Homecoming in the Wrong Colors

Stan Birch ’22
Staff Editor

Standing on the Lawn, drinking a light beer, and shivering to stay warm was the most undergrad thing I’ve done since graduating. Then again, it was Homecoming. The problem for me: I was cheering for the other team.

I grew up watching games in Scott Stadium whenever an alumni weekend brought my family up. Back then it was obvious which team to root for, but after matriculating at Georgia Tech, the annual bet for, but after matriculating at Georgia Tech, the annual bet was to try to win the GT game struck up. With my dad on who would win the GT game struck up. We gave each other grief for years, but when I announced I was going to the Law School, my dad was happy to bet on all other Hoo in the family. After cheering for the Cavaliers all season, I had to make the tough decision about which team to cheer for.

As I got dressed in my usual white and gold, I was comforted knowing that twelve of my college friends were going to be dressed to match and sitting around me. What I wasn’t prepared for was that they would be late, and I would be standing on the Law School’s cold, gold, banding the ingredients for several microwavable meals, for forty-five minutes. As soon as they showed up, I realized that all of that was quickly going to be fixed, except the cold.

A few minutes later, a snappily-dressed man approached my friends and was kind enough to loan them some solo cups, welcoming them to the University but cautioning them that “The Lawn does not have a liquor license.” Drinks were transferred, thanks were given, and he disappeared as swiftly and elegantly as he had arrived. As we mingled with the parents and residents of the class, it was easy to see my friends really got a feel for what it was like.

1. In my five years in college (yeah, you read that right), the Yellow Jackets were 4-1 against the Cavaliers.

2. I’ve since been informed this may or may not have been Dean of Students, Allen Groves.

---
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The 2019 PILA festivities began this year with a dramatic live auction that pit- ted professors and students against each other. Students in viesen battles to obtain cookies, fancy artwork, and the right to sit in the face. Expertly emceed by Profes- sors Charles Barzun and Kimberly Ferran, the Live Auction packed Caplin Pa- vilion with students, some of whom found themselves purchasing items that they did not actually expect to win. Items that engaged some of the most ferocious bidding wars included a six-month supply of cookies from Lena Welch ’20 (purch- ased by Professor Cris- tal Shin, who prevailed in a brutal battle against Profes- sor Cale Jaffe) and the right to pie 2L Dominique Fenton in the face, which ultimately went to Assistant Dean for Public Service Annie Kim, who threw the pie with such enthusiasm that even Fenton seemed surprised by its im- pact.

Professor-hosted events also sparked dramatic Live Auction bidding wars—even by professors. In one dra- matic fracas, Professor Debro- rah Hellman beat a team of eager student bidders vying for a chance to enjoy a St. Patrick’s Day meal with Pro- fessors Anne Coughlin and Barbara Armacost. While Professor Hellman men- tioned that she felt kind of bad about out-bidding stu- dents, she still prevailed, and we appreciate her do- nation, even though our St. Patrick’s Day experience will be slightly less exciting. In the spirit of balance inspired by Thanos, each of the Law School bands’ performance were sold for $200, and ev- eryone breathed a sigh of re- lief. This was despite Profes- sor Barzun’s declaration that the Gunners’ band was “the” Law School band, which amounts to fighting words in thirty-seven states including Virginia.2

On Saturday night, theWAITING GAMES  (conclud- ers her at a price high- er than one student claimed to have bid, Professor Barzun thanked the student for his dona- tion to PILA. As PILA grant recipients, we thank him, too. Rumor has it, Vice Dean Kendrick purchased her own cardboard cutout for $1,000 so students couldn’t hang out and vape with it in the back of her classes.
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around north grounds

Thumbs up to veterans. ANG never served, but did take part in the great ScoCo food fight of ’16. Salami was brought to the ceiling for days.

Thumbs down to 1Ls who creep- ily join upperclassmen at tables when there are other ones open. ANG has no sassy ad- vice to give, and in the spirit of Christmas ANG is the grinch and wants to be left alone.

Thumbs down to anto-social season starting. ANG al- ready doesn’t en- joy small talk, but now it’s completely acceptable to blow someone off and blame it on upcoming final’s pressure.

Thumbs up to the signal seen generally. ANG has been using this signal to avoid people, and more than the synopsis for all of ANG’s 5-10 years of law school.

