I will now communicate what I owe to Dr. Riddle, and will make
the best attempt I can to do so. I do not mean, however, to say
the least. I believe I should be roused by this. I have
prepared this for the revision of the text.

3. Under these circumstances, it is not surprising that
there should be a very close agreement between the different
renderings of the Popes, Controversy, and the Reformation;
for the revision cannot all turn their own account and
agree with the principles of different schools.

And I can hardly admit that a few differences
must have had considerable influence on the num-
er and character of their organization. I can point to
the two extremes in comparison with which all claimed,
prefer to, and independent of, the official revision work.
I am willing to submit the matter to a close ex-
amination of evidence and to a view by the facts.

Dr. Riddle states as an example that in the verses
22-27, eighteen of the twenty manuscripts in some way
quoted the range of 48, from 48, I will speak of other differences
in the text, and then which might easily be multiplied.

I have elsewhere stated that the text is, in St. Luke, ch. 1:1-30.
and St. Mark, 25:1-26, and the confidence of the

St. Mark, 25:1-26, and the confidence of the
The changes common to both works are the most important, and several more are suggested in the textual and grammatical apparatus of the former work. The changes not anticipated in Since are partly original, partly derived from various sources.

Glancing at a proof sheet of the Pop. Com. on St. Joh. prepared by Dr. Milligan & Moultin I find the number of textual changes to be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18 lines</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 lines</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 lines</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You will therefore see that the proportion of coincidences with St. Joh is fully as great if not greater in John than in the synoptists and I doubt whether Dr. Milligan & Moultin have made as much use of my Ed of Lange (although I furnished them with copies) as Mr. Riddle has done.

As to the financial aspect of the case, I had every reason to believe and to wish that the textual improvements of the Poplar Commentary were from injuring the University would increase rather than diminish the demand for the official revision, which will appear with all the power of authority. But you informed me last Sept. on my return
America that you had not yet sold fifty copies and regarded the work so far a financial failure, notwithstanding the high recommendations of the Bishop of Winchester, Bishop of Gloucester, York, and many very eminent scholars. I sincerely regret this for your sake, although I myself am quite satisfied under the circumstances that I have not yet received a penny for my labor and care connected with this Commentary.

The University Presses, however, may take a different view of the financial aspect of the case. They are certainly entitled to the highest consideration for their liberality in providing for all the expenses of the English Revision Committee, I say the English Committee, for the American Committee have paid their own expenses (and I raised the entire part myself, not forgetting to contribute my own share), and the Presses will soon find out that the American Committee—whatever be its other merits—has vastly enhanced the commercial value of their authorized editions of the revision to which we are expected to give our moral support in the United States.

I am also in England for our revision volume which
According to many results of the revision in an unauthorized way has already been here republished in London (without asking our permission) which shows the growing interest in this international work.

5. Finally, while I have not infringed in the least on the rights of the University Presses in the first volume of the Popular Commentary, yet with a view to avoid the delays and complications in the issue of the future volumes, I respectfully suggest that you make a commercial agreement arrangement with the University Presses satisfactory to them and honorable to us as will protect the remaining three volumes of the Popular Commentary in the alternate renderings, whether anticipated or not, and give us the right at the same time to substitute the official text of the revised version after its publication, for the amended old version in some future cheap edition of the Popular Commentary if you should resolve to issue it. The same arrangement may be made with regard to the Old Testament in case you resolve to go on with it. Should the University Presses demand compensation for the first work I shall of course pay it myself, although such demand would be unjust. I would of course rather sacrifice the last penny I have than suffer in reputation.
As I have before stated, I have already addressed the University Presses, through Prof. Price, suggesting that
I may be allowed in a future cheap edition to substitute their authorized text when published for the printed
emended text, on such terms as they may deem just. This
reply, dated Nov. 15, 1899, encourages me to believe that
they will readily accede to such an arrangement.

In conclusion, I beg you to lay this explanatory
statement together with that of my colleague Dr. Ad.
dle before the Authorities of the University Presses.

I confidently hope that they will acquit me of the unfair
charge implied in their communication to you and that
you will be able, before the issue of the second volume,

to make quietly a satisfactory arrangement with them.

I am, of course, desirous to avoid any public scandal
or any controversy, but should I be disappointed, I
shall feel obliged in justice to myself, to my co-laborers
on the Commentary, and to the members of the British
& American Revision Committees, to send them a full
statement of the case which will enable them to judge
whether I am guilty or innocent.

[Signature]

Phillip Schaff
Dear Sir:

Your favor of Jan. 7 is just receiv'd in which you promise to take an engagement.

I could not wait any longer, and after having kept my assistant for some time at half pay for half of this time, I felt constrained in justice to them to employ them whole time for full pay from Jan. 1st. We are now in fact here and expect to keep at work till it is finished. Printing many books in these enlightened times.

