Overview

In May of 2002 both authors participated in the First Glocal Forum in Rome, Italy. Uri and the Honorable Mayor of Rome, Walter Veltroni, organized this event. The purpose of this three-day dialogue was to examine the growing tensions that exist in our globally networked world from the perspective of cities and from the perspective of youth. Network communication systems act both as enablers of increasing degrees of global integration and as a powerful force in redefining culture, markets, and the allocation of economic benefits. Thus, information and communication technologies (ICT) were also a key focus of these probing dialogues and the initiatives that have followed. This article discusses the concept of glocalism as an effort to seek a better balance between global forces and local needs, interests, and identities.

"...the concept of glocalism as an effort to seek a better balance between global forces and local needs, interests, and identities."

Glocalism as a Social Process

CERFE, an Italian economic and social science research organization for which both authors serve as advisors for Glocal Forum sponsored research, describes glocalism as:

"a social process that is especially evident in cities where it consists of the concurrent drives toward globalization and localization."

It is also seen as "diffused social action...that can be interpreted as a kind of ideal and cultural movement oriented towards linking the benefits of globalization to local situations, and toward governing globalization also through local situations."

Where global and local forces meet, the needs for institutional change and social adaptation that are compelled by technologically enabled global integration frequently encounter strong countervailing pressures to preserve local identity and customs. These pressures are especially salient in the presence of increased cultural diversity and growing economic disparities that accompany wide-scale global migrations to major cities.

The United Nations defines a mega-city as a massive urban center of more than ten million people. In 1950, New York was the one mega-city in the world. "By the year 2015 there will be twenty-five mega-cities, nineteen of them in developing countries, and fifteen of them in Asia. In addition to these mega-cities, there will be fifty-nine cities with populations greater than five million, and those crowded, polluted, urban centers will by and large not have the well-developed infrastructures that have sustained today's major cities" (Thomas Hoog, Hill and Knowlton, First Glocal Forum, Rome, Italy, May 2002). The proportion of our world's population living in cities of a million or more has risen from thirty-seven percent as recently as 1970 to nearly fifty percent today. By 2030 more than two-thirds of world population will be in large cities. Since for the most part high-speed networks exist only in these cities, when we speak of a networked world, it is essentially a global economy made up of very large, interconnected metropolitan regions (Manuel Castells, The Internet Galaxy, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2001). High value-generating services, high-paying jobs, and human development opportunities act as a magnet for migration to these increasingly dense urban centers. They are the focal points for industry clusters and territorial webs of interpersonal networks for resource exchange, collaboration, and learning. At the same time, these concentrated human settlements are subject to growing income disparities and severely strained infrastructures.
Globalization and Globalism from a Corporate Perspective

Over the past quarter century the notion of globalization has had various connotations. In the 1990s President Akio Morita of Sony, one of the world's most successful multinational firms, used this term to describe the corporate strategy that was popularized by the phrase "think global, act local." Time Magazine named President Morita one of the twenty most influential "builders and titans of the twentieth century"—concerning which changed how the world viewed markets that were increasingly global and clearly on a path to becoming more so, he argued that it was critical for companies to frame all elements of their strategy in a context that was fundamentally global. As trade barriers came down and regional boundaries were blurred by modern transportation, sophisticated just-in-time logistics, integrated financial markets, and modern communications the corporation that focused solely on national markets was likely to see its local markets erode to more aggressive globally-oriented competitors. Creating the capacity to act locally in diverse and far-flung markets around the world was seen as a way to achieve greater efficiencies, to optimize supply chains, to tailor products to local needs, and to establish the beachheads for penetrating rapidly growing international markets.

...a growing portion of the market capitalization of firms was attributable to creating a dominant brand.