Thumbs down to the bartender at PILA who hesi- tated to repour a drink with moldy ice. ANG is already sick of school and doesn’t need to actually get sick too.

Thumbs up to the still living pres- tige of the Class of 2019’s honor pledge hanging up in ScoCo. ANG appreciates it’s been a lawless world since, with no posting of responsibility.

Thumbs down to the cold weather during the PILA weekend. Thanks, Stephen T. Parr (P.S. ANG misses you down with Allen T. Groves).

Thumbs up to the person singing Madonna for start- her concerts two hours late. ANG can’t wait to see what the mate- rial girl (or, as she calls herself, “A Queen who is never late”).

---

1. In my five years in college (yeah, you read that right), the Yellow Jackets were 4-1 against the Cavaliers.

2. I’ve since been informed this may or may not have been Dean of Students, Allen Groves.
On Thursday, November 7, the Center held the third event in the series exploring the current impeachment inquiry. Professor Harrison, as a counselor on the national security and international law in the Office of the Legal Advisor at the U.S. Department of State. Professor Deeks spoke as an expert in international law, particularly as it relates to national security and intelligence. They both took part in a question period at the event.

Deeks, Harrison Discuss National Security and Impeachment

The answer to this question is not clear, but Professor Harrison concluded by pointing out that we may see some plausible arguments concerning the public and electoral interests are of public concern.

Professor Deeks then turned to look at some of the practical ways to examine the personal conduct of the President related to national security. She framed these as positive and negative aspects of the inquiry. Positive, the inquiry can be seen as a way to condemn the President to our country's national security. It may work as a signal to both our allies and enemies that we have more precarious relationships with, as a limit to what the U.S. will accept in the behavior of government officials. However, Professor Deeks also considers the ways in which an impeachment inquiry can severely complicate the government's ability to prop up its legal and moral standing abroad. As Professor Harrison pointed out, the Office of the President is the most empowered of the three branches to protect the country and its national security.

Deeks then noted that the inquiries are heavily disturbing to the political elite but especially those that deal with national security interests—the Executive and the legislature. This distraction could lead to a decimation of our country's mission, national security interests, or public concern?

The answer to this question is not clear, but Professor Harrison concluded by pointing out that we may see some plausible arguments concerning the public and electoral interests are of public concern.
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fly-fishing lessons, tarot card readings, a ride in an old school Mustang, abstract octopus art, and socks. In the category of "creative student donations," the student offering an all-day designated drivers for wineries won first place, followed closely by people offering poker lessons, babysitting, time with dogs, a "Fly Dog aerial party," and pies. The Law Weekly’s Maria Luevano ’21

5 Readers who paid close attention noted the lessons would take place at the law school, which is why they were so cheap. It makes me wonder if Professor Fore is planning on fishing out of that tiny fountain outside Caplin Pavilion.

PILA after noticing that it had assigned its grantees an amount of hours that were difficult to make up, decided that it would take a page out of the medieval church’s playbook and let people buy their way out of obligations. Grantees could spend a potential $39 to be relieved from just one of their PILA hours obligations! One has to wonder whether it would’ve been better for PILA to just reduce the hours requirement in the first place instead of selling indulgences.

While many people say that PILA marks the official start of outlining season, many of people can also be wrong. What PILA does is separate the true bar reviewers from the true nerds. So in the spirit of UVA Law, party on.

jmj3vq@virginia.edu
sll5fg@virginia.edu

6 See, e.g., Pineapple Pizza.
Students with Large Water Bottles v. Thirsty Students

369 U.S. 42 (2019)

Re., Judge, sitting by designation, delivered the opinion of the Court.

The issue before the Court today plays itself out multiple times a day among the predictably full, the intervals, the four days a week during which classes are held at the Law School: thirsty students, realizing the class of Students with Large Water Bottles are definitely going to fill them all the way up, no matter how long it takes, (and despite the fact that it is unlikely that even someone who had just run a half-marathon in D.C., in July, would actually be able to drink that much water during a five-minute class period). The question specifically before the Court today is whether the author of today's opinion of the Court, in which a student of Thirsty Students may enjoin the class of Students with Large Water Bottles from filling them all the way up.

We hold that they cannot.

