

Association for Political and Legal Anthropology

The section of the American Anthropological Association committed to critical study of politics and law

# Anthropology, Brexit and Xenophobia in Europe

By Jennifer Curtis / June 28, 2016 / News, Prizes / 4 comments

By Felix Stein



"Brexit Panic"

<https://www.flickr.com/photos/armydre2008/27915649795/in/photolist-jwP17P-Jscd5T-HyLvKY-Goxtor-j7L63-FKL715-JjaQHm-zypJnFWs8-Jm5Vu3-oBvzpe-nzdi73-HznJDx-eWRoBFH-JrKRY1-HxBZ86-GKeGaQ-o9Gb3M-HzpNTk-GYkVKz-4hoEAY-Jt6AsK-lixs9j196b-7Cp1m5-Jug4pC-pfFxsD-JjMLHA-oMWky5-JmLTAZ-J3KJah-ohQA9o-ok8Ggj-HC3NjP-J4SuBW-Ej9cAo-Jo4Rir-p4oSQH-TDh-oaH7D9-hhf42V-J7Lsws-8cSV6o-otjoHw-HxDSjT-nC6qNa-nULEbf-Jo61AH-JqGoJd-LQKT6> by frankieleon  
<https://www.flickr.com/photos/armydre2008/>. Creative Commons Attribution 2.0 Generic (CC BY 2.0)  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/>.

The decision of people in Britain to leave the European Union has come as a surprise, even a shock to most of my friends and colleagues who work in academia here in England. While the EU's neoliberal tendencies and never-ending crises in banking, sovereign debt and migration made the deficiencies of the organisation clearly evident over the past years, most of us had not expected that a majority of people in England and Wales would consider a drift back into nationalism to be the appropriate response.

Sure, it is not usually our job to deal in short-term predictions of social trends, and anthropologists have famously missed the rise of important political currents in the past.[1] But to many of us, the extent to which we have misread the mood of people in large parts of Britain today feels highly unsettling.

This surprise is partially grounded in the fact that the economic case for leaving the EU was unconvincing. Economic analysts inside and outside of Britain had made clear that the net weekly savings of £350m promised by the leave campaign were a myth



Source: Salisbury Journal.

(<http://www.theguardian.com/politics/reality-check/2016/may/23/does-the-eu-really-cost-the-uk-350m-a-week>), that abandoning the EU was likely to cost Britain far more (<https://next.ft.com/content/c15cd060-0550-11e6-96e5-f85cb08b0730>) than its actual weekly contributions, and that the British economy substantially increased its risk of recession (<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/business-36561720>) as a result of Brexit. Michael Gove, the Conservative Justice Secretary and a key figure in the leave campaign famously countered these arguments by declaring that the people of Britain “have had enough of experts (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GGgiGtJk7MA>) [...] saying that they know what is best and getting it consistently wrong.” Today, it seems, that voters in the referendum may have tired of economic reasoning altogether. The leave vote turned out to be strongest in those parts of the country that are most economically dependent on the EU (<https://next.ft.com/content/1ce1a720-ce94-3c32-a689-8d2356388a1f>).

Now that the votes have been cast, teleological accounts as to why the referendum turned out as it did abound, and at this point it seems too early to provide an authoritative summary of what has happened. As usual, political commentators on the right and on the left highlight predominantly economic reasons for the vote, pointing to the fact that people in the most deprived parts of England and Wales were the ones who wanted Britain out (<http://www.theguardian.com/politics/commentisfree/2016/jun/24/divided-britain-brexite-money-class-inequality-westminster>). After decades of seeing their public services reduced to shambles and their job opportunities nullified, it is likely that they wanted to show the politicians in both Brussels and Westminster that they had nothing left to lose. A clear case it seems of popular disregard for bureaucratic elites, and

...to 1000. A clear case it seems, of popular disregard for bureaucratic elites, and maybe even a revolution by the people, in an act of popular democracy.

