A Message of Unity

Joint statement by the Virginia Law Democrats and the Virginia Law Republicans

After the events of August 11 and 12, leaders of both the Law Democrats and the Law Republicans have come together to talk about whether we could meaningfully add to the cadre of voices sharing their experiences of the horrors that shook our community. The labels “Democrat” and “Republican” were not the targets of those bearing torches, perpetuating violence, and carrying messages of hate and bigotry. We cannot, therefore, speak to what it must feel to be threatened in the manner so many were on those awful days.

We can, however, speak to the shared pain the events of those days have caused our community. We can say with confidence that we all felt it then and we all feel it now. After discussions between leaders from both organizations, we decided to offer our community this: In a time of division and uncertainty, we want to offer an example of unity and direction, a statement of communality connecting two sides that too often today seem diametrically opposed.

The following is therefore a statement made on behalf of both the Law Democrats and the Law Republicans, sharing our unified perspective on the events that occurred in Charlottesville on August 11 and 12 and our unified perspective for the path forward for our community.

First, we wish to state, unequivocally: We renounce neo-Nazis, white supremacists, and any other group who would seek to use violence, hatred, bigotry, or fear as a tool of suppression of the freedom of anyone to be a part of our community. Such groups have no place in our party members, or in our political discourse.

Second, we express our support for those who may feel ostracized or fearful as a result of the events of August 11 and 12. We offer our support for those facing violence against them because of their identity or belief, including race, religion, national origin, gender, or creed. We stand with you today and always. We renew our commitment to the protection and full inclusion in our community.

Third, we make two requests:
- First, a request for democratic engagement. The second is a call for moral courage.
- That so many felt comfortably publically advocating such a racist and violent agenda. August 11 and 12 undeniably shows how far our society still has to go to eliminate hate and injustice. Though our parties may sometimes disagree regarding policy on how to achieve that goal, we share beliefs that reveal our unity. We can all agree, for example, that the events of August 11 and 12 reveal the need for strong public leadership. Now, more than ever, our community needs leaders for the common good.

Virginia Votes: An Election Preview

Diligent students of American politics will know that most American states hold state elections in even-numbered years to coincide with federal elections. There are five exceptions: Kentucky, Mississippi, and Louisiana, which hold statewide elections during the year prior to presidential elections; and New Jersey and Virginia, which elect their statewide officials in the year following the election of the president. These elections are often viewed, fairly or not, as signs of political things to come; the 2009 election of Republicans Chris Christie and Bob McDonnell as governors of, respectively, New Jersey and Virginia—held just a year after Barack Obama’s election as President—widely interpreted as a harbinger of the 2010 Republican wave in Congress.

Virginia is unique among the fifty states in another way: It is the only state to forbid its governor from serving consecutive terms. As such, Governor Terry McAuliffe, a Democrat elected in 2010, is ineligible to seek re-election, and Virginia will have a new governor in January of 2018. Virginia Republicans nominated Ed Gillespie, a former chairman of the Republican National Committee under George W. Bush and unsuccessful nominee for the U.S. Senate in 2014. Virginia Democrats nominated Ralph Northam, a physician and former state senator. Northam defeated former Congresswoman Tom Perriello (D-Charlottesville) in a fiercely contested primary election that many in the nation’s media portrayed as a “Hillary Clinton-Bernie Sanders redux,” with Northam representing the more moderate Clinton wing. Accompanied on election night only by a sleepy New Jersey gubernatorial election—Chris Christie’s lieutenant governor, Republican Kim Guadagno, is expected to lose handily to Democ- ratic financier Phil Murphy in the shadow of Christie’s worse-than-expected approval ratings—Virginia’s election for governor has attracted an outsized spotlight of polling and commentary. Gillespie ran a surprisingly close race for Senate in 2014, nearly pulling off a massive upset to defeat popular Democratic Sen. Mark Warner even as Virginia continues to lean more Democratic. Northam, meanwhile, cruised to victory in 2013, pummeling Republican Ken Cuccinelli by 55-45 even as McAuliffe only narrowly defeated conservative Attorney General Ken Cuccinelli to win the Governor’s race. Focus on the race grew with the competitive Northam-Perrillo primary, and especially further when Gillespie had more difficulty than expected in defeating Prince William County Board of Supervisors Chair Corey Stewart in the Republican primary. Stewart, a native Virginian and staunch supporter of Presi- dent Donald Trump, centered his campaign around “protecting Virginia’s heritage,” including its Confederate statues, and derisively labeled Gillespie “Establishment Ed.” On election night, Gillespie defeated Stewart by fewer than 5,000 votes out of more than 965,000 cast.

