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Abstract
A considerable shift has occurred in mode choice behavior and escort pattern of students for their school trips around the world during the last few decades. This shift towards using more non-active modes has undesirable consequences including: physical inactivity among students, traffic jams during peak hours, and adverse environmental impacts. Hence, understanding the behavior of decision makers with regard to mode choice and escorting pattern selection is crucial for controlling the trend of the shift and promoting active modes. This study is the first effort to mathematically model the mode choice and accompaniment pattern selection by parents for the school trip of students, in a joined modeling structure as it is believed that these two decisions are jointly made by parents. Two modeling formulations are used: a nested logit model and a copula-based model. Results showed that the copula model outperforms the nested logit model. It was also found that modeling these two decisions in an independent manner can result in misleading policy assessments.
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1 Introduction
A considerable shift has happened in the mode choice decision of school trips around the world during the last few decades. For instance, around 40% of U.S. students travelled by non-motorized modes of transport such as biking and walking in 1969. However, this percentage dropped to less than 13% by 2001 (McDonald 2007). During the same period, the share of trips made by automobiles to school experienced a significant jump from 17% to 55% (McDonald 2007). The change in the mode choice decision of students has been associated with changes in several other travel attributes. For instance, according to Hillman et al. (1990), only 54% of students of 10 years of age in London in 1990 made an independent trip to and from school while the corresponding percentage was 94% in 1971. This study further showed that parents preferred to accompany their kids to school due to concerns such as travel safety. Such changes in mode choice pattern of school trips triggered several concerns among policymakers and urban planners in different ways.

First, the decrease in the use of active modes of transport such as walking and biking resulted in a decrease in students' physical activities and thereby led to an overweight and obesity epidemic as they aged (Faulkner et al. 2009). These health related issues then imposed an unsettled cost on society. Studies (Andersen et al. 2006; Ebbeling et al 2002) show that the increase in obesity rates can escalate the risk of contracting cardiovascular diseases, diabetes type II, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, atherosclerosis, sleep apnea, and asthma. These studies also indicate that the spread of these diseases, caused by obesity, consumes about 21% of the annual healthcare budget (World Health Organization 1997). Accordingly, promoting the use of active modes of transport, especially during the childhood and adolescence, provides an opportunity for children to perform the required daily physical activity which then can diminish several diseases throughout their life and ease a considerable amount of financial burden from the society (Cooper et al. 2005).

Second, an unreasonable increase in the use of vehicles for school trips entails undesirable environmental outcomes including increasing air pollution, and rising energy consumption (Wilson et al. 2007).
Third, as students are typically dependant on other family members to give them a ride to school, a considerable number of unnecessary trips are generated in cities, especially during the peak morning and afternoon hours, which then leads to a more congested network (Loo and Lam 2013; Yarlagadda and Srinivasan 2008).

Fourth, an increase in vehicle-ridership as a result of the preference of some parents to provide independent rides for their children, increases the accident risk for students who use active transportation modes. Therefore, some parents use private cars for the safety of their kids, which, in turn, reduces safety for other students, then the cycle repeats (Kerr et al. 2006).

The above-mentioned concerns show the importance of identifying factors that contribute to the mode choice decision in school trip which is closely affected by the accompaniment decision. Although there are a few studies (McDonald and Aalborg 2009; Yarlagadda and Srinivasan 2008; Vovsha and Petersen 2005) discussing why parents prefer to escort their kids to school, the escort decision has not yet been jointly studied with travel mode decision. Understanding the reciprocal impact of mode choice and escorting decisions on one another can reveal information on how active modes of transport can be promoted while parents’ concerns such as safety are also addressed. This paper attempts to contribute to the area of school travel behavior by presenting a joint model for these two decisions. It also aims to develop an understanding on how fewer auto-based school trips can be generated during rush hours.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. First, the related literature is reviewed, followed by a discussion on the data used for the analysis. The methodology section, then, elaborates on how the mathematical formulation of the model is derived. Following that, the modeling results are presented and discussed. The paper concludes with a discussion on remarkable findings and potential avenues for future research.

