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Executive summary

The Human Rights Law Centre (HRLC) welcomes the opportunity to provide an updated shadow report to the Committee on the Convention on the Elimination of all forms of Discrimination Against Women.

Our *Hear Our Voice* report highlights discrete gaps in human rights protections for women and girls across the HRLC’s focus areas, building on the more comprehensive 2014 CEDAW Shadow Report and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Parallel Report, which the HRLC contributed to.¹

While Australia has taken positive steps to reduce discrimination against women and girls since the last CEDAW review 8 years ago, the voices of some of the most marginalised women and girls continue to go unheard.

This report shares the impact of human rights abuses on women and girls whose voices and experiences have been overlooked by successive Australians governments:

- Mothers forced to raise their children in a constant state of legal uncertainty after seeking asylum and safety in Australia, or in offshore detention facilities rife with abuse.

- Women being siphoned into criminal justice systems at increasing rates, particularly Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women, instead of being supported to escape violence and/or care for their children.

- Women and girls whose needs are neglected in prisons and who are routinely forced to endure invasive and degrading strip searches.

- Women denied safe access to basic reproductive health services.

- Lesbian, bisexual and queer women and girls who continue to face high levels of discrimination and harassment, transgender women and girls who face barriers to legal recognition, and intersex girls who undergo surgery and sterilisation without their full, free and informed consent.

---

¹ All women deserve to be treated fairly and equally, and to be free from discrimination.
Summary of recommendations

Australian governments should:

1. Legal protection of rights: Introduce a comprehensive Charter of Rights, and an Equality Act that consolidates and modernises existing discrimination protections, to ensure the human rights of women and girls are protected across Australia.

2. Refugee and asylum seeker women and girls: Immediately bring all women and girls on Nauru to safety in Australia, not transfer any more women and girls to Nauru, and reunite, in Australia, all families that have been separated by offshore immigration processing.

3. Women and girls in criminal justice systems:
   (a) Address laws and policies that unfairly contribute to the growing number of women and girls in prison, particularly Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and girls.
   (b) Prioritise community-based and culturally-appropriate programs, services and accommodation options to divert women and girls from criminal justice systems.
   (c) Urgently implement the recommendations of the Australian Law Reform Commission’s Pathways to Justice Report.
   (d) Prohibit strip searching except as a measure of absolute necessity and as a last resort.

4. Women’s reproductive health rights: Ensure abortion is decriminalised and replaced with laws that respect women’s autonomy, and ensure safe and private access to abortion services.

5. LGBTI women and girls:
   (a) Implement activities to reduce violence, bullying and harassment experienced by LGBTI women and girls.
   (b) Improve access to legal recognition of gender that respects a person’s gender identity.
   (c) Introduce legislation prohibiting unnecessary medical interventions on intersex girls without full, free and informed consent except in cases of absolute medical necessity.
Legal & institutional protection of rights

A Charter of Rights for Australia

Australia should enshrine the values of freedom, equality, respect and dignity in a Charter of Rights that protects the rights of all, particularly women and girls.

Australia is the only Western democracy without comprehensive constitutional or legislative protection of basic human rights at a national level. Many basic rights remain unprotected and others are haphazardly covered by an assortment of laws. These current protections can be easily removed or are not enforceable.

As the 2014 NGO Shadow Report noted, UN treaty bodies have repeatedly expressed concerns about Australia’s lack of entrenched institutional protections. A majority of Australians support stronger human rights protections against potential government abuse of power.

Equality & non-discrimination

National anti-discrimination laws remain inconsistent and outdated.

The laws include significant exceptions and barriers to individuals accessing effective remedies, including exemptions which allow sporting clubs and religious organisations to discriminate against women and girls.

Unfortunately, attempts to modernise and consolidate national anti-discrimination laws to date have failed.

Recommendation 1

The Australian Government should introduce:

(a) A comprehensive, judiciously-enforceable, Charter of Rights to ensure that the human rights of all people, including women and girls, are protected across Australia.

(b) A comprehensive Equality Act that addresses all prohibited grounds of discrimination, promotes substantive equality and provides effective remedies, including against systemic and intersectional discrimination.
Refugee and asylum seeker women and girls

Dangerous conditions

Women and girls who seek asylum in Australia should be treated with dignity, and their fundamental human rights protected.

