When we say equity, we are not just talking about representation.

**Purpose:**
LeaderSpring Center and the San Francisco Foundation partnered to identify systemic barriers that women of color face in attaining leadership roles and advancing racial equity and economic inclusion once they hold such positions.
Women of Color Executive Directors and Chief Executive Officers in the nonprofit sector are navigating turbulent waters.

What if, together, we can increasingly make visible and normalize conversations about what is causing the turbulence?
The Invitation

1. Individuals representing organizations that focus on supporting women/people of color with a racial equity agenda to attain leadership positions in the nonprofit sector were considered. While we focused on local organizations, national organizations were considered if they have a local presence or access to local data.

2. Individuals with sufficient longevity to observe and articulate local and/or national systemic trends and patterns across leaders & candidates at organizations who possess a “bird’s eye view”, a throughline of systemic barriers WOC face could be invited even if they don’t directly support WOC leaders.

Note: Individual WOC that currently hold positions of power in these areas (other than leaders in the organizations identified below) were not our intended participants for this focus groups.
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With Gratitude to the Contributors

- spring opara, **CompassPoint**
- Sharon Price, **Rockwood**
- Masha Chernyak, **Latino Community Foundation**
- Nikki Dinh, **Leadership Learning Community**
- Bia Vieria, **Women's Foundation of California**
- Ines Ixierda, **Sogorea Te' Land Trust**
- Monika Karla Varma, **Board Source**
- Claudia Paredes Corne, **CORO**
- Marlene Sanchez, **Ella Baker Center**
- Safi Jiroh, Sonia Mañjon, Rachel Farahbakhsh, Katherin Canton, **LeaderSpring**
- Yolanda Alindor, Retha Robinson, Michelle Myles Chambers & Perry Wong, **TSFF**
- Facilitation: Jes Montesinos, **TSFF**
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Building up an individual is insufficient to shift systems.

It’s crucial to simultaneously address the structural issues.

Data collected by LeaderSpring Center and The San Francisco Foundation.
Goals for the Focus Groups

1. **Analyze**
   To analyze key systemic challenges to women of color attaining CEO or Executive Director positions, and to help advance a racial equity agenda while holding those positions.

2. **Identify**
   To identify creative interventions

3. **Collect Data**
   To determine SFF’s and LeaderSpring’s roles within a larger ecosystem of support for WOC with a racial equity agenda

4. **Document Findings**
   To generate a document that details key themes, to be shared among all focus group participants
Mental models informed by worldviews of racial superiority directly bear on the structural design of our systems, and manifests as alienation and isolation of WOC leaders.
Focus Group Questions:

Referring to the iceberg graph that we sent you as part of the prep work – Which are the most significant patterns of behavior, systemic structures and mental models that create impediments for WOC to attain leadership positions or advance an equity agenda?

What stands in the way of support for WOC nonprofit leaders to implement community-driven agendas?

What stands in the way of WOC leaders with a racial equity agenda to build power among themselves?

If you could be free to design a new governance structure for nonprofits in alignment with a racial equity agenda, what might that look like? What’s inspiring this vision?

What are some examples of how WOC EDs/CEOs strategize successfully to transform the status quo system(s)?
THEME 1: BOARD ROLE

Board Structure: Superficial Understanding of Equity Permits Biases to Permeate Processes and Procedures

Ways this Shows Up During the Interview Process:
- Valuing the presence of WOC in the applicant pool more than selecting them for the leadership role
- Resisting and reacting to the Board being interviewed by the candidate
- Failing to recognize the value of the candidate's lived experience

Ways this Shows Up Once the WOC is Onboarded as ED or CEO:
- Unwillingness to consider strategic shifts or challenge the status quo
- Inhospitality to WOC
- Failure to allocate resources or invest in WOC
- Micromanagement and heightened scrutiny of ED/CEO
- Expectation that ED/CEO overwork, do things fast to prove themselves, and/or to clean up the mess they inherited
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THEME 1: BOARD ROLE

Board Structure: Superficial Understanding of Equity Sets Up the WOC to Fail During Executive Transitions

Failing to incorporate/center the outgoing leader in a transition plan to set the incoming leader for success.

