

Delegates:
Ellington, Kent
Hirose, Christopher

Editor:
Fuchs, Daniel

Co-Authors: Thomas B. Bemenderfer

F- 35 - TX INF HINDFOOT FUSION

F- 35 - What is the treatment "algorithm" for infection after ankle or hind-foot arthrodesis?

Response/Recommendation:

There is no universal algorithm for addressing the infected ankle or subtalar arthrodesis. By definition, an infected ankle or subtalar arthrodesis becomes a complex ankle arthrodesis given the high risk of delayed or nonunion secondary to patient comorbidities and/or local ankle/hindfoot factors. The choice of the best technique must be taken individually, considering the patient's expectations, compliance and the local and systemic compromises from chronic lymphedema and induration, cicatricial changes, chronic osteomyelitis, lesions of major nerves and vessels, and poor bone stock after multiple operations.

Strength of the Recommendation: Consensus

Rationale:

Infection after ankle or hindfoot arthrodesis always results in a protracted recovery. Recovery from this complication may include multiple surgeries, escalating cost and result in a painful and poorly functioning limb. Patients with suspicion for infection following ankle or hind-foot arthrodesis should be evaluated for deep versus superficial infection as well as appropriate host and surgical factors to determine the most appropriate treatment. Superficial infections may be treated with irrigation and debridement, local wound care, and pathogen-specific antibiotics. Deep infections involving the internal hardware should prompt hardware removal. Additional components of treatment may include some combination of placement of antibiotic beads or spacers, stabilization with external fixation to temporarily stabilize or achieve definitive arthrodesis⁵⁵, and delayed revision arthrodesis with internal fixation following eradication of infection. The patient's nutritional and vascular status should be optimized. If soft tissue coverage is necessary, a multidisciplinary approach is necessary to determine viability of the extremity. To achieve fusion, a radical debridement, a stable fixation, and minimal compromise of the marginal blood supply are necessary.

All patients should be critically evaluated in a multidisciplinary approach to optimize the patient's health and psychological status. Every effort to minimize risk of wound breakdown should be pursued including optimization of diabetes, reduction of inflammatory conditions, absence of tobacco use, and optimal nutrition. The impact of prolonged impaired mobilization, possible unemployment, and social isolation should not be neglected and may compromise

patient adherence for further surgery and postoperative regimens as well as diminish functional outcome. We recommend appropriate evaluation of patient host and arthrodesis surgical factors in patients with infection following tibiotalar or subtalar arthrodesis.

Infection following ankle or hindfoot arthrodesis may significantly delay bony consolidation. Frey et al reported as high as 60% nonunion rate following ankle fusion complicated by infection.²³ In order to address the infected ankle or hindfoot fusion, several algorithms have been proposed.^{28,55} Any patient in which bony fusion is uncertain should be evaluated by CT to assess the arthrodesis. Debridement followed by arthrodesis remains the salvage procedure of choice for the infected ankle and subtalar joints and has proven to be an effective means for limb salvage and maximizing patient functional outcome.^{12,28,55,76} Härle reported the results of a two-stage procedure with treatment of infection first by implant removal, thorough debridement and implantation of Septopal (Gentamicin-PMMA chains) beads and then secondary internal stabilization with an antibiotic-releasing bone plate. Although 3 of the 42 patients ultimately required an amputation (7%), infection was cured long-term in 36 (84%) and 39 (93%) achieved stable bony fusion.²⁸ Paley recommended removal of all internal hardware and sharp debridement of all necrotic and infected tissue followed by external fixation and reported 100% union.⁵⁵ Baumhauer reviewed the literature on arthrodesis of an infected ankle and subtalar joint, but did not suggest an algorithm for treatment of an infection after ankle or subtalar joint arthrodesis.⁶

Host Factors:

