

continued from front page

- Decrease direct variable costs by increasing the value-added to non-value-added ratio. Do so by optimizing the wait, rework, travel, preparation (e.g. retooling) and administrative aspects of production labor. Warning signs include high production labor costs, high absenteeism, high inventory carrying costs, low quality, multiple shifts, overtime, poor customer service and poor cycle times, all of which negatively impact cost of goods sold.
- Make sure your ERP and Product Data/Lifecycle Management technology is working for you.

Conducting a Comparative Analysis – or “benchmarking” – is key. If you are comfortable with your cash flow, then there’s a problem. As Thomas Edison once said, “Show me a thoroughly satisfied man and I will show you a failure.” Be sure to compare quarter-to-quarter, year-to-year, and industry specifics and also explore the best-of-breed companies to determine how they have achieved their success.

Keep in mind, good cash flow management can alert you to trouble – and help you head it off – well before it strikes. It will also help you fuel and drive your company toward growth, strength, and achieving organizational goals. ☞

Corporate Fraud: Why It’s a Bigger Problem Than You Think

With the likes of Home Depot and Target, fraud and data breaches have been getting their fair share of news coverage lately. While consumers may be concerned about their credit card numbers being stolen and used by cyber thieves, it’s actually executives and board members who should be just as worried – if not more so – about their company assets being jeopardized.

Many corporations assume their CPAs will detect a problem if there is one. But statistics show that independent CPAs are only successful 3% of the time. The justification is that they are not engaged to detect fraud or security issues. Management has a bit of a better success rate, at 7%, but it’s only purely by accident they typically stumble across fraud or a security breach.

Fraud and data breaches aren’t going away any time soon. In fact, thanks to technology and easy access to data, there will likely be more instances of them in the future. And for a corporation, a breach can be deadly. Just consider these statistics from the “2014 Report to the Nations on Occupational Fraud and Abuse” below:

- For a typical organization, 5% of revenue is lost each year due to fraud.
- The country with the greatest fraud by both number of instances and the dollar amount is the United States. Other than Brazil, in the U.S., it’s almost double than in any other country.

- Executives commit 19% of fraud.
- 77% of fraud is associated with the following departments: accounting, finance, sales, customer service and operations.
- Average fraud occurs within an organization for more than 15 months.
- Private companies are at a greater risk than public entities. (Of course, government is in a league of its own with the lack of controls, amount of cash transactions, and very limited accountability structures.)

Who is most likely to commit fraud at your company?

While there is no template for fraud or single face of a perpetrator, there are some trends to note, including the following:

- Fraud most often happens in banking and financial institutions, followed by government (see above comments) and healthcare, in the finance and accounting departments.
- In fact, the billing function is associated with +22% of cases of fraud.
- Interestingly, the more education an individual has, the higher the dollar amount of the fraud and the number of occurrences. For example, someone with a post-graduate degree is three times more likely to commit fraud than an individual with just some college education.
- Perpetrators have typically been with an organization for 5+ years.

How to Mitigate Fraud

Protect your organization by:

- Having a professional, certified financial forensics expert conduct a surprise audit. Evidence not obtained through professional means has little to no value in a court of law.
- Having an independent certified forensic examiner (NOT your audit firm) conduct a financial forensics exam of high-risk areas.
- Building an independent, active and progressive board.
- Promoting strong ethics throughout the organization.
- Prosecuting those caught. Only about 40% of perpetrators found are referred to law authorities because organizations are fearful of bad publicity. This speaks volumes and sends the message that the chances of being turned over to law enforcement are relatively small. In addition, only 50% of those prosecuted are found guilty and more than 20% of cases of fraud are “privately settled.”

The statistics shared in this article are certainly alarming. That’s why it’s so important for business leaders to ignore the social ramifications of reporting fraud and take a pro-active approach to combatting it. Think of what’s at stake. After all, what’s an additional 5% in business revenue worth to your company and its future? ☞

5 Common Traits Shared by Start-Ups & Turnarounds

At first sight, a start-up and a turnaround environment appear to be at the opposite ends of the development cycle spectrum. But after looking a little closer, you’ll find that’s actually not the case. In fact, start-ups and turnarounds share many common attributes, including:

1. Management Issues
2. Limited Cash Flow
3. Urgency to Achieve a Goal
4. Problems With Process & Organization
5. Struggling Sales

Let’s take a closer look at each of these:

1. Management Issues

Both turnarounds and start-ups require a unique breed of executive; one that won’t necessarily be a long-term player at the company. However, many times, experienced managers come in from large corporations – and yet rarely survive for a variety of reasons:

- They don’t have the resources they are accustomed to having.
- Their experience is with well-established business processes, whereas all processes must be built from the ground up in a start-up, or re-tooled in a turnaround.
- They are forced to delve into a level of detail they never had to deal with before.
- They must act with urgency with limited access to financial resources.

In start-ups, many times founders also serve as CEOs. While they are excellent business developers and evangelizers (i.e. they have vision and product knowledge), they often don’t perform well in company management due to lack of experience, background, and skill set.

Regardless of whether an entity is a start-up or in a turnaround situation, finding an independent leader with the financial acumen to mitigate cash flow exposure while seeking financing; the technical background to fully understand the product / markets; the knowledge of manufacturing / product development in a cost effective manner; and the ability to execute is extremely rare. Perhaps that’s why 90% of companies in turnarounds have to change the CEO and management team either before or during the restructuring phase. Likewise, approximately 90% of start-ups change CEOs at least once in the first years of existence.

So what does an ideal CEO look like? It’s a similar profile for both start-ups and turnarounds:

- Generalist – Exposed to complex and multiple environments
- SME experience – 100-1000 peers
- Sense of urgency – Ability to make decisions quickly
- Leadership – Ability to drive the team
- Analytical – Ability to discriminate and make priority adjustments
- Curious, creative – Does not remain blocked by hurdles
- Operational – Hands on
- Entrepreneur, autonomous – Has a “get it done” rather than “have it done” attitude

When it comes to management at either a start-up or in a turnaround situation, avoid hiring those with sector expertise or who are specialists. Specialists can be found internally. The key to success is to have a CEO with general multi-function and multi-sector experience. A non-specialist CEO will have a fresh eye and therefore be able to make a positive impact.

2. Limited Cash Flow

Start-up and turnaround companies are both in negative cash flow situations. Therefore, both types of entities need to maximize the efficiency of each dollar spent and minimize the cash burn in terms of expenditures and investments. Internal and external processes must be optimized and business development efforts boosted.

3. Urgency to Achieve a Goal

Besides cash, time is also limited. Both start-ups and turnarounds have a limited time frame in which to maximize business and organizational efficiency, and make the transition from a negative cash flow situation to a positive one.

However, the time frame for a start-up is slightly longer – two to three years – to survive beyond the critical state. For a turnaround, the time frame is typically one to two years. Other ways they differ? In a start-up environment, the executive team should be focusing first on product feasibility and industrialization, then business development; whereas in a turnaround situation, the first phase should involve reorganization and the second turning a negative cash flow into a positive one.



continued on back page