Why the change? According to CISO Belford, the move to two-factor authentication has been in the works since the summer, 2015 lacking incident, popularly among some in the community as “that time we got hacked by China.” In response to this incident, the University established a security enhancement program called SecureUVA. Details about this program are available at secureuvava.virginia.edu (NetBadge access required to view.)

The “two-factor” in “two-factor authentication” refers to systems which make authentication depend both on a password (“something you know”) and a secondary form of identification, such as a code sent to a pre-designated phone, an automated voice call, or a physical device. (“Something you have”). This secondary check reduces the risks associated with password theft or phishing. UVa Information Security is concerned that phishing poses a significant threat to the community and to systems, such as SIS, which protect highly sensitive financial data.

What should students do now? First, enroll at https://2step.virginia.edu, as soon as possible. The system which the University has selected, Duo Security, offers a variety of options to use as a second factor, but all users must designate at least one telephone number at which they can be reached. Users may elect to install the Duo mobile app

Editor’s Note: This week, the Virginia Law Weekly solicited reflections from the cast of Libel 110. The following, unedited, is what we received for publication.

Jeremiah Kirstein ‘18 Assistant Director jkce@virginia.edu

This experience has taught me the important and unique role that the Libel Show plays at UVa Law School. It’s an opportunity to laugh amidst our many stressors, to point out the many quirks and foibles of our school and the legal profession, and to bring the Law School community together for an evening of revelry and merriment. But more than that, it is also important for our participants as they are able to use their many creative talents to express their thoughts and ideas in a manner very different from what they are generally asked to do in law school. I am so grateful to our entire cast and crew who pulled off an amazing show; they are such an incredibly talented group of actors, singers, dancers, band members, technical experts, video and sound editors, and more. It is their enthusiasm and dedication to Libel that has made this process much more rewarding than I had ever imagined.

As I close out my time as co-Director, I am thrilled and hopeful as I know, with them at the helm, the future of the Libel Show is bright indeed.

Katerina Siefkas ‘18 Director kbaik@virginia.edu

“I think my favorite part is that it would always take us a solid 20 seconds to figure out if it was him on stage before we started yelling.”

LIBEL page 3

---

Is that a thing? Picture are some other duties / ladies in another sketch, led by the lovely Trina Rizzo ’19. Photo Eric Hall / Virginia Law Weekly.

---

Two-Step page 2
TWO-STEP

continued from page 1
plication, which allows for authentication by responding to a push notification. Privacy-conscious users should be aware, however, that the Duo mobile application contains features which may collect some potentially sensitive information, such as what other applications are installed on the user’s device. CISO Belford has informed the Law Weekly that UVa has not purchased a license to use of those features and has no intention of ever collecting that kind of information on students; however, as other means of authentication exist which are similarly easy-to-use, it is entirely feasible to pass on the mobile application.

Second, generate a set of backup codes and store them in a safe place. If you lose your phone, you will need one of these backup codes to regain access to your NetBadge-protected services. Consider making use of an encrypted password vault to store your passwords and backup codes. KeePassXC (https://keepassxc.org) is one free and open-source, cross-platform option. UVa Information Security has informed the Law Weekly that they plan to provide UVa students with licenses to use a proprietary password vault system in the near future.

Third, remain vigilant against phishing attacks. Always hover over links you receive in emails before you click them, and make sure that they lead where they purport to lead. Never enter your password on a login page sent to you via email. Remember that the University will never ask you to send your password via email. If you suspect that you have received a phishing message, do not open it. Instead, forward it to abuse@virginia.edu immediately.

What remains to improve?

In the time that we have had to test Duo Security, it has proven to be a reasonably reliable and easy-to-use two-factor system. No system is perfect, however, and the Law Weekly has identified three points which we would like to bring to the attention of UVa IT Security.

1. As presently configured, Duo delivers a set of ten one-time-use passcodes immediately. What that box should look like.