- Failing to acknowledge the historical knowledge, sacrifice, experience of outgoing leader
- Failing to support incoming leaders with budgets & funding
- Funders only have relationships with the outgoing ED, resulting in a loss of revenue for the organization
- The new leader is in the shadow of the former leader's reputation
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Develop Toolkits for WOC Candidates:
- Toolkits on how to spot red flags at Board interviews, how to interview the organizations for values alignment, and how to anticipate and prepare for pushback
- BoardSource is developing resources for BIPOC leaders who are going through a search process

Promote Equity Work Tailored to Boards:
- Boards can hire an interim leader if board has not conducted its own equity training and implementation
- Distinguish DEI from equity work that addresses actual behaviors
- Coach Boards to recognize the expertise of lived experience
- Prepare Boards to be interviewed – nonprofit search consultants or recruiters can help to assess Board readiness
- Define what it means to trust WOC like white men

Assess and Advocate to Support Change:
- Compile the data needed to track where we’re at & growth areas
- Existing group of leadership development folks can advocate for this
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## Creative Interventions

### Boards Supporting WOC to Succeed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design from equity alignment</th>
<th>Mentor/Coach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Develop strategic responses to transitions</td>
<td>- Mentor incoming executive leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Provide a 2–3 year runway for incoming leader</td>
<td>- Coach them to develop resilience to stay on when there is buy-in for long-term change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Have outgoing leader make a clean cut when the transition is over</td>
<td>- Replace supervision with coaching</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offer comprehensive support</th>
<th>Allocate time to learn &amp; process</th>
<th>Offer resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Provide structural support, not just programmatic support, especially when the executive transition will involve restructuring</td>
<td>- Time for reading, studying, writing, learning – a few days a month as part of work</td>
<td>- Offer incoming leaders the resources and opportunities to assess and realign the organization to its intentions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Support changes proposed by the WOC</td>
<td>- Learning adaptive skills, not just technical (i.e., how do you make a judgment call?)</td>
<td>- Move them out of isolation and into supportive groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Support the incoming leader to work with emerging WOC leaders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Nonprofit Structure: Nonprofit Industrial Complex

- Nonprofit industrial complex structure:
  - Comprised of *colonized business models & practices*
  - Equitable change does not happen from within this structure
  - Need to dismantle it.

- It's hard for WOC to "fit" inside the traditional nonprofit model:
  - Roles may be associated with disempowering norms that WOC don't want to replicate
    - "Fitting in" is inauthentic
  - Premised on scarcity
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Being structured as a nonprofit charity makes it difficult to think about and plan for long-term sustainability of our orgs. Thinking about ways we are getting revenues (1 or 2 years at a time) with the expectation that we have solved the deep historical issues of racism, classism, police violence, mass incarceration... – results are many years out especially when we think about orgs that deal with change (racism, violence) – in a one-year grant cycle is not sustainable.

Charity mindset needs to shift to a social change business mindset.
NONPROFIT STRUCTURE:

Internalized Bias Impedes Infrastructure of Support

**Access issues:**
- Exclusive meetings
- No knowledge or resource sharing
- Professional development tied to roles and tied to loyalty to the organization
- Difficulty filling the roles that the WOC is leaving behind by taking a promotion

**Undervaluing what is outside traditional norms:**
- Expertise in practices sourced in alternative models is under-recognized
- The WOC leader feels isolated from organizations that are applying alternative models
- The WOC faces pushback when advancing changes – there is no support for doing things differently
- Emotional labor of racial equity work is not recognized and is therefore unaddressed
- Professionalization of roles changes the relational culture of work started in community
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Institutional Leadership is Not Designed to Support Co-Leadership

When organizational structures and norms fail to recognize that WOC are not all the same, they fail to prepare for the possibility that different racial/ethnic groups could regard each other's agendas as competing with one another (for time, resources, etc.).

When organizational structures do not explicitly and intentionally reflect culture norms aligned with the values of unity and manifesting full potential across racial/ethnic differences, they can foster competition and distrust between WOC co-leaders. This undermines their individual and collective efforts to succeed.

Moreover, organizations may not be set up to transform conflict, or to foster solidarity and collaboration for equity across racial/ethnic groups.
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# CREATIVE INTERVENTIONS

## Governance & Organizational Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support Alternative Governance Models</th>
<th>Redefine Success</th>
<th>Validate a holistic approach</th>
<th>Support co-leadership to succeed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Community ownership models that are community rooted, slower &amp; more relational</td>
<td>- Embrace innovation, creativity &amp; joy</td>
<td>- Bring in legacy, lineage, ancestors, a multigenerational approach. A more relational way of being as opposed to a transactional one.</td>
<td>- Build solidarity &amp; foster bridge building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Learn about the worker self-directed nonprofit model</td>
<td>- <strong>Normalize a growth mindset</strong> and support behavior change, rather than punish mistakes</td>
<td>- Incorporate cultural &amp; spiritual connection as part of professional development</td>
<td>- Offer 1:1 coaching AND coaching co-leaders together, <strong>aligned with a vision of mutuality</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Engage conversations with other organizations applying under-recognized practices</td>
<td>- <strong>Embrace intersectionality</strong> as power building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Experiment with co-directorship and leadership circles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Interrogate language: disengage with colonizer language, use new language for new structures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## CREATIVE INTERVENTIONS