In the 1990s research on global brands like Sony, Toyota, McDonald's, and Coca-Cola indicated that a growing portion of the market capitalization of firms was attributable to creating a dominant brand. In a global marketplace this "brand equity" enabled the multinational firm to create a "virtuous cycle." It could be leveraged in attracting all manner of resources from customer loyalty to new forms of revenue streams, to scales of economic spread, to favorability, and favorability to financial markets. The global success of brand contributed to the ability to access the lowest cost manufacturing labor on the one hand while, at the same time, becoming a magnet for attracting the best and brightest knowledge work-er in marketing to customer loyalty in the form of future revenue streams, to scales of economic spread, to favorability, and favorability to financial markets. The global success of brand contributed to the ability to access the lowest cost manufacturing labor on the one hand while, at the same time, becoming a magnet for attracting the best and brightest knowledge work-er in marketing to customer loyalty in the form of future revenue streams, to scales of economic spread, to favorability, and favorability to financial markets.

Against this backdrop mayors and senior local officials from developed and less developed countries, as well as World Bank, United Nations, and corporate and university leaders assembled for the First Globalization Conference from May 11-13, 2002 in Rome, Italy. Conference host Mayor Walter Vetronii's welcoming comments set a context for the sentiments of many of his fellow mayors: "...these are the times of interdependence of new technology, scientific discoveries, integration of markets, all on an international level. We know what this means, that this offers opportunities to man, but we also know that a large part of the world is very far from these opportunities, that large geo-political and populations are very far from these processes, and run the risk of sliding further down. We know that many human rights and freedoms are violated, that there is injustice in the world, and that there are too many risks of instability and destruction of social rights, and that there are too many disparities in access to knowledge and information. We know what it means to be globalized; it is the economy, the financial markets that are globalized, but not human rights and education. When we talk of globalization we must think of the space divide between the powerful and the weak, and the risk in the rich part is that society is split into two-those who are considered useful on the one hand, and those who are considered useless on the other hand."

"Relations among cities are no longer a matter of transportation, but a matter of communications."

Through the three days of this unique conference the ground level perspectives of mayors and senior officials from Boston to Istanbul, from Kigali to Stockholm, and from Belfast to Athens, formed the foundation of a rich dialogue. The following were among the salient views and concerns expressed:

Networked Knowledge Economy

• Success and affluence today are not related to land mass, but to the level of knowledge, the capacity to get hold of new opportunities that serve as a major invitation for a nation to become rich, or to remain poor.
• Relations among cities are no longer a matter of transportation, but a matter of communications.
• There is a false impression that moving ahead as a high tech region involves buying computers and connecting to the Internet. Progress in science and technology is grounded in a set of values, foremost among which is the pursuit of truth.

Limitations of Privatization

• Borders do not bound many of the most urgent problems: terrorism, narcotics, pollution, and HIV are all global. They will not be solved by privatization.
• Privatization and other democratic reforms in Latin America and elsewhere will not lead to social cohesion without at least a minimum of equality. For example, tariffs on agricultural and textile products, as well as pervasive corruption of former state-run industries have differentially impacted social classes and this facilitates social chaos in cities.

For transitioning economies in Eastern Europe and elsewhere, openness and competition in the tendering of public procurement are key to attracting foreign direct investment (FDI).

Poverty and Peasantry

• Poverty is the root of terrorism all around the world. Eradication of terrorism depends on elimination of poverty. Military operations are not the solution. A child who is raised among arms and weapons is more likely to become a terrorist later in life than a doctor. Unemployment levels among individuals under 30 are extremely high in many cities— in excess of 40 percent for most developing countries, where population is growing at six times the rate in advanced nations.
• Mayors must balance efficiency criteria with equity and social justice in how they embrace globalization or it will not be sustainable.
• In the next 25 years the world grows from six to eight billion people, and all but 50 million people go to developing countries... And what will they be saying about poverty? Will they be saying that four billion people live on $2 a day? If they are saying that, I can assure you there will not be peace in the world in which those people are living..." (Jan Wol

Migration and Social Cohesion in Cities

• If wealth and well-being can be found elsewhere mass migrations will continue.
• Cities are the nexus of a network of services and they can relate more closely to individuals to offset the tendency toward the loss of identity and growing global migrations.
• North American cities face their own challenges of a digital divide that could contribute to widening the gap between rich and poor. They must vie for global relevance at the same time as they seek to serve the common good of local citizens. Cities everywhere are struggling with how to become more competitive and contribute to GDP growth without excluding the poor from this growth.
• In Europe, migration and multiculturalism raise questions about what it means to be civil and a citizen in a genuinely multicultural city.