Any announcement therefore on my part must date from Jan. 1, 1850 at the rate I gave you. It is a great enterprize, but a very useful one, and I trust successful one.

Hoping that you will be able soon to make a definite arrangement with me on the terms communicated to you, I am

Truly yours

[Signature]

Messrs. S.S. Scoville & Co.
Harford.
This I believe covers more or less points except the critical and not exactly these notes which I feel improved to have entered in your hands. I did not expect to add any detail in writing any special impression, or dangerous conclusion.

Perhaps the system of and the part useful works would deserve the same change of voice again. But if in the end the much expected I made the effort I had.

I think all the rest because from the introduction to continuation of our education, and not to expand our breadth more for the occasion. However, I will write at this. I need all the different literary collections in their entirety because I believe a good deal of time in our.

As we are seeing other than names in various stories and more valuable. If not to write with this other, we may not get into them. Many times these things cheap.

Nottoway Jan. 10, 1898.

My dear Mr. Abbott

Your letter of Nov 7

is before me, & I will at once put on paper the proposition which I mention made to you in my study.

I invite you to join me in the preparation of a Manual of Biblical study for theological students on the following terms.

1. This Manual is to be a careful and valuable selection of standard works on the different branches of Biblical Theology, not to exceed 1000 or 1500 pages. If it me

be brought within 1700 or 1500 pages,

...
2. The books are to be classified according to the general scheme of any Index.

Encyclopedic, theological, etc.

a. Theology on general
b. Systematic Theology
  i. Historical Theology
  ii. Systematic Theology
  iii. Practical Theology

c. Practical Theology

As to the classification below in the notes, the new confirmations of such a division to the scheme as may be deemed necessary and desirable.

3. The succinct is such that all the material, if used already or may hereafter put to his hands, is to be a way as he may deem best, and to assume the task of revising, completing, and editing the whole work according to his own views and best judgment, provided only that the work of practical application to maintain and students of the leading older last public in the, Sections be kept constantly in view, and that the same alterations from the general scheme be done by the Editor in the place without mutual agreement.

It shall nevertheless lie published, the subject and also a presentation with Schaff's Church History should be in the order for the press.

5. We always agree to secure a publisher or to even borrow all the cost of publication, and to obtain a subscription and half of the author's proceeds, these they may be — or more according to the amount of time and labor he may devote to the work.
Dear Sir:

A few days ago I received a startling communication, dated Dec. 16, 1879, from Mr. J. Clark, my publisher in Edinburgh, informing me that the University Presses had warned him to withdraw from sale the first volume of my "Illustrated Popular Commentary," which contains an exposition of the Synoptical Gospels with textual emendations of the Authorized Version, on the ground of literary piracy as regards the alternate renderings in the text which are asserted to be "almost wholly taken from the confidential Revision. This implies at the same time the still more serious charge of breach of faith which, if well founded, would justify my instant expulsion from the Revision Committee.

The University Presses, I must be permitted to add, have given me not the least intimation of such a course, nor any opportunity of an explanation, although I was for years and am still in friendly and official correspondence with them.

I replied to Mr. Clark under date of Jan. 6, 1880, stating on my part and on the part of the Rev. Dr. Riddle, the fellow-author of said Commentary, facts and reasons which exonerate me from that charge, and proposing a financial arrangement for the future volumes of the Commentary which I hoped would result in a satisfactory settlement between the University Presses and Mr. Clark.
without involving the Revision Committee in any trouble.

But since that time I have learned from Prof. Dr. Thayer,
the Secretary of the New Testament Company, that he received
an official communication (which ought to have been addressed
to me as the guilty party) to the effect that the same charge
against me had been brought to the notice of the English
Revision Companies. This makes it necessary to bring this
unfortunate affair before the Am. Committee at the next
meeting for official action. I am prepared to furnish do-
umentary evidence of my innocence, and so is my colleague
Dr. Riddle. We shall act before our fellow-Reviseers and ask
them to appoint a committee of investigation, which will
probably not report till the regular meeting in the last week
of February. The result will be communicated to you.

In the mean time I beg you to suspend your judg-
ment.