Host factors must be optimized prior to undergoing reoperation. Malnutrition, diabetes, and nicotine cessation should be advocated. Preoperative malnutrition has been associated with delayed wound healing,²⁵ longer length of stay and anesthesia/surgical times,⁴⁵ and failure of treatment of persistently draining wounds inevitably leading to deep infection.³⁵ The measures of malnutrition have varied and may be defined by a variety of methods including serological laboratory values (e.g. transferrin, total lymphocyte count, serum albumin, and prealbumin), anthropometric measurements, and standardized scoring tools.¹⁵ The most common definitions of malnutrition are total lymphocyte count (TLC <1500/cc) and serum albumin (<3.5 g/dL)^{27,35,60}. Frey et al. reported that patients with major medical problems including renal failure, significant smoking history, diabetes, and alcohol abuse demonstrate an 85% nonunion rate following attempted ankle fusion.²³ Jaber et al reported successful salvage of patients undergoing hip and knee arthroplasty in only 5% of malnourished patients treated with irrigation and debridement.³⁵

Diabetes

Perioperatively elevated blood glucose levels and complicated diabetes mellitus prior to elective surgery predispose patients to postoperative soft tissue and bone healing complications^{33,46,53,74,81,83}. The current guidelines, as published by the American Diabetes Association, recommend that surgery should be avoided if possible for those patients with HbA1c greater than 7%.² In an effort to validate the recommendation, Jupiter in 2014 assessed the relationship between the HbA1c levels and the rate of postoperative infection. Their results indicated that infection rates increase steadily as the HbA1c increases toward 7.3%, increase rapidly at an HbA1c of 7.3% to 9.8%, and then level off. Several studies demonstrate an increased risk of infection following arthroplasty in patients with hemoglobinA1c greater than 6.5%.^{37,41,57} Although it is unclear in foot and ankle literature whether any specific hemoglobinA1c should serve as a contra-indication for revision fusion, multiple studies have demonstrated that diabetic

neuropathic arthropathy contributes to high complication and failure rates. Ankle and subtalar arthrodesis should be considered with caution in the diabetic patient.⁷⁵

Tobacco

All efforts should be made to eliminate exposure to nicotine and tobacco products. Studies have demonstrated that patients who smoke tobacco are at three times greater risk of hindfoot nonunion.³⁴ Fragomen reported a 54% nonunion rate in patients who smoke in patients undergoing primary arthrodesis.²² Patients who undergo revision are certainly at higher risk of both osseous nonunion and soft tissue complications following revision hindfoot nonunion. Although the literature is unclear, we recommend waiting at least 6 weeks following smoking cessation in order to reduce risk of pulmonary complications associated with rebound mucosal secretions and increased perioperative complications associated with smoking cessation in the perioperative period. In addition, we recommend confirming cessation via testing for nicotine and its primary breakdown product (metabolite) cotinine in the blood, urine, saliva, or hair. Cotinine is widely used compared to other diagnostic tools because of its higher sensitivity, specificity, long half-life as well as it is the best indicator for distinguishing the tobacco users from non-users. We prefer urine biomarker testing over serum given its high sensitivity compared to blood cotinine and minimally invasive collection.^{3,63} We recommend a urinary cut off of greater than or equal to 2.47 ng/ml to detect the highest sensitivity and specificity of 100% for smoking.⁴

Surgical Procedures:

Irrigation and Debridement

Isolated surgical debridement and irrigation should be reserved for soft tissue infections that are not in direct communication with hardware. Given the risk of persistent chronic infection following infected ankle or hind-foot arthrodesis, we do not recommend isolated irrigation and debridement of the deeply infected arthrodesis. If there is any uncertainty concerning whether the retained hardware is in communication with infected tissue, the hardware should be removed given the high failure rate associated with retained hardware.^{12,28,55,76}

Soft Tissue Coverage

The overlying soft tissue must be evaluated to determine whether adequate soft tissue coverage is possible, sinus tracts may be excised, and hardware remains exposed. Multidisciplinary assistance from plastic surgery may be necessary for assistance if primary or delayed primary is not possible, and the surgical site necessitates a local or free flap for closure. Common utilized flaps for the hindfoot may include reverse sural flap, or free flap (e.g. anterolateral thigh via the circumflex femoral pedicle, superficial circumflex iliac artery perforator, and thoracodorsal artery perforator flaps).⁵

Bone Stock

Viable bone must be evaluated to determine remaining available bone for reconstruction and possible salvage arthrodesis.⁶¹ There is limited case reports of salvage tibiototalcalcaneal arthrodesis with a custom titanium alloy truss and retrograde intramedullary nail for hindfoot infection with bone loss.⁵² We were unable to identify any clear literature on the most

appropriate management of the infected ankle and subtalar arthrodesis with significant osteolysis, subsidence, or bone loss following excision of bone with osteomyelitis.

