Welcome Class of 2021! The Virginia Law Weekly staff is very excited to welcome you to UVa Law. We compiled a list of the must-do UVa things to do if you want to succeed this year.

1. Get the #1 ticket at Bodo’s
2. Introduce yourself to Mandy
3. Go to the snack room three times in one day
4. Learn what a tort is (hint: it’s not a pastry)
5. Attend Dandellion, then question if you are, in fact, attending a professional school?
6. Play in a softball game. Hit a home run! Or, just hit the ball for the first time in your life
7. Invite your professor to your softball game
8. Drink a beer at a softball game
9. Take your professor out to lunch
10. Survive your first cold call! (It’s really just answering a question in class, which we’ve all done a hundred times)
11. Go to Bar Review
12. Join a student organization
13. Question why you signed up for so many things at the Activity Fair and now get so many emails
14. Sign up for an IM-rec class
15. Feel like Beyonce when the condition bloos your hair as you walk into Slaughter
16. Get lost upstairs in Slaughter
17. Ring in autumn at Carter Mountain with some just-picked apples
18. Keep a sweater in your locker to survive the summer air conditioning
19. Hike Humpback Rock with your section
20. Don’t forget to drag along the most anti-hiking/OUTdoor of your pals by telling them you’re going to Blue Mountain Brewery and get some nachos after the hike.
21. Google “UVa Law Libel 2018.” Watch “UVa Law Boys” and “Please Read"
22. Fall down the wonderful YouTube rabbit-hole that is law school Libel videos
23. Get invited to a professors’ house for dinner
24. Donate something for the PILA Auction
25. Sign up for an IM-rec team
26. Have new skills, new ways of thinking, and new intellectual resources at your disposal.

At the Picnic in the Park last Sunday, I was talking with some of you about your new lockers and laden backpacks. We joked pointedly noting the similarities between law school and middle school. Though the comparison has seemed apt since my own law school days, it is perhaps more on my mind right now because I have a seventh grade son in the midst of those years and a ninth grader just coming out the other side. So I hope you will indulge me as I spin out the comparison.

Beyond the lockers, backpacks, full schedule of required courses, and packed hallways between classes, a far more fundamental similarity comes to mind: transformation. Middle school is a time of massive change, as children become young adults by surviving the gauntlet of adolescence. When they emerge, they have greater independence, knowledge, resources, and perhaps even a bit of wisdom, as well as new responsibilities to match.

Law school will similarly transform you—from the “regular people” I described at orientation to members of the learned profession of the law. It will do so by running you through the gauntlet of torts, contracts, LRW, and more. You will come out the other side of this year the same person that brought you to law school but also a different person. You will have new skills, new ways of thinking, and new intellectual resources at your disposal.

Of course, in many and profound ways, middle school and law school are so very different. You are adults now. Most of you have work experience of one kind or another. You have persevered through real hardship, traveled the world, succeeded wildly in college. You come to law school with maturity (we hope), perspective, ambition, and focus. Not only are you different now from who you were ten years ago or more, but what you will encounter here is very different as well. I can’t speak for you, but my middle school certainly did not boast world-class faculty engaged in ground-breaking research; experiential learning that let me put my classroom knowledge to edge to work immediately; a world of personal and professional support that the Law School provides.

More fundamentally, this adventure is different because you have chosen it. (Who chooses middle school?) You have chosen to challenge, transform, and empower yourselves. It might not always be easy, as I am sure you have heard. That is especially true this first year, this first semester. That is because not everyone has set out to make 1L challenging. Rather, it is because you are learning new approaches to information and to life that are just that: new. They take rigor and application to comprehend, and you will want to apply yourself with zeal as you learn them.

I recall my own realization of the engagement and endurance it would take to master this new vocabulary and set of skills. I learned that “thinking like a lawyer”—the analytical reasoning, the precision with words and concepts—was not something that was just going to happen to me. I had to make myself a real partner in the endeavor. I encourage you to take ownership of the education that lies before you, and collaborate with the professors and peers that surround you.

Let me emphasize the last part of that sentence: how much you will learn from your peers. What a gift to us all that our Law School is so diverse. We come from different backgrounds. We have different beliefs, attitudes, and interests, and we subscribe to a wide range of political views. We each have our own unique identities, hopes, and dreams.