2 Background
This part of the paper reviews the studies previously conducted on mode choice decisions and accompaniment decisions in school trips. Table 1 indicates the studies conducted in this respect. Studies are chosen such that they cover a wide range of analysis methods, places of studies, transportation modes, and year of the study. In terms of the analysis method, previous studies may be divided into two major categories: descriptive and analytical methods. Descriptive methods (Johansson et al. 2012, Zwerts et al. 2010) only explain the collected data and provide an explanatory analysis on the effect of some variables. Analytical methods, on the other hand, use various modeling methods such as econometrics, statistics and data mining to analyze the data. The binary logit (Samimi and Ermagun 2012), multinomial logit (McDonald 2008a), and nested logit (Samimi and Ermagun 2013a) are some of the model types that are typically used. Among these, the binary logit model has the largest share. A reason for such a domination can be attributed to the fact that many researchers tried to distinguish between active and non-active modes, and thus a binary logit model sufficed (Zwerts et al. 2010; McMillan 2007). Nonetheless, a few studies aimed at explaining school trip mode choice among all the available travel modes for a more realistic policy assessment. Therefore, other types of discrete choice modeling methods emerged in school trip mode choice studies. Ewing et al. (2004), for instance, used a multinomial logit model for the first time in 2004 to analyze the propensity for choosing walking, biking, public transportation, and private cars in school trips. A recent study (Samimi and Ermagun 2013a) used a nested
logit model for the first time to analyze students’ mode choice behavior. This study elaborated on the consequences of model misspecification in terms of incorrect policy assessments. Vovsha and Peterson (2005) pioneered in analyzing students' travel behaviors using more advanced modeling methods. In their study, three alternatives of ridesharing with a household driver on a mandatory tour, escorting by a household driver on a non-mandatory tour, and having no escort were analyzed using a nested logit model. Following this work, Yarlagadda and Srinivasan (2008) studied the escorting behavior for 4,353 students in San Francisco Bay Area. This is the only study in which the two decisions of mode choice and escorting are simultaneously modeled using a multinomial logit structure.

A considerably large set of explanatory variables have already been examined in school trip studies. Studies on mode choice decisions and escorting patterns of school trips have struggled to identify factors affecting both decisions (Yarlagadda and Srinivasan 2008). In general, these factors may be classified into four categories: 1) characteristics of the students, 2) characteristics of the households, 3) urban characteristics, and 4) parental preferences. Table 2 shows the effect of these variables on mode choice decisions and escorting in past studies.

Explanatory variables that are found to be influential on mode choice decision include age and gender of the students, household vehicle ownership status, family income, safety concern of parents, and distance to school. There is a consensus on a positive correlation between the propensity of driving students to school and income (Wilson et al. 2010), car ownership (Wen et al. 2008), and distance (Wen et al. 2008). Contradictory findings, nonetheless, are reported on the impact of some other variables. The impact of age, for example, has been investigated in a research for students between 11-13 years (Martin et al. 2007) and in another for students between 14-18 years old (McDonald 2007). These two studies found completely different results for the role of age on students’ mode choice decision. The former study found a negative value for the age variable while the latter found a positive one. This discrepancy can be attributed to the consideration of different age groups of high school and middle school students. Gender is another pivotal variable affecting transportation mode choices in school trip. Most studies (McDonald 2008a; McMillan et al. 2006) have noted that boys’ propensity to use active modes are higher than girls’. A contrary finding, however, was reported by Leslie et al. (2010) for a study conducted on 2,961 ten to 14 years old students in Australia that showed a disparate transportation mode choice pattern between boys and girls. This study found that probability of walking is about 44% and 37% for girls and boys, respectively. However, boys’ tendency to bike is about three times greater than girls’. The last category of variables is parental preferences. Students’ travel safety is the most influential reservation of parents that hinder students’ active travel (McMillan 2007).