Cities as Strategic Gateways

• Reflecting on the wide-ranging perspectives of mayors, sociologist Saskia Sassen comments that in a networked world cities are strategic gateways for three kinds of dynamics: "global capitalization, global migration, and a kind of conflict that we did not associate as a species with...we are in the past due to it. It is the most dramatic example" (Denationalization, Economy, and Polity in a Global Digital Age, http://itsa.ensu.edu/emsus
Princeton, N.J., Princeton University Press, 2003). As gateways for global capitalism, successful cities are those that have developed highly specialized service capabilities for management, finances, insurance, public relations, accounting, and for securing legal and intellectual property rights. Sassen suggests that these cities are born together as nodes in a network of inter-city transactions involving specialized capabilities in particular economic sectors. Yet, in nearly all instances these same cities face the common problem of poverty and/or growing economic divides and inadequate resources.

Sassen argues that global governance is situated in supranational institutions like the World Trade Organization, the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, and the UN, as well as national governments. "It flows above the daily reality of people and contributes to the growing dynamic deficit of our current institutions, hopeful for global governance. She suggests an alternative model that would "partly anchor global governance in networks of cities." At this level she posits that a broader range of citizens concerns could be raised—housing, environmentally sensitive practices, and the rights of citizens of the city to infrastructure, parks, clean air, and so on.

"Companies may do well, while communities decay, and governments are in deficit."

Most cities have chronic budget deficits that stem from the fact that "national budget systems were set up mostly after World War II when the geography of economic activity and profits, the geography of social costs, and other crucial geographies were quite different from what they are today." Sassen proposes rebuilding the structural parameters of budgets:

"Under these older economic conditions many major cities had to return a large share of revenues to the national government. Thus we have, however, every year returns more dollars to Washington than it gets from Washington. But today cities are concentrated places for many, many of the social costs of a country's population, in addition to the fact that more and more people are becoming urbanized and that more and more federal governments are devolving functions to cities. That brings with it additional funding obligations without corresponding funding; and it brings enormous demands for additional infrastructure, housing, public services, public security, and many other rapidly increasing and often novel costs. Under these conditions the question of national budget arrangements becomes a crucial issue and I think this is one of the challenges that national governments face—the need to redraw certain features of these national budgets. Many of these trends may have motivated states to loosen and meaner, more competitive, to say, but they have added costs to cities."

The guiding principles for a globalism movement would likely include references to the need for social processes that seek a better balance between the forces of globalization and local interests, values, and culture. Similarly, this movement would be seen as seeking a better balance between economic and social criteria, between short-term interests and long-term concern for sustainable communities, and between the public and private benefits of globalization. At the First Global Forum, Former Israeli Prime Minister Shimon Peres underscored the governance challenge posed by globalization. The role of nation-states, he suggested, has been supplanted by a global economy in which private companies and privatization play an ever-increasing role in shaping the direction of local regimes and the societal conditions to which majorities in cities with swelling populations must respond. This creates a problem because under the economic orthodoxy of privatization—"everything that makes money goes to private hands. Everything that costs money remains in the hands of the governments." Companies may do well, while communities decay, and governments are in deficit.