However, any person seeking asylum who arrives in Australia by boat after 19 July 2013 is subject to mandatory removal and indefinite limbo in an Australian Government facility on Nauru or Manus Island.7

More than 300 women seeking asylum have been detained on Nauru’s Regional Processing Centre (RPC), with approximately 200 women remaining housed both inside and outside the RPC.8

Since asylum seeker women were first removed to Nauru, there have been continued and serious reports of violence against women, including sexual violence. In 2015, 30 formal allegations of child abuse and 15 allegations of sexual assault or rape had been made against RPC staff.9 A security subcontractor on Nauru also fired 11 staff because of allegations including inappropriate relationships, alleged sexual assault and sexual harassment.10 Incident reports from Nauru leaked in 2016 reveal more instances of alleged sexual assault, harassment and abuse.11

This violence is not confined to women held in the RPC; there have been many reports of violence against asylum seeker and refugee women living in the Nauruan community.12

The HRLC acts for most of the refugee and asylum seeker women brought to Australia from Nauru for medical treatment or to give birth. Information provided to us by our clients indicates the number of women who have been the victims of violence, including sexual violence, is significantly underreported.

The UN Special Rapporteur on violence against women stated in her end of mission statement that there is no adequate investigation of complaints of violence and abuse in Nauru.13

Similarly, the UN Special Rapporteur on the human rights of migrants found that Australia is responsible for the cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment of women on Nauru:

accounts of rape and sexual abuse of female asylum seekers and refugees by security guards, service providers, refugees and asylum seekers or by the local community, without providing a proper and independent investigation mechanism, was making life of women in the RPCs unbearable.14

In addition to threats of gender-based violence, conditions are well below international standards,15 particularly in relation to medical services.16

Numerous organisations have highlighted the lack of appropriate medical care in Nauru.17 The lack of appropriate facilities combined with the impact of prolonged indefinite detention has led to the prevalence of depression and post-traumatic stress disorder for asylum seekers and refugees in Nauru being among the highest ever recorded.18
International law has long recognised that “the family is the natural and fundamental group unit of society and is entitled to protection by society and the State.” The UNHCR also recognises that the “refugee family is essential to the successful integration of resettled refugees” and that “family reunification plays a significant role in meeting the long-term needs of resettled refugees and assists them to adjust and integrate to the country of resettlement.”

However, the Australian Government continues to arbitrarily separate women and girls from their family members as part of its offshore detention regime.

Government policy and its arbitrary implementation has meant that mothers and daughters in Nauru and Australia have been separated from their sons, fathers and other family members.

The UNHCR has highlighted the link between this separation of immediate family members and serious deterioration in mental health and called for this separation to be addressed “as a matter of urgency.”

Despite these calls, the Australian Government refuses to reunite these families.
The HRLC assists three types of separated refugee and asylum seeker families:

(a) Families permanently separated because they arrived in Australia and sought asylum on different dates.

(b) Families separated when one of them needs to be evacuated from Nauru for urgent medical treatment, who face government tactics designed to pressure those in Australia to return and discourage them from asserting legal claims to stay - forcing people to choose between their health and safety and their family.

(c) Families separated when expectant mothers have been brought to Australia to give birth but the child’s father is deliberately left behind – again, a move designed to pressure the mother and newborn to return to Nauru ‘voluntarily’.

#LetThemStay protest for refugees facing deportation from Australia (February 2016, Melbourne)

The Australian Government has tried to avoid its responsibility by contending that its international obligations are not engaged since it is only providing “support” to Nauru. This is clearly wrong.

Numerous UN treaty bodies, parliamentary committees and courts have found that the Australian Government maintains effective control over the RPCs. The Australian Government remains responsible for the women and girls it sends to Nauru and the harm they endure there.

Recommendation 2

The Australian Government should:

(a) Immediately bring all the women and girls who have been stranded for almost five years on Nauru to safety in Australia.

(b) Not transfer any further women and girls to the Nauru RPC.

(c) Reunite, in Australia, all families that have been separated by its offshore immigration processing policy.
Growing numbers of women are imprisoned

Imprisonment rates for women have surged over the last decade.\(^2\)\(^3\)

Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women are locked up at significantly higher rates than non-Indigenous women – despite being around 3 per cent of women in Australia, they are 34 per cent of women in prison.

Between 2000 and 2015, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women imprisonment rates increased 118.7 per cent, compared to 50.6 per cent for men.\(^2\)\(^4\)

The rate at which women are imprisoned awaiting trial or sentencing (‘on remand’) has grown significantly. Women on remand have little, if any, access to services and programs. Many women are imprisoned on remand because of a lack of safe accommodation or rehabilitation options in the community.\(^2\)\(^7\)

Studies suggest that up to 90 per cent of women in prison are survivors of violence.\(^2\)\(^8\) The majority have dependent children, whose lives can be torn apart by the imprisonment of their primary carer.\(^2\)\(^9\)

The prison environment can greatly exacerbate the trauma and disadvantage experienced by women prior to incarceration.\(^3\)\(^0\)

As the Australian Law Reform Commission found, there are not enough diversion programs and support services, particularly designed by and for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women.\(^3\)\(^1\)

Some laws have a disproportionate impact on women, and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women. For example, in 2014, a young Aboriginal woman, Ms Dhu, died in police custody in Western Australia after being locked up under a law that authorises imprisonment for people who cannot pay monetary penalties. These laws remain on the statute books despite the Coroner recommending their abolition in December 2016.\(^3\)\(^2\)
Women whose lives are controlled by the state should be housed in facilities that are designed for their unique needs, respect their privacy and guarantee their safety.