But the necessary delay of official action is no excuse
for me to allow any of my honored friends and fellow- Reviseers
to be disturbed by the discomfort of suspicion or doubt.
Moreover as the English Committee have instructed me with
the responsible task of organizing the American Committee,
and as the American Committee have unanimously elected
me their President and charged me with all official cor-
respondence and negotiations with the English Committee
and the University Presses, I owe it to them as well as
to myself, to enter beforehand my emphatic and indignant
protest against a charge which would destroy my honor as
an author and as a member of the Revision Committee.
I can say with perfect truth and I can prove it that I have been engaged in exegetical publications and Bible Revision work for more than thirty years; that I have not borrowed a single word or quotation from the Anglo-American Revision Revision in the published volume of my commentary that revising the manuscript I have not even compared it with said Revision, that the first volume of this commentary was substantially completed prior to, and independent of, the Revision Committee, and that the correspondence of the alternate renderings with those of the Revision (which I expected, and admit to exist) are the unavoidable results partly of previous habits, especially my textual and critical additions to the English translation of Lange's Commentary on the Gospels published in New York and Edinburgh between 1864-8, 1871, and partly of the independent study of scholars who are also Revisers and cannot discard their work. Precisely the same or even closer resemblance will be found in the second volume now nearly ready for publication (unless stopped by the University Presses), and this volume was prepared exclusively by English scholars, two of whom are honored members of the New Testament Company.

I have full confidence that this cloud which has been cast over my good name will in due time pass away, and that on hearing the result of a thorough and impartial investigation you will acquit me of all dishonorable motives and conduct.

I am very respectfully yours,

Philip Schaff
Confidential.

Jau. 21, 80

My dear Dr. Thayer:

Your favor just
read is wired, considerate from your
point of view, and had I received it earlier.
I would probably have modified my
original. But I beg you to put yourself
into my position. I am willing and
willing to defend Mr. Riddle to any
extent consistent with truth, and a proper
regard for my own honor which, in this
instance, is closely connected with the honor
of our Committee. I ask Mr. Riddle at his own
eager request and at Mr. Sabin's expense
to Germany in 1869, I saw him for him
with much trouble a critical professorship
at Harkford; I took him, on the strength of
his published work in Romans, Galatians,
Galatian, Coloss, Ephes., Phil. etc., in his position,
in the Revision Committee I promised him too

...
in explaining and justifying his course as far as it can be done consistent with facts. And here I would direct your attention especially to Mr. Morton in Abbevon in Bellott, Conn., and to Mr. Beston on John [spelt out] in the Speaker's Con. These two honored Revisionists have certainly gone as far as Mr. Riddle is using the Revision, and if the Revision Process stops by the sale of my Corn, they ought certainly to make the own English Revision, where the responsibility. Our Committee has a right to protest against such injustice done as if I were the only guilty party.

But enough! I have full confidence in the Revision Committee, and my only request is that the Committee of Investigation which must be appointed may deal fairly with me and kindly and generously with Mr. Riddle.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]
My dear Mr. Biddle:

Your letter and statement are just received. I shall certainly go as far in your defense as is consistent with truth and honor. I shall therefore omit from my statement the expression of regret that I could not forego in my ignorance of the facts, but what I could and would have proceeded had I known the facts.

You must certainly remember that I repeatedly told you to stick close to such as Livingood, and avoid all unnecessary collision with the confidential Revius. That is to be an independent of it as possible and as consistent with the nature of the work; else we could get into trouble. My principal purpose was with the same effect. If the use of the marginal references of the Revius I did not deem.

As I write this, our defense must rest on printed evidence, and not on our oral assumptions. Therefore you must make most of our letters in Village and of Elliott's authorities. Please compare these.

Jan. 21

Very truly yours,

Philip Schaff
Feb. 3, 1850

Rev. A. Brad.
My dear Sir:

Your proposition of Jan. 31 is quite satisfactory. I am willing to buy a duplicate of plates of the revised edition of my book on the Person of Christ, and give you the right to print and publish it for a royalty of 15 percent on retail price of all copies sold. I would claim no copy money for copies given away in the ordinary grants of the Tract Society.

But I would like to associate Mr. —— as a publisher as he originally was. He has long since disposed of his edition of 1800 or more, and I am sure he would take at least 500 copies of the latter revised ed. You can arrange the terms with him.

Please quote me the royalty I gave you. I made some offer, I believe, and will receive the book in about one week before I report the plates.

Only Yours, 

[Signature]

[Handwritten address]

[Handwritten signature]
February 7

The Lord Bishop of Gloucester & Bristol.

My Lord,

Professor Dr. Thayer, the Secretary of the N.T. Company of Revisers, has forwarded to you the verdict of the American Company on the charge brought against me as editor of the Illustrated Popular Commentary, with whose origin and history since 1871 you have been in some measure familiar.

I accept that verdict as true and just, with all its consequences.

It fully vindicates my honor as an author and a member of the Revision Committee. It was followed by a resolution adopted unanimously, urging me ‘affectuoso and earnestly, to withdraw my resignation which under the circumstances I thought it due to them to offer, and assuring me of their “undiminished confidence in my Christian integrity and their pleasure in my Christian fellowship.’ The same assurance was given to Dr. Riddle, the real author of the first volume of the Popular Commentary to which I contributed a large number of exegetical notes, and its Introduction.