While this account seems plausible at first, we should be reluctant to accept it as the whole story. Generally speaking, economic reasoning can never explain why people respond to their social predicament in the way they do. As E.P. Thompson (1967) shows with reference to food riots in 18<sup>th</sup> century England, people living in poverty do not react to economic stimuli in a spasmodic fashion.[2] They are just as likely to retreat from party politics, to resort to political activity other than voting, or they may hold on to the belief that abstract institutions represent their interests, even when that is not the case. The links between deprivation and a protest vote are not hard and fast, and we need more precise explanations of why economic circumstances led to the very specific political outcome that we now face. After all, the notion that people chose the contrived opposition between Britain and the EU

([http://www.eth.mpg.de/4086987/blog\\_2016\\_06\\_06\\_01](http://www.eth.mpg.de/4086987/blog_2016_06_06_01)) in the first place makes little intuitive sense ([http://www.eth.mpg.de/4086987/blog\\_2016\\_06\\_06\\_01](http://www.eth.mpg.de/4086987/blog_2016_06_06_01))[3], and their decision to vote leave might have to be understood in a cultural context in which economic hand-outs are equated to a lack of autonomy and dignity ([http://www.perc.org.uk/project\\_posts/thoughts-on-the-sociology-of-brexit/](http://www.perc.org.uk/project_posts/thoughts-on-the-sociology-of-brexit/)).



lip Toscano/PA Wire

Moreover, we should acknowledge that the EU referendum has been orchestrated by political elites from the start. Far from being a natural result of widespread economic inequality, this political process was instigated by

the Prime Minister David Cameron to ensure the continuous support of Conservative party members. His equally privileged rival Boris Johnson pushed the economic case for saving EU membership fees and fuelled anti-bureaucratic sentiments against Brussels, while former commodities trader, lobbyist and right-wing figurehead Nigel Farage successfully equated Britain's EU membership with a lack of control over immigration. Their attempts at heightening and using "integralist" sentiments of romantic collective belonging, cultural difference and alienation from modern society[4] failed in Scotland and large parts of Northern Ireland. In England and Wales, however, the absence of a strong opposition allowed their triumvirate of political incompetence, an empty will to power and anti-intellectualist xenophobia to win the popular vote. It turned what could have been a measured re-assessment of political allegiances into yet another exercise in escalating tensions along national and religious lines within Europe (<http://www.sapiens.org/culture/europe-identity-crisis/>)[5]. So

while the referendum has surely been shaped by economic inequality and deprivation, it has *also* been an illustration of right-wing elites capturing the public discourse so as to steer public grievances toward ethnic and racial “others” – and away from their own austerity policies.

This is where the humanities and social sciences come in. The current rise of ethnic, racial and religious prejudice throughout Europe – and the United States for that matter – are a call for action to us as academics. We have not been outspoken enough on these topics over the past few years, and should

Anti-Polish leaflets



distributed in Cambridge after the Brexit vote.

respond to Brexit by consciously renewing our engagement with the public. If we refuse to do so, we risk repeating the mistakes of conservative and liberal intellectuals in 1930s Germany, who all too often responded to the rise of xenophobic populism by means of “inner emigration”, i.e. the retreat into spaces of like-minded interlocutors.<sup>[6]</sup> Put off by what they considered the aesthetic sensibilities and argumentative incoherence of the populists, these potentially critical voices chose not to engage a broader audience. It may be tempting to behave like this again today, but Britain’s decision to leave the EU so as to control immigration, and the recent killing of British MP Jo Cox in the run-up to the referendum, are clear calls for our greater involvement in public discourse. If we ignore the rise of popular xenophobic sentiment it may have dire consequences in the long run.

Anthropology is particularly well-equipped as a discipline to challenge the current tides of national, racial and religious prejudice, before it is too late. We have long thought about many of the key terms that shape European politics today, such as “culture”, “identity”, “nation” or “tradition”, and we tend to deal in nuance, which is a quality that is sorely lacking in contemporary public debates around immigration and sovereignty. While we should certainly continue our academic work to the best of our abilities, we also have a responsibility to engage our work with multiple publics, to unsettle and challenge the accounts of the political elites fostering xenophobia and anti-intellectualism<sup>[7]</sup>. Before the EU became synonymous with violent austerity politics in the Mediterranean, it was one of the key institutional structures that successfully worked to overcome national and ethnic conflict in Europe. Maybe it is not the best such structure to continue this work today, but the goal remains important, and as anthropologists we have a lot to contribute to the broader socio-political project of

bringing about a climate of tolerance and peace.

*Dr. Felix Stein is a Research Associate in Social Anthropology at Cambridge University. His paper, "Timed Out? How Business Consultants Create, Endure and Spread Capitalism's 'Acute Temporality'" won the APLA Graduate Student Paper Prize in 2015, and his ethnography of business consultants will be published by LSE Monographs on Social Anthropology (<http://www.lse.ac.uk/anthropology/publications/monographs/monographs.aspx>) in 2017. His next research project is an ethnographic study of the World Bank.*

[1] Starn, O. (1991). "Missing the Revolution: Anthropologists and the War in Peru." Cultural Anthropology 6(1): 63-91.