This semester you have been thrown together across these differences immediately and intensely. Spending hours each week in sections of thirty people who vary in all of these ways (and more) can be a novel experience and sometimes a challenging one.

Take advantage of what that offers. The honest and respectful exchange of ideas is invaluable—not only in the classroom, but also in Scott Commons, in the sections you have been assigned, and the organizations you choose to join. It is not always easy around north grounds.

Thumbs up to Dean Goluboff following @uvawlaw on Instagram. AMG must have finally mastered the art of sliding into the DMs.

Thumbs down to firms providing off-brand water bottles to AMG during OGI. When AMG does not receive a walk-back from said firm, AMG will assume it’s because the firm does not recognize name-brand quality.

Thumbs up to AMG’s friends and family committing to pay $1,500 each and AMG can have the graduation of AMG’s dreams. AMG just wants to be a Kardashian for a day and then live AMG’s life like normal.

Thumbs down to VJIL not giving out its snacks to non-members anymore. AMG’s been taking snacks from the VJIL office so long AMG remembers when VJIL actually published!
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**Twelve Summer Associates, Eight Markets, One Fantastic Summer**

Virginia Law Weekly staff interviewed twelve summer associates who worked for firms. These are their stories.

**What drew you to that geographic location and/or employer?**

*Great firm in my target market* – D.C. ’20

*“I’m originally from Philly and it was a smaller firm. I immediately connected with the people and knew I wanted to come home for the summer.”* – Philly ’20

*“What drew me to [the firm] were the lawyers and the quality of work. This past summer, I met attorneys who have a sincere desire to mentor junior associates. They collaborate with each other on deals related to complex legal issues.”* – NYC ’19

*“Close to home and reputation for being a great place to work.”* – Chicago ’19

*“I wanted to be closer to family and friends, but also get out of the awful, humid weather.”* – Denver ’19

*“Family.” – Houston ’19

*“Lots of family and friends there from before law school (plus it’s the greatest city on Earth).”* – NYC ’19

*“NYC has always been the best place to start a legal career, a city that offers opportunity for all areas of law.”* – NYC ’19

*“D.C. is home.” – D.C. ’19

*What type of work did you do this summer? Were you able to become involved with pro bono work? If so, what was your favorite matter (billable or pro bono)!” – D.C. ’19

*“I did a little bit of work in pretty much every practice group. The most exciting project all summer was an article that I actually had published and sent out to clients. I also got to see my research appear in an opposition brief.” – Philly ’20

*“My favorite project was a corporate finance.” – Houston ’19

*“My favorite project was working on a pro bono project related to parolee rights for asylum seekers found to have a credible threat of persecution or violence if deported home.”* – Denver ’19

*“All tax work but not much research. My assignment was working on research for a client who was a potential investor to help out how to win our client an award for the client’s help in the case. The award potential was high, which made my research feel important as a 1L.”* – D.C. ’20

*“Mergers and Acquisitions.”* – SF ’19

*“I worked primarily on litigation assignments involving mental health, family law, and employment law. I was also able to do pro bono work. My favorite pro bono assignment involved helping a victim of domestic violence receive a temporary order of protection from her abuser.”* – NYC ’19

*“My favorite project was transitioning a company from an LLC to a non-profit. This saved them money and let the younger lawyers get some really advanced work”* – Chicago ’19

*“Complex commercial litigation involving government and cartel investigation-related work. Also did a bit of work in environmental and real property and a pro bono project related to parolee rights for asylum seekers found to have a credible threat of persecution or violence if deported home.”* – D.C. ’19

*“Corporate, M&A, securities, public finance, and corporate finance.”* – Houston ’19

*“Mixture, but mostly litigation. My favorite project was a memo for restructur-
Suing White Supremacists: Elizabeth Sines ’19 Takes on August 11-12 Organizers

Elizabeth Sines ’19 countered the recent wave of white supremacist rallies of August 11 and 12, 2017, in Charlottesville, Virginia. She is currently suing the organizers of the Unite the Right rally in what is reported to be “the most high-profile lawsuit so far against white supremacists in years.”