Earlier in the semester, various students walked into class, took their seats in W.B. live—we are not entirely clear on how plumbing works. In the interest of transparency, we only had time to transparently present the facts as they lie. But because of its natural geography, W.B. is blessed with many places to get water. This was not, after all, a trip all the way to the W.B. coffee shop for a hot beverage. The students arrived at the line and found three students ahead, waiting to fill up personal water storage tanks which they were euphemistically calling “water bottles.”

1. The Petty Appellate Division for the W.B. Circuit ruled in favor of the Thirsty Students and enjoined the Students with Large Water Bottles from filling the bottles all the way up in the Slaughter Circuit, which has not taken Land Use (and the author of today's opinion of the Court, in which a student of Thirsty Students may enjoin the class of Students with Large Water Bottles from filling them all the way up. We hold that they cannot.

2. Then removing the sole reason one might come to school on a Friday.

3. This was not, after all, a trip all the way to the W.B. coffee shop for a hot beverage. The students arrived at the line and found three students ahead, waiting to fill up personal water storage tanks which they were euphemistically calling “water bottles.”

4. Faced with this, the court decided to bring back the bad options of embarrassing a student of thirsty for the next hour, the thirsty students. They seek to enjoin the court from filling all the way up in the W.B. Circuit, claiming a substantive due process right to have right to have water in class.

5. For a refreshing drink of water, only to find their water supply depleted. Each student was troubled by the bad options of embarrassing a student of thirsty for the next hour, the thirsty students. They seek to enjoin the court from filling all the way up in the W.B. Circuit, claiming a substantive due process right to have right to have water in class.

6. The Petty Appellate Division for the W.B. Circuit ruled in favor of the Thirsty Students and enjoined the Students with Large Water Bottles from filling the bottles all the way up in the Slaughter Circuit, which has not taken Land Use (and the author of today's opinion of the Court, in which a student of Thirsty Students may enjoin the class of Students with Large Water Bottles from filling them all the way up. We hold that they cannot.

7. This was not, after all, a trip all the way to the W.B. coffee shop for a hot beverage. The students arrived at the line and found three students ahead, waiting to fill up personal water storage tanks which they were euphemistically calling “water bottles.”

8. Faced with this, the court decided to bring back the bad options of embarrassing a student of thirsty for the next hour, the thirsty students. They seek to enjoin the court from filling all the way up in the W.B. Circuit, claiming a substantive due process right to have right to have water in class.

9. For a refreshing drink of water, only to find their water supply depleted. Each student was troubled by the bad options of embarrassing a student of thirsty for the next hour, the thirsty students. They seek to enjoin the court from filling all the way up in the W.B. Circuit, claiming a substantive due process right to have right to have water in class.
**Club Spotlight: Health Law Association**

When I came to law school, it was explicitly with the goal of practicing health law. But in reality, I did not know what this dream of mine looked like. All I knew was that I am passionate about healthcare reform and that I wanted to understand the law generally before attempting to change it.

**So, what is health law anyway?**

Health law focuses on the rules and regulations that govern the health care industry. The health care industry includes hospitals, hospital systems, health care providers, insurers, pharmaceutical and device manufacturers, individual health care providers, and group providers like nurses. Other psychiatric centers, acute care centers, and health maintenance organizations. Some of the regulations health lawyers work with include the Stark Law, HIPAA, the Anti-Kickback Statute, EMTALA, and individual state privacy laws.

**What do health lawyers do?**

Health lawyers in private practice work across the full spectrum of law, from litigation to transactional to regulatory work. Healthcare litigation most obviously includes medical malpractice but can also include litigating fraud and abuse claims, reimbursement arrangements, or antitrust issues. In the transactional realm, healthcare lawyers serve as deal counsel, expediting deal support, evaluating general corporate matters like tax, contract, and competition negotiation issues. On the regulatory side, healthcare lawyers monitor and provide guidance on Medicare and Medicaid fraud and abuse, confidentiality health reforms. Health lawyers also represent healthcare providers and agencies that regulate the industry.

In the public sector, health care lawyers might work for government agencies like the Department of Health and Human Services, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, or Veterans Affairs. In-house opportunities include hospitals, pharmaceutical companies, or insurance.

This opaqueness about what health law is comprised of is what drove me to run for president of the Health Law Association. Our goals are to: explain what health law is; give students a better view of the different areas of health law; introduce available health law-related classes; and promote a healthy law school environment.