The result of the November general election has been sharp-elbowed and well funded. Virginians with television sets are by now well versed in each side’s attack; Gillespie, says Northam, is a Trump-loving, unconfes- sionate apologist who would in- hibit a Handsomder’s Tail-like future on Virginia’s women. Northam, according to Gillespie, is soft on MS-13—the notorious Central American gang—and out to erase Virginia’s glorious Confederate history. Northam raised more than $7 million in September alone, while Gillespie pulled in nearly $4.5 million in the same time period. Polling of the race is wildly divergent. A Real Clear Politics poll on October 25 had Gillespie up eight points, while an October 30 poll from Quinnipiac Uni- versity gave Northam a seven- teen-point lead. The Real Clear Politics average gives Northam a six-point lead.

\[1\] https://www.npr.org/2017/10/15/532704782/northam-leads-gillespie-by-8-points-in-virginia-a-race
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ANG Alumni Spotlight: Angelo放出 some of Angélo’s efforts to re- connect with alumni; ANG has decided to honor one alum, drawn at random. This year’s honoree: former Law Student, Billy Congrats, Billy! ANG isn’t sure what you’re up to these days, but your time at UVA’s re- taxiing and fulfilling!

Thumbs up to all the Law Students who are still optimistic about the course selec- tion process. ANG, however, asked for eight potential classes to be controlled in a two-credit hour class that ANG never signed up for... best-jason

Thumbs down to the University for Yuli Gari- nel of the Arts. ANG hasn’t been so corre- sponded with “rules” since the three incoherent Con emoji. ANG wishes they would respect students with teeth.

Thumbs up to the World Series. ANG is rooting for the Cubs so that they would see their fans as brothers because of their ancestral connection to Brooklyn. ANG is concerned about the black-market fruit snacks on the Brooklyn-bound Q train, but is also pleasantly accommodating. Go Dodgers!

Thumbs down to the $149 ticket law failed to a Canadian man for belting out 1990s dance hits in his car. ANG hopes this isn’t re- flective of the post-Canadian-in- vasion society that Kordana has been warning us about.

Thumbs up to the Halloween Carnival in Spies Gar- den. ANG is thrilled to this year’s tricks, with wandering toddlers dressed as animals is directly cor- related with television sets are by now well versed in each side’s attack; Gillespie, says Northam, is a Trump-loving, unconfes- sionate apologist who would in- hibit a Handsomder’s Tail-like future on Virginia’s women. Northam, according to Gillespie, is soft on MS-13—the notorious Central American gang—and out to erase Virginia’s glorious Confederate history. Northam raised more than $7 million in September alone, while Gillespie pulled in nearly $4.5 million in the same time period. Polling of the race is wildly divergent. A Real Clear Politics poll on October 25 had Gillespie up eight points, while an October 30 poll from Quinnipiac Uni- versity gave Northam a seven- teen-point lead. The Real Clear Politics average gives Northam a six-point lead.

\[1\] https://www.npr.org/2017/10/15/532704782/northam-leads-gillespie-by-8-points-in-virginia-a-race