Women are held in units within larger facilities designed for, and overwhelmingly occupied by, male prisoners and staff. In the Northern Territory, 74 women are held in Darwin prison, alongside 1,087 men. In Alice Springs, 48 women live in a facility occupied by 642 men. The vast majority of these women are Aboriginal.

Both facilities are overcrowded, “unsatisfactory” and “unsuitable”. Two recent independent reports document discrimination in terms of access to services and programs and a lack of facilities for women with children living with or visiting them.

Similar issues arise for girls in youth justice facilities.

Australian governments are locking women up but failing to meet women’s unique reproductive and maternal health needs.

For example, South Australia does not offer mother–baby accommodation to mothers of newborn babies.

In Western Australia, a woman and newborn baby were recently saved from separation by urgent legal intervention after the Government claimed a lack of capacity in the mother–baby unit at Bandyup women’s prison. A month earlier, at the same prison, an Aboriginal woman was forced to give birth alone in her prison cell.

Carol Roe and supporters at the coronial inquest into 22 year old Ms Dhu’s death in police custody (December 2016, Perth)
Strip searches

Strip searching is a routine practice in most women’s prisons around Australia.

Strip searches require women, most of whom are survivors of violence, to strip naked in front of two guards. They are generally required in a range of situations, including contact visits with family.

In Victoria, strip search records obtained by the HRLC revealed that of 6,200 searches over two three-month periods in 2014 and 2015-16, only six minor items of contraband were detected, none of which were recorded as illicit drugs or weapons.39

Further, the Victorian Ombudsman recently found that of 148 seizures in 2016-17 in Victoria’s largest women’s prison, only four involved items seized in the contact visits centre. Only one of the four entries involved a drug – half a blood pressure tablet.40

Girls in youth detention facilities are also strip searched. In the Northern Territory, a Royal Commission found that thousands of strip searches had been conducted on a small number of children over an eight year period, and that girls had been subject to strip searches and “inappropriate sexualised attention” by male guards.41 The Northern Territory recently introduced a Bill to prohibit routine strip searches of children.42

There is a clear need to stop contraband from entering prisons. However, routine strip searching is incompatible with women and girl’s right to privacy, humane treatment and the Bangkok Rules.43

Less intrusive alternatives are readily available and are being successfully implemented in the Australian Capital Territory and United Kingdom.

Recommendation 3

The Australian Government should:

(a) Address laws and policies that unfairly contribute to the growing number of women and girls in prison, particularly Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and girls.

(b) Prioritise community-based and culturally-appropriate programs, services and accommodation options to divert women and girls from criminal justice systems.

(c) Urgently implement the recommendations of the Australian Law Reform Commission’s Pathways to Justice Report.

(d) Prohibit strip searching except as a measure of absolute necessity and as a last resort in women’s prisons and youth detention facilities.
All women and girls should have the right to access essential health services, including basic reproductive healthcare.

Respecting women’s bodily autonomy

Australia has a duty to guarantee safe access to abortion services and post-abortion care. The fundamental principles of equality and non-discrimination require that the rights of a pregnant woman to life, health and bodily autonomy be given priority over an interest in prenatal life.

Abortion is treated as a criminal justice issue rather than a health issue in a number of jurisdictions around Australia.

Restrictive abortion laws, or a failure to ensure reproductive health services are accessible, lead to worse health outcomes for women – women may be harmed by being forced to carry an unwanted pregnancy to term or resorting to unsafe clandestine options.

These risks are heightened for women and girls whose circumstances make accessing health services more difficult, including those living on low incomes or remotely, women with a disability, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and women of culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds.
Peak health bodies in Australia have said that the legal status of abortion directly affects the planning, safety and quality of reproductive health services and support the decriminalisation of abortion.\textsuperscript{46}

All Australian states and territories should ensure that their laws facilitate safe and equitable access to abortion services.

This requires, at a minimum, all Australian governments to comprehensively remove abortion and abortion-related offences from their criminal laws.

Each jurisdiction should also remove requirements to obtain third party approval and prove sufficient grounds for abortion, at least for pregnancies up to 24 weeks. More broadly, states and territories should aim for nationally consistent and human rights compliant abortion laws.

