

Message

From: Neal Desai [ndesai@npca.org]
Sent: 10/20/2013 10:50:52 PM
To: 'Howard_Levitt@nps.gov' [Howard_Levitt@nps.gov]; 'a7w2m@a[Exemption 6]et' [a7w2m@a[Exemption 6]et]
CC: 'rebecae@e[Exemption 6]t' [rebecae@e[Exemption 6]et]; 'frank_dean@nps.gov' [frank_dean@nps.gov];
'shirwin_smith@nps.gov' [shirwin_smith@nps.gov]; 'bplater@wildequity.org' [bplater@wildequity.org];
'skrefting@igc.org' [skrefting@igc.org]; 'mbzlat@y[Exemption 6]m' [mbzlat@y[Exemption 6]m];
'davidanderson47@[Exemption 6]' [davidanderson47@[Exemption 6]]
Subject: Re: Board of Supervisors is at it again

Just fyi: Given this is a publicity event, Mike and other conservation groups will not participate in these types of non-productive efforts.

----- Original Message -----

From: Howard Levitt [mailto:howard_levitt@nps.gov]
Sent: Sunday, October 20, 2013 03:32 AM Eastern Standard Time
To: Amy Meyer <a7w2m@a[Exemption 6]>
Cc: BeckyE <rebecae@[Exemption 6]>; Frank Dean <Frank_Dean@nps.gov>; Shirwin Smith <Shirwin_Smith@nps.gov>; Neal Desai; Brent/* <bplater@wildequity.org>; Steven Krefting <skrefting@igc.org>; Matt Zlatunick <mbzlat@y[Exemption 6]>; david anderson <davidanderson47@[Exemption 6]>
Subject: Re: Board of Supervisors is at it again

Becky, Amy, et al:

We will not be attending the 10/21 hearing. I spoke to Scott before he set this hearing and told him we feel that a City hearing similar to the one held in 2011 would serve no useful purpose. I suggested that he instead host a panel discussion including representatives of the array of stakeholders on the dog issue - and that we would be pleased to participate in such a forum. He ignored this advice, obviously.

I believe Mike Lynes of GG Audubon is planning on making a presentation on at the hearing.

Let's chat further on Mon AM.

HL

Sent from my iPhone

On Oct 19, 2013, at 11:11 AM, Amy Meyer <a7w2m@a[Exemption 6]> wrote:

> Becky,
>
> I haven't finished reading the big file.
>
> We will have to help the NPS. I would like a few of us to discuss strategy with GGNRA. I hope they will hang tough like the Democrats did over Obamacare. It should be that the alternative would be to go back to the national regulation for dogs because otherwise the precedent will damage national parks across the country. I was out at Ft Funston recently and while my grandson had fun with the dogs and their handlers, the dunes above a cliff where three handlers and their 21 (at least) dogs were playing was being torn apart. Then three dogs started down the cliff face. Their handlers brought them back, but they were shaken.
>
> I believe some of us should go to Chris Lehnertz so she knows we stand behind GGNRA and NPS policy. I do think Jon Jarvis will do his best if we are strong.
>
> Amy
>
> On Oct 19, 2013, at 9:59 AM, BeckyE wrote:
>
>> Here is the link for the San Francisco Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee hearing on Monday, October 21.
>>
>> <http://www.sfbos.org/Modules/ShowDocument.aspx?documentid=46826>
>>

>> Here is the link for the resolution; it appears to be a large pdf file:

http://www.sfbos.org/ftp/uploadedfiles/bdsupvrs/committees/materials/lu102113_131002.pdf

>>

>>> Someone posted a "we need your help" message on Facebook this morning; no author listed. I responded with a scathing comment but don't know what good it did.

>>

>> Becky Evans

>