The term "women of color" is often defined in the negative. Sometimes, all women are erased. This is especially true of Eliza May (she/her/hers), President of Women of Color, who is not "white." But this definition, despite its remaining broadness, is inadequate. It does not capture those who are white-passing but do not identify as such. And trying to define this term in the positive raises even more challenges. In the discourse of race, gender, and ethnicity, "women of color" carries several different definitions. For some, only certain Asians are included in this umbrella category. Meanwhile, the U.S. Census Bureau considers "Hispanic" an ethnicity, not a race. In each of these cases, these distinctions are imposed upon individuals. They are based on an outsider’s perception of identity, ignoring the lived experiences of those who are so labeled. For those who do not fit within the black/white, male/female binaries, and for those whose identity is not readily apparent, such mislabeling can have detrimental effects on one’s self-perception and on established pathways of intersec-
tional solidarity.  

Intersectionality is a similarly important concept that is hard to define. In short, intersectionality recognizes that people hold multiple identities. It recognizes that one cannot examine gender in a vacuum—one must also address one aspect of identity, while overlooking the overlapping of identities. In doing so, we fail to properly address the reality of discrimination, which operates across gender, racial, and class lines, and with dispro-
ortionate force. This oversight allows systemic injus
tice and inequality to persist. One way in which we hope to dismantle systemic injustice is through solidarity. Too often, the burdens of oppression and the respon-
sibility of our organization is within our reach. Again, it is difficult to define exactly what Women of Color is because of our diversity of identities and values. But it is also our strength because we represent a multitude of viewpoints and thus can highlight many different issues.

When Women of Color was revived two years ago by Dana Wallace, she was not sure if there would be enough interest in the organization to keep it going, but her hope for the organization was that it could be as vibrant and strong as it once was. She envisioned creating a supportive space in which women of color could express themselves. She sought to connect students to the re-
sources they needed to do so. After Wallace graduated, we passed the baton to Jasmine Elmi, and continued to work towards achieving our goal.

Opinion: A Return to Process in the AG’s Office

Photo courtesy of Women of Color

It’s Time for a “Virginia” Law on Marriage

Virginia Law Journal’s symposium on “progressive” federalism under the Virginia Environmental Law Journal’s symposium on “progressive” federalism under the

Our own Professor Saikrishna Prakash has noted in recent scholarship that the duty to de
defend state laws raises serious legal issues that are highly sus-
tceptible to political exploitation across the partisan divide.1 In short, there are many consider-
ations, including state and federal constitutional obligations, a state officer must consider in evaluating his or her duty to defend a state law. Adams’s position is that it is not a blind duty to defend, but a com-
mmitment to defending validly enacted laws, with emphasis on state constitutional provisions.2 This stands in stark contrast to incumbent Mark Herring, who has declined to defend even laws he supported as a state legislator.

At the federal level, the Depart-
ment of Justice has a long-
history of protecting the constitutionality of duly enacted statutes if “reason-
able arguments can be made in their defense.”3 Importantly, however, “the department in
defending statutes despite the availability of professionally responsible arguments, in part because the Department does not consider every plausible argument to be a ‘reasonable’ one.” Accepting former Attorney General Hold-
ner’s permissive standard of rea-
onability, Herring has some explaining to do.

In 2006, Professor A.E. Herr-
ing supported a Virginia Con-
stitutional amendment defining marriage as the union of a man and woman. In 2014, he declined to defend the very same law he supported and voted for.4 Unexplained by Herring’s judgment as short of a constitutional amendment, or Herring’s refusal to defend “reasonable” justification for male specific laws contrary to the 14th Amendment’s guarantee of due process. Though Herring has some explaining to do.