**Previous Events**

This fall, we hosted a meet and greet with health law-adjoining professors in order to give students, especially 1Ls, an idea of what they might take if they were interested in practicing health law. A common area of confusion is when students have an interest in a particular field of law, but they do not know what health law is. So, we set out to reach that goal. We hoped to remove some of that ambiguity by having health lawyers talk about their courses offerings in the coming semesters. We hoped that this helped with course planning, not just next semester, but for a holistic law school career.

I also had the chance to speak at a University Democrats meeting on Main Grounds earlier this semester about the vastness of health law and how to translate an interest in healthcare reform and policy into a legal career. One of my big goals as president is to expand our membership beyond the walls of the Law School, because health law is something that truly touches all of us. Since that meeting, we have recruited regular attendees of HLA events from Main Grounds.

**Upcoming Events and Goals**

Next semester, we are planning a healthcare reform debate in advance of the March primaries. It goes without saying that healthcare reform is at the forefront of the election news cycle, and we hope to shed some light on the legal status of the Affordable Care Act and the legal implications of candidates’ proposed reforms.

The 2019 American Health Justice Conference, we are co-sponsoring a panel with Landa that focuses on Disability Rights Advocacy. 30 Years After the Americans with Disabilities Act. We are also co-sponsoring an event on maternal health with If/When and how and another on food labeling with FLA. We are always looking for co-sponsorship opportunities, so if your organization is interested in planning something health-focused, please reach out!

shub@vuga@virginia.edu

**University of Virginia**

**Health Law Association**

---

**Hot Bench**

**COPA continued from page 4**

Today’s Court is not inclined to step in with equitable remedies. Though it is not inclined to step in with equitable remedies, it is worth noting, it would certainly be within the Court’s authority to do so. See Petty Rule of Procedure 1: We do what we want.

---

**Because we do not want this opinion to drag on unnecessarily with forensic distinctions and unhelpful legal analysis (see, e.g., no U.S. Supreme Court opinion ever), we will not offer our opinion here. While SBA, Dean Goluboff, or non-legal considerations like thoughtfulness are free to step in to address the issue of water bottle line speed, we decline to do so here.

The judgment of the Petty Appellate Division for the W.B. Circuit is VACATED.

It is so ordered.

udr3mq@virginia.edu

---

**Homecoming continued from page 2**

their locker rooms, the UVA Marching Band took the field. After a few classics, they were joined by members of local high school marching bands for a “Hail to the University of Virginia.” It was a fun distraction from the cold, but seemed more like a thinly-veiled excuse to play John Adams’ “Summer of 66.”

The second half had a slow start and an unceremonious finish. The Ramblin’ Wreck far beat the seventeenth-point spread, but with the final result everyone anticipated. Just before my friends and I left the game, I spotted a family a few rows in front of me, all dressed in Georgia Tech apparel, except one of their kids had read “40 Years NGISL” and the front had the distinct “V Law.” We chatted for a moment and his family offered up a few jokes at their dad’s expense. While the rivalry in his family was strong, I could tell in his parting words one thing his family and my friends could all agree on: “Better Georgia than Georgia.”

Getting ready for the PILA Auction, I regretted every decision I had made during the festivities began at 7 a.m. It turned out far better than I could have imagined. The first few days of the long weekend were still young. I might have been more into it if they had rung the bell to the University of Georgia. I can’t answer that. I can’t help but remember that I was there.

---

*Editor’s note: He already graduated...

shub@vuga@virginia.edu

---

**Unobstructed Vision: A Journal of Critical Legal Studies**

Wednesday, 13 November 2019

**VIRGINIA LAW WEEKLY**

**Hot Bench 5**

---

**Lena Welch ‘20**

Hi Lena, welcome to Hot Bench! Where are you from?

McLean, Va.

Tell us something about McLean?

Mmmm, I don’t like it. I do like Chesapeake Bagel Bakery though.

Who will you root for when UNC comes to JPJ Dec. 7?

When UNC comes to JPJ stadium.

Tell us something about your baking?

What’s something at which you’re elite?

When people do not use a dictionary, they play soccer and lacrosse.

What do you do with your cat Draco?

What don’t I like to do with my cat? He’s my favorite guy.

What song would play if you could do anything at will?

“I choose my pet peeve.

How do you give people nicknames or, as you like to call them, codenames?

I told you. You take someone’s first and last initials, want to kick in the head?