### Financial Sustainability

**Attend to business aspects of social cause**
- Envision the impact of systems change 7 generations later
- Develop long term plans: 3–5 years, or longer
- Learn new business models
- Coach women to fundraise

**Shift Mental Models**
- From isolation to power building by having thought partners in fundraising
- From scarcity to abundance
- From secrecy to transparency when talking about money

**Host Retreats**
- Between funders and leaders, to have critical conversations around shared responsibility & mutuality
- Among nonprofit leaders to explore becoming one another’s donors – community ownership model

---
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THEME 3: FUNDER ROLE

What Funders Fund Undermines WOC Leaders

Not offering support in the areas that the leader struggles with the most: budgets & funding

WOC EDs have no time to get to be thought leaders – there is not enough space to dream.

Nonprofits face shortages in unrestricted funding and general operating support. Need to build in 20-30% margin on staff because people are out. Parental leave, medical leave, caretaking. Can’t expect people to work at 100%.

WOC ED may not have competitive pay & benefits - may be a first-generation family member to get a bank account!
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THEME 3: FUNDER ROLE

How Funders Fund Undermines WOC Leaders

Things WOC have done are not valued by funders, who are asking organizations to conform to their own values and views

- Foundations are aligned with the status quo of nonprofit structures.
- Funding is not available to be reactive AND proactive

To lead differently, WOC need to experiment with new ways of doing things; funding limits or restricts their flexibility and creativity

Funders say there are "not enough" resources

- The perceived scarcity of funds encourages WOC to seek funds that involve taking on more than they have the capacity to do.
- It also encourages competition for resources. They are not considering who they are responsible to – is it the individual grantee, or the entire ecosystem? No equity analysis about who is left out. Funders play favorites and express preferences based on the leader's relationship with the program officer
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CREATIVE INTERVENTIONS

Shift Funding Structures: “The What”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Offer general operating support</th>
<th>Support power building</th>
<th>Invest in changing structures in philanthropy &amp; nonprofit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Instead of project-based support</td>
<td>• Fund time for WOC Leaders to develop synergistic strategies to build power among them</td>
<td>• Recognize it's not possible to build power within the existing infrastructures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Help build 20 to 30% margin on staff</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Add community organizers to philanthropic boards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## CREATIVE INTERVENTIONS

### Shift Funding Structures: “The How”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shift to trust-based philanthropy practices</th>
<th>Re-consider who foundations fund &amp; bring in equity analysis</th>
<th>Build transformational relationships between grantees and funders.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Give multi-year unrestricted funding</td>
<td>• Identify who’s left out</td>
<td>• Shift from a transactional to a relational approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Do the homework</td>
<td>• Review competing commitments to depth &amp; reach</td>
<td>• Allow space for vulnerable &amp; frank conversations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Simplify and streamline paperwork</td>
<td>• Clarify who the funders are responsible to – their grantees or the ecosystem?</td>
<td>• Have conversations for reciprocal accountability – Transform the “not enough” conversation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Be transparent and responsive</td>
<td>• Shift in favorites. So many people left out of funding because they have no relationship with a PO</td>
<td>• Shift from referring to nonprofit leaders as “grantees” to calling them “partners.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Solicit and act on feedback</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Shift from evaluation to valuation. Hold healing as a value. Define values &amp; definitions of success around relationships &amp; less on data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Offer support beyond the check</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data collected by LeaderSpring Center and The San Francisco Foundation.
## Creative Interventions

### Funding Structure Supports Experimentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eliminate the gatekeeper</th>
<th>Fund WOC to Take Risks and Learn from Failure</th>
<th>Fund structures that recognize the impact of trauma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Funder’s role is to support without guidelines or preferences</td>
<td>• Doing the same thing will not get us a different result</td>
<td>• Support new organizational structures that address trauma collectively instead of individually, such as:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Create plan of action based upon what the grantee needs. How much this fits into the Foundation’s guidelines does not matter</td>
<td>• Fund to experiment to see what it takes for a Board to make equity-aligned decisions</td>
<td>○ Policies allowing the ED/CEO a month off to rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Add community organizers to philanthropic boards</td>
<td>• Fund orgs to have dedicated space &amp; time to identify what it takes for them to experiment – what would they need?</td>
<td>○ Policies that support a 4-day workweek for nonprofits</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LeaderSpring Center
info@leaderspring.org

The San Francisco Foundation
info@sff.org

ANNEBELLE BERRIOS
Facilitator of the focus groups
Author of the report
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