In addition to the impact of the afore-mentioned variables on the mode choice behavior, working status of parents, having siblings, vehicle ownership status, family income, and distance to school have been found to be influential on escort behaviors. As stated by Vovsha and Peterson (2005), a positive association exists between the likelihood of escorting students to school and income, car ownership, and home-to-school distance. Age is also shown to have a negative correlation with the propensity of accompanying students to school (Yarlagadda and Srinivasan 2008; Guo et al. 2005). Furthermore, female students are more likely to be driven to school (Zwerts et al. 2010). Findings show conflicting results about the role of having siblings on escort behaviors (McDonald 2008b). The role of intra-household interactions on students’ escort behaviors is an emerging research area.
The current literature has certain gaps that are addressed to some extent in this paper. First, there is a dominant focus on active modes of transportation while other modes are less studied. Second, the decision about the mode of transport and children escorting for school trip is a joint decision which is made by parents. Accordingly, considering these two decisions in a joint structure is an innovative way to model what happens in reality. This is a major contribution of this paper which has not yet been addressed. Third, parents have several concerns that affect their decision of mode choice and escorting their students, such as safety, comfort, reliability, cost of travel, and travel time (Samimi and Ermagun 2013a; McDonald and Aalborg 2009). These variables have been paid less attention to in previous works. Thus, this paper aims to address this lacuna in the literature.

3 Data
In order to jointly study the two decisions of mode choice and escorting for school trips, four basic sets of data are used in this paper including: urban characteristics, transportation systems characteristics, socio-demographic data of households, and travel attributes. Urban characteristics and transportation systems characteristics include information about the population density of the zone in which home and school are located, costs of different modes of transport, and the duration of a trip for each mode. The Tehran Census (2009) was consulted to obtain land use and system data for the other two categories, and data was obtained from the survey conducted on 4,700 students of 12-17 years of age in Tehran in May 2011.

To conduct the survey, a questionnaire was designed consisting of two sections. The first section enquires about the respondent’s socio-demographic information such as gender, age, and family income. The second section collects information about the respondents travel data such as travel mode, reasons for choosing the mode, and the pattern of escorting children. Parents are asked to complete the survey as they are more informed about the questions (McMillan 2005). A response rate of 72% was obtained for the data collection exercise which is a considerable achievement compared to similar data collection efforts (Wilson et al. 2010; Larsen et al. 2009).

With respect to the gender segregation in Iranian schools, a stratified sampling method was employed considering school level, gender and students’ location. Primary school students are not included in the survey as, they have a totally different travel behavior due to their high level of dependency (McMillan 2005). Four travel modes were asked about in this survey, including walking, private car, school bus, and public transport. Biking was over looked, because less than 1% in the sample used it.

To analyze the pattern of escorting students, a question was included in the questionnaire asking about six choices: 1) no escort, 2) escort by parents on their way to work, 3) escort by parents only to take students to school, 4) part of school travel of other children of the household, 5) escort by school bus, and 6) escort by siblings or friends. 42% of students are escorted in their school trips while only 12% of all trips are reported to have some level of escorting. Table 3 contains a description of the data utilized in the study.

4 Methodology
4.1 Generalized extreme value models
Selecting among a set of mutually exclusive alternatives has traditionally been modeled using the well-known discrete choice modeling method since early 70s when its popularity started to increase. Exploitation of the logit formula dates back to 1959 when Luce (1959)
considered the independence from irrelevant alternatives (IIA) assumption for deriving the logit formulation. Later, McFadden in 1978 proved that the generalized extreme value (GEV) models are compatible with the random utility theory. Nevertheless, multinomial logit (MNL) and nested logit (NL) models were more often chosen due to their closed form formulation and straightforward interpretation and estimation (Train 2009). However, the IIA assumption imposes considerable restrictions to the application of MNL and forces cross-elasticities between all pairs of alternatives to be identical which may result in biased estimations and incorrect predictions (Hensher and Greene 2002).

In the family of GEV models (see for example Bierlaire, 2006), the probability of choosing alternative \( i \) in choice set \( C \) with \( J \) alternatives can be estimated using equation 1. In this equation, \( V_i \) is the deterministic part of the utility function and for logit and nested logit models with \( M \) nests, \( G_i \) can be calculated using equations 2 and 3, respectively. Also, \( \mu_m \) is the corresponding dissimilarity parameter for each nest.

\[
P(i) = \frac{e^{V_i + \ln G_i(\ldots)}}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} e^{V_j + \ln G_j(\ldots)}}
\]

\[
G(x) = \sum_{j \in C} x_j^\mu
\]

\[
G(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{J} x_i^\mu m \right)^{\mu} / \mu m
\]