2. Having had the opportunity to test Duo’s support for FIDO U2F hardware security dongles (a.k.a. “YubiKeys”), the Law Weekly asks UVa IT Security to officially offer interested students and faculty the option of purchasing and making use of such devices. Although hardware dongles have limits—in particular, UVa IT Security has informed the Law Weekly that limited browser support contributed to their decision not to officially support YubiKey devices at this time—the Law Weekly feels that they could offer the UVa a robust and easy-to-use alternative to phone authentication.

3. The Law Weekly is troubled by UVa IT Security’s practice of sending links to NetBadge-secured resources by email. Spear-phishing, in which the attacker creates a convincing-looking false login page that intercepts user credentials, relies on user complacency toward emailed links. System administrators, therefore, should not allow their users to become accustomed to using emailed login pages. The Law Weekly asks that UVa IT Security consider adopting a no-hyperlinks policy. In the instant case, by way of illustration, it would have been a far more responsible approach to simply instruct students to keep an eye out for the orange information box on the NetBadge login screen and provide an example of what that box should look like.

---

Questions? Contact Laura Gregory (lhg3bw) or Connor Kelley (ckjk3ub)

It's also amazing to see how fanciful amount of fun, but of me to comment on my own role. I was a sketch artist in the class where a bunch of students were impersonating professors teaching law school sex-ed classes, and the sketch version of Anne Coulth in. I never forget the way I felt when I saw The Vagina Monologues for the first time.

Elizabeth Sines '19

I was a sophomore undergrad who had just declared a minor in Gender and Sexuality Studies, but I had considered myself a feminist for years. I thought I was very comfortable in my own body and, for the most part, I could talk about sex with ease. But as the monologues began, and a woman dressed in head-to-toe red took center stage to discuss her journey to love her own pubic hair, I felt myself simultaneously intrigued and incredulous that I was about to spend the next two hours listening to women fearlessly talk about their vaginas, their pleasure, and their sexual relationships. This was completely novel for me. I grew up in the Appalachian Mountains and had always been taught that "ladies" did not discuss such "private and intimate matters" in public. In fact, even the word "vagina" was taboo. Throughout my life, vaginas were referred to by a taboo. Throughout my life, vaginas were referred to by a "bugaboo. Growing up, quite frankly, it seemed to me that the right way to refer to a vagina was by any word other than vagina.

Because no one other than a gynecologist could ever even speak the v-word, it should come as no surprise that discussions about women's sexual pleasure were not something I was used to. My favorite shows and movies depicted the sexual development and experiences of male characters quite often, but noticeably absent was any acknowledgment that women, too, had sexual beings capable of experiencing sexual pleasure. Seriously, I watched all 10 seasons of Game of Thrones and never heard a woman talk about orgasms as something they had a right to actively seek out and, even more importantly, something that they could provide for themselves without shame.

I hope that the show will be a foundation for every woman and her sexual freedom. Please, come out to the Domestic Violence Project’s presentation of The Vagina Monologues on March 30, 2018 at 8:00 p.m. in Caplin Auditorium. Tickets are available all week from 11 a.m. to 1 p.m. at the Hunter Street Center or at the door for three dollars. Light refreshments will be served, and all proceeds will be donated to the Shelter for Help in Emergency.

Elizabeth Sines '19
eab4ka@virginia.edu
she/her/hers
The Affluent White Male Law School Libel (AWMLSA) released a state-
ment today condemning the treatment of cis-
gender, heterosexual, college-aged white classsic men at this weekend’s Libel Show.

“The jokes made at our ex-
treme were totally out of line, and you better believe my father will be hearing about this,” JL AWMLSA President Bradley Hartford said while lounging in Scott Commons in his signature salmon shorts, pastel polo, and boat shoes. “I came to Libel expecting to laugh about Dean Davies fall-
ing off a horse or lazy 3’s blaming Dean Degras for their inability to read emails and set a reminder to sign up for classes. I’m presented with uncomfortable truths about the socioeconomic composition of the law school’s student body. If I wanted to feel bad about being a straight white man, I would read up on the 8th-ed-
tion of Law Weekly.”