At the same time I plead guilty of the charge of having failed to receive proper editorial care in revising the work done by my associate in the Popular Commentary before its publication.

This sin of omission I sincerely regret. I can only say in explanation that from my long acquaintance with Dr. Riddle, as one of my most able, faithful, and conscientious co-workers in the English reproduction and enlargement of Lange’s Bible Work (on Romans, Galatians, Ephesians, and Colossians), and as an active and useful member of the Bible Revision Committee, I had every reason to repose the fullest confidence in him.
for the execution of the plan, according to clear instructions; and that the
greater part of the volume was stereotype during my protracted absence
in Bible lands without an opportunity to read the proof.

The other members of the Revision Committee are not only free
from all and every responsibility direct or indirect for that work,
but were even entirely ignorant of the facts complained of (as I
was myself) before they were brought to their notice by the letter of your
Lordship.

As to the University presses nothing could have been further from my intention
than to injure their interest. I have requested Mr. Clark in Edinburgh to
make an honourable commercial arrangement with them at my expense.

I have also directed my American publishers to arrest the advertisement
and sale of my Commentary till such an arrangement can be effected.

I trust that the frank statement which covers all the points,
will prove satisfactory to the English Companies and the authorities
of the University Presses.

With sincere respect

Your Lordship's

obedient servant,

Philip Schaff
Feb. 21

Mons. S. S. Branton & Co.

Dear Sir: I accept your letter of Feb. 20 as satisfactory, understanding you to be willing to extend the amount of extra contributions as the interest of the work may suggest, and to hold me responsible for more than a reasonable proportion of extra corrections of printer's proofs.

To finish the work in two years, it may be necessary to call on additional literary aid which will of course tax my force beyond the sum stipulated; but this is as yet uncertain, and I certainly expect nothing from you but what you yourself will regard as just and fair.

With this understanding as to extra-corrections, I believe the agreement, and confidently hope that we shall work in perfect harmony and unite our energies for the production of a work of permanent value and usefulness.
I have a full understanding with my associate editors, but would thank you for putting it into legal shape and form (at my expense) so as to fit into our agreement. The following are the points:

1. Agree to engage Clemens Petriæon and Samuel M. Jackson as coeditors of our Bible Theological Dictionary, etc. until it is completed, to pay them each one hundred dollars per month, to put their names as coeditors or assistant editors on the title page, and after the expiration of ten years after publication of said work to make them together with you the Rev. David S. Schaff, sole proprietors of the copyright in three equal shares.

2. Clemens Petriæon, Samuel M. Jackson, in their part agree to give the their whole time and engage in the work under my direction as kept complete, and to revise it from time to time as may be deemed necessary or desirable in concert with the publishers.

 Truly yours,

 [Signature]
New York, March 23, 1880:

My dear Sir,

Your favor of March 19 including a copy of letter from the University Presses has just come to hand.

I do not regret that the request to use the text of the new revision has been declined. We can get along without it, and save a considerable sum. But it is quite necessary that you should at once issue in my name a circular instruction to all the English contributors to our Popular Commentary to this effect:

"In order to prevent any complication with the University Presses, its publishers and owners of the revised version of the New Testament, the contributors to the Popular Commentary edited by Dr. Schaff are respectfully requested to make no use of the Anglo-American revision—unless they should obtain special permission to do so—and to confine themselves to the textual department. A new edition of the Authorized Version as are the result of their own independent study, or as have already been antedated in the Anglo-American Commentary of Lange (published by Messrs. Clark & Lorimer in 10 vols. 1864–74) and other legitimate sources unless absolutely necessary."

I wish you distinctly to understand that after issuing this notice..."
I hold myself free from all responsibility pecuniary and moral, which may result from any complication with the University Press in consequence of a violation of the explicit direction by any of the contributors to the remaining volumes on the New Testament.

We have here begun work on the Galatians, and shall soon be ready to go on with vigor on the third volume as soon as you inform us that you are ready to proceed with the English portion.

Very respectfully,

Yours,

Philip Schaff

Messrs. J. D. Clark, Edinburgh.
March 23, 80.

My dear Sir,

I have concluded to buy myself a duplicate of the stereotyped Plates of your edition of my book on the Person of Christ, and publish it through the Tract Soc. in place of the old edition.

With this object in view I have gone over the little book again with some care and made a few corrections which I send you by same mail. Please have these corrected and then a duplicate set made and sent over to the Tract Soc. 15a Nassau Street. I will pay for the correction also.

But as the duty is very heavy (25 or 30 shs. per set, I believe) I would as well have your firm print them if they can be imported cheaper as you know that sells better I am sure some you will attend to all in the best way and make it as reasonable for me as you can.