### 4.2 Copula-based models

In recent years in the field of transportation, several studies investigated a variety of methods to model inter-dependent decisions in a joint structure (Nurul Habib et al. 2014; Habib 2013; Rashidi and Mohammadian 2011). The most common approach is to construct a choice set of all possible combinations of the decisions (De Jong and Ben-Akiva 2007). Then the conventional methods such as multinomial logit or nested logit can be used to describe the choice behavior. Another approach is to consider a fully joint formulation in which the unobserved error terms are correlated (Lee 1983). This method typically results in an open-formulation with multidimensional integrals requiring simulation methods for estimation. Another approach employs a copula function which is praised by researchers due to its closed-form formulation and efficient estimation results (Trivedi and Zimmer 2007). The idea of using copula dates back to 1940 when Hoeffding (1940) tried to find the best possible boundaries for such functions. However, the term of copula function first appeared in Sklar’s (1959) study. Following these initial attempts, many researchers attempted to explore features of copula functions in different fields. This led to pervasive usages of copula functions in different fields such as economics (Smith 2003), finance (Embrechts et al. 2002), medical sciences (Clayton 1978), statistics (Frees 1998), and more recently transport modeling (Bhat and Eluru 2009). The popularity of copula formulations can be attributed to several issues. First, normal distribution is predominantly used for multivariate distribution while the copula formulation allows many types of marginal distributions to be used in addition to the Gaussian distribution. Second, copula distributions have the capability to account for both linear and non-linear dependencies, including asymmetric and asymptotic. Third, although copula models are based upon complex theories, they benefit from simple computation methods due to their closed form formulations for the probability function.
Fourth, various types of copulas make it possible to explore different types of correlations (Bhat and Eluru 2009; Trivedi and Zimmer 2007). Different types of copulas had been formulated in the literature including Gaussian copula, Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern (FGM) copula, and Archimedean class of copulas such as Frank, Joe, Gumbel and Clayton which are chosen according to the problem characteristics (Bhat and Eluru 2009).

As stated earlier, a multinomial logit model is used for the transportation mode choice decisions for the four alternatives mentioned earlier. Considering \( q \) as a decision maker has a subset of \( M_q \) as an alternative set for mode \( i \), the utility for \( i^{th} \) mode can be declared as:

\[
 u_{qi} = \beta_i x_{qi} + \epsilon_{qi} \quad (4)
\]

In the above equation, \( x_{qi} \) is the observed attribute vector of the \( i^{th} \) mode with respect to \( q^{th} \) household and \( \beta_i \) is the corresponding coefficient vector that should be estimated in the estimation process. Additionally, \( \epsilon_{qi} \) is the error term of the utility, which is assumed to have a standard type-1 extreme value distribution (Train 2009).

Likewise, a binary logit model is selected to describe the escorting decision of households (k=1 escorting and k=0 not escorting, \( N_q \)), and the utility can be written as:

\[
 m_{qk} = g_k z_{qk} + \tau_{qk} \quad (5)
\]

In the above equation, \( m_{qk} \) is the perceived utility for alternative \( k \) for household \( q \), \( g_k \) is the coefficient vector and \( z_{qk} \) is the observed attribute vector. Moreover, \( \tau_{qk} \) represents the error term which is presumably type-1 extreme value distributed. Pursuant to utility maximization theory, a rational decision-maker, would choose an alternative which is associated with the highest utility. Hence, household \( q \) will choose alternative \( i \) and \( k \), if the following condition in equations of (6) through (9) preserves:

\[
 v_{qi} = \epsilon^*_n - \epsilon_{qi} \quad (6)
\]

\[
 u^* = \max_{j \notin i} \left( \ln \sum_{j \in M_q} e^{(\beta x_{qj})} \right) \quad (7)
\]

\[
 \mu_{qk} = \tau^*_q - \tau_{qk} \quad (8)
\]

\[
 m^*_q = \max_{j \notin k} \left( \ln \sum_{j \in N_q} e^{(y z_{qj})} \right) \quad (9)
\]

As per above equations, the probability of choosing mode \( i \) and escorting behavior \( k \) might be written as follows:

\[
 P_q(i,k) = \text{prob} \left( v_{qi} \leq \beta x_{qi} - \ln \sum_{j \neq i} e^{(\beta x_{qj})}, \mu_{qk} \leq y z_{qk} - \ln \sum_{j \neq k} e^{(y z_{qj})} \right) \quad (10)
\]