[2] Thompson, E. P. (1967). "Time, Work-Discipline, and Industrial Capitalism." Past and Present 38: 56-97.

[3] Hann, C. (2016). Awkward Island (a Welsh-Eurasian Perspective on "Brexit"). Online, at [http://www.eth.mpg.de/4086987/blog\\_2016\\_06\\_06\\_01](http://www.eth.mpg.de/4086987/blog_2016_06_06_01) ([http://www.eth.mpg.de/4086987/blog\\_2016\\_06\\_06\\_01](http://www.eth.mpg.de/4086987/blog_2016_06_06_01)) [accessed 26/06/2016].

[4] Holmes, D. R. (2000). Integral Europe: Fast-Capitalism, Multiculturalism, Neofascism. Princeton, University Press.

[5] Eriksen, T. H. (2016). Europe's Destructive Spirals of Distrust. Sapiens. Online, available at <http://www.sapiens.org/culture/europe-identity-crisis/>. (<http://www.sapiens.org/culture/europe-identity-crisis/>.)

[6] Benz, W. (2003). "Widerstand traditioneller Eliten [Resistance of traditional elites]." Informationen zur politischen Bildung Deutscher Widerstand 1933-194 [German resistance 1933-1945] 243: Online at <http://www.bpb.de/izpb/10385/widerstand-traditioneller-eliten> (<http://www.bpb.de/izpb/10385/widerstand-traditioneller-eliten>) [accessed 25/10306/12016].

[7] Compare Eriksen, T.H. & Felix Stein, (forthcoming) 'Anthropology as counter-culture' – An Interview with Thomas Hylland Eriksen, *The Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*.



## About Jennifer Curtis

Jennifer Curtis is an Honorary Fellow in Social Anthropology at the University of Edinburgh: [http://www.sps.ed.ac.uk/staff/social\\_anthropology/curtis\\_jennifer](http://www.sps.ed.ac.uk/staff/social_anthropology/curtis_jennifer).  
View all posts by Jennifer Curtis →

## 4 comments

1. *Shelley*

June 29, 2016 at 4:46 pm

Reply

I agree with the article as a whole, but would add something to it. I think an important element that has gone largely overlooked is the effect that enforced political correctness has had on white populations – particularly those from poorer white populations whose own struggles are marginalized by an increasingly popular perspective in our “global village” (Tumblr, etc) that “white privilege” is uniform and affects all white people equally. The reality is that in England and Wales there are impoverished white communities who are constantly being told that their struggles are minimal compared to those of people of colour. Whether the perspective is true or not, the minimization of others’ suffering breeds resentment, and resentment seeks an outlet. Sadly this outlet often manifests in racism, because although this is an unpopular stance at the moment, the assumption that anyone has anything just because of their skin colour is a racist allegation, regardless of the skin colour of the group in question.

2. *Vicki surplice*

June 30, 2016 at 4:03 am

Reply

I wrote my Masters on this very topic. Some professors at the time we’re questioning it’s relivance.

3. *Karen Eakin*

July 2, 2016 at 11:24 am

Reply

The people of Great Britain, by their vote, decided membership has fewer advantages than disadvantages. In a democracy the voters have their say, and they said it! The financially responsible countries of the EU have had enough of the irresponsible countries. Think of it as a personal choice in your own business affairs. Would you continue to do business with a partner who squanders the company funds, then asks for more?

4. *MBEditing (@MBEditingOnline)*

July 4, 2016 at 8:15 am

Reply

I appreciate this view but do keep in mind it does read quite biased and partisan. Even the term “Xenophobic” here is an assumption, I feel. The POV seems to be firmly from a London or sociopolitical standpoint, and not from a grassroots level across England and Wales. Living in a normal town in Cheshire, the picture is quite different. I suggest some on-the-ground real living and observation regarding this phenomenon. Also, characterizing the people voting to Leave as violent xenophobes is widely inaccurate. This article to me seems to be based too heavily on media headlines, which are also wildly inaccurate. The referendum was not “orchestrated”. People voted primarily how they wanted irrespective of what both

sides of the campaign were saying. Your average English voter deeply distrusts politicians today. Voting in this referendum was not along party lines or class lines.

[Blog at WordPress.com.](#)