What will you miss most about the Law School that you most miss? Um, it’s a real bummer.

If you could live anywhere, where would it be?

Scotland.

What’s one movie that left an impression on you?

The Shawshank Redemption.

What’s your favorite thing about planning PILA?

The fact that we have recruited an interest in healthcare reform and policy into a legal career. One of my big goals as president is to expand our membership beyond the walls of the Law School, because health law is something that truly touches all of us. Since that meeting, we have recruited regular attendees of HLA events from Main Grounds.

What was your favorite thing about planning PILA?

Well, the Live Auction was the most fun, so I am saying the items that went into that.

Who is the person at the Law School that you most want to kick in the head?

Bill Re ‘71 thought I couldn’t kick in the head, so he is top of the list.

How do you give people nicknames or, as you like to call them, codenames?

I am a big believer in broadside milkshakes.

Favorite place in Charlottesville?

Klöckner Stadium, where they play soccer and lacrosse.

Best episode of GGB?

It’s the one with the 2011-2012 season (I love Ta-Mar). Killer finishers, and some of my favorite showstoppers.

Favorite food?

Never met a carb I didn’t like, but let’s say chocolate chip cookies. Also, I’m a big believer in breakfast milkshakes.

Favorite place in Charlottesville?

Klöckner Stadium, where they play soccer and lacrosse, which I actually played at UVa before I came to Law School, because I love watching my team play there. It’s a beautiful stadium.

What’s your favorite UVA Sport? (

I can’t answer that.

What song would play in the background of your home?

Right now, it’s probably the Whitney-Kygo version of “Your Love.”

What’s your favorite way of social media?

What are your favorite captions?

What’s the first thing about your cat Draco?

What’s one movie that left an impression on you?

The Shawshank Redemption.

What’s your favorite thing about planning PILA?

The fact that we have recruited an interest in healthcare reform and policy into a legal career. One of my big goals as president is to expand our membership beyond the walls of the Law School, because health law is something that truly touches all of us. Since that meeting, we have recruited regular attendees of HLA events from Main Grounds.

Upcoming Events and Goals

Next semester, we are planning a healthcare reform debate in advance of the March primaries. It goes without saying that healthcare reform is at the forefront of the election news cycle, and we hope to shed some light on the legal status of the Affordable Care Act and the legal implications of candidates’ proposed reforms.

The 2019 American Health Justice Conference, we are co-sponsoring a panel with Landa that focuses on Disability Rights Advocacy. 30 Years After the Americans with Disabilities Act. We are also co-sponsoring an event on maternal health with If/When and how and another on food labeling with FLA. We are always looking for co-sponsorship opportunities, so if your organization is interested in planning something health-focused, please reach out!

shub@vuga@virginia.edu

University of Virginia

Health Law Association

---

**Natasha Shub**

---
Letter to the Editor

Letters of interest to the Law School community may be sent to editor@lawweekly.org. Letters may be published at the discretion of the Editorial Board and are subject to editing for grammar, style, and clarity, but not content or viewpoint. The Law Weekly does not necessarily endorse the content or viewpoint of any letter herein published.

Michael Berdan ’22

A Poem About Memos

"Twas the night before memo and all through Withers-Brown,
All the 1Ls were scurrying, each wearing a frown;
Case printouts were scattered 'cross library spots,
In hopes the papers themselves would connect all the dots.
The 1Ls did type, fast and furiously.
Not into Word—but their secret
The staff came 'round to give them the boot,
"This memo, my dudes!"
"No," he said, "Look how many likes on my meme!"
So the hours ticked by and the poor 1Ls struggled,
With social life and academics precariously juggled.
When the sun came up, they had a memo completed,
They'd won over the task, tho' they all looked defeated.
Fourteen pages—no more!
That's just what's required!
Crest that page break! Submit to Canvas! 'Fore time has expired!
The 1Ls were left, at the end of our tale,
Saying "Thanks for the LOLs, and thank God it's pass-fail!"

Look how many!" one asked.
"Pages, you mean?"
"No," he said, "Look how many likes on my meme!"

---
mwb4pk@virginia.edu

Do you have a tradition for the holidays? If so, write in to the Law Weekly by Sunday, November 17 at 5 P.M. at editor@lawweekly.org, and the Law Weekly will feature it in the next edition!
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Solution