Explantation of Hardware

Costerton in 1999 attributed the persistence of certain chronic infections to the presence of biofilm and since then the majority of implant-related infections in orthopaedics are believed to be biofilm-related infections associated with glycocalyx polysaccharide biofilms that are often recalcitrant to antibiotic treatment and may be culture-negative with ineffective clearance from the host.^{49,85} Given the risk of biofilm-related infections, reimplantation of a prosthesis should be delayed until adequate resuscitation and eradication of the offending organism has been completed.^{9,11,13,20,26,36,44,54,56,80,84} However, Paley supported using external fixation following explantation of hardware in the infected failed hindfoot fusion.

Fixation Techniques

Internal Osteosynthesis.

Several techniques have been reported for utilizing plate fixation for revision ankle arthrodeses.^{66,71-73,79} However, successful internal fixation following infection has only been described in the setting of the septic ankle. Klouche and colleagues reported the outcomes of 20 patients who underwent tibiotalar arthrodesis in the presence of sepsis with internal osteosynthesis resulting in a fusion rate of 89.5% and clearance in 85.0% of cases.⁴³ Richter reported solid ankle or hindfoot arthrodesis following infection in 39 of 45 patients (87%) utilizing hybrid fixation with both internal (compression screws and an anterior plate) and external fixation.⁶⁴

External Fixator

Tibiotalocalcaneal (TTC) arthrodesis using the Ilizarov technique is a viable alternative to amputation in patients with infected nonunions or large bone loss of the tibia or talus precluding internal fixation with reported fusion rates as high as 77 to 93%.^{1,42,65,76} Saltzman reported on eight patients with diffuse ankle osteomyelitis who were treated with resection of the infected bone and application of a compressive circular external fixator. Six weeks of intravenous antibiotics were administered and wound vacuum devices were applied over open wounds. Sepsis was eradicated in all.⁶⁸ It should be noted that these patients had the diagnosis of osteomyelitis but not specifically an infected ankle or hindfoot arthrodesis. Similarly, Raikin recommended irrigation and debridement, a 6-week course of intravenous antibiotics, removal of internal hardware and stabilization of the arthrodesis with an external fixator. A vacuum device or plastic surgery coverage was recommended for an open wound.⁶² For failed ankle arthrodeses, Hawkins reported on 21 cases, which were salvaged with the Ilizarov technique. 80% achieved fusion and resolution of infection.²⁹ Although external fixation is typically indicated for patients with active or previous infection, union rates and outcomes measures of external fixation are inferior to internal fixation.⁸²

Intramedullary Fixation

Techniques utilizing an antibiotic-impregnated intramedullary PMMA nail or antibiotic-coated intramedullary nail have been described.^{7,24,58} To achieve successful fusion in the setting of infection, it is important to not only remove any hardware with potential formation of glycocalix

polysaccharide biofilm but also to avoid introducing new foreign bodies at site of infection thus external fixation is often considered the “gold standard.” However, antibiotic-coated intramedullary nails may also be considered if acute shortening and bone contact may be achieved.^{16,58} The current literature supporting antibiotic-coated nails for treatment of infected ankle nonunions and infected distal tibial fractures to achieve fusion, improve patient functional outcomes, and successfully eradicate infection are encouraging. However, these studies are limited to small case series. Future studies are necessary to better understand the potential for union, functional outcome, and infection control utilizing intramedullary antibiotic-coated nails following infected ankle or hindfoot arthrodesis.