In particular, Hartford took offense to the group’s portray-
als of white men at the University of Virginia. Hartford continued, “It’s not like we didn’t have a discrimination problem at the University of Virginia. I think that sort of thing could never happen around here, but next thing you know, you’re being systemi-
cally persecuted in the form of a four-minute music video set to the song ‘Kerry Perry song.’” Hartford exclaimed, “I just need to know that this is a safe place for people like us anymore” he concluded, refer-
encing the law school whose most recently admitted class is by far the most male and 75 percent white.

Hartford says that his group will continue to fight to bring awareness to the plight of straight, upper-middle-class white men at the University of Virginia School of Law. On Saturday, at 4 p.m., AWMLSA will be holding a rally outside of the Vineyard Vines store at the Stonefield Shopping Cen-
ter followed by a march to the keg in Spies Garden. Students who support AWMLSA are encouraged to join in solidarity, and members are required to attend unless they have a passing commit-
ment with FedSoc.

Faculty Quotes
A. Barmazi: “This is just a joke, but let’s see what we are doing here, some-
one please throw us a lifeline.”

J. Harrison: “The possibility of a tree falling on your car while you’re drinking deep of the wine of legal knowledge cannot be altogether ruled out.”

M. Robinson: “Student interest? Bless you! Stop that!”

J. Setaar: “If you see a monkey in Manhattan, just start honking—they don’t know what they’re doing.”

J. Mahoney: “One of the great things about death is that your expenses go to zero.”

R. Hynes: “It’s a joke, get it? . . . I need a laugh track.”

Heard a good professor joke? Email editor@lawweekly.org!

Sarete: White Males Protest Libel

“The possibil-
ity of a tree falling on your car while you’re drinking deep of the wine of legal knowledge cannot be altogether ruled out.”

The UVA Law Softball Invita-
tional is set for its fifth year and includes 12 teams as a tournament of sixteen teams. Johnathan York ’13 NSGL Enthusiast has grown into an event beloved by law students across the country. Over 1,300 past participants have sent in a message to any team coming to Charlottesville: bring your A game. From everyone who has worked tirelessly to help put on this year’s invitational, we hope you all will come out and enjoy every part of the tournament. Whether it’s being a field moni-
tor (and getting PILA hours), or cheering on the UVA teams, this is truly one of the best weekends of the year. Check out the infor-
mation below to catch all the soft-
ball action.

Get involved. Here are a cou-
ples ways to join in the fun.

Purchase a tournament wrist-
band: Wristbands cost $25 and get you access to the Saturday Barbecue and drink specials on Saturday night at the Corner, plus proceeds go toward a char-
table donation. Wristbands can be purchased Friday night at the Biltmore from 4 to 8 p.m., or Sat-
urday at the Park.

Cheer on your classmates: Look for an event being led up to the tournament detailing when and where the six UVA teams will be playing so ev-
everyone can come cheer on.

The schedule. It’s a packed weekend—here’s when and where to catch the action.

Registration Party at the Bilt-
more (Friday, 4-8 p.m.): Visitors will be served up.

First tournament, is excited to continue the tradition of community, charity, and UVa softball dominance.

The Invitational’s greatest achievement is its impact on the community. Every year, a por-
tion of the proceeds is donated to ReadyKids, a local non-profit that provides early learning education services and counseling to disad-
vantaged or at-risk children right here in our area. A portion of the proceeds will also go to UVA’s Public Interest Law Asso-
ciation, which supports UVa law students seeking to work in law and public service. We’re looking for-
ward to continuing these relations-
tios this year.

In addition to supporting some great causes, the Invitational is a convenient stage for UVa to as-
sert its dominance over other law schools. Things really start honking— they don’t actually pitch, the team will call a pitch. The Saturday night at the Buddhist Monastery of North Grounds Softball League, the group that organizes the tour-
ment, is excited to continue the tradi-

Thepossibil-
it? . . . I need a laugh track.”
Don’t Raise Your Voice, Improve Your Argument.