Mr. Fulton would like very much to join the book, also my book ‘Through Picta Lands,’ but the Tract Soc. will not call the plates, which for reasons best known to themselves, I like your ed. of my book very well.

It is really and tastefully gotten up, even doesn’t sell I have seen no review in English periodicals.

I would like to have a few copies of the new ed. of Picta Lands to add chapters. Please inform me as soon as convenient when I may look for the plates of Person of Christ.

I hope Mr. Nason has entirely recovered. Give him and his dear family my best regards.

I hope to see you at the Free Presbyterian Council in Philadelphia. We have nearly finished our Programme.

Our new Presbyterian Review is doing well.

With your respect,

Your friend,

Philip Schaff

A.J.; G. Robertson
My dear Professor Price:

I beg leave to direct your special attention to the enclosed communication to the Representatives of the University Presses, which will at once strike you as very important. The signers are gentlemen of the highest respectability as clergymen, judges, lawyers and merchants of New York, Philadelphia and Boston, all of them members of the Finance Committee, and have no other motive but to promote the best interests of the Revised Version of the Scriptures in a way which will at the same time most effectually protect the interests of the University Presses.

You will understand of course, that this is merely a private letter for which I alone am responsible. The American Committee have nothing to do with the commercial and financial aspects of publication and do not feel at liberty in any way to disturb our agreement of August 1877. As a Committee we shall take no action unless asked to do so by the University Presses.
Hoping that the proposal may lead to an arrangement which will be satisfactory to all parties concerned and promote the good cause in which we are engaged,

I am very sincerely;

Yours,

Philip Schaff

Prof. Bartholomew Price

Oxford

England
Gentlemen,

Your note of inquiry is at hand. In reply Dr. Schaff desires me to state that you need give yourself no uneasiness. The Bible dictionary and the work projected will not and cannot come into competition. The Bible dictionary limits itself exclusively to Bible matter and is designed for the use of Sunday school teachers. The projected dictionary is a very comprehensive work, taking in the wide cycle of religious knowledge, paying particular attention to the study of the Church of Christ, and is designed for the widest circulation among families of education and religious interests. The two dictionaries together are not rivals but companion volumes.

Assured that we are hard at work in this office and will be ready for the printer in September.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

[Handwritten address: No. 42 Bible House, New York, June 5, 1872]
My dear Sir:

I find that on that large size of paper which you have chosen, it will be practicable to reduce the number of pages below 2000, perhaps to 1800, which would enable you to the more to add the book in one volume as well as in two.

Please inform me as to your wishes on this subject that I may shape my course accordingly.

I would also like to know whether the 1132 contract binds me to finish the whole work by July 1, 1882. It may possibly take three years to finish it, but I should do all I can of course, to finish it within two years or less, though I may have failed in that help. Still it is not desirable to finish it later than the end of the year P. Platt is finished, and that will not be before 1883.

Yours truly,  
Frederick Schaff
Oth. 23, 50.

My dear Mr. Brown:

Can you furnish me with the necessary facts and conduct a brief and condemn it for us on

Arminianism [Presbyterian or Methodist].

I have an article on Arminianism —

And shall join the Section articles, but I want an article on Methodist Arminianism, not the article of the side

I have greatly modified my plan and reduced my thoughts into one larger one, but the subject has been to avoid all references with your work, young's work, and

With your work, young's view of

The section, and

What I have asked you is your own

Enthusiasm, I trust you will cheerfully aid us in my own favour. I shall forward you at the rate of 75s. per page.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

[Address]
Nov. 11, 80.

My dear Sir:

Your letter of Nov. 10 was duly received. Dr. Matthew, as Secretary, ought to look after these business details, but I took this hour this afternoon to confer with Dr. Prime, Cook's agent, without getting the information desired. Cook's agent sent you all the certificates. If I had them, I could identify and check them which we are responsible for. You better send them to me for that purpose. In the mean time all I can say is this:

1. Mr. Cook is London was authorized to furnish free ocean passage, and the only to these delegations, who were invited by the Programme Comm. to prepare speeches, and he would show them a certificate to that effect duly signed by myself as Chairman and Dr. Matthew as Secretary.

2. Mr. A. C. Merton of Paisley, Scotland, was invited to take the place of our Dr. Andrew Thomson of Edinburgh, and bring his ticket at the special request of Mrs. Calderwood & Co. in behalf of the Unit. Free Church of Scotland.

3. We are not responsible for Mr. Knowle, and still less for a Mr. Smith, of whom I have nothing. There is certainly a mistake here.

4. The charge of $100 for a return passage in the Cunard Line instead of $200 or $100, is correct to the best of my recollection. The Master, Mr. Kier, charged $100.