Approximating the joint function with a copula function, the joint probability of the two marginal distributions can be stated as:

\[
 F_{\epsilon_q i, \tau_q i}(y_1, y_2) = C_{\theta} \left( F_{\epsilon_{qi}}(y_1), F_{\tau_{qi}}(y_2) \right) \quad (11)
\]

where \( F_{\epsilon_{qi}}(.) \) and \( F_{\tau_{qi}}(.) \) are marginal distributions and indicates by equations 12 and 13 respectively, \( C_{\theta}(.,.) \) is the relevant copula function and \( \theta \) is the dependent parameter (Sklar 1959).

\[
 F_{\epsilon_{qi}} \left( \beta x_{qi} - \ln \sum_{j \neq i} e^{(\beta x_{qj})} \right) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta x_{qi} + \ln \sum_{j \neq i} e^{(\beta x_{qj})}}} \quad (12)
\]

\[
 F_{\tau_{qi}} \left( y z_{qk} - \ln \sum_{j \neq k} e^{(y z_{qj})} \right) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-y z_{qk} + \ln \sum_{j \neq k} e^{(y z_{qj})}}} \quad (13)
\]
Considering the association of the two probabilities of transportation mode choice and decision of escorting, the desired maximum log-likelihood function can be written as follows in equation 14.

\[
LL = \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \left( \sum_{i \in M_q} \sum_{k \in N_q} \delta_{qik} \log \left( P_q(i,k) \right) \right)
\]  

(14)

In this equation, for each mode \( i \) and each escort type \( k \), if a decision maker choose mode \( i \) and escort type \( k \), \( \delta_{qik} \) is equal to 1 and otherwise takes a 0 value. In order to estimate this model, the code is developed in the R statistical package (R Core Team 2013). \( \gamma_i \) and \( \beta_i \) as the corresponding coefficients along with the six copula parameters \( \theta \) for escort-private, escort-school bus, escort-public, escort-walk, non escort-public and non escort-walk are estimated.

5 Results

5.1 General discussion

To choose the appropriate copula model, four popular Archimedean variants; i.e., Joe, Clayton, Gumbel, and Frank are examined and compared against the nested logit. To compare the general goodness-of-fit of the developed models, the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) statistic is used as different combinations of variables are used in the models (Trivedi and Zimmer 2007). Equation 15 (Trivedi and Zimmer 2007) shows the general formulation for BIC, in which \( L \) is the likelihood at convergence, \( k' \) is the number of estimated parameters, and \( n \) is the number of observations.

\[
BIC = -2 \times \ln(L) + k' \times \ln(n)
\]

As per this equation, the lower the BIC statistic is, the better the model fits the data (Trivedi and Zimmer 2007). In addition, this test collapses to a simple likelihood comparison test when models have the same number of exogenous variables. Table 4 shows the BIC results for the developed models. According to BIC criterion, the Frank copula model performs better than the other copula functions. Frank copula model significantly outperformed the independent model and the nested logit model, showing the importance of jointly considering these two decisions.

Further joint models of escort decision and mode choice are estimated and reported. The copula dependency parameters \( (\theta) \) indicate the extent of dependency between the decisions. Since private car and school bus are regarded as full escorting, their dependency parameter with non-escort is set to zero. All the other estimated dependency parameters deviate from zero, as the t-value statistics for the copula model indicates. It should be noted that sign of these coefficients differ among different copula formulations (Bhat and Eluru 2009). Based on the discussion provided in the methodology section, when the copula dependency parameter is positive (or negative), parameters that increase the likelihood of the travel mode decreases (or increases) the likelihood of escorting and vice versa.

To further emphasize the benefits of developing the joint copula model compared to the NL model, the percentage for correctly predicted observations are compared. It was found that the prediction power is 41.7% for the copula model and 30.9% for the nested logit model. Considering the fact that six decisions are modeled in the nested logit mode, the NL model performs twice better than a model that randomly selects one of the six outcomes.
resulting in an accuracy rate of one over six (almost 17%). The copula model enhances the prediction potential of the NL mode by another additional 30% which is a significant achievement.