Use of Antibiotic Impregnated Adjunct

All patients with infection following ankle or hindfoot arthrodesis procedures should be administered oral, intravenous and/or local antibiotics. Consulting your local infectious disease physician may be warranted to better assess local antibiotic nomograms and assist in recommendations. Antibiotic-loaded PMMA has demonstrated to be successful in treating osteomyelitis^{10,77} and is commonly used for antibiotic release to the site of infection but displays variable elution kinetics and represents a potential nidus for infection, therefore requiring surgical removal once antibiotics have eluted. Definitive treatment with antibiotic spacer can be considered and has been reported. Ferrao et al. reported on the use of a cement spacer after deep ankle infection. Three patients underwent an ankle arthrodesis and the remaining six underwent TAR. Most retained their cement spacers, and those who did were ambulatory with little discomfort.²¹ Alternatively, antibiotic-loaded calcium sulfate beads have the benefit of serving as an osteoconductive material with time-dependent antibiotic delivery but have been criticized for the massive amount of drainage secondary to hydrolysis dependent antibiotic delivery.³² The concept of local antibiotic deposition is particularly critical in poorly perfused limbs. The use of antibiotics in bone cement or calcium sulfate biocomposites offers several advantages including the ability to achieve high local levels of antibiotic,⁴⁸ low systemic toxicity,^{30,69} and minimal local tissue toxicity.^{38,59} The high local antibiotic level achieved also allows for the minimization of systemic antibiotic usage in patients who are intolerant of prolonged systemic antibiotic administration.⁷⁷

Amputation

Surgeons making a choice between arthrodesis and amputation need to consider the clinical situation of the individual and patient preference. Amputation of the failed infected hindfoot arthrodesis may be appropriate in select cases involving non-ambulatory patients, infection resistant to aggressive debridement and antibiotics, severe bone loss or extensive osteomyelitis that precludes arthrodesis, inadequate soft tissue coverage, or peripheral vascular or neurovascular injury. Severe immunocompromising states inhibits both infection eradication and wound healing and may be prohibitive for revision and may necessitate amputation. Active intravenous drug abuse may be a contraindication to salvage of the failed infected hindfoot fusion and may also indicate the need for an amputation. Contraindications to revision may apply to non-ambulatory patients or those with extensive medical comorbidity that precludes multiple surgeries.

Biophysical Augmentation

Biological supplementation has been studied in at-risk ankle unions as well as nonunions. Given the reported high rates of nonunion and malunion at in primary hindfoot and ankle unions,¹⁴ it is common practice to use some biological adjunct therapy to improve chance of fusion including bone marrow aspirate, platelet-rich plasma (PRP), recombinant human bone morphogenetic protein-2 (rhBMP-2), cancellous bone allograft, recombinant human platelet derived growth factor (rhPDGF-BB) in combination with a β -TCP-collagen matrix, cryopreserved cellular bone allograft, map3 cellular allogeneic bone graft, and cryopreserved amniotic membrane-umbilical cord allograft.^{8,17,18,40,47} No study has specifically evaluated the efficacy and safety of biological adjuncts in the setting of the infected ankle and hindfoot nonunion.

Various external and internal osteobiologic devices have been shown to promote healing when used in complex ankle fusion. Three commercially distinct modalities have been of investigation for bone stimulation, which include: pulsed electromagnetic field,^{47,67} internal direct current,^{19,31,51,70} and low intensity pulsed ultrasound.^{39,50,78} However, no study has specifically evaluated the impact of biophysical adjuncts following infected ankle or subtalar arthrodesis and further additional randomized controlled trials are necessary before justifying their utility.

References:

1. Ahmed A-SA, Singer MS. Salvage of failed ankle arthrodesis after posttraumatic septic arthritis by Ilizarov external fixator: mid-term results. *Current orthopaedic practice*. 2017;28(4):358-364.
2. Association AD. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial; 2013.
3. Avila-Tang E, Al-Delaimy WK, Ashley DL, et al. Assessing secondhand smoke using biological markers. *Tob Control*. 2013;22(3):164-171. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2011-050298.
4. Balhara YP, Jain R. A receiver operated curve-based evaluation of change in sensitivity and specificity of cotinine urinalysis for detecting active tobacco use. *J Cancer Res Ther*. 2013;9(1):84-89. doi:10.4103/0973-1482.110384.
5. Baumeister S, Germann G. Soft tissue coverage of the extremely traumatized foot and ankle. *Foot Ankle Clin*. 2001;6(4):867-903, ix.
6. Baumhauer JF, Lu AP, DiGiovanni BF. Arthrodesis of the infected ankle and subtalar joint. *Foot and ankle clinics*. 2002;7(1):175-190.
7. Bibbo C, Lee S, Anderson RB, Davis WH. Limb salvage: the infected retrograde tibiototalcalcaneal intramedullary nail. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2003;24(5):420-425. doi:10.1177/107110070302400508.
8. Bibbo C, Patel DV, Haskell MD. Recombinant bone morphogenetic protein-2 (rhBMP-2) in high-risk ankle and hindfoot fusions. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2009;30(7):597-603. doi:10.3113/FAI.2009.0597.
9. Buechel FF, Femino FP, D'Alessio J. Primary exchange revision arthroplasty for infected total knee replacement: a long-term study. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)*. 2004;33(4):190-198; discussion 198.
10. Calhoun JH, Henry SL, Anger DM, Cobos JA, Mader JT. The treatment of infected nonunions with gentamicin-polymethylmethacrylate antibiotic beads. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1993(295):23-27.
11. Callaghan JJ, Katz RP, Johnston RC. One-stage revision surgery of the infected hip. A minimum 10-year followup study. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1999(369):139-143.
12. Cierny G, Cook WG, Mader JT. Ankle arthrodesis in the presence of ongoing sepsis. Indications, methods, and results. *Orthop Clin North Am*. 1989;20(4):709-721.
13. Cordero-Ampuero J, Esteban J, García-Cimbrello E, Munuera L, Escobar R. Low relapse with oral antibiotics and two-stage exchange for late arthroplasty infections in 40 patients after 2-9 years. *Acta Orthop*. 2007;78(4):511-519. doi:10.1080/17453670710014167.
14. Coughlin MJ, Grimes JS, Traugher PD, Jones CP. Comparison of radiographs and CT scans in the prospective evaluation of the fusion of hindfoot arthrodesis. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2006;27(10):780-787. doi:10.1177/107110070602701004.

15. Cross MB, Yi PH, Thomas CF, Garcia J, Della Valle CJ. Evaluation of malnutrition in orthopaedic surgery. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2014;22(3):193-199. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-22-03-193.
16. Dalla Paola L, Brocco E, Ceccacci T, et al. Limb salvage in Charcot foot and ankle osteomyelitis: combined use single stage/double stage of arthrodesis and external fixation. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2009;30(11):1065-1070. doi:10.3113/FAI.2009.1065.
17. Daniels TR, Younger AS, Penner MJ, et al. Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial of Hindfoot and Ankle Fusions Treated With rhPDGF-BB in Combination With a β -TCP-Collagen Matrix. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2015;36(7):739-748. doi:10.1177/1071100715576370.
18. Dekker TJ, White P, Adams SB. Efficacy of a Cellular Bone Allograft for Foot and Ankle Arthrodesis and Revision Nonunion Procedures. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2017;38(3):277-282. doi:10.1177/1071100716674977.
19. Donley BG, Ward DM. Implantable electrical stimulation in high-risk hindfoot fusions. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2002;23(1):13-18. doi:10.1177/107110070202300103.
20. Engesæter LB, Dale H, Schrama JC, Hallan G, Lie SA. Surgical procedures in the treatment of 784 infected THAs reported to the Norwegian Arthroplasty Register. *Acta Orthop*. 2011;82(5):530-537. doi:10.3109/17453674.2011.623572.
21. Ferrao P, Myerson MS, Schubert JM, McCourt MJ. Cement spacer as definitive management for postoperative ankle infection. *Foot & ankle international*. 2012;33(3):173-178.
22. Fragomen AT, Borst E, Schachter L, Lyman S, Rozbruch SR. Complex ankle arthrodesis using the Ilizarov method yields high rate of fusion. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2012;470(10):2864-2873. doi:10.1007/s11999-012-2470-9.
23. Frey C, Halikus NM, Vu-Rose T, Ebramzadeh E. A review of ankle arthrodesis: predisposing factors to nonunion. *Foot Ankle Int*. 1994;15(11):581-584. doi:10.1177/107110079401501102.
24. Fuchs T, Stange R, Schmidmaier G, Raschke MJ. The use of gentamicin-coated nails in the tibia: preliminary results of a prospective study. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2011;131(10):1419-1425. doi:10.1007/s00402-011-1321-6.
25. Gherini S, Vaughn BK, Lombardi AV, Mallory TH. Delayed wound healing and nutritional deficiencies after total hip arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1993(293):188-195.
26. Göksan SB, Freeman MA. One-stage reimplantation for infected total knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1992;74(1):78-82.
27. Greene KA, Wilde AH, Stulberg BN. Preoperative nutritional status of total joint patients: relationship to postoperative wound complications. *The Journal of arthroplasty*. 1991;6(4):321-325.
28. Härle A. Treatment of infected arthrodesis of the ankle. *Acta Orthop Belg*. 1991;57 Suppl 1:16-21.