Cook, or I think, Stein, sends the Coal at least $150, is entitled to our thanks.

Yours truly,

Philip Stoff

George Jenkins, Esq.
December 14th, 1880

My dear Sir:

Permit me to send you a copy of my Bible Dictionary recently issued by the American Sunday School Union.

I am engaged in an effort—successful so far—to supply the students of some of our leading Theological Seminaries with the book, and thus to aid them in their biblical studies. The Sunday School Union agrees to take off for this purpose one third from the regular price (which is $2.50 per copy). I have already supplied two institutions with the book by the aid of generous liberal friends.

May I ask you to help me in this enterprise and to indicate the Seminary which is to have the benefit of your contribution?

With great respect
I am very truly Yours

Philip Schaff

Roland Swift & Co.,
Hartford
Conn.

P.S. I will give $25 towards supplying the students, faculty & library of Hartford Seminary, if the balance will be accepted. In this case please charge me with that amount when you read me the next time.
My dear Sir:

I enclose please find receipt with my cordial thanks for your prompt, cheery, and liberal response to my request.

With great respect,

Yours truly,

Philip Schaff

To Wm E. Dodge Jr Esq

My dear Dr. Strong:

By the aid of two kind Methodist friends, Mr. Eliot and Judge Franks, I am enabled to stuff at Seminary with 30 copies of my Bible Dictionary, which I take the liberty of sending to your address with the request to be so kind as to distribute them among the faculty (including my friend Bishop Hart) and those students who need it most or who will derive most benefit from them. Perhaps I may be able to send you another lot. I would like to furnish all the student ministers.

How large is the number?

Thanking you beforehand for your kindness,

I am very truly yours,

Philip Schaff
My dear Sir,

Never interweave the printer. Sometimes he mistakes the correction or substitutes a wrong error. Moreover, it is more expensive to make corrections in plates than in second proofs.

Some insist therefore on having 3 sets of proofs before the pages are stereotyped. All my proofs in New York, Phila., and Cambridge read the proofs.

The book must be correct. Accuracy is the test of scholarship.

Finally, received pp. 14-16 of proof. Will return it today.

To correct two sets and send one to you is a waste of time which is worth more than money. I will read you the errors if you wish.

Yours truly, [Signature]

Feb 7
Dear Sir: In reply to your letter of Feb. 12th, I beg leave to say,

1. Many of the errors, even in spelling, are typographical.
2. The corrections will diminish as the work proceeds and the printer becomes familiar with our system.
3. Accuracy is worth more than money. The Cyclopaedia will be a good name for it if well done.
4. I always expected to pay a smaller sum of the expenses of corrections, any one large. But from your letter I infer that you expect me to pay the whole. If this is your intention please let me know at once.
5. I have thought about the volume, and have been instructed by any authority in the Educational Department (so far as I can), to request the book which we are to pay for a short time, after which time I have been told to get it ready.

You will find revisions. We are at work from 9 A.M. till 6 P.M. and read all our time.

Be of good cheer. You need not be afraid. The book is progressing satisfactorily and will gather strength as it goes on. I am quite confident of its usefulness and success. And I can judge from long experience.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
March 26

My dear Sir,

Your letter of March 25 has just come to hand.

We have not yet received the final proof of it.

I decline the last 2 pages, except proof, as it is desirable to have a conference on the subject. If you cannot conveniently come here, please let me know your views before long.

I am engaging outside help to a much larger extent than the contract contemplates, but at my risk of course. Articles from various sources will be used in the book, and will greatly add to its value. I trust you will publish the book in December.

Yours truly,

[Signature]
March 30, 1

My dear Friend:

I received the Catalogue of your Theol. Seminary, and in reply to your request for more books I have made up another box containing a hundred vols. of theology books from my library, and also 10 copies of my Bible Dictionary, 10 copies of my book on the Person of Christ, revised. The Bible Dictionaries and the Person of Christ you may distribute among the Professors, & students except a copy for the library of the Seminary.

I will try to pay the postage, but if I cannot owing to the distance, please send me the bill, and I will remit the amount with pleasure.

I am with the best wishes to judge for you and your institution.

P.S. Dr. Guhlmeine.

Franklin, Wis.

March 29

My dear Bishop:

I take great pleasure in sending you by express 15 copies of Person of Christ, & 15 copies of Bible Dictionary, for your theological students in your library, hoping that the books may be useful to them.

One copy of each is intended for yourself.

Very truly yours,

Philip Schaff

The Rt. Rev. G. F. Bedell, D.D.

Jenkin, N.
April 1, 51

My dear Sir:

I accept your invitation to deliver a lecture on Bible Revision at Chautauqua next summer, D. U., on the terms you state in your letter of March 29.

