Blend through the day. a note of nail polish remind the taste of K-Cups renowned reviewer of coffee drinks. Vickie's Salt and Vinny Chips. drinks with Goldfish and Miss were cleansed in between and consumed black and him across the face. flavor was so strong it hit spit it out. He felt like the and thought it tasted similar to the new machines are welcomed back to the library by two new coffee machines. The new En core 29 machines offer a wider variety of coffee drinks, including cappuccinos and cafe lattes, than the old Keurig machines and have the added bu- nus of interactive screens. The new machines are also more environment- ally friendly as they do not require the use of plastic K-cups (#savethe-turtles). However, the uti- lize measure of a coffee machine is the quality of its coffee and the time it takes to make each cup. Daniel Grill ’19 What’s the Difference Between a Latte and a Cappuccino?’ Grill ’19 and Winnie “My Shenandoah Joe’s Order is Over $6” McBride ’19 have pro- vided a guide to the tim- ing and flavor of the new coffee and the old coffee. McBride, on the other hand, has a less discerning coffee palette. He does not mind the taste of K-Cups and typically drinks his coffee black.

1) House Blend (Time to prepare: 0:57) This was a dark, dark roast coffee. Winnie detected a note of nail polish re- move it. It is not the best, but a good everyday coffee if you hate life and need something to get yourself through the day.

2) Major Dickason’s Blend (Time to prepare: 1:03) Winnie was quick to reprove the nutty flavor and thought it tasted simi- lar to house blend. Daniel liked it. He always added so hot he split it out. He felt like the flavor was so strong it hit him across the face.

SECTION 1 Contents delivers a 1L Spirits Undampened by Rainy Dandelion

Section H poses for the Law Weekly’s camera before their less-than-celebrated performance. Photo courtesy Kolleen Gladden, ’21.

As another great year at UVA Law begins, the traditions of the Dandelion Parade continue. Started in 1984 as a calm and respectable parade, Dandelion now serves as the opportunity for upperclass- men to record videos of future attorneys at top jobs across the country doing ridiculous dances and is the nude for all the s1s to realize that they truly can’t take themselves too seriously if they chose to come to school in Charlotte- toville. Beyond overcoming the nerves of dancing in front of all their peers, this year’s s1s faced an additional obstacle to their dream of gold medal fin- ish in the form of heavy rain.1 Winners will get the best seed- ing in the upcoming 1L softball tournament, held on Septem- ber 25, so a lot rides on each year’s competition. Section A kicked off the rain dance, setting the bar high with some changes and well- choreographed moves. While dancing to classics such as Up- town Funk, Wipeout, and Just Got Paid, “Rip’s Rangers” also threw 100 dollar bills with CORDEL PAULK on the front1 into the crowd and delivered a handle of Jack Daniels to the NSGL commissioners with “This is not a bribe” written on the front. Securing second place by the NSGL judges, this crew walked away feeling rightfully pleased about their showing. Section B was up next, and after a solid two minutes of trying to lift some of their sec- tionsmates into a cheerleading elevator stunt, a member of the crowd was overheard ask- ing, “Is that it?” After an ef- fort to recover from their slow start, “Beyond a Reasonable Out” managed to avoid any booing and were seen heading to the keg for another round of beers, likely in an attempt to forget the embarrassment that was their 1L Dandelion perfor- mance.

Section C benefitted from the downpour, with a perfor- mance set to “Under the Sea” as the song for the section’s performance. While most of the section swayed back and forth making big Cs with their arms every time the chorus rang out, their merman stole the show by not only frolicking into the crowd but also worm- ing on the wet concrete. And, as the grand finale, his bikini- top bust off the section’s gold medal performance. Clever idea and a solid effort by the “Cs” and “Dawns”, but I was a bit bi- ased given my love of all things Little Mermaid.