Slamming the door on her way out, an attendee caught my attention as she prema-
turely exited the abortion debate between Nadine Strossen and Stephanie Gray this past week at UVA Law.

This momentary disruption contrasted with the polite si-
lence of Caplin Pavilion where two powerful, expert women intelligently debated the high-
ly contentious issue of abor-
tion. Sitting in the audience, I thought of the principle spoken of by Desmond Tutu: “Don’t raise your voice, improve your argument.”

This article is provoked thought regarding how you, I, and our colleagues debate generally as well as in the spe-
cific context of abortion. I will give you objective facts about abortion, applaud and critique those who have had an abortion. Here is where I believe we all (statistically) at UVa Law women in the U.S. have an abortion (whom were women). As of October 5, 2017, there were 80 women likely had an abortion (based on vitality outside of the body or may she be dependent on self or may she be dependent on others). 86,000 women in Afghanistan? Ms. Gray’s conclusion is that being conceived from human parents defines our humanity rather than size, level of development, environment, or dependency.

In response, Ms. Strossen focused her arguments on Su-
preme Court precedents and how Ms. Gray’s arguments overlooked the dire reali-
ties of unwanted pregnancies on women in sensitive situa-
tions. For example: poor, racial minority women who are pregnan-
t, in college, and have neither a supportive partner nor family have bleak options. Roe v. Wade gave women the right to have an abortion in defined circumstances, and whether the State or individu-
als should impose their “inde-
pendent principles of moral-
ity” on women. Furthermore, forcing a woman to carry a fe-
tus to term violates her bodily autonomy, exacerbates gender inequality, and harms her ca-
reer potential.

5 Bonnie Rochman, “A New Baby Survives How Old is Too Young to Save?” Teen (May 27, 2011), http://www.prolife.com/fo
rums/2011/05/27/baby-born-at-21-weeks-survives-how-young-is-too-young-to-save/.

6 “Infant Mortality Rate.” Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Accessed March 24, 2017), www.cdc.gov/library/pub-
ications/te/pubs/the-week-that-was-factbook/rankorder/209rank.html.

7 410 U.S. 113 (1973).


9 See id. at 851.

Both sides of the debate sup-
port that women, but each had a unique flaw. Ms. Gray did not satisfactorily give solutions for the 58 women who present with the most difficult cases of women who are impoverished, in school, or are in the poorest parts of our communities. Granted, the debate was on the question of “Should abortion be legal” rather than “How do we help women who are preg-
nant?” In turn, Ms. Strossen presented her argument at what point a fetus becomes hu-
man. Instead, she hinged her arguments on Supreme Court reasoning and dicta in addition to heart-wrenching realities for those who had abortions. The arguments were compelling, but none the less emotional appeals.

Here is where I believe we all can agree: we must practically empower women who are in school, who are poor, who are in unsupportive relationships so that they can have a choice. Let us advocate for more preg-
nant-friendly school policies, let us find creative economic solutions for poor women, and let us be better human beings willing to come to the aid of our pregnant partners regard-
less of whether they will have an abortion or not. Although I am a pro-life advocate, I be-
lieve we must first address the three social issues of (1) lack of educational resources, (2) eco-

The thrust of the article is to provoke thought regarding how you, I, and our colleagues debate generally as well as in the specific context of abortion. I will give you objective facts about abortion, applaud and critique those who have had an abortion. Here is where I believe we all (statistically) at UVa Law women in the U.S. have an abortion (based on vitality outside of the body or may she be dependent on self or may she be dependent on others). 86,000 women in Afghanistan? Ms. Gray’s conclusion is that being conceived from human parents defines our humanity rather than size, level of development, environment, or dependency.