The pass on the Erie R.R. will hardly be of any use as I do not know when I may be at the time.

Permit me to send you a copy of my Bible Dictionary which was prepared especially for S. S. teachers.

Yours truly,

Philip Schaff

Rev. Dr. Vincent.

June 5

Dear Sir:

Dr. Hort informed me that the great treatise on that done published, and on the day afterwards I received a copy. It is a beautiful book, delightfully made at and to read, the notes standard others.

I called on Mrs. Harper and learned that he had already received the duplicate plates which I expected from you in 1869. But I have not received the bill which I am prepared to pay on receipt. Please forward it by next mail.

I am now preparing a brief introduction to the American edition, and might please before me the proofs sheets of the "Introductory and Appendix" (which you know the Harper will also publish from duplicate plates). Will you please inform me a set as soon as possible a college.

Yours truly,

Philip Schaff.
Private and Confidential

My dear Sir:

Your favor of June 6 is at hand. I have not yet read from you a formal proposal for the work you wish me to do. I feel, however, committed to you through your friend who called on me last week, to the extent that I will receive carefully the work of your editor who is to incorporate the suggestions into the text on condition that my name be not mentioned at present in connection with the edition. They also prepare an introductory preface.

I learn that a similar edition is to appear in N. Y. under the editorship of a friend of mine. Your safety lies in the making your strictly first class as to literary execution, correctness, paper & type.

Yours truly,

Philip Schaff
June 8

My dear Mr. Ripper:

I just received your letter of June 7, with copy of my correspondence. It agrees well with my instructions. The arrangement made in 1878 was provisional. I preferred then, as I do still, the percentage plan to a fixed one. Please make a proposition as to how much commission you will pay for me, and how much for the use of the plates if I buy them. My dealings with you have always been so pleasant, I am satisfied that I

was fully trust you.

I am hard at work on the Introduction and hope to finish it within the month. It will take from 20 to 30 pages, and give a general account of the various sources of the first Psalm, A.D. 1100, the Patriarchic Genealogies, the Variations, the Properly of God, the Psalm of David, and the Psalms of David from Tr immun. B. L. B. Kott.

Yours truly,

Philip Schaff.
June 11

Skr Bn: Your letter of June 8 of year past and of June 9 are before me. In reply, I am to say:

1. That the Rev. Sam. Mr. Jackson is in my judgment admirably qualified for a professor of biblical literature in a Theological Seminary. I can recommend him most cordially and recommend him for the position in Chicago Seminary. The more I know of him the more I respect and love him.

2. That he is pledged to act me in editing a Religious Cyclopaedia that is promised me and that will help him fully prepare for the plan of the next year (1832). Should not take him without the most serious circumstances and without violating my own engagements with the publisher. He feels this himself and has no idea of withdrawing from so important a work in which he is deeply interested in every way.

3. That he is now by the very work passing through the best possible preparation for a professorship, better than a course in a German University. He is forming important associations with literary celebrities in America & Europe, and building a reputation which will be invaluable to him in the subsequent life of his position.

I would therefore respectfully suggest that you employ a tutor or supply for one or two years or until the Cyclopaedia is finished when Mr. Jackson can enter upon the professorship thoroughly equipped.

Yours truly,

[Signature]

Rev. J. H. Mayo
June 13, 1881.

Messrs. Harper & Brothers,
In reply to your favor of June 11, I beg leave to say that I accept your proposition with regard to the new edition of Westcott & Hort Greek Testament with the understanding that you pay me ten per cent on the retail price for all copies of all your editions sold, and that in case you deem it expedient to issue a cheap edition, I promise to furnish a special Introduction or Preface of from 3 to 5 pages to the same adapted to the particular object in view, that short Introduction to be substituted for the Central Introd. and Appendix to the large edition.

I am working day and night at the Introduction which may possibly extend to 40 or 50 pages.
I send you instead the first pages which you may set at once. I hope to finish the whole by the end of this week.

Yours very truly,
Philip Schaff.

Mr. Joseph W. Harper. June 18, 31

Sir: In reply to your last letter I beg leave respectfully to submit two considerations.
1. The issue of a small and cheap edition of the Greek Text of Westcott & Hort will curtail the sale of the large ed. I intend to introduce it among my students and to recommend it to theological Seminaries, but if the students can get the book for $1 or $1.50, they will not buy the ed. large ed. for $2.50.
3. The Greek tests of Pollock & Scrivener – which it would leave the same time to prepare.

In view of these facts I ought to have some claim on both editions, but I am quite willing to leave this matter with your own sense of justice.

I am working days and nights on the Introduction and send you some copy of the title page. Please send me of soon.