5.2 Nested logit model
A two level nested logit model is developed (Table 5) in this study as a baseline for comparison. The tree of the NL model is structured in a way that the upper level has two branches: escort and non-escort. The escort and non-escort branches have, respectively, four and two alternatives underneath. In a two level NL, the IV parameters should be positive and less than one (Hensher and Greene 2002). As shown in Table 5, the IV parameters of escort and non-escort are, respectively, 0.63 and 0.75 and statistically different from 0 and 1, according to the Wald test.

5.3 The Frank copula model
Table 6 presents the results for the Frank copula model among the examined copula models. According to Table 6, gender and education level are among the student characteristics that turned out to influence travel mode decision and escorting behavior. As per previous studies (McDonald 2008b; Samimi and Ermagun 2013b), male students have less tendency to be escorted than females; as a result, males are less willing to use dependent modes such as private cars. As expected, high school students are more willing to use independent modes such as walking and public transportation. This can be explained given the fact that grown up students would rather an independent lifestyle and consequently independent travel modes.

Among socio-economic characteristics, household income, car ownership and education level are the most influential on transportation mode and escorting decisions. Families with higher levels of income are more willing to use independent modes, especially school buses. Households with a lower level of income, however, prefer independent modes like walking and public transportation, while escorting their children is less interesting. This can be explained by the fact that a high-income household more easily considers paying for non-active modes such as school buses. It has been declared (Yarlagadda and Srinivasan 2008; Wen et al. 2008; Ewing et al. 2004) that car ownership considerably affects transportation mode choice decisions which implies escorting kids. In line with other studies (Samimi and Ermagun 2012; Vovsha and Petersen 2005) that showed households with two and more cars are more likely to use private modes, we found that more cars available to a household dramatically increases the chance of using private modes. Regarding the effect of parents’ education, the findings presented in Table 6 show that households with higher education levels prefer to escort their children more, and consequently are less willing to use modes such as walking and public transportation. It should also be noted that the household’s tendency for walking is higher than using public transportation for those with higher education levels. The reason might be the awareness of such parents about the advantages of walking for their children, including health benefits. Alternatively, it might be due to their higher income which enables them to reside in places closer to the desirable schools which then results in a shorter commute distance.

Population density, distance to school, and distance to the public transport station are all important urban characteristics that have been taken into consideration in this study. Previous studies (Wilson et al. 2010; Mitra et al. 2010; McDonald and Aalborg 2009) confirmed that the longer the distance between home and school, the more desirable school
bus and private cars become. Due to the importance of the commute distance variable in urban policy-making and design, some studies (McDonald 2008a; McMillan 2007) have gone even further by introducing a critical distance for using the walking mode. According to most of these studies (McMillan 2007), within distances of less than 1.6 km, students are more willing to walk rather than using other modes. We also found that students prefer the walking modes over others when walking distance is less than 1.6 km. Besides, the willingness of parents to escort the children significantly reduces within this distance. On the other hand, the $D_{LWALKSCH}$ variable shows that parents in households who reside more than 1.6 km away from the school are more willing to escort children or use school buses. Therefore, urban policymakers and planners interested in promoting active modes should note that decentralization of schools may promote walking modes (McDonald 2008a). Another land use variable which is examined for its impact on school trip is access to public transit. The dummy variable $D_{WALKTRNT}$ distinguishes households whose residence distance is less than 800 meters from a public transportation station. Morency et al. (2011) indicated that a more accessible transit network could encourage students to take transit to school. Safety, comfort, traffic restrictions, reliability, and travel costs are some of parents' concerns which influence students' transportation mode and escorting patterns. Our results provide evidence that parents who are concerned about the en route safety of their kids persist on escorting them. They are also less willing to use independent modes such as walking. Such parents prefer school buses and private cars for their children school travels. Hence, enforcement programs such as "safe route to school" (McDonald and Aalborg 2009) and "walk school bus" (Staunton et al. 2003) might be found effective in promoting active modes for parents with high safety concerns. The coefficient of the traffic restrictions variable shows that the tendency to escort decreases sharply for parents who reside in areas with traffic restrictions. Moreover, these parents prefer to use public transit, due to the comparatively better condition of the transit system.