Law Weekly Articles Editor Ali Zablocki ’19 interviewed Sines about this groundbreaking lawsuit.

AZ: “Let’s start with the basics. Who exactly are you suing?”
ES: “I am one of several Charlottesville residents suing over two dozen white supremacists and affiliated groups. The most well-known defendants are probably Jason Kessler, Richard Spencer, and the KKK.”

AZ: “Who are you represented by?”
ES: “We are represented by lawyers from two firms: Kaplan Hecker & Fink LLP and Boies Schiller Flexner LLP. Roberta Kaplan and Karen Dunn are two of the lead attorneys. Karen is a former advisor to Hillary Clinton.”

SURVEY continued from page 2

**What would you suggest to someone moving to your city?**

AZ: “I did a little bit of everything—some corporate, mostly litigation, and a pro bono matter. I tried to do as much litigation as possible, and did a lot of sports litigation, antitrust, and insurance-related antitrust cases. I was excited about the opportunity to do some substantive work that ended up being seen by a judge.” – NYC ’19

**Did spending the summer at a firm influence your decision to do corporate work (area of practice, firm vs. in-house, private vs. public, etc.)?**

AZ: “A bit in terms of area of practice, yes.” – DC ’19

**What is the status of the suit?**

ES: “The defendants’ motion to dismiss was just denied by Judge Susan Polos of the Western District of Virginia. We are expected to go to trial in July 2019.”

Elizabeth Sines ’19. Photo courtesy of Elizabeth Sines


2. “I was surprised by the amount of pro bono work that I was able to do. [My firm] has a long pro bono practice that spans a range of areas from immigration to domestic violence.” – NYC ’19

3. “How helpful and patient the first-year associates were.” – Chicago ’19

4. “To weeks feel much longer than 8 weeks.” – Housto n ’19

5. “Though I appreciated getting a glimpse into first year associate life, I didn’t anticipate working as hard and as long as I did.” – Wilmington ’19

6. “I think it’s valuable to try different practice areas at the beginning of the summer, but to not be bound by letting your preferences known once you’ve gained some experience. Beyond that, it’s important to socialize and get to know the people you’ll be working with (both attorneys and the other summer associates). I lived in Brooklyn over the summer and...”
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Unnamed Gunner v. Section D

VanderMeulen, C.J., announced the opinion of the Court, in which Jani and Ranzini joined, and in which Taylor Elicegui joined, filed a concurring opinion in which Schmaziel, J., joined.

Chief Justice VanderMeulen, for the Court.

As the new term begins, this Pettty Court finds itself once again beset by petitions to solve the most pressing questions facing U.Va. Law. But before we resolve once and for all these vexing conflicts, we must once more address the issue that most plagues this Court’s docket: the lamentable prevalence of gunning in the Law School. Seasoned denizens of this Law School will find the uncounted cases of this grave problem distressing.

I

The case at bar concerns a group of inchoate offenses committed by petitioner’s colleagues. Professor Coughlin is a group Professor Jeffries, later joined the case as amicus curiae. The case concerns the propriety of a group in the Law School and the Law School’s role in addressing the issue that most plagues this Court’s docket: the lamentable prevalence of gunning in the Law School.

II

Respondents have had, to this point, just five days of legal education, so it is to be expected that their brief is less than clear regarding their exact legal theory, but we really don’t like gunning so we’re just gonna go with it.

This Court’s precedents may be all over the map on such issues as massage chairs, K-cups, and disputes about proper use of the Office snack selection, but we have been crystal clear that gunning is not, beyond reasonable doubt, the counterfeit of a legal dispute.

III

Petitioner here fails to present respondents’ summary judgment motion because, in addition to failing to show there exists a genuine factual dispute, he is super annoying. In his brief before this Court, petitioner claims his questions were of the highest philosophical value, pedagogically designed to challenge—nay, present an affront to—[his] fellow students’ most dearly held beliefs with the hope of provoking genuine conversation.