Very truly yours,
Philip Schaff.
June 20

Near [illegible],

Sir: I return the proofs with a few corrections, and offer a few suggestions for your new edition of the Revised New Testament. You have evidently intended the work to competent editors.

So far I have done nothing inconsistent with my position as President of the American Revision Committee, but I can do no more. As I told you when you called on me last week, I cannot assume any editorial care and responsibility directly or indirectly, with or without my name. I feel bound in honor to abstain from any act that might violate in any way our agreement with the English University Press, which is binding upon our Committee till the American churches adopt or authorize the Revision.

For the same reason I must also respectfully decline your liberal offer of pecuniary compensation for the little service I did you.

Very respectfully,

Yours,

[illegible]

P.S. Permit me to suggest that the historical introduction to your future edition might be made still more valuable by a thorough revision. It contains a number of serious errors.
June 20, 1881

My dear Dr. Blair: I have just received your favor of June 9, asking me for my opinion on the merits of the Webster Smith case and the constitutional principles involved in it.

Much as I would like to gratify you, I am hardly competent, with my imperfect information and at so great a distance, to prepare a statement worth publishing. Besides, it would not be wise for me at present to do so. I have had too heavy trials in my younger days and escaped unhurt. Now I am entitled to some rest, and do not wish to mix myself up with a Scotch controversy to disturb old friendships. If the question become an American question, I shall have to define my position as a matter of duty.

Very truly yours,

Your friends have been very kind to me for your sentiments,

[Signature]

June 24, 1881

Dear Dr.,

I have already replied to Dr. Blair, declining to mix myself up with this Scotch question, to disturb old friendships, and not to undertake such a controversy, but if it comes unsought, I shall take my stand without fear or favor.

I am writing a critical introduction to the new ed. of Webster & Smith's

I expect to leave for Lake Mohonk next July 1st and remain there till Sept.

Remember we expect your family to come in Aug.

[Signature]
June 30,

My dear Dr. Balays,

I just read your letter of June 11, and hasten to say that I shall do all I can personally for your friend Mr. Francis Kienestadt when he comes here to continue his studies in our Seminary. But I cannot promise him a scholarship beforehand, that depends upon his status and upon the decision of the faculty which does not meet till the middle of September. He must in any case submit to an examination or present clean pages from your University and the Free College of Edinburgh.

We still remember your friend Marty, who was a graduate of our Seminary and did a very good work among the Methodists of the city. I hope Mr. F. X. will follow his steps. Yours very truly,

Philip Schaff

July 1

My dear Mr. ball: Many thanks to you for your prompt answer of June 16, and the two proofs of your Introduction. I had to finish my Introduction in a great hurry, but fortunately I find that I do not disagree on your ground, but give such elementary information about the sources of the text and the printed editions from Eichhorn down to 1681 as you take for granted and you is quite necessary for the A. M. students. I shall send you a copy as soon as I have read the final proof, and I shall be only too thankful for any corrections & suggestions which I may use for a second edition.

Mr. John is in great hurry to get out your edition before the Oxford & Cambridge Union. Peck one can get too much ahead of it, but they see the market already so well that they be filled, for there is great demand for the Psalter. Part. Most truly yours,

Philip Schaff.

My dear Sir:

I am engaged in editing a condensed reproduction of Herzog's Universal Theological Encyclopedia in 2 or 3 vols. Instead of translating the articles 'Arianism' and 'Christology', which are not satisfactory to me, I prefer to make independent use of my own articles which I wrote for your 'Dict. of Christian Biog.' giving credit, of course, to the source, and changing enough to make them virtually new articles.

I have also quoted literally from Haddan's 'Bishop', in your 'Dict. of Christ. Antiquities,' several sentences to give a fair representation of the Anglican theory of the origin of the episcopate which is omitted.
Dear Sir,

I am overwhelmed with work, and can assume no weapon. My duty for your or any other A.M. is not a function of the Board. I wish to excuse for my own affairs, and to be present at our meeting in New York, and to enclose, subject to your approval, a letter from Dr. Schaff, expressing a cordial confidence in the Revision Committee.

Respectfully yours,

[Signature]

[Address]

[Stamps and notations]
In the writer in Herzog who takes the Presbyterian view. I inclose the printed proof so that you may judge better of what I did.

As I always stood up for an international copyright, I desire to have your permission before publication for this limited use of my own contributions to your great work which I hope will soon be completed.

Be so kind as to present my best regards to Dr. Smith and Prof. Other. I was obliged from want of time to decline a number of articles which they assigned me some years ago, but I am not unwilling to aid if they put me off to the end of the alphabet, hoping to have a little more leisure then. Perhaps it is too late now.

Very respectfully Yours

Mrs. John Murray

Philetus Shaw