Fig. 1. The Dreamhouse-inspired entrance to *Barbie Gets With the Program* at Living Computer Museum + Labs in Seattle, Washington.
Feminine Exhibition Design

Margaret Middleton
“Ask a hundred people what inclusion means and you’ll get a hundred different answers. Ask them what it means to be excluded and their answer will be uniformly clear: It’s when you’re left out.”1  
— Kat Holmes, Director of Inclusive Design at Google

A few years ago, I was invited to design an exhibition about Barbie dolls for the Living Computer Museum + Labs (LCM) in Seattle, Washington. The exhibition Barbie Gets With the Program tells the story of 50 years of Barbie’s toy computers and the real computers on which they were based (fig. 1).2 As I developed the mood boards, I realized how infrequently I use the color pink or round typefaces with curly serifs. Maybe I was even avoiding feminine aesthetics. Why did I wait for a project about the literal icon of white American femininity before I made a pink exhibition?

Reflecting on my experience designing this exhibition, I began to question what I was unintentionally communicating when I chose more masculine approaches. Who was I leaving out when I avoided feminine design choices? What is the effect on visitors when museum spaces are coded masculine? Does it reinforce the hierarchy between masculine and feminine? Are feminine visitors affected negatively? I wondered what it would feel like to experience a museum space that valued femininity.

In this article I examine how implicit bias in museum design discriminates against feminine aspects of design and I challenge the myth of gender neutrality. To more deeply explore femininity in museum design, I propose six principles of feminine design informed by those who value femininity in their design practice.

What is Femininity?

The premise of the exhibition Barbie Gets With the Program was proposed to LCM by Rachel Simone Weil, an artist and video game historian. Through my conversations with her during the exhibition development process, I gained some insight into how femmephobia manifests in museums and archives. Weil runs a project called FEMICOM museum, a collection of feminine computers and video games. She founded the project when she realized the cute, girly games she loved as a child were left out of tech archives and dismissed by other historians. “I had a realization that this entire swath of video game history might eventually disappear from record, and it might disappear without a thoughtful analysis,” said Weil, “I didn’t want to see these old girly games tossed aside and never cataloged because they were thought to be socially regressive or anti-intellectual in some way.”3 Weil was adamant that I take these Barbie computers seriously.

Femininity is a socially constructed set of attributes associated with women, but not specific to any gender. It varies by culture and changes over time. Most people, regardless of gender identity, express a combination of both feminine and masculine traits. Femininity and masculinity are not binaries existing on a single continuum – more femininity does not necessarily imply less masculinity. Femininity is neither singular nor exclusive.

Because femininity is associated with women, ingrained sexism has aligned the American cultural understanding of femininity with negative characteristics like superficiality and frivolity.4 Masculine design however, is

---

perceived to be more serious and respectable. This systematic devaluation of femininity is known as femmephobia.\(^5\) By eschewing feminine design characteristics in museums, we not only suggest that feminine people are less valued in the spaces we create, but that masculine people and masculinity is more valued.

**Gender Neutral Is Not Neutral**

It can be tempting to respond to gender inequity by targeting gender itself and attempting to neutralize it. But in a patriarchal society, men and masculinity are regarded as the norm and women and femininity are considered deviations from that norm,\(^6\) so attempts at gender neutrality usually skew toward a masculine default. This bias is known as androcentrism and it has been observed across many fields including medicine, language, and design.\(^7\)

As an attempt to bridge the gender divide, the concept of “gender neutrality” has gained traction. One example of this is the way the Western fashion industry has over the years periodically introduced clothing lines described as “unisex” or “gender-neutral.” Overwhelmingly these lines feature traditionally masculine wear, such as T-shirts, loose-fitting pants, and coveralls, and very rarely incorporate traditionally feminine wear like dresses and high heels. In the same way that there are unisex T-shirts and then women’s T-shirts, there is the World Cup and then the Women’s World Cup, literature and then “chick lit,” mankind and then womankind.

Femininity is a socially constructed set of attributes associated with women, but not specific to any gender.

Even in a women-majority sphere like the museum field,\(^8\) androcentrism persists. “Walk through a museum. Look around a city,” writes engineering professor Debbie Chachra,\(^9\) “Almost all the artifacts that we value as a society were made by or at the order of men.” A recent study found that 87 percent of the work in major U.S. art museums is by men.\(^10\)

Though people of all genders inadvertently perpetuate androcentrism, men are substantially more likely to exhibit androcentrism than women.\(^11\) Since men employed as exhibit designers in museums outnumber their women counterparts,\(^12\) it is especially crucial for museum exhibit designers to be aware of this bias.

