Simple Justice Gets Complicated

By Pedro A. Noguera*

In assessing the impact of Brown v. Board of Education1 forty years after it was rendered, it now seems easier to point out the many ways in which the hopes raised by that landmark case have been unfulfilled than it does to point out its accomplishments. So many important changes have been connected to the legacy of Brown2 that some disappointment over the many things that remain unattained in its wake should not be surprising.

For example, forty years after Brown, the persistence of racial separation, or "de facto" segregation, in our public schools is so common and pervasive that today truly integrated schools are more likely to be seen as the exception rather than the rule. According to the National School Boards Association, nearly two thirds of African-American children (63%) still attend racially segregated schools.3 In California for example, although only 9% of the students enrolled in public schools are African-American, 76.6% of them attend predominantly African-American schools.4 Similar patterns exist in most other states with significant African-American populations, though in the South there are, surprisingly, some notable exceptions.5

To a large extent, the persistence of racial segregation in schools is due to neighborhood patterns of racial separation which have become so pronounced in recent times that in many parts of the country there is a
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2. For example, the birth of the civil rights movement, the shift in federal policy in favor of civil rights, and the desegregation of higher education can all be traced back to Brown.
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5. Though much of the emphasis in early desegregation efforts has been placed on the South, states such as Kentucky and North Carolina have lower rates of African-American students attending segregated schools (6.6% in Kentucky, 37.5% in North Carolina) than most northern states. Andrew Hacker, Two Nations: Black and White, Separate, Hostile, Unequal 162 (1992).
nearly perfect correlation between race and zip code. Some scholars have argued that school desegregation has contributed to increased physical and social distance between the races.

In most cities and towns across the United States, desegregation of the schools was followed immediately by white flight, either to exclusive private schools or out of the city altogether. Several school districts have attempted to counter this trend by introducing voluntary desegregation schemes such as magnet schools. While some school districts have found success with this method, for the most part voluntary desegregation has been no more effective in producing racially integrated schools than more heavy-handed methods such as forced busing.

Even where busing, the remedy most typically used by courts to address racial disparities in school enrollment, has been introduced to facilitate desegregation, racially mixed schools have not always been obtained. In cities like San Francisco where busing programs are still in effect, it is not uncommon to find children transported great distances to schools offering little in the way of ethnic diversity or improved educational services. The continuation of such practices in order to maintain compliance with court decrees has led many to view busing as little more

6. This phenomenon is known as “hypersegregation.” Massey and Denton coined the term to describe the increase in residential segregation. See Douglas S. Massey & Nancy A. Denton, Hypersegregation in U.S. Metropolitan Areas: Black and Hispanic Segregation Along Five Dimensions, 26 Demography 373, 373-91 (1989). See also Martin Marger, Race and Ethnic Relations—American and Global Perspectives 83 (1985).
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than a costly farce. Not surprisingly, even those organizations that were among the strongest advocates of court-ordered busing now see little value in supporting a policy that results in African-American children being bused to predominately African-American schools while schools in African-American neighborhoods are shut down.

Finally, even where schools have been successfully integrated, too often racial conflict, rather than tolerance or peaceful coexistence, characterizes the state of race relations. Even in Berkeley, California, which in 1969 became one of the first districts in the country to voluntarily desegregate its schools, serious problems with respect to race relations continue to plague the district. In Berkeley and many other cities across the country, considerable emphasis was placed on devising ways to bring students of different races together, while far less attention has been given to improving the quality of interaction between members of different racial groups. Armed with the prejudices of their families and parents, and exposed to ethnocentricism and racial intolerance which pervades our society, many young people come to school unable to appreciate or accept the cultural diversity present among their peers. Instead, difference is perceived as threat, and small misunderstandings justify violent confrontations.

Such patterns exist even in districts where whites are no longer present in large numbers. Conflicts between racial minority groups have prompted administrators in some school districts to keep contact between groups to a minimum for the sake of peace. In many areas, schools have become contested territory, where ethnic groups compete, often violently, for dominance and control. Too often, overwhelmed school personnel try to maintain peace during school hours, and look the
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other way when students do battle after the final bell has rung.\textsuperscript{18}

A Symptom of Failure?

Citing the failure of school integration has become popular in recent times—partially because it serves as fuel to the growing frustration and anger over the inadequacies of public education. In their arguments for school choice and privatization, conservative critics point to the failure of school desegregation as proof that only those who cannot escape the system have been left behind.\textsuperscript{19} Among the litany of charges against public schools, the failure to achieve integration has been cited as proof that the enterprise is bankrupt and needs dismantling.\textsuperscript{20}

The opponents of public education have gained allies from those who recognize not only that integration has not been achieved in many school districts, but also that the disparity in resources which exposed the hypocrisy of “separate but equal” largely have not been addressed.\textsuperscript{21} Imbalances in funding, facilities, technology, and personnel continue to characterize the differences between white and predominantly “non-white” schools. Reflecting on the current state of racial inequality in American education, Johnathon Kozol observed:

For anyone who came of age during the years from 1954 to 1968, these revelations could not fail to be disheartening. What seems unmistakable, but, oddly enough, is rarely said in public settings nowadays, is that the nation, for all practice and intent, has turned its back on the moral implications of the \textit{Brown} decision. The struggle being waged today, where there is any struggle being waged at all, is closer to the one that was addressed in 1896 in \textit{Plessy v. Ferguson}, for which the Court accepted segregated institutions for African-American people, stipulating only that they must be equal to those open to white people. The dual society, at least in public education, seems in general to be unquestioned.\textsuperscript{22}

In many communities, the continued failure of the public schools has contributed to a decline in support among a growing number of African Americans for integration as it has been implemented. Proposals for
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educating African-American children in racially homogeneous settings have generated considerable interest and support. The advent of schools designated exclusively of African-American males has grown out of the recognition that the public schools have been almost uniformly unsuccessful in serving this population.