In 2006, Professor A.E. Herr-
ing supported a Virginia Con-
stitutional amendment defining marriage as the union of a man and woman. In 2014, he declined to defend the very same law he supported and voted for.4 Unexplained by Herring’s judgment as short of a constitutional amendment, or Herring’s refusal to defend “reasonable” justification for male specific laws contrary to the 14th Amendment’s guarantee of due process.
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1. Have you ever had a nickname? What? Pheebz (even my parents call me that)
2. What is your favorite word? Why?
3. Where did you grow up?
Fredericksburg, Va.
4. What’s the best meal you’ve ever had?
Any Black Tap Milkshake (I have a huge sweet tooth and love to eat dessert as a meal).
5. If you could meet one celebrity, who would it be and why?
Angie Mar—my fiancée is a huge foodie and we go to the Beatrix Inn for her birthday every year. I would want to meet Angie to ask her if she would give me cooking lessons.
6. If you owned a sports team, what/who would be the mascot? The Notorious RBGs because Ruth Bader Ginsburg is indescribable.
7. If you had to pick one song to play non-stop in the background of your life, what would it be?
A mash-up of “Thunder” by Imagine Dragons and “Rise Up” by Andra Day.
8. If you were a superhero, what would your superpower be? Flying. I hate driving and sitting in traffic (I’m often in a rush). It would be really cool to just zip around.
9. What’s something you wish you’d known about law school before coming to UVA?
Don’t buy any highlighters or water bottles—there are so many free ones here!
10. What did you have for breakfast this morning?
Eggs, bacon, and a biscuit because my fiancée cooked. I only see her on the weekends, so during the week I typically eat a banana.
11. What’s your most interesting two-truths-and-a-lie? (And what’s the lie?)
I once fractured my left foot in eleven places. I accepted a job as an investment banker at Goldman Sachs (I lie, I turned it down). I’ve gotten five stitches on my face without anesth
ring-tox, and—our personal favorite—Section C’s professional mummy wrapping services.

The Community Fellows group brought a basin of apples for bobbing where sisters Kyoko and Ryoko (pictured) could be found sparring with a pair of Hon-eye-trips that refused to be bit. Their mother, Shoko Terrasaka, is an LLM candidate from Japan. Nearby, LLM-candidate Maria Lon
do’s daughter Command
er Emma Osypina (pictured; dressed in a NASA flight suit) took chunks out of a suspended frosted donut.

12. If you could live anywhere, where would it be?
Hawaii
13. What’s the best gift you’ve ever received?
A pogo stick when I was ten, I bounced around on that thing for hours. I had some crazy record of over 1,000 bounces in a row with out falling off.
14. If the Law School had yearbook awards, what would you want to win?
I’m likely not to be a law yer in ten years.
15. If you could know one thing about your future, what would it be?
Will I ever get a Sleep Number mattress?
16. Backstreet Boys or *NSYNC?
I was more into strong fe male vocalists during the 90s—Britney, Missy Elliot, TLC, Christina, Pink
17. What’s your favorite thing to do in Charlottesville?
Charlottesville Farmers’ Market on a Saturday morning.
18. If you could make one law that everyone had to follow, what would it be?
For every complaint, a person also has to propose at least one solution.

STATEMENT
continued from page 1

munity—in Charlottesville and across the country—is in need of leaders who will actively and unequivocally seek to expel forces of evil and injustice. To get leaders like that into power, no matter which party label they bear, we have to vote. We have to participate in state, local and national governance. We have to read the news—beyond just our friends’ Facebook statuses—and we have to actively seek out perspectives that challenge our existing beliefs. Whichever side we support, we must tenna
ciously engage in the peaceful exercise of democracy. It is the most powerful rebuke we can give to those who would seek to undermine it.

Further, we exercise our right to participate in a thriv ing democracy, whether it be through voting, campaigning, issue advocacy, or simply dis

cussions of the day’s issues, we request that our community join us in attempting to do so with civility. Civility means respect and tolerance for those different from ourselves. Civility means judging a person based on their humanity and character, not for whether they lean left or right. Civility means doing the hard work of putting ourselves in our neighbor’s shoes, to learn from them, and to see the world in a way we might not have con sid ered only a moment before. Choosing civility is not an easy task, particularly when wounds are deep and the stakes are high, but it is absolutely essential to preserving the core of democracy, where humility and toler ance serve to keep the forces of demagoguery and hate at bay.