---


Principles of Feminine Design

Feminine qualities like beauty, comfort, and approachability make for highly effective user-based design, so when these are missing from our work, our bias is showing. Instead of aspiring to an unattainable neutral, some designers choose to express femininity deliberately. While studying architecture, Seattle architect S. Surface received negative critiques from professors who deemed their work “too feminine.” In response Surface intentionally incorporated cuteness and voluptuousness into their building designs. Gender distinction, cultivation and determination are deeply and fiercely important to so many people – perhaps nearly everyone,” Surface says, “I enjoy this and don’t want it to go away.”

By intentionally embracing femininity in design, we can create spaces that for feminine people exude “ambient belonging” – the sense of being in an environment that is intended for you. Sapna Cheryan, professor of social psychology at the University of Washington, coined the term when she and a team of researchers studied the potential for learning environments to unintentionally reify gender disparity. They found that “the degree to which people (both men and women) felt they belonged in the environment strongly predicted whether they chose to join that group, underscoring the importance of belonging in determining choices of members of underrepresented and overrepresented groups.” When women were considered in a learning environment, they felt more welcome.

To determine the kinds of science exhibits that felt welcoming to girls, the Exhibit Design for Girls’ Engagement (EDGE) project, conducted by the Exploratorium in San Francisco, observed visitors interacting with over 300 exhibits in three science museums. The research team identified nine design attributes that positively related to girls’ engagement. One of their findings showed that girls felt more welcome when exhibits felt more light-hearted. As one of their student advisors noted on the topic of whimsical exhibits, “There’s a lot of moments in my life that are serious, where you have to take things seriously, like school and stuff. It’s fun to just relax, play a little, so life’s not so serious.” An exhibition in which visitors can relax and let their guard down may be especially appealing to girls because of the societal expectations of feminine perfectionism they often face.

In order to more consciously consider femininity in my work, I developed the following six principles of feminine design. These principles are based on qualities that are generally accepted as feminine in contemporary American culture. Each of these principles is illustrated by a visual example of museum architecture or exhibition design. Though not exhaustive, this list of principles is meant to be a way for exhibit designers to begin to name and notice femininity so we can examine the implicit biases that might prevent us from expressing it in our work – even when it serves visitors best. The following design features offer ways to embrace a...
powerful, expansive version of femininity in design practice:

**Curvilinear form.** The curves of organic shapes invoke nature and the body; they are familiar and comfortable to interact with. Though curves are associated with the bodies of people assigned female at birth, all bodies have curves, regardless of gender. Ergonomic design almost always incorporates curves. The architect Gaston Bachelard considers the curve feminine – in contrast with the masculine line – and describes its hospitality: “The grace of a curve is an invitation to remain. We cannot break away from it without hoping to return. For the beloved curve has nest-like powers; it incites us to possession, it is a curved ‘corner,’ inhabited geometry.”

At the National Museum of the American Indian, the sweeping curves of the limestone exterior (fig. 2) evoke the landscape of the Southwest, sacred land to many indigenous nations. Settler colonists described their subjugation of indigenous people and land in feminized and sexualized terms, a concept known as patriarchal colonialism which introduced sexism and homophobia to what would become the Americas.

Indigenous feminism aims to reclaim a traditional, positive view of femininity.

---

Softness. Softness is forgiving and accommodating. A soft environment is conducive to comfort and conversation. Texture, form, and even light and sound quality together contribute to an overall sense of softness through the addition of upholstery, acoustic paneling, and curtains. These components often incorporate textiles, longtime symbols of domesticity and women’s work. As part of the 2015 exhibition Wonder, artist Janet Echelman’s installation transformed the Renwick Gallery’s Grand Salon with textiles. In addition to her hammock-like, nylon-fiber artwork hanging from the ceiling, she also used diffused light, a soft, quiet carpet made from repurposed fishing nets, and squishy beanbag chairs from which to observe the entire effect (fig. 3).