29. Hawkins BJ, Langerman R, Anger DM, Calhoun JH. The Ilizarov technique in ankle fusion. *Clinical orthopaedics and related research*. 1994(303):217-225.
30. Haydon RC, Blaha JD, Mancinelli C, Koike K. Audiometric thresholds in osteomyelitis patients treated with gentamicin-impregnated methylmethacrylate beads (Septopal). *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1993(295):43-46.
31. Hockenbury R, Gruttadauria M, McKinney I. Use of implantable bone growth stimulation in Charcot ankle arthrodesis. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2007;28:971-976.
32. Howlin RP, Brayford MJ, Webb JS, et al. Antibiotic-loaded synthetic calcium sulfate beads for prevention of bacterial colonization and biofilm formation in periprosthetic infections. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(1):111-120. doi:10.1128/AAC.03676-14.
33. Humphers J, Shibuya N, Fluhman BL, Jupiter D. The Impact of Glycosylated Hemoglobin and Diabetes Mellitus on Postoperative Wound Healing Complications and Infection Following Foot and Ankle Surgery. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc*. 2014. doi:10.7547/13-026.1.
34. Ishikawa SN, Murphy GA, Richardson EG. The effect of cigarette smoking on hindfoot fusions. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2002;23(11):996-998. doi:10.1177/107110070202301104.
35. Jaber FM, Parvizi J, Haytmanek CT, Joshi A, Purtill J. Procrastination of wound drainage and malnutrition affect the outcome of joint arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2008;466(6):1368-1371. doi:10.1007/s11999-008-0214-7.
36. Jackson WO, Schmalzried TP. Limited role of direct exchange arthroplasty in the treatment of infected total hip replacements. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2000(381):101-105.
37. Jämsen E, Nevalainen P, Kalliovalkama J, Moilanen T. Preoperative hyperglycemia predicts infected total knee replacement. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2010;21(3):196-201. doi:10.1016/j.ejim.2010.02.006.
38. Jensen JS, Sylvest A, Trap B, Jensen JC. Genotoxicity of acrylic bone cements. *Pharmacol Toxicol*. 1991;69(5):386-389.
39. Jones C, Coughlin M, Shurnas P. Prospective CT scan evaluation of hindfoot nonunions treated with revision surgery and low-intensity ultrasound stimulation. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2006;27:229-235.
40. Jones CP, Loveland J, Atkinson BL, et al. Prospective, Multicenter Evaluation of Allogeneic Bone Matrix Containing Viable Osteogenic Cells in Foot and/or Ankle Arthrodesis. *Foot Ankle Int*. 2015;36(10):1129-1137. doi:10.1177/1071100715586181.
41. Jupiter DC, Humphers JM, Shibuya N. Trends in postoperative infection rates and their relationship to glycosylated hemoglobin levels in diabetic patients undergoing foot and ankle surgery. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. 2014;53(3):307-311. doi:10.1053/j.jfas.2013.10.003.
42. Kitaoka HB, Anderson PJ, Morrey BF. Revision of ankle arthrodesis with external fixation for non-union. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1992;74(8):1191-1200.
43. Klouche S, El-Masri F, Graff W, Mamoudy P. Arthrodesis with internal fixation of the infected ankle. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. 2011;50(1):25-30. doi:10.1053/j.jfas.2010.10.011.