6 Policy implementation

This section presents a discussion on the influence of some of the explanatory variables on mode choice and escorting decisions. These variables include vehicle ownership, access to public transit, commute distance to school, and students' safety. The results of the copula joint and independent models will be compared to illustrate how emphasizing on results of a misspecified model can distort policy assessments. For this purpose, elasticities of some policy sensitive variables are compared in Table 7. An elasticity value shows the percentage of change in the choice probability resulting from a one percent increase in the exogenous variable.

Access to public transit is one of the substantial built environment variables having a tight correlation with the utility of transit mode. As it is shown in Table 7, as accessibility to the transit system disintegrates; the chance of selecting the transit mode drops by 27 percent whereas it increases the chance of the private car use and school bus modes by respectively 1.5% and 4.7%. Model misspecification bias is notable for the accessibility indicator for the public transport ($D_{WALKTRNT}$) variable with an elasticity value of -34.3 in the independent model and -27% in the joint model.

Commute distance to school is another exogenous variable affecting the travel mode, especially walking and biking. There has been a controversy among advocates of centralization and decentralization of schools in recent decades (Galiani et al. 2008).
According to the elasticity of \textit{D\_LWALKSCH} variable in the joint model, propensity of walking to school will increase by 167\%, if a student lives closer than 1.6 km from school. Yet, this increase is about 40\% in the independent model. This is a direct consequence of model misspecification that can lead to an underestimation of the walking mode when decentralization is promoted. Likewise, having a school in greater than 1.6 kilometers away from home reduces the chance of walking by 43 percent and increases the chance of riding a school bus by 126\%. It also increases the probability of accompanying the student to school by 77 percent. These values are considerably different from those estimated for the independent model.

Among parental concerns, safety was found as one of the major policy sensitive ones with a pivotal effect on active mode and public transit (Table 5). Elasticity of this variable shows that addressing safety concerns can promote the propensity of walking and taking transit by 50\% and 21\%, respectively. Moreover, it reduces the likelihood of using a private car or riding a school bus by respectively 22\% and 19\% which is crucial for policy implications. Therefore, policy-makers and city planners who try to encourage students to walk to school should find methods to address the safety concern of parents. Alternatives such as “Safe route to school” (McDonald and Aalborg 2009) and “walk school bus” (Staunton et al. 2003) are common policies that have already been praised in this regard. The misspecification issue draws attention when the joint and independent models are compared based on the elasticities estimated for the safety variable.

Finally, the elasticity of \textit{NON\_AUTO} shows that acquiring a car in families with no personal vehicle can increase the probability of selecting the private car mode by 21\%. Consequently, the likelihood of walking and public transit drop 21\% and 4\% percent, respectively. Due to the rapid increase in car ownership and knowing its impact on school trip mode choice behavior, decision makers should be aware of the adverse consequences, particularly on the network mobility during the peak hours.

7 Summary and conclusion

This paper, as an early attempt, evaluated transportation mode choice decision and the way that students are accompanied by their parents to school, in a jointed modeling structure as it is believed that these decisions are jointly made by parents. The joint decision making was modeled using a nested logit structure and a copula-based discrete-discrete modeling formulation. Results showed that the copula model provides a better fit to the data than the nested logit model.

Results demonstrated that considering these two decisions in an independent way can mislead the policy related assessments. For example, the joint model showed that decreasing the commute distance to less than 1.6 kilometers can increase the propensity of walking to school by 1.67 times. However, this increase is underestimated by 0.4 times according if the dependencies between the decision are neglected. Two prevalent models were used to jointly model transportation mode and escorting pattern: a nested logit model and a copula-based model.

Recognizing influential factors on the mode choice and escorting decisions is pivotal to introduce effective policies that address school trip issues. In this study, a wide range of socio-demographic, built environment and parental concern variables were investigated. For instance, high-income families, highly educated families and those with private car were found to have higher tendencies to use motorized modes. Further, results illustrated that
addressing parental concerns such as safety, can increase share of walking mode to school by 50 percent.

This study also has some restrictions that can be resolved in future studies:

- Employing a walkability index could enhance the model and make the predictions more realistic.
- Elementary school students were not studied due to their different behavior in the school trip.
- As several types of escorting patterns were asked in the questionnaire, the escorting decisions can be modeled in more details in future works.
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