Section D, known as “Dooket Like It’s Hot”, really tried to be coordinated to their dance to “Drop it Like It’s Hot”, but their only saving grace was the inclusion of a dog in the sec- tion performance. Big fan of dogs.

Section E, the “Legal Es”, came with posters of giant Es and danced to the classic “It’s Gonna Be E!” while yelling “It’s Gonna Be E!” to the cho- rus, the section seemed to be having enough fun to make up for their overall lack of hard- core dance moves. I wrote “I’m a fan” in my notes about their skit, so they must’ve done something right.

Section F, the gold medalists of the event, grooved to “Fer- gallicious” in all black. They had men chugging beers and partial nudity—exposing their stomachs which had “DAN- DELION” spelled out across them—so the group really hit home on all the requirements for a solid placement in this year’s competition. While this unbiased PA thinks Section A’s Cordel Faulk dolla dolla bills were more clever than painting up, I’ll tip my hat and respect the “F Bombers.” But, as an aside, I’d just like to note that I’m not sure how the “F Bombers” got approved as y’all’s team name. Can’t wait to hear what professors think of that when you wear your jerseys to class. Good luck.

Section G had raining men. . . and that was it. With that, your team name is fitting: “Good, not Great.”

Section H was the only section to attempt the dreaded “Jeopardy!” and respect the “F Bombers.” But, as an aside, I’d just like to note that I’m not sure how the “F Bombers” got approved as y’all’s team name. Can’t wait to hear what professors think of that when you wear your jerseys to class. Good luck.

Section I, cleverly named “Bam’s I’s” worked off of Brit- tney’s “Oops! I Did It Again” classic. There’s not much to report except that it was...
Being a fan of romantic comedy and watching movies with an all-Asian cast, I was excited to see how they’d be portrayed. I was not disappointed. Asian men were depicted as brave, thoughtful, and nuanced characters. Asian women were portrayed as strong, intelligent, and compassionate. It was a welcome change from the stereotypical portrayals I’ve seen in the past. I was particularly impressed by the performances of the main actors. Henry Golding was excellent as Nick Young, and Constance Wu was charming as Rachel Chu. The chemistry between them was palpable, and their on-screen presence was captivating. The film’s soundtrack was also a highlight. The music was original and added to the overall atmosphere of the movie. Overall, Crazy Rich Asians is a must-watch for anyone who enjoys romantic comedies. It’s a film that celebrates Asian culture and breaks down stereotypes. I highly recommend it.
Questioning presuppositions, engaging with inconsistencies, and searching for truth—that's the essence of debate and what first drew me to the Federalist Society. I heard about the UVa Law School's events and classes and was impressed by the organization's dedication to testing the value of opinions by holding public debates. Since entering UVa Law, I've learned that the Federalist Society is more than a debate society. It rests on principles that represent the bedrock of our constitutional system, and its members' shared belief in those principles encourages the formation of strong professional and personal bonds.

When I arrived at UVa Law, I quickly immersed myself in the Federalist Society by serving on the IL Committee and attending as many events as possible. One particularly memorable event was entitled "Where is Feminism Going?” Prof. Karin Agness, founder of the Network of Enlightened Women, a group for conservative women, appeared on a panel that included Gail Deady, a Legal Fellow at the ACLU of Virginia. Professor Emeritus Lillian Beier, UVa's first tenured female law professor, moderated the panel. It might have appeared an unlikely event for an organization whose membership is often stereotyped as exclusively male. And yet, it was precisely the kind of event that has made the Federalist Society successful and influential. During the event, Karin Agness and Gail Deady engaged in an increasingly heated exchange that revealed divergent views of the policies necessary to empower women—but a shared goal of promoting female economic and political opportunity. Indeed, the panel provided a lesson in the importance of opening the door to dialogue, especially when two sides appear ideologically irreconcilable.