"At an audience with His Holiness Pope John Paul II at an audience with Pope John Paul II for participants in the First Global Forum, the Mayor of Rome, Walter Veltroni, spoke on behalf of conference attendees, stating that "the present is not reflective of the full scope of this tortoise, sassafras-making conference. Neither do they sign the course of actions and initiatives that have followed since, including the Spring 2003 Global Insulator launch by the Center for Innovation and Entrepreneurship at Santa Clara University's Business School to support the winners of Rome's Global Junior Challenge. The Mayor's vision, he said, reflected the level of compassion and sense of urgency that was evident in these meetings:

"Today, gathered here into your presence, are the mayors of some of the most important cities in the world. I believe I can voice their emotions by saying what brought them here to Rome, to take part in this Global Forum—what brought them here was a shared feeling, namely the awareness of how the world's suffering, which has become unbearable and unacceptable, and the awareness of the responsibility of everyone... But words can be at times, when they speak the truth and describe a compelling situation, from which we simply cannot turn our eyes. How heavy words can be on our conscience is very easy to see, we just have to look through a U.N. magazine to read what a little girl from Zambia had to say about her situation. She said: 'If we are the future of the world and we are dying, then this means that the future is dying.'"

In his address to attendees Pope John Paul II offered these views on cities and solidarity:

"A city is much more than a territory, an economic production center, a political entity. It is above all a community of people, especially of families with their children. It is a living, historically rooted, cultural center, a place of human presence. Those who exercise administrative and political control over it have the responsibility to create the conditions for civic liberty and well-being that enable people to flourish and participate in the civic life of the community. The schools of a city should be marked by one characteristic above others, solidarity. Every one of you faces serious social and political problems which will not be solved unless a new style of human solidarity is created. Institutions and social organizations must take at heart the condition of all sectors of the population, with special attention to the weak and marginalized. This is not only a matter of conveniences, but it is a matter of the moral order, to which all people need to be educated, and to which the young of one kind or another must be committed as a matter of conscience. The goal of solidarity must be the advancement of a more human world for all, in which every individual will be able to participate in a positive and fruitful way, and in which the weight of some will no longer prevent access to the development of others, but a help.”
Globalization: Local Challenges
Facing Silicon Valley

Silicon Valley, like most other major world city regions, has sought to adapt its governance to sustain the region's economic vitality in the presence of new global systems. Joint Venture: Silicon Valley Network (JVSN), founded in 1991, grew in part out of the concern that globalization's benefits might bypass even this richly endowed region. The JVSN mantra, "a community collaborating to compete globally," underscored efforts to unite diffuse local resources for better positioning in a globally integrated economy. While the region today is the second most globally integrated in the nation from a trade perspective (S.L. Buchanan, Globalization in the San Francisco Bay Area Trying to Stay at the Head of the Class, Los Angeles, Pacific Council on International Policy, 2003), it suffers from adverse effects on quality of life caused by traffic congestion and lack of affordable housing, and the lowest civic involvement among forty comparable U.S. regions (James L. Koch, Ross Miller, Kim Walew, and Elizabeth Brown, Building Community: Social Connections and Civic Involvement in Silicon Valley, Preliminary Findings Report, Santa Clara University, Center for Science, Technology, and Society, 2001). Friedman's new globalization metaphor, a 100-meter dash run over and over every day where many drop out, suggests that while the Valley remains a robust habitat for inventors, entrepreneurs, and innovation service providers, the local needs for balance between economic and sustainable social benefits cannot be ignored if the region is to continue as a pivotal player in a globally networked ecosystem.

Conclusion

Citizens experience the consequences of globalization; they do not drive it. Their systems of governance were invented to address the challenges of the twentieth century, drawing upon the experience of the nineteenth century. Nonetheless, cities today are global constructs. Their boundaries no longer match the boundaries of our more borderless twenty-first century. Neither do they align with the broader realities of metropolitan regions or the allocation of economic opportunities that make them a magnet for migration. They face the difficult challenge of balancing economic competitiveness on a world stage with the challenge of maintaining social cohesion, civic vitality, and the public good under widely disparate local circumstances.

The ideal of a city is one that is balanced economically and socially. It has a heart that Beuhrich, civil virtues, quality of life, and quality of design. It provides a sense of place and community that nurtures the soul. Professor Michael Parkinson, a European scholar, cautions against globalization implying homogenization. In the future, he posits that cities will become more important as places than they will be as centers of economic activity. If this is so, then globalization as a concept that seeks a better balance between global forces and local needs and identities is likely to grow in importance in the years ahead.
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