He also notes that he considers it his personal responsibility “to weed out pockets of boorish and reactionary thinking among the student body, especially as it relates to the canard of free will.” It goes on like this, for, like, 26 pages and (other than some really bizarre and delightful citations to Bill Murray’s Groundhog Day) reeeeeeally sucks. This Court generally urges the lower courts to exercise caution in declaring the presumption of damages that comes along with “actual annoyance,” and lower court judges have struggled to apply the standard, but dammit Judge K-Don, well you did a fantastic job applying that standard here.

Spot on, seriously. This sort of gunning is, to quote Justice Holmes, “the fucking worst,” and it must stop.

The concurrence is a bit

Footnotes

1. For the last time, 3Ls, we need you to join Section I, who are doing a little reading this summer that “caused [him] to reexamine the way [he] looked at the notion of free will and its relationship with culpability and punishment generally,” he wondered if [Professor Coughlin] might comment on...

2. From this point none of petitioner’s colleagues can recall his comments, due both to their stupor-inducing boredom and the infuriatingly self-satisfied way in which he said ‘canard’...

3. Note to all students: this is one of those words (like “pedantic”) that cannot be used unpunitably.

4. See Petty Rule of Civil Procedure: “We do what we want.”

5. As the new term begins, this Pettty Court finds itself once again beset by petitions to solve the most pressing questions facing U.Va. Law. But before we resolve once and for all these vexing conflicts, we must once more address the issue that most plagues this Court’s docket: the lamentable prevalence of gunning in the Law School. Seasoned denizens of this Law School will find the uncounted cases of this grave problem distressing.

6. The case at bar concerns a group of inchoate offenses committed by petitioner’s colleagues. Professor Coughlin is a group Professor Jeffries, later joined the case as amicus curiae. The case concerns the propriety of a group in the Law School and the Law School’s role in addressing the issue that most plagues this Court’s docket: the lamentable prevalence of gunning in the Law School.

7. Respondents have had, to this point, just five days of legal education, so it is to be expected that their brief is less than clear regarding their exact legal theory, but we really don’t like gunning so we’re just gonna go with it.

8. This Court’s precedents may be all over the map on such issues as massage chairs, K-cups, and disputes about proper use of the Office snack selection, but we have been crystal clear that gunning is not, beyond reasonable doubt, the counterfeit of a legal dispute.

9. Petitioner here fails to present respondents’ summary judgment motion because, in addition to failing to show there exists a genuine factual dispute, he is super annoying. In his brief before this Court, petitioner claims his questions were of the highest philosophical value, pedagogically designed to challenge—nay, present an affront to—[his] fellow students’ most dearly held beliefs with the hope of provoking genuine conversation.

He also notes that he considers it his personal responsibility “to weed out pockets of boorish and reactionary thinking among the student body, especially as it relates to the canard of free will.” It goes on like this, for, like, 26 pages and (other than some really bizarre and delightful citations to Bill Murray’s Groundhog Day) reeeeeeally sucks. This Court generally urges the lower courts to exercise caution in declaring the presumption of damages that comes along with “actual annoyance,” and lower court judges have struggled to apply the standard, but dammit Judge K-Don, well you did a fantastic job applying that standard here.

Spot on, seriously. This sort of gunning is, to quote Justice Holmes, “the fucking worst,” and it must stop.

The concurrence is a bit

Faculty Quotes

M. Collins: “This is sort of a judicial food fight.”
B. Armacost: “I’m gonna sue your butt.”
J. Verkerke: “I am your benevolent dictator.”
J. Harrison: “Whenever SCOTUS says something, lower courts have to ask themselves, ‘Wait, were they kidding?’”
J. Johnston: “You can kill a lot of people in North Carolina for the price of one person in New Jersey”
A. Bamzai: “Some of what I’m saying is in the book, and you ought to know that.”
K. Kordana: “What if he loses his job for inopportune reasons? He dies.”
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wishy-washy," but the Court does not blame Justices Hopkins and Schmitz for protecting their ILS. The Court wishes it could have confidence that few ILS could be so annoying as the petitioner in this case, but, alas, long experience has not taught us to doubt the infuriating self-satisfaction of law students.

