



MARLAS

Middle Atlantic Review of Latin American Studies

Since 1987

Peer Review Form for External Reviewers

Please include comments for each of the first nine questions, and rank the article on that dimension using a scale of 1–10, with 1 being the lowest. The tenth question is relevant only to those articles for which humans or animals have been used as research subjects. We also have provided space for you to make any additional comments as you deem appropriate at the end of the form. When you are done, please re-save the form as a Word or pdf document and send to both djohnson@lvc.edu and ivani.vassoler@gmail.com. Thank you for your time and effort.

QUESTIONS	
1	Structure and organization. Does the author provide a clear outline of the general direction of the article? Are the key sections (introduction, body, and conclusion) well-constructed? Are key terms well defined throughout?
Comments:	
On a scale from 1–10 (with 1 being lowest):	
2	Development of essay. What are the strengths and weaknesses of the article? Are ideas developed fully?
Comments:	
On a scale from 1–10 (with 1 being lowest):	
3	Soundness of argument. Is the argument developed fully and arranged coherently throughout the article?
Comments:	
On a scale from 1–10 (with 1 being lowest):	
4	Evidence of critical analysis. Has the writer used adequate evidence to support his or her claims in the article?
Comments:	
On a scale from 1–10 (with 1 being lowest):	

5	Insight and originality. Does the essay offer insights that go beyond the obvious and offer original observations? How so?
Comments:	
On a scale from 1–10 (with 1 being lowest):	
6	Bibliographical information. Has the author provided adequate bibliographical information in footnotes or at the end of the article?
Comments:	
On a scale from 1–10 (with 1 being lowest):	
7	Accuracy of references. Has the author adequately and accurately cited his or her sources throughout the article?
Comments:	
On a scale from 1–10 (with 1 being lowest):	
8	Communication style. Does the author use language in a clear and fluent manner, without significant spelling or grammatical errors?
Comments:	
On a scale from 1–10 (with 1 being lowest):	
9	Overall presentation. In comparison with other articles you have read in scholarly journals, how would you rate the overall presentation of this work?
Comments:	
On a scale from 1–10 (with 1 being lowest):	
10	Ethical statement. If humans or animals have been used as research subjects, are the necessary statements of ethical approval and consent by a relevant authority present? (Where humans have participated in research, informed consent should also be declared. If not, please detail where you think a further ethics approval statement is required.)
Comments:	
11	Additional comments. If you wish to make any additional comments, please use the following space.
Comments:	