



Microeconomics 1 (CentER) (230331)

Hello W.J.C.M. Stadhouders (Admin)

Choose course: 230331

Choose year: 2020

Choose term:

Course Evaluation

Question	N	Avg	St.dev	Year					
				Avg	<20%	20-40%	40-60%	60-80%	>80%
How often were you present at the lectures of this course?	10	5.00	0	4.58	-	-	-	-	100%

Question	N	Avg	St.dev	Year					
				Avg	1	2	3	4	5
The content of the course is inspiring	11	3.64	1.57	3.92	18%	-	27%	9%	45%
The expectations placed on students enrolled in the course are clear	11	4.18	0.98	3.86	-	9%	9%	36%	45%
The tutorials provide added value	11	3.64	1.36	3.80	9%	9%	27%	18%	36%
The course is clearly linked to research	11	3.91	1.14	4.08	9%	-	9%	55%	27%
It is clear why this course is part of the program	11	4.45	0.82	4.33	-	-	18%	18%	64%
The assignments strengthen the understanding of the theory	11	4.45	0.93	3.97	-	9%	-	27%	64%
Generally, I am satisfied about this course	11	3.55	1.21	3.89	9%	9%	18%	45%	18%

Remarks

Strengths of the course:

- [Stimulation to take interest in topics](#)
- [To me, there is none.](#)

What could be improved in the course?:

- [Do not assume students already know everything\(first part\)](#)
- [I think ██████████ could have taught much better. She did not give any breaks during the classes and that part was over Mathematical. Tutorials did not add any value to my understanding. ██████████ was not good at explaining Math concepts at all. She assumed everyone in the classroom took a class in Behavioral Economics but I did not. Even though her part was much easier, she made it quite hard to understand.](#)

Lecturer Evaluation

Lectures

Question	N	Avg	St.dev	Year					
				Avg	1	2	3	4	5
The lecturer's explanations are clear	11	3.82	1.40	4.03	9%	9%	18%	18%	45%
The lecturer encourages students to think about the subject matter	11	3.73	1.19	3.98	9%	-	27%	36%	27%
The lecturer gets the students involved in the lecture	11	3.27	1.27	3.77	18%	-	27%	45%	9%
The lecturer is open to questions	11	4.64	0.67	4.25	-	-	9%	18%	73%
The lecturer has an adequate command of English	11	4.64	0.50	4.25	-	-	-	36%	64%
Generally, I am satisfied about this lecturer	11	3.36	1.50	4.05	18%	9%	18%	27%	27%

Lectures

Question	N	Avg	St.dev	Year					
				Avg	1	2	3	4	5
The lecturer's explanations are clear	11	3.45	1.44	4.03	18%	-	27%	27%	27%
The lecturer encourages students to think about the subject matter	11	4.09	1.04	3.98	-	9%	18%	27%	45%
The lecturer gets the students involved in the lecture	11	4.36	0.81	3.77	-	-	18%	27%	55%
The lecturer is open to questions	11	4.55	0.93	4.25	-	9%	-	18%	73%
The lecturer has an adequate command of English	11	4.82	0.40	4.25	-	-	-	18%	82%
Generally, I am satisfied about this lecturer	11	3.82	0.98	4.05	-	9%	27%	36%	27%

Interactive Courses

Question	N	Avg	St.dev	Year					
				Avg	1	2	3	4	5
The lecturer's explanations are clear	10	2.90	1.52	3.90	20%	30%	10%	20%	20%
The lecturer encourages students to think about the subject matter	10	3.00	1.63	3.86	20%	30%	10%	10%	30%
The lecturer gets the students involved in the lecture	10	3.30	1.57	3.83	20%	10%	20%	20%	30%
The lecturer is open to questions	10	3.80	1.55	4.18	10%	20%	-	20%	50%
The lecturer is prepared for the tutorials	10	3.80	1.40	4.15	10%	10%	10%	30%	40%
The lecturer has an adequate command of English	10	4.70	0.48	4.07	-	-	-	30%	70%
Generally, I am satisfied about this lecturer	10	3.00	1.70	3.95	30%	10%	20%	10%	30%

Interactive Courses

L. Fiala (759566)

Question	N	Avg	St.dev	Year					
				Avg	1	2	3	4	5
The lecturer's explanations are clear	10	4.50	0.71	3.90	-	-	10%	30%	60%
The lecturer encourages students to think about the subject matter	10	4.60	0.70	3.86	-	-	10%	20%	70%
The lecturer gets the students involved in the lecture	10	4.80	0.63	3.83	-	-	10%	-	90%
The lecturer is open to questions	10	4.90	0.32	4.18	-	-	-	10%	90%
The lecturer is prepared for the tutorials	10	4.70	0.48	4.15	-	-	-	30%	70%
The lecturer has an adequate command of English	10	4.90	0.32	4.07	-	-	-	10%	90%
Generally, I am satisfied about this lecturer	10	4.60	0.70	3.95	-	-	10%	20%	70%

Microeconomics 4 for ECO: Inform. Econ. (30L206)

Hello L. Fiala

Choose course:

Choose year:

Choose term:

Course Evaluation

Question	N	Avg	St.dev	Year					
				Avg	<20%	20-40%	40-60%	60-80%	>80%
How often were you present at the lectures of this course?	30	4.50	0.94	4.57	-	7%	10%	10%	73%