In response, Ms. Strossen focused her arguments on Supreme Court precedents and how Ms. Gray’s arguments overlooked the dire realities of unwanted pregnancies on women in sensitive situations. For example: poor, racial minority women who are pregnant, in college, and have neither a supportive partner nor family have bleak options. Roe v. Wade gave women the right to have an abortion in defined circumstances, and whether the State or individuals should impose their “independent principles of morality” on women. Furthermore, forcing a woman to carry a fetus to term violates her bodily autonomy, exacerbates gender inequality, and harms her career potential.


7 410 U.S. 113 (1973).


9 See id. at 851.

Both sides of the debate support that women, but each had a unique flaw. Ms. Gray did not satisfactorily give solutions for the 58 women who present with the most difficult cases of women who are impoverished, in school, or are in the poorest parts of our communities. Granted, the debate was on the question of “Should abortion be legal” rather than “How do we help women who are pregnant?” In turn, Ms. Strossen presented her argument at what point a fetus becomes human. Instead, she hinged her arguments on Supreme Court reasoning and dicta in addition to heart-wrenching realities for those who had abortions. The arguments were compelling, but nonetheless emotional appeals.

Here is where I believe we all can agree: we must practically empower women who are in school, who are poor, who are in unsupportive relationships so that they can have a choice. Let us advocate for more pregnant-friendly school policies, let us find creative economic solutions for poor women, and let us be better human beings willing to come to the aid of our pregnant partners regardless of whether they will have an abortion or not. Although I am a pro-life advocate, I believe we must first address the three social issues of (1) lack of educational resources, (2) economic inability, and (3) unsupportive partners before women can truly have a choice. Let us empower women so they are not weighed down by an extrinsic factor when evaluating their decision regarding abortion.

Anxiously, this debate between Ms. Strossen and Ms. Gray occurred the day of oral argument in Nat’l Inst. of Family and Life Advocates v. Becerra, concerning free speech and abortion advocacy. On the issue of abortion, and again, please do not hesitate to contact me at alm4zx@virginia.edu to discuss this topic.
What do law students and Snow White have in common? Both start off blissfully ignorant, living easy lives, until tragedy strikes (becoming an orphan/ villain). But their stories have a happy ending.

Taylor Elgin '19 Staff Editor

Go to law school and end up working to death before you graduate. For some reason, life's problems aren't solved by the problems you study. You run, but you can't hide. Even if you try and hide, the Wicked Stepmother will track you down and use your weakness (inheriting the family business) to ruin your life.

The Magic Mirror: Mirror, mirror on the wall, who's the edgiest in kindness/love of going out? To every town, who's the edgiest in her weakness (inheriting the family business) to ruin your life.

With thanks to Will L. Hupp '20 and Darcy Whelan '98 for their assistance.

15:45-18:00
LGBT Spring Networking Symposium
Purcell Reading
WSV
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Snow White = Law School: 7 Ways

You can't blame Sneezy for being a product of our law school culture, but that doesn't mean you have to appreciate the germs. Why do we insist on doing this to ourselves? Unclear, but this is definitely something we should work on.

Basphul: Your friend who, despite grading and writing on our law review, remains the kindest friend you have. She's always willing to give you her law class notes. She writes her own outline and your outline. With Bashful by your side, you're never alone.

Sleepy: Your friend who, for some reason, has all of the same responsibilities as you but seems much more hassled, stressed, and sleep-deprived. Unclear if he has an addiction to internet poker or The Great British Bake-Off, but you have a sneaking suspicion he doesn't sleep at night since he struggles so hard in class.

Grumpy: He has a coffee addiction but never manages to grab a cup before your 8:30, so every time you speak to him, you fear for your life. He complains about the reading when it's forty pages and complains about the reading when it's four pages. You fear for Student Affairs that one time he went to get snacks and they didn't have any of his favorite chips. Amazingly, he tends to avoid getting cold-called. If you're not careful, he goes to rescue you when you need it the most. You're welcome.