44. Kurd MF, Ghanem E, Steinbrecher J, Parvizi J. Two-stage exchange knee arthroplasty: does resistance of the infecting organism influence the outcome? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2010;468(8):2060-2066. doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1296-6.
45. Lavernia CJ, Sierra RJ, Baerga L. Nutritional parameters and short term outcome in arthroplasty. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 1999;18(3):274-278.
46. Lepore G, Maglio ML, Cuni C, et al. Poor glucose control in the year before admission as a powerful predictor of amputation in hospitalized patients with diabetic foot ulceration. *Diabetes Care.* 2006;29(8):1985. doi:10.2337/dc06-0912.
47. Liporace F, Bibbo C, Avad V, Koerner J, Lin S. Bioadjuvants for Complex Ankle and Hindfoot Reconstruction. *Foot Ankle Clinic.* 2007;12:75-106.
48. Mader JT, Calhoun J, Cobos J. In vitro evaluation of antibiotic diffusion from antibiotic-impregnated biodegradable beads and polymethylmethacrylate beads. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 1997;41(2):415-418.
49. Mauffrey C, Herbert B, Young H, et al. The role of biofilm on orthopaedic implants: the "Holy Grail" of post-traumatic infection management? *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg.* 2016;42(4):411-416. doi:10.1007/s00068-016-0694-1.
50. Mayr E, Frankel V, Rüter A. Ultrasound--an alternative healing method for nonunions? *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2000;120(1-2):1-8.
51. Midis N, Conti SF. Revision ankle arthrodesis. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2002;23(3):243-247. doi:10.1177/107110070202300309.
52. Mulhern JL, Protzman NM, White AM, Brigido SA. Salvage of Failed Total Ankle Replacement Using a Custom Titanium Truss. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* 2016;55(4):868-873. doi:10.1053/j.jfas.2015.12.011.
53. Myers TG, Lowery NJ, Frykberg RG, Wukich DK. Ankle and hindfoot fusions: comparison of outcomes in patients with and without diabetes. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2012;33(1):20-28. doi:10.3113/FAI.2012.0020.
54. Osmon DR, Berbari EF, Berendt AR, et al. Executive summary: diagnosis and management of prosthetic joint infection: clinical practice guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(1):1-10. doi:10.1093/cid/cis966.
55. Paley D, Lamm BM, Katsenis D, Bhave A, Herzenberg JE. Treatment of malunion and nonunion at the site of an ankle fusion with the Ilizarov apparatus. Surgical technique. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006;88 Suppl 1 Pt 1:119-134. doi:10.2106/JBJS.E.00862.
56. Parvizi J, Adeli B, Zmistowski B, Restrepo C, Greenwald AS. Management of periprosthetic joint infection: the current knowledge: AAOS exhibit selection. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2012;94(14):e104. doi:10.2106/JBJS.K.01417.
57. Patton D, Kiewiet N, Brage M. Infected total ankle arthroplasty: risk factors and treatment options. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2015;36(6):626-634. doi:10.1177/1071100714568869.
58. Pawar A, Dikmen G, Fragomen A, Rozbruch SR. Antibiotic-coated nail for fusion of infected charcot ankles. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2013;34(1):80-84. doi:10.1177/1071100712460209.