Question	N	Avg	St.dev	Year					
				Avg	1	2	3	4	5
The content of the course is inspiring	32	3.34	1.04	3.93	3%	25%	13%	53%	6%
The expectations placed on students enrolled in the course are clear	32	3.66	1.18	3.88	6%	9%	25%	31%	28%
The tutorials provide added value	32	4.59	0.84	3.79	3%	-	3%	22%	72%
The course is clearly linked to research	32	3.78	1.04	4.08	3%	9%	19%	44%	25%
It is clear why this course is part of the program	32	4.13	0.94	4.33	3%	3%	9%	47%	38%
The assignments strengthen the understanding of the theory	32	4.13	1.29	3.99	9%	3%	9%	22%	56%
Generally, I am satisfied about this course	32	3.53	1.29	3.91	16%	3%	13%	50%	19%

Remarks

Strengths of the course:

- [Lenka is one of the best tutorial teachers I have ever had. The subject matter is interesting.](#)
- [Lenka the tutorial teacher. The list stops here.](#)
- [Explanation about micro economics :\) idk](#)
- [The tutorials are the main strength of the course. The explanations about provide clarity about the mathematical aspect of the course, which is really need because the lectures do unfortunately not provide this.](#)
- [The tutorials](#)
- [The tutorials were really helpful and the assignments too](#)
- [Tutorials are clear and informative](#)
- [great tutorials](#)
- [The material is explained very clearly and there is plenty of opportunity to ask questions. The tutorial teacher is doing a great job, she is super clear and tries to make it more fun for us.](#)
- [online recorded lectures are available at all times..](#)
- [I thought this course was very fun to follow and clearly structured. The lecture videos were sometimes hard to finish and to completely follow as it asked for a lot more self-discipline. However both teachers did a really good job trying their best to teach the course! Also the tutorials were great, really good to follow and very informative. It really made the theory make more sense.](#)
- [Mathematically showing Economics aspects](#)
- [Interesting topics](#)
- [The tutorials are very strong and have helped me to understand the material much better.](#)
- [It's interesting and elaborated. It is clear what is expected from us and all lectures, tutorials and the assignments were usefull for my overall understanding.](#)
- [Interesting models with relevant applications to different economic interactions.](#)
- [The tutorials by Lenka Fiala are of great help. Explanations are clear.](#)
- [I think Lenka Fiala and professor \[REDACTED\] are very talented on online teaching. I was very impressed with the way they teach as is easy to understand and is very engaging. I also think that Lenka dedication to the students is amazing, it made me feel motivated even if we couldn't go to uni! Thank you Lenka :\)](#)
- [The questions in the tutorials are good and representative exercises of the material. Also, L. Fiala's explanations of the tutorial questions are great. Very good teacher!](#)
- [well structured, inspiring course.](#)
- [Tutorial and Teacher assistant. Great opportunity to ask questions but more importantly to understand better how to employ theory in practical exercises and broader context.](#)
- [I found the tutorials very helpful and the video clips nice and to the point.](#)

The lecturer's explanations are clear	31	3.42	1.03	4.02	3%	13%	39%	29%	16%
The lecturer encourages students to think about the subject matter	31	3.39	1.09	3.98	6%	13%	29%	39%	13%
The lecturer gets the students involved in the lecture	30	2.90	1.06	3.77	13%	17%	40%	27%	3%
The lecturer is open to questions	29	3.69	1.14	4.25	7%	7%	21%	41%	24%
The lecturer has an adequate command of English	31	3.84	1.00	4.24	-	13%	19%	39%	29%
Generally, I am satisfied about this lecturer	31	3.42	0.99	4.05	3%	10%	45%	26%	16%

Lectures



Question	N	Avg	St.dev	Year					
				Avg	1	2	3	4	5
The lecturer's explanations are clear	31	3.26	1.26	4.02	10%	19%	26%	26%	19%
The lecturer encourages students to think about the subject matter	31	3.42	1.06	3.98	3%	19%	23%	42%	13%
The lecturer gets the students involved in the lecture	30	3.03	1.10	3.77	10%	20%	33%	30%	7%
The lecturer is open to questions	29	3.55	1.12	4.25	7%	7%	31%	34%	21%
The lecturer has an adequate command of English	30	3.23	1.41	4.24	17%	10%	33%	13%	27%
Generally, I am satisfied about this lecturer	29	3.28	1.22	4.05	10%	14%	31%	28%	17%

Interactive Courses

L. Fiala (759566)

Question	N	Avg	St.dev	Year					
				Avg	1	2	3	4	5
The lecturer's explanations are clear	31	4.65	0.61	3.90	-	-	6%	23%	71%
The lecturer encourages students to think about the subject matter	31	4.61	0.62	3.86	-	-	6%	26%	68%
The lecturer gets the students involved in the lecture	31	4.61	0.67	3.84	-	-	10%	19%	71%
The lecturer is open to questions	31	4.87	0.43	4.18	-	-	3%	6%	90%
The lecturer is prepared for the tutorials	31	4.87	0.43	4.15	-	-	3%	6%	90%
The lecturer has an adequate command of English	31	4.84	0.52	4.07	-	-	6%	3%	90%
Generally, I am satisfied about this lecturer	31	4.84	0.52	3.95	-	-	6%	3%	90%