IV

Judge K-Don’s award of damages to the plaintiffs was judicially and eminently reasonable. Too reasonable, in fact. We’re doubling it, because it’s what the petitioner wants, and it’s like we never saw the disappointment in Professor Abraham’s eyes after our Torts exams. Judge K-Don’s judgment is affirmed, and the award of damages is doubled. Let this be a warning to future litigants that a rascal Justice Schmitz, as the Court slyly alludes to in its salty footnote:

For instance, I do not want the citizens of UVa Law to study in fear during exam season. This Court has often proclaimed that fall exam season officially starts after the Auction has concluded and ends after you drunkenly make out with a 1L at Trinity following the last exam period. Any actions taken during this period can be rightfully attributed to “en- am-time crazies” if the student meets the Court’s other qualifications—mostly, if we like you.

Furthermore, since I am a Peer Advisor during my leisure time off the Court, any comments made by my 1LS will be defended as they are my ducklings.

jms5af@virginia.edu

6. What’s your favorite sound?

My mom’s family has actually owned a county flag, which is just one single tobacco leaf. It’s only about 45 minutes from D.C. without traffic, but it feels like a world away.

9. What did you have for breakfast this morning?... a Bodo’s bagel with sausage and provolone and a medium Diet Coke. The one consistent thing in my life.

10. What’s your most interesting two-truths-and-a-lie? (Who’d win the tie?)

I’ve been skydiving, paragliding, and bungee jumping. (Bungee jumping is the lie: a vision of the rope snapping is too engrained in my head)

11. What’s the best gift you’ve ever received?

This year, I’m on the committee for the first time and I can’t wait to see what happens!

12. What’s the best meal you’ve ever had?

Everything bagel with sausage and provolone from Bodo’s and a Diet Coke. I will also say it tastes even better when you get that #1 ticket of the day.

13. What’s the best gift you’ve ever given?

To my younger self. I would recommend studying for your final exam and the next morning not trying to figure out how you failed the previous exam.

14. If you could have $1 million today, what would you do with it?

Buy an island or a house in Maui (I would love to live there).

15. What is the best concert you have ever been to?

“Philthy is a wonderful city! There’s a new Lebanese restaurant in Fishtrap I recommend—it’s called Suraya. There are many great firms in the city that work if in NY is too much for you and you’re not a D.C.-type person. Plus, our football team isn’t so bad right now.”—Philly ‘20

“Follow the crowds and get out of the city on the weekends - there are so many things to do outdoors. And if you don’t check out Bubu and/or Blue for lunch, you’re missing out.”—Denver ‘19

“Explore! Days can be long but make time for fun.”—D.C. ‘20

“New York City is a place of endless possibilities! There are so many great restaurants (Joe’s Shanghai, V&T Pizzeria, Sarabeth’s) and things to do (free kayaking on the Hudson River during the summer, Shakespeare in the Park, free concerts in Central Park).”—NYC ‘19

“Get out to do something every day after work. Chicago has so much to offer so there’s always something new to do. Also, I would suggest living within walking distance to your office.”—Chicago ‘19

“Houston has the best restaurants for reasonable prices. Try everything.”—Houston ‘19

“Live in Brooklyn—it’s so much more peaceful.”—NYC ‘19

“If you really, really want a spicy burger, go to Farmer and the Cow (they also serve boozy milkshakes).”—Wilming ton ‘19

Will you be returning to your Summer 2018 Employment Next Year?

[See chart.

20. What are the 7 wonders of the law school?

Snacks in the law school, the absolutely magical BFF relationship between Dean Goloboff and Vice Dean Kendrick, the Libel Show, how WB is always so freakin’ cold even when the rest of the school is toasty, Mandy, the snow day decisionmaking system, Professor Dick Howard, and the Law School 5

“HOT
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will highly recommend it for people working in NY. It’s very eye-opening on the weekends (at least in the mornings before meeting up with others in the city) to be somewhere with less people, more space, and a different vibe.”—NYC ‘19

“D.C. wishes it could have protected their ILS. The Court slyly alludes to in its salty footnote:

For instance, I do not want the citizens of UVa Law to study in fear during exam season. This Court has often proclaimed that fall exam season officially starts after the Auction has concluded and ends after you drunkenly make out with a 1L at Trinity following the last exam period. Any actions taken during this period can be rightfully attributed to “ex- am-time crazies” if the student meets the Court’s other qualifications—mostly, if we like you.”