In six days, Charlottesville will enter its first test of democ racy since the events of August 11 and 12. That is, in six days, it is election day in Virginia. If you don’t know who is on the ballot, we ask that you learn (ask any of us, we’d be glad to chat with you). If you weren’t planning to vote, we hope that you will change your mind. If you are planning to vote—or canvass or poll-watch or phone bank or debate or whatever—we ask that you aspire to do so with civility. Before you vote, we ask that you take the time to get to know the nuances of the civic forum, to understand the perspectives of all its members, and to ask the tough questions.

The events of August 11 and 12 were disgusting. They repre
sent the darkest corners of our community and we must do all our part to ensure that they, or anything like that, never hap pen again. But the events of Au gust 11 and 12 cannot, by any means, define our community. Rather, days like November 7, when we come together to en gage as a community in the lofty tradition of collective self-gov
ernment, are what define us—and what will continue to define us, should we continue to rise up falling off.

George Geis was stalked into the courtyard by terrifying T rex. With the latest Hal loween Carnival, UVA Law continued a beloved tradition of gathering law school families for some fall time fun.

---
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In accordance with this Court’s decision in Anonymously Named Jl v. Court of Pettal Appeals and Justices Therof, in their official capacity, et al. (especially Chief Justice Goldman and Justice Vardon), the Court of Petty Appeals on Nov. 14, 2017, the Court orders reproduction of its opinion in the matter of Coleman v. Hungry Man by WALLACE, ANGELOTTI, and PICKUS, JJ.

This appeal presents a question of how much food is appropriate to take at Law School events when there is clearly not enough for everyone in attendance. After considering the arguments of both parties, the esteemed Court created a reasonably hungry person scrutinizing, with equal concern regarding the fact “Don’t be a Jerk.” As always, the Court reviews the case de novo and, based on careful consideration of the facts, we reverse.

The facts in this case are as follow: in the “You snooze you lose” camp. We believe this rule is too hard and fast and fail to accommodate externals such as professor tangents, bottlenecks in the halls, or general stumpedness.”

The Court trusts the law school community will find this opinion relevant and timely.

Coleman v. Hungry Man
14 U. Va 114

GOLDMAN, J., presents the opinion of the Court, joined by WALLACE, ANGELOTTI, and PICKUS, JJ.

Although every effort is made to publish all materials meeting our guidelines, we regret that not all submissions received can be published. Columns over 1200 words may not be accepted. The Editorial Board reserves the right to edit all submissions for length, grammar, and clarity.

Published weekly on Wednesday except during holiday and examination periods and serving the Law School community at the University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22903-1789. Virginia Law Weekly (ISSN 0197-3927) is printed by Mid-Atlantic Printing, Inc. of Washington, D.C. and distributed by the Mid Atlantic Distribution Company, Inc.
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Pussy?”

President Grab-'em-by-the-

“D. Laycock: “Why are those of us in the ‘You snooze you lose’ camp. We believe this rule is too hard and fast and fail to accommodate externals such as professor tangents, bottlenecks in the halls, or general stumpedness.”

The dissent will have us judge from his own experience, [Trial Judge Ranzini] stands firmly in the “You snooze you lose” camp. We believe this rule is too hard and fast and fail to accommodate externals such as professor tangents, bottlenecks in the halls, or general stumpedness.”

J.-Goldman

order. Make like a reasonably prudent person and stop by Student Affairs for some Chex. Mix if you’re still hungry. We hereby adopt the rule “Don’t be a jerk” when it applies to free food at events. The Court remains to find eq-uitable damages for Ms. Coleman and reminds UVa law students that, "You snooze you lose". We believe this

J. Goldman

I join fully with the majority. I just wanted to say that sometimes even small people are hungry and if we don’t get enough food we get hungry. (adj. adv. angry because)

See Black’s Law Dictionary. But I don’t like Sticks much so idk.