As vice president for speakers during my second year of law school, I strived to carry on FedSoc's tradition of providing a forum for rigorous debate. I didn't fully appreciate the significance of the Society's founding principles, however, until halfway through my second year. The Federalist Society is founded on the principles that "the state exists to preserve freedom, that the separation of governmental powers is central to our Constitution, and that it is emphatically against the province and duty of the judicial department to question the constitutionality of acts of government, which to a certain extent is admitted on all hands to be essential to the preservation of liberty, it is evident that each department (branch of government) should have a will of its own...". The separation of powers is essential to preventing the state, or a branch of government, from becoming tyrannical. In "The Federalist No. 47 (Madison). But what's to prevent the executive from "to usurp the powers of the law" and why it matters.

A shared passion for the FedSoc's founding principles sustains a strong professional network that promotes mentorship and friendship. UVa FedSoc members and alumni want to invest in fellow FedSoc members and to make the most of the opportunities. The Federalist Society's mascot (Soc's mascot) alludes to the first two. James Madison (Fed Society's founder) and Alexander Hamilton (Fed Society's mentor) represent the first two propositions, understanding principles that represent the bedrock of the UVa FedSoc network, wants to reinvest in our new members.

Engagement with the national media and opportunities for students encompasses the Federalist Society. UVa Law's Law School Country Network provides students with the opportunity to engage with the UVa FedSoc network, to meet someone who could write law school, or sit across an interview table in the not too distant future. That is one of the goals of FedSoc. Symposium offers the chance to hear exceptional speakers from across the political spectrum debate current legal and constitutional issues, and then to discuss those debates with the Spotlight page 6

Confirmation Stories: From Washington to Trump

When a seat on the Supreme Court vacates, the president is tasked with a great responsibility: choosing a judge who will serve, and maintain, the highest court of the land. It’s a gig he would consider, first of all, to be the best, a second-tier cabinet position in the federal government. The president makes the decision, and the president chooses the nominee. When the vacancy is a Supreme Court seat, the president makes a call to he who can write law, and write law in a way that will make the Supreme Court a more conservative body. This is the essence of Justice Kennedy, and the president who chooses him, President Obama. Justice Kennedy was confirmed in 1987, and he served on the court for thirty years. In that time, he became one of the most respected justices on the court, and a leader of the conservative wing. He was the longest-serving justice on the court, and the one who was most likely to side with the liberal justices. He was also the justice who was most likely to side with the conservative justices. He was a moderate justice, and a justice who was respected by both sides of the political spectrum.

When Justice Kennedy announced his retirement, President Obama was faced with the decision of who to choose to fill the seat. He had a list of candidates, and he chose one of them. He chose Justice Elena Kagan, who had been a law professor at Harvard University, and a Circuit Court judge. She was confirmed by the Senate, and she served on the court for eight years. During her time on the court, she was known for her moderate views, and for her ability to write清晰和persuasive opinions. She was a respected justice, and a justice who was known for her ability to write law that would stand the test of time. She was a justice who was known for her ability to write law that would stand the test of time.
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When Justice Kennedy announced his retirement, President Obama was faced with the decision of who to choose to fill the seat. He had a list of candidates, and he chose one of them. He chose Justice Elena Kagan, who had been a law professor at Harvard University, and a Circuit Court judge. She was confirmed by the Senate, and she served on the court for eight years. During her time on the court, she was known for her moderate views, and for her ability to write clear and persuasive opinions. She was a respected justice, and a justice who was known for her ability to write law that would stand the test of time. She was a justice who was known for her ability to write law that would stand the test of time.
LOOKING BACK: 70 Years of the Law Weekly

In celebration of seventy years of publication, Volume 71 of the Law Weekly takes pleasure in publishing excerpts from the past seventy volumes. This week, a special focus on the late ’90s, aka the heyday of Kordana restaurant reviews.