Nurturance. Because of its association with motherhood, nurturance is commonly referred to as a feminine trait. Poet and community organizer Cynthia Dewi Oka describes motherhood as a social practice. “The ethos of mothering,” she writes, “involves valuing in and of itself a commitment to the survival and thriving of other bodies.” A nurturing environment fosters comfort and growth. Natural materials like wood and fiber exude warmth. Conveying warmth does not necessarily require the use of warm colors like red or orange. The 2019 exhibition The Very Hungry Caterpillar Turns 50 at the Eric Carle Museum of Picture Book Art conveyed a sense of calm and welcome with a palette of greens and natural materials (fig. 4).

Fig. 3. Softness: visitors lounge on soft carpet and beanbag chairs to gaze up at the undulating installation 1.8 Renwick by Janet Echelman at the Renwick Gallery, Washington DC.

Fig. 4. Nurturance: shades of green and natural woodgrain communicate warmth and growth in The Very Hungry Caterpillar Turns 50 exhibition at the Eric Carle Museum of Picture Book Art in Amherst, Massachusetts.

Sparkle. Sparkle brings to mind glitter and fairy dust, hallmarks of toys marketed to girls. Feminist photographer Marilyn Minter is famous for using sparkle in her work exploring feminine sexuality, contrasting girlish glitter with intentionally disturbing or disruptive imagery. Sparkle is a verb as well as a noun and it can occur through glow, refraction, and reflection. In the 2019 exhibition Gender Bending Fashion at the Museum of Fine Arts, Boston, senior designer Chelsea Garunay achieved a glamorous effect with sparkle by utilizing triangular walls of dichroic acrylic to reflect the glow of exhibit lighting and scatter colorful reflections across the ceiling (fig. 5).

Color. The go-to color for femininity may be pink, but bright colors in general are associated with femininity. Whether you are in the mall, the deodorant aisle, or on the red carpet, you will notice items for women tend to be lighter, brighter, and more colorful and items for men are more likely to be darker and confined to a smaller range of color. In contrast with those darker, more muted colors which are considered serious, bright colors are perceived as playful. Bright color can inject beauty, levity, and playfulness into an environment. One of the exhibits that ranked well in the EDGE research project was an Exploratorium exhibit in which visitors play with their brightly colored shadows (fig. 6).
Fig. 7. Humility: visitors respond to a talk-back board in Mimi’s Family: Photography by Matthew Clowney at the Boston Children’s Museum in Boston, Massachusetts.

Tell us about your family. Cuéntanos sobre tu familia.
Humility. Essential to any human-centered endeavor, be it leadership or design, is humility. Kaywin Feldman, director of the National Gallery of Art in Washington, DC, explains that although competitive, assertive leadership is more highly respected, the communal style typical of women leaders is more effective. “A reason that women are perceived to lack gravitas,” Feldman writes, “is because they often demonstrate more of a human-centered leadership style.” Museums express humility by sharing authority and inviting visitors to add their voices and perspectives. In Mimi’s Family: Photography by Matthew Clowney, a 2016 exhibition at the Boston Children’s Museum about a family with a transgender grandparent, visitors were invited to contribute their stories. Instead of defining the meaning of family for visitors, a talk-back board invited them to “tell us about your family” in their own words (fig. 7).

When we dismiss femininity in our work, we designers are sending signals, overt and subtle, to feminine people that they are not valued in the spaces we create.

Exhibition designers may not always be brought into institutional discourse about inclusion, and as a result they may not see themselves as part of the larger movement to make museums more welcoming. Yet as evidenced by the examples of feminine design in practice, the choices designers make can have powerful effects. By gaining awareness of femininity in design, exhibit designers can more intentionally create museum spaces that are welcoming for people of all gender expressions. By simply naming and noticing these design principles, I am better equipped to challenge my biases and design more inclusive museum exhibitions.

A More Feminine Future

Over the years I have had the privilege of being invited into museum spaces to discuss matters of inclusion. When I realized how my own internalized femmephobia was affecting my design work, I recognized feminine design was another aspect of inclusion work. The resistance that I am met with when I introduce these ideas in inclusion workshops are indicative to me of the potency of femininity and how deep femmephobia runs. Gender nonconforming writer and performance artist Alok asks, “What feminine part of yourself did you have to destroy in order to survive in this world?”23 What of me has been missing in my work? What part of my design practice has suffered as a result of my self-censorship?

Margaret Middleton is an independent exhibit designer, feminist, and queer activist based in Providence, Rhode Island.
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