HADEN, C.J., concurring just a little bit dissenting a lot.

While I applaud the majority for its Disney-esque “happily-ever-after” conclusion, I find my-perspective from my own experience, [Trial Judge Ranzini] stands firmly in the “You snooze you lose” camp. We believe this rule is too hard and fast and fail to accommodate externals such as professor tangents, bottlenecks in the halls, or general stumpedness.”

J.-Goldman

I concur with the remand for damages. However, I would also want to make more clear that contribution negligence is a bar for recovery under the test of negligence. We have long held that contributory negligence is one of the few things that we have adopted from the state of Virginia. Of other Virginia poli- cies that we have refused to adopt: the concept of coverture, etc. If petition- er can prove, however, that his later- ness was due to the illegal (administrative?) action of Professor Duffy, then she shall not be contributorily negligent.

However, I dissent also because I believe that the standard of “don’t be a jerk” is inappropriately applied to the facts of this case. To me, equalizing food for everyone is not fundamentally fair if people of different sizes have different appet- ites to satisfy. I am intrigued by the announced brief filed by FedSoc, which claimed that “vegetarianism is a choice,” citing their own administr- ative adjudication of Fed Soc v. Vege- tarian. While I am not convinced by that factually, I am convinced that equal distribution of food is not a fair division. Plus, sometimes I need more than one Stick. It’s called Sticks, for goodness sake. I assume that other similarly sized people may feel a hunger for more food than those like the petite petitioner.

I applaud our most junior Jus- tice for completing her first case (yay Jenna), but I find its conclu- sion and reasoning to be an unwel- come departure from what I feel is well-settled precedent. The opinion is well-written, humorous, but ulti- mately incorrect. Therefore, I must dissent.

—J.-Goldman

Facility Quotes

F. Schauer: “Those of you silly enough to think you can make an outline for this class—or sillier still, a flow- chart—are doomed to fail.”

D. Laycock: “Why are evangelicals so desperate they’re willing to vote for President Grab-’em-by-the-Pussy?”

D. Brown: “The main point is [fades into a whis- per as students look at each other frantically].”

J. G. Hylton: “Well, if anyone is a heart-breaker hosting a handwritten signature along with an electronic version, or that signed by the author in the hand. All submissions must be received by 12 p.m. Sunday before publication and must be in accordance with the submission guidelines. Letters and/or podcasts U.Va. Law students may not be accepted. The Editorial Board reserves the right to edit all submissions for length, grammar, and clarity.
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general under the Virginia Constitution, Holder's description of standard executive practice (at least at UVA Law) highlights that Herring should have considered whether he could make a "reasonable" argument in defense of the law. Herring might have had a personal and professional stake of opinion, but his executive decision to nullify a Virginia law he personally thought foolish and correct, at the least "reasonable" (one only hopes) when he voted for it in his duties to be the public interest lawyer for the Commonwealth of Virginia, to serve as Virginia's attorney general, should under no personal positions follow reflection and dialogue with differing views. Indeed, strong executive leadership often requires reevaluating prior beliefs in light of additional information. But a radical shift in personal opinion should be accompanied by a corresponding shift in public opinion and political humility—especially for public officials whose decisions and actions shape the public's perception of justice. If Herring thought he was fulfilling his public duty by voting in support of the Virginia marriage amendment, it strains credulity to believe that he could marshal no reasonable arguments to defend the law he supported in legislation.

