

To: Frank Dean[frank_dean@nps.gov]; Aaron Roth[Aaron_Roth@nps.gov]; Kevin Cochary[Kevin_Cochary@nps.gov]; Randy Lavoiseur[Randy_Lavoiseur@nps.gov]
From: Howard Levitt
Sent: Mon 1/20/2014 8:34:49 PM
Importance: Normal
Subject: Re: Stienstra article
Received: Mon 1/20/2014 8:34:54 PM

Frank: Okay if I ask Neal to submit a letter to the editor along these lines:

Editor: re Tom Sienstra's 1/20 piece on GGNRA's draft dog mgt plan:
Can Mr. Stienstra elaborate on why he thinks National Parks are a bad idea, and why he thinks the dedicated and talented men and women of the National Park Service who manage these parks for the benefit of present and future generations of Americans are somehow out of touch because they seek to manage dog use in parks?

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 20, 2014, at 9:21 AM, Frank Dean <frank_dean@nps.gov> wrote:

> Howard,
>
> Found it. More poor journalism relying exclusively on content from a
> very organized opposition to the dog plan. Author has also had a beef
> with loss of "traditional" rangers and wardens for years. Not sure
> what his more recent ranger reference at San Mateo is about. This may
> come up tomorrow night at fireside chat event
>
> Kevin and Randy- check the Tom Steinstra dog column in SFGate sports
> section for ranger reference. Could just be propaganda?

>
> Frank Dean
> General Superintendent
> Golden Gate National Recreation Area
> 201 Fort Mason
> San Francisco, CA 94123
> (415) 561-4720

>
>
> On Jan 20, 2014, at 8:06 AM, Howard Levitt <howard_levitt@nps.gov> wrote:
>

>> There is a seriously damning article by Tom Stienstra in today's
>> Chron. Let's talk later by phone about a response. I'll be kayaking
>> this AM, but hanging around this PM. Bummer about the Niners loss,
>> esp Bowman's knee. Happy MLK Day.

>>
>> Sent from my iPhone