Women in some parts of Australia continue to suffer harassment and intimidation from anti-abortionists outside reproductive health clinics.

Safe access zones

As a result, some women will delay or avoid accessing vital healthcare. Other women and clinic workers suffer psychological harm.\textsuperscript{47} In 2001, a clinic security guard was murdered in Victoria.\textsuperscript{48}

Tasmania, Victoria, the Australian Capital Territory and Northern Territory have enacted laws that create safe access zones around abortion clinics.\textsuperscript{49}

Within the zones it is unlawful to undertake a range of behaviours, including harassing, intimidating and recording women attending abortion clinics. The zones are effective in preventing harassment of staff and patients.

However, the Tasmanian and Victorian laws are being challenged by anti-abortionists in the High Court of Australia on the grounds that they impair the implied freedom of political communication in Australia’s Constitution.\textsuperscript{50}

Recommendation 3

Australian governments should:

(a) Ensure that abortion is comprehensively decriminalised and replaced with laws that respect women’s autonomy.

(b) Ensure women and girls can safely and privately access abortion services, including through the enactment of safe access zone laws.
LGBTI women and girls

Discrimination and harassment

LGBTI women and girls should have the same opportunities to study, work and be accepted in Australian communities.

There have been positive developments in removing discrimination against LGBTI people under the law, including marriage equality, adoption equality and increased legal protections against discrimination. However, LGBTI women and girls face ongoing stigma and discrimination. Lesbian, bisexual and queer women and girls continue to face high levels of discrimination and harassment which cause poorer mental health outcomes and higher rates of suicidal behaviours and self-harm.

For example, 61 per cent of same-sex attracted young people report experiencing verbal homophobic abuse. Lesbian women are more likely to engage in self harm and attempt suicide – 46 per cent of young lesbian women reported self-harm and 1 in 5 had attempted suicide. Bisexual women are more likely to experience higher levels of psychological distress than the general population.

Research on the experiences of transgender and intersex people also reveal significant rates of discrimination. However, there are no specific Australian Government programs designed to address violence, discrimination and harassment experienced by LGBTI women and girls, and access to targeted, non-discriminatory physical and mental health services remains limited.
Legal recognition of sex and gender

Every person in our community should have the freedom and independence to live their own lives, and be legally recognised for who they are.\(^{59}\)

Across most states and territories in Australia, outdated legal barriers prevent many transgender women and gender diverse people from changing their legal gender to reflect their gender identity.\(^{40}\)

The Australian Government Guidelines on the Recognition of Sex and Gender have improved access to passports in the affirmed gender of Australian citizens without invasive medical procedures and improved access to an “X” marker, but require updating.\(^{61}\)

Most state and territory laws fall short of international best practice and generally require surgical intervention, a person to be over 18, a person to be unmarried and only allow access to “male” or “female” classifications.\(^{42}\)

Intersex women and girls are entitled to the same dignity, respect and bodily integrity that every human being is entitled to.

Bodily autonomy for intersex girls

Intersex advocates report that medically unnecessary surgeries and other procedures continue to be performed on intersex infants and children in Australia before they are able to provide informed consent, which often involve irreversible consequences (e.g. sterilisation).\(^{43}\)

There remains a lack of integration of intersex people and their families in medical teams. Limited information or education is available about harmful practices performed on intersex children, and critical peer support services are under-funded.\(^{44}\)

There is also a notable lack of redress and compensation for intersex people who have undergone medically unnecessary surgery or other medical treatment without their informed consent, including support and rehabilitation.\(^{45}\)

These medical treatments have been described by UN treaty bodies as a “harmful practice,” which cause “physical and psychological suffering”.\(^{46}\)

In 2017, UN treaty bodies expressed concern about intersex children in Australia being subjected to early surgeries and medical interventions before they are able to provide full, free and informed consent.\(^{47}\)

The Yogyakarta Principles Plus 10 require all States to ensure that legislation protects everyone from all forms of forced, coercive or otherwise involuntary modification of their sex characteristics.\(^{48}\)

In 2013, the Australian Senate Community Affairs References Committee released its report on the involuntary or coerced sterilisation of intersex people in Australia.\(^{49}\) These recommendations have not been implemented across Australia.
Australian governments should:

(a) Implement activities to reduce violence, bullying and harassment experienced by LGBTI women and girls.

(b) Remove legal barriers to gender recognition while sex or gender continues to be registered on birth certificates.

(c) Introduce legislation prohibiting unnecessary medical interventions on intersex infants and children without full, free and informed consent except in cases of absolute medical necessity, and implement the recommendations of the 2013 Australian Senate Report Involuntary or Coerced Sterilisation of Intersex People in Australia.
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