Should we worry about process if ultimate outcomes align with our sense of justice? Particularly in a divided political environment and in a politically "purple" state like Virginia, respecting process is essential to the stability and legitimacy of the law. In periods of political uncertainty, a commitment to process provides evidence against the risk that legislators will be nullified by the executive whims of future administrations. As many progressives have come to appreciate, executive power is a double-edged sword. For those intent on changing the law, respect for legislative process is a prerequisite. For moderate public opinion, respect for legislative process is a prerequisite. For moderate public opinion, respect for legislative process is a prerequisite.

You might disagree with Adams on the substance of certain issues, but his campaign message is an important reminder that legal process matters to good government, now more than ever. For many, outcomes are all that matter. In our divided political environment, elevating results over process might seem like the only way to ensure we achieve our perceived sense of justice. But if we "think like lawyers," we recognize the separate and unique value of the law making at the legislative, executive, and judicial stage. As Law and Order has learned to respect the process of debating, legislating, litigating, and adjudicating the law. If you want a "Virginia" lawyer for the Commonwealth, consider fellow Ho John Adams next Tuesday.

Eric Hall

---

THE LAW SCHOOL FOUNDATION, created in 1952 as a trust by Law School alumni and now a nonprofit corporation, receives, administers, and manages private gifts from graduates and friends. The school's current strength and national standing can be attributed directly to private resources.

THE LAW SCHOOL'S ALUMNI ASSOCIATION was organized in 1921 to maintain the cause of legal education at UVA Law School, and encourage professional associations and fellowship among its graduates.

What keeps alumni coming back?

Bodo's Bagels, of course ... and many great friends at UVA Law

COME CONNECT WITH THE UVA LAW ALUMNI COUNCIL

Friday, November 3
8:30-9:30 a.m.

Be sure to sign up for the event by registering online. See you on November 3 at 8:30 a.m.!
Politics average has Northern leading by 3.3 percent. History says Northern is favored: In nine of its last ten gubernatorial elections, Virginia has elected the candidate of the party out of the White House. The one exception? McAuliffe’s narrow 2013 victory, which was seen as a symptom of Virginia’s continued drift to the Democratic column. Once reliably Republican—the commonwealth voted Republican in every presidential election between 1964 and 2008—Virginia has moved leftward with the explosive growth of the affluent Northern Virginia suburbs, voting twice for Barack Obama and most recently for Hillary Clinton in 2016. With conflicting polling and muddling on both sides, Northern’s advantage with polling and cash-on-hand make him the smart bet. But Wise Law School Community members will remember the unreliable Virginia’s first black statewide prosecutor and Venable attorney general. In the lieutenant governor’s race is also expected to be close. Finally, Virginians will select an attorney general. Incumbent Democrat Mark Herring is seeking re-election, challenged by a Republican with a famous name: Richmond attorney John Adams. Herring won Virginia’s narrowest race in 2013, defeating fellow state Sen. Mark Obenshain (R) by just over 800 votes, and most recently for Hillary Clinton. While Northern has advocated for the removal of Confederate statues in the wake of the August 11 and 12 Charlottesville rallies, Fairfax has trod more carefully, calling for the issue to be handled locally. Vogel has tried to toe a difficult line between old and new Virginia: Her campaign has reached out to socially liberal Virginians by handing out rainbow stickers at LGBT parades, but she was known in the legislature as a sponsor of Virginia’s transvaginal ultrasound bill. While less prominent than the gubernatorial race, the lieutenant governor’s race is also expected to be close. To defend the commonwealth’s constitutional amendment that limited marriage to one man and one woman prior to the Supreme Court’s 2015 decision. Herring defended his tenure, noting his work to eradicate human trafficking. Virginia’s elections will be held Tuesday, November 7. For those anxious to know what 2018 holds in the Age of Trump, Tuesday’s elections could be a good indicator of what is to come.

Virginia’s elections will be held Tuesday, November 7. For those anxious to know what 2018 holds in the Age of Trump, Tuesday’s elections could be a good indicator of what is to come.
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Virginia Governor Terry McAuliffe Photo courtesy of CNN