Midwest Invasive Plant Network Invasive Plant Control Database. <u>http://mipncontroldatabase.wisc.edu/</u> Accessed 29 March 2013.

Myers, C. V., and R. C. Anderson. 2003. Seasonal Variation in Photosynthetic Rates Influences Success of an Invasive Plant, Garlic Mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*). American Midland Naturalist **150**:231-245.

Nuzzo, V. A. 1999. Invasion pattern of the herb garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*) in high quality forests. Biol. Invasions 1:169–179.

Nuzzo, V. A., J. C. Maerz, and B. Blossey. 2009. Earthworm invasion as the driving force behind plant invasion and community change in northeastern North American forests. Conserv. Biol. **23**:966–974.

Porter, A. 1994. Implications of the introduced garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*) in the habitat of *Pieris virginiensis* (Pieridae). Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society **48**:171-172.

Prati, D., and O. Bossdorf. 2004. Allelopathic inhibition of germination by *Alliaria petiolata* (Brassicaceae). American Journal of Botany **91**:285-288.

Renwick, J. A. A., W. Zhang, M. Haribal, A. B. Attygale, and K. D. Lopez. 2001. Dual chemical barriers protect a plant against different larval stages of an insect. Journal of Chemical Ecology **27**:1575-1583.

Roberts, K. J., and R. C. Anderson. 2001. Effect of garlic mustard [*Alliaria petiolata* (Beib. Cavara & Grande)] extracts on plants and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi. American Midland Naturalist **146**:146-152.

Rodgers, V.L., B.E. Wolfe, L.K. Werden, A.C. Finzi. 2008. The invasive species *Alliaria petiolata* (garlic mustard) increases soil nutrient availability in northern hardwood-conifer forests. Oecologia **157**:459–471.

Scott, D. R. 2000. Aspects of the ecology of garlic mustard, *Alliaria petiolata* (Bieb) Cavara and Grande, in Ohio. Ph.D. The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio.

Stinson, K. A., S. A. Campbell, J. R. Powell, B. E. Wolfe, R. M. Callaway, G. C. Thelen, S. G. Hallett, D. Prati, and J. N. Klironomos. 2006. Invasive plant suppresses the growth of native tree seedlings by disrupting belowground mutualisms. PLoS Biol **4**: e140.

Stinson, K., S. Kaufman, L. Durbin, and F. Lowenstein. 2007. Impacts of garlic mustard invasion on a forest understory community. Northeast. Nat. 14:73–88.

Van Riper, L.C., R.L. Becker, L.C. Skinner. 2010. Population biology of garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*) in Minnesota hardwood forests. Invasive Plant Science and Management **3**: 48-59.

Vaughn, S. F., and M. A. Berhow. 1999. Allelochemicals isolated from tissues of the invasive weed garlic mustard (*Alliaria petiolata*). Journal of Chemical Ecology **25**:2495-2504.

Wolfe, B., and J. N. Klironomos. 2005. Breaking new ground: soil communities and exotic plant invasion. BioScience 55:477-487.

Wolfe, B. E., V. L. Rodgers, K. A. Stinson, and A. Pringle. 2008. The invasive plant Alliaria petiolata (garlic mustard) inhibits ectomycorrhizal fungi in its introduced range. J. Ecol. **96**:777–783.

Yost, S. E., S. Antenen, and G. Hartvigsen. 1991. The vegetation of the Wave Hill natural area, Bronx, New York. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club **118**:312-3.