Memories of Hurricanes

“The City of Charlottesville woke Friday morning to find itself without power, as Tropical Storm Fran battered Albemarle County… Even students who did not live on mountain were affected. ‘A tree fell over our driveway and stayed suspended on the road for three days before Appalachian Power removed it,’ said second-year law student Mark Honor, who lives in Crozet, about 20 miles south of Charlottesville…”

A Gloomy and Impotent Protest Against Progress

“The Law School has implemented a policy, effective this fall semester, requiring that all first-year students must now purchase a laptop computer. While the long-term benefits of this requirement in regard to the Virginia legal education remain to be seen, the immediate financial impact is readily apparent. In addition to paying for tuition, housing, multiple textbooks and study aides, first-years must now absorb another significant cost upwards of several thousand dollars.”

Examples of Personal Ads that Remind Us Why We Don’t Run Personal Ads

“Come on Baby Light My Fire: Disgruntled 2L tired of this school stuff seeks dynamic instructor to rekindle my interest in the law. No legal economists need apply. Looking for inspiration and willing to actually do reading provided it is not too boring.”

“A in Search of the Fourth Horseman: Three 3L’s offer in hand, seek a fourth for last semester, requiring that all desserts by hand. After attending the T-Pain concert that clouded the event, After attending the T-Pain concert that clouded the event. After attending the T-Pain concert that clouded the event. After attending the T-Pain concert that clouded the event.

Eds.: Glad to know not much has changed since 1999. This is still why the Law Weekly doesn’t run actual personal ads.

Faculty Quotes

C. Jaffe: “There’s no Boy Scout rule in legal ethics.”

F. Schauer: “If you have a theory you don’t like but you don’t know why you don’t like it, call it formalistic.”

J. Ballenger: “Is it true that Cleveland is a place where people don’t voluntarily choose to live?”

R. Schragger: “What is the only thing more important than freedom? . . . LOVE!”

Staff Spotlight: M. Eleanor Schmalzl ’20

Name: M. Eleanor Schmalzl

(What does the “M” stand for, you might ask? Come to my first days of class to find out.)

Law Weekly Position: Executive Editor

Hometown: Walton, Kentucky. (I’ve heard there’s a rumor that I am from Ohio. If you are among the mistaken, please refer to the tattoo of Kentucky on my foot.)

Undergrad: Ohio Northern University (Go Polar Bears!) Favorite Horse: Clydesdales, because they are beautiful and represent my favorite cheap beer, Bud heavy.

Favorite Law School Activity: SOFTBALL!!!

“Least Favorite Type of Bottled Water: Nestle or Ice Mountain. I can tolerate Ice Mountain when cold, but Nestle is all around a garbage brand of water. Stick to cokes, Nestle.

Greatest Achievement: Being able to write legally with my non-dominant hand. Whoever said doodling during church was a waste of time doesn’t know how to doodle effectively.

What I Joined the Law Weekly: Free dinner on Monday nights during 1L. It was a huge draw. Why I became Executive Editor is less clear, but I recall the editor-in-chief telling me it “isn’t just an extra of a time commitment” and that “we don’t stay past 11 on Monday nights.” Oh, how young and naive I was as a 1L.

A. Vollmer: “I’ve never looked at the Trust Indenture Act in my life. I don’t know where it is. I don’t know what it says. But there are those who love it.”

A. Choi: (after asking vol-unteer a follow-up 5 ques-tions): “Sec? I’m trying to create a collegial environment.”

Heard a good professor quote?

Email editor@lawweekly.org.
null
One of the reasons I ran for FedSoc president was that I believed our chapter could do a better job of strengthening our chapter community and emboldening our members to articulate the importance of the principles of individual liberty, separation of powers, and the rule of law. We hope these are principles upon which all lawyers can agree, but we are grateful for a community eager and willing to debate their significance and how we, as a society, may achieve or defeat them.

vmacj@virginia.edu

Be a part of Virginia Law history.

Join the Law Weekly. We need editors, writers, photographers, and cartoonists. Pizza and law school gossip gratis.

Mondays at 5:30 pm in SL279