Furthermore, since I am a Peer Advisor during my leisure time off the Court, any comments made by my 1LS will be defended as they are my ducklings.

jms5af@virginia.edu

7. The best way to never be called out by the Court is to attend editing sessions on Mon- days at 5:30 p.m. in SL 279.

8. If you could see one celebrity, who would it be and why?

J.K. Rowling, the guiding light of my life. I have so many questions for her. How was she able to chart out the entire T \n Amazing Harry Potter se- ries before even writing the first book? Why does only Harry’s birthday get celebrated out of the trio? WHY DOBBY?

11. What is the best gift you’ve ever received?

For our first Christmas to- gether, my fiancé got me a set of all the Harry Potter books because he noticed that I’d read mine so much that some of them were actually falling apart and borderline unreadable. That’s when I knew he was the one.

12. What’s the least favor- able sound?

“A tug between people chewing and people scraping their teeth on their silverware. Both drive me utterly insane.”

13. What’s the best meal you’ve ever had?

“Bodo’s bagel with sausage and provolone and a medium Diet Coke. The one consistent thing in my life.”

14. If you could have $1 million today, what would you do with it?

Buy an island or a house in Maui (I would love to live there).
Be a part of Virginia Law history.

Join the Law Weekly. We need editors, writers, photographers, and cartoonists. Pizza and law school gossip gratis.

Mondays at 5:30 pm in SL279

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME</th>
<th>EVENT</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
<th>COST</th>
<th>FOOD?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:30 - 17:30</td>
<td>&quot;Liberalism's Religion&quot; with Cecile Laborde</td>
<td>Purcell</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>God will provide, all others brown bag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00 - 19:00</td>
<td>Student Activities Fair</td>
<td>Spies Garden</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Yes, by Law Weekly and other, lesser, student organs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:00</td>
<td>Public Service Kickoff</td>
<td>Caplin Pavilion</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 - 12:30</td>
<td>&quot;What I Wish I'd Known as a 1L&quot;</td>
<td>WB 105</td>
<td>Free with Symplicity RSVP</td>
<td>Pizza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 - 13:00</td>
<td>Reforming Our Criminal Justice System: Refine or Replace?</td>
<td>Caplin Pavilion</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Chick-Fil-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00 - 13:00</td>
<td>J.B. Moore Int'l Law Soc. Info Session</td>
<td>WB 101</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Moez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:30 - 19:00</td>
<td>Lambda Law General Body Meeting</td>
<td>Caplin Pavilion</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21:00 - 22:00</td>
<td>UPC Presents: Comedian Sasheer Zamata</td>
<td>Old Cabell Hall</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 - 13:30</td>
<td>Jewish Law Assoc. 1L Lunch</td>
<td>WB 114</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Zoe's Kitchen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00 - 19:30</td>
<td>Fridays After Five: Tribute to Prince</td>
<td>Culbreth Theater Lobby</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:30 - 19:00</td>
<td>UVA Drama Fall Signups</td>
<td>Room 115 C Drama Ed Bldg</td>
<td>Free (1-2 min. contemporary or verse monologue)</td>
<td>Not unless &quot;Tartuffe&quot; is a kind of food?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00 - 11:00</td>
<td>Larry Sabato's Crystal Ball</td>
<td>Alumni Hall Ballroom</td>
<td>Free with online registration</td>
<td>51% polled say no (margin of error 15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:30 - 18:30</td>
<td>WTJU Freefall Presents: $12 Bacon</td>
<td>IX Park</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Food trucks onsite, Bacon outlook uncertain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00 - 15:30</td>
<td>Singer-Songwriter Alice Clair</td>
<td>Batesville Market</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:00</td>
<td>Open Mic at Twisted Branch</td>
<td>Twisted Branch Cafe Bazaar</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>Available onsite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:00</td>
<td>Virginia Consort Sing-In: Mozart's Requiem</td>
<td>Church of the Incarnation, Seminole Tr.</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19:00</td>
<td>Beginner Swing Dance Lesson Series</td>
<td>The Front Porch</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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