Dopey: He's perpetually late for class. Seemingly never does his own outline and his outline. She's always willing to give you her law class notes. She writes her own outline and your outline. With Bashful by your side, you're never alone.

Bashful: Your friend who, for some reason, has all of the same responsibilities as you but seems much more hassled, stressed, and sleep-deprived. Unclear if he has an addiction to internet poker or The Great British Bake-Off, but you have a sneaking suspicion he doesn't sleep at night since he struggles so hard in class.

Sleepy: Your friend who, for some reason, has all of the same responsibilities as you but seems much more hassled, stressed, and sleep-deprived. Unclear if he has an addiction to internet poker or The Great British Bake-Off, but you have a sneaking suspicion he doesn't sleep at night since he struggles so hard in class.

Grumpy: He has a coffee addiction but never manages to grab a cup before your 8:30, so every time you speak to him, you fear for your life. He complains about the reading when it's forty pages and complains about the reading when it's four pages. You fear for Student Affairs that one time he went to get snacks and they didn't have any of his favorite chips. Amazingly, he tends to avoid getting cold-called. If you're not careful, he goes to rescue you when you need it the most. You're welcome.

Dopey: He's perpetually late for class. Seemingly never does his own outline and his outline. She's always willing to give you her law class notes. She writes her own outline and your outline. With Bashful by your side, you're never alone.

Bashful: Your friend who, for some reason, has all of the same responsibilities as you but seems much more hassled, stressed, and sleep-deprived. Unclear if he has an addiction to internet poker or The Great British Bake-Off, but you have a sneaking suspicion he doesn't sleep at night since he struggles so hard in class.

Sleepy: Your friend who, for some reason, has all of the same responsibilities as you but seems much more hassled, stressed, and sleep-deprived. Unclear if he has an addiction to internet poker or The Great British Bake-Off, but you have a sneaking suspicion he doesn't sleep at night since he struggles so hard in class.

Grumpy: He has a coffee addiction but never manages to grab a cup before your 8:30, so every time you speak to him, you fear for your life. He complains about the reading when it's forty pages and complains about the reading when it's four pages. You fear for Student Affairs that one time he went to get snacks and they didn't have any of his favorite chips. Amazingly, he tends to avoid getting cold-called. If you're not careful, he goes to rescue you when you need it the most. You're welcome.

Dopey: He's perpetually late for class. Seemingly never does his own outline and his outline. She's always willing to give you her law class notes. She writes her own outline and your outline. With Bashful by your side, you're never alone.

Bashful: Your friend who, for some reason, has all of the same responsibilities as you but seems much more hassled, stressed, and sleep-deprived. Unclear if he has an addiction to internet poker or The Great British Bake-Off, but you have a sneaking suspicion he doesn't sleep at night since he struggles so hard in class.

Sleepy: Your friend who, for some reason, has all of the same responsibilities as you but seems much more hassled, stressed, and sleep-deprived. Unclear if he has an addiction to internet poker or The Great British Bake-Off, but you have a sneaking suspicion he doesn't sleep at night since he struggles so hard in class.

Grumpy: He has a coffee addiction but never manages to grab a cup before your 8:30, so every time you speak to him, you fear for your life. He complains about the reading when it's forty pages and complains about the reading when it's four pages. You fear for Student Affairs that one time he went to get snacks and they didn't have any of his favorite chips. Amazingly, he tends to avoid getting cold-called. If you're not careful, he goes to rescue you when you need it the most. You're welcome.

Dopey: He's perpetually late for class. Seemingly never does his own outline and his outline. She's always willing to give you her law class notes. She writes her own outline and your outline. With Bashful by your side, you're never alone.

Bashful: Your friend who, for some reason, has all of the same responsibilities as you but seems much more hassled, stressed, and sleep-deprived. Unclear if he has an addiction to internet poker or The Great British Bake-Off, but you have a sneaking suspicion he doesn't sleep at night since he struggles so hard in class.