59. Petersen BH, Steimel LA, Black HR. Immunological responsiveness of guinea pigs to antibiotics diffusing from bone cement. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 1982;22(4):704-706.
60. Puskarich C, Nelson C, Nusbickel F, Stroope H. The use of two nutritional indicators in identifying long bone fracture patients who do and do not develop infections. *J Orthop Res.* 1990;8(6):799-803.
61. Rabinovich RV, Haleem AM, Rozbruch SR. Complex ankle arthrodesis: Review of the literature. *World J Orthop.* 2015;6(8):602-613. doi:10.5312/wjo.v6.i8.602.
62. Raikin SM, Rampuri V. An approach to the failed ankle arthrodesis. *Foot and ankle clinics.* 2008;13(3):401-416.
63. Raja M, Garg A, Yadav P, Jha K, Handa S. Diagnostic Methods for Detection of Cotinine Level in Tobacco Users: A Review. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2016;10(3):ZE04-06. doi:10.7860/JCDR/2016/17360.7423.
64. Richter D, Hahn MP, Laun RA, et al. Arthrodesis of the infected ankle and subtalar joint: technique, indications, and results of 45 consecutive cases. *J Trauma.* 1999;47(6):1072-1078.
65. Rochman R, Jackson Hutson J, Alade O. Tibiocalcaneal arthrodesis using the Ilizarov technique in the presence of bone loss and infection of the talus. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2008;29(10):1001-1008. doi:10.3113/FAI.2008.1001.
66. Said E, Hunka L, Siller TN. Where ankle fusion stands today. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1978;60-B(2):211-214.
67. Saltzman C, Lightfoot A, Amendola A. PEMF as treatment for delayed healing of foot and ankle arthrodesis. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2004;25(771-773).
68. Saltzman CL. Salvage of Diffuse Ankle Osteomyelitis by Single-Stage Resection and Circumferential Frame Compression Arthrodesis. *The Iowa Orthopaedic Journal.* 2005;25:47-52.
69. Salvati EA, Callaghan JJ, Brause BD, Klein RF, Small RD. Reimplantation in infection. Elution of gentamicin from cement and beads. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1986(207):83-93.
70. Saxena A, DiDomenico L, Widtfeldt A, Adams T, Kim W. Implantable electrical bone stimulation for arthrodeses of the foot and ankle in high-risk patients: a multicenter study. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* 2005;44:450-454.
71. Scranton PE. Use of internal compression in arthrodesis of the ankle. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1985;67(4):550-555.
72. Scranton PE. An overview of ankle arthrodesis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1991(268):96-101.
73. Scranton PE, Fu FH, Brown TD. Ankle arthrodesis: a comparative clinical and biomechanical evaluation. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1980(151):234-243.
74. Shibuya N, Humphers JM, Fluhman BL, Jupiter DC. Factors associated with nonunion, delayed union, and malunion in foot and ankle surgery in diabetic patients. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* 2013;52(2):207-211. doi:10.1053/j.jfas.2012.11.012.

75. Stuart MJ, Morrey BF. Arthrodesis of the diabetic neuropathic ankle joint. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1990(253):209-211.
76. Thordarson DB, Patzakis MJ, Holtom P, Sherman R. Salvage of the septic ankle with concomitant tibial osteomyelitis. *Foot Ankle Int.* 1997;18(3):151-156. doi:10.1177/107110079701800307.
77. Walenkamp GH, Kleijn LL, de Leeuw M. Osteomyelitis treated with gentamicin-PMMA beads: 100 patients followed for 1-12 years. *Acta Orthop Scand.* 1998;69(5):518-522.
78. Watanabe Y, Matsushita T, Bhandari M, Zdero R, Schemitsch EH. Ultrasound for fracture healing: current evidence. *J Orthop Trauma.* 2010;24 Suppl 1:S56-61. doi:10.1097/BOT.0b013e3181d2efaf.
79. Weltmer JB, Choi SH, Shenoy A, Schwartzman V. Wolf blade plate ankle arthrodesis. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1991(268):107-111.
80. Winkler H, Stoiber A, Kaudela K, Winter F, Menschik F. One stage uncemented revision of infected total hip replacement using cancellous allograft bone impregnated with antibiotics. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2008;90(12):1580-1584. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.90B12.20742.
81. Wukich DK, Lowery NJ, McMillen RL, Frykberg RG. Postoperative infection rates in foot and ankle surgery: a comparison of patients with and without diabetes mellitus. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2010;92(2):287-295. doi:10.2106/JBJS.I.00080.
82. Yasui Y, Hannon CP, Seow D, Kennedy JG. Ankle arthrodesis: A systematic approach and review of the literature. *World J Orthop.* 2016;7(11):700-708. doi:10.5312/wjo.v7.i11.700.
83. Younger AS, Awwad MA, Kalla TP, de Vries G. Risk factors for failure of transmetatarsal amputation in diabetic patients: a cohort study. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2009;30(12):1177-1182. doi:10.3113/FAI.2009.1177.
84. Zimmerli W, Trampuz A, Ochsner PE. Prosthetic-joint infections. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351(16):1645-1654. doi:10.1056/NEJMra040181.
85. Zoubos AB, Galanakos SP, Soucacos PN. Orthopedics and biofilm--what do we know? A review. *Med Sci Monit.* 2012;18(6):RA89-96.