Looking back on the pre-Brown days of racially segregated schools, many have noted that while African-American schools received substantially less resources than white schools in the past, in many cases the schools were at least controlled by the African-American community and staffed by African-American personnel. Conversely, while today's desegregated schools in African American communities still generally have less resources than those in white areas, they are typically managed by individuals who live outside of their communities and are staffed by teachers who would never dream of sending their own children to such schools.

For the most part, neither of the two goals of desegregation, reduced inequality in educational resources and improved race relations, have been realized. Instead, forty years after Brown, equality in educational opportunities remains an elusive dream. In many areas relations between the races are at an all time low.

The Promise and Potential of Brown

When seen in light of the grim realities facing urban public schools in particular, the legacy of the Brown decision seems even less meaningful. For all the energy and resources that have been invested to bring about desegregation, most urban schools remain in a state of de facto segregation based on race and class, and the quality of education has generally not improved. In 1980, 62.9 percent of all African American children attended schools that enrolled 50 percent or more minority stu-

24. Several authors have noted the frustration experienced by African American parents over the failure of desegregation efforts to address racial inequalities in education. In several cities, this frustration has led to a growth in support for these initiatives. See, e.g., Wade Smith & Eugene Moore, Positive Segregation? The Consequences of Separate Schools for African American Males (unpublished paper, Department of Sociology, Arizona State University, 1990).
idents, while 33.2 percent attended schools that were 90-100 percent minority. As Jennifer Hochschild notes, the tendency for “green to follow white” results in school districts that are predominantly minority receiving substantially less funding than schools that enroll larger numbers of whites.

Yet as important as these unresolved issues are, they are not the only criteria upon which the legacy of Brown should be judged. Viewed in the historical context of this country’s race relations, Brown signified a critical turning point in the evolution of civil rights. It represented a clear sign that legal opinion had shifted in favor of those previously disenfranchised in the public schools and elsewhere with the blessings of the courts. The ruling, however, has meant much more than “simple justice” through integration in public education. Whether intended or not, the Court’s decision raised questions of equality in educational resources. In subsequent state and federal policies, application of Brown’s principles have extended well beyond equality of opportunity and ventured into the realm of equality as measured by results.

During much of the 1960s and 1970s, school desegregation efforts focused largely on removing racial barriers and eliminating discriminatory practices. With the backing of the courts and federal troops when necessary, most public educational institutions were forced to eliminate official discrimination and exclusion. As these obstacles were removed, however, it became increasingly obvious that “second generation segregation” and “re-segregation” within schools would continue to function as major obstacles to the realization of educational equality.

Nowhere has the difference between desegregation and equality in education been more obvious than in Boston, Massachusetts. Throughout the 1970s, the battle over school desegregation through court-or-
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dered busing contributed to violent confrontations between African-American and white residents. Believing that integration was the key to improving the quality of education received by African-American children, African-American parents allowed their children to be bused into white communities where they were confronted with extreme hostility and resentment. By the late 1970s, however, support for busing in Boston’s African-American community began to fade, as African-American parents realized that the quality of education in the newly integrated schools was no better than that in the previously segregated African-American schools.\(^{34}\) Subsequent actions taken by the courts to monitor desegregation efforts acknowledged that “the quality of instruction in Boston Public Schools has been so poor for so long that a redistribution of resources would not guarantee the plaintiff class the equal protection they were entitled to under law.”\(^{35}\) In order to actually improve the quality of education in Boston’s public schools, the courts have had to recognize the necessity of upgrading Boston’s entire educational system.

Boston is not unique. Throughout the country, interest in desegregation has been eclipsed by concerns over inequality in funding and the poor quality of education available in low income areas. The persistence of significant disparities in resources between white and non-white districts has become the source of considerable political controversy. In New Jersey and Texas, efforts to promote equity in funding have met fierce opposition, not unlike that generated by court-ordered desegregation.\(^{36}\) However, with Brown and several subsequent court decisions providing legal precedent, the contours of these battles have changed, and those seeking to reduce inequity have generally had the upper hand, at least within the legal arena. Change may be slow, but the overall direction of state and federal educational policy since Brown has been toward increasing the rights and opportunities of those previously denied.

**Conclusion**

Forty years after the Brown decision, racial inequality in education remains a burning and largely unresolved issue. However, unlike the
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America of 1954 when the issues were more clear cut and inequality was literally a "black and white" issue, today the problems seem more complicated. Integration is generally no longer seen as the answer to racial inequities in education. Instead, attention has focused on equity in resources and strategies to increase minority student achievement.

As the contours of the debate have changed, policymakers at the federal, state and local levels have had to create new ways of responding to demands for educational equality. Moving forward has not been easy due to the lack of consensus over the meaning of equality. While support for equality of opportunity seems to be widely embraced in educational policy and in the public discourse and rhetoric of the post-civil rights era, equality in results remains far more controversial. Ongoing battles over desegregation, bilingual education, affirmative action in college admissions, the cultural content of