Your feedback on the utility of the template would be appreciated for research purposes. Names and organisations will be kept anonymous.

1) Rate **ease of use** for the template you used (*i.e.* intuitive to use; self-explanatory) on a scale of 1 (low – challenging to use) to 5 (high – easy to use):

   ![Ease of Use Scale]

2) Rate the **degree of completeness** achieved in given time (*i.e.* coverage of the topic in terms of depth & breath) on a scale of 1 (low level of completeness) to 5 (high level):

   ![Degree of Completeness Scale]

3) Rate **consistency of output** (*i.e.* clarity & coherence of content) on a scale of 1 (low level of consistency) to 5 (high level):

   ![Consistency of Output Scale]

4) Rate **quality of output** (*where* ‘quality’ = ‘strategically helpful’ in terms of understanding and decision making) on a scale of 1 (low level of quality) to 5 (high level):

   ![Quality of Output Scale]

5) Please note any **comments** you have on the experience of using the template you were provided with, highlighting perceived **benefits and drawbacks**: 

---

Please return to Dr Robert Phaal, rp108@cam.ac.uk (participation is optional; all data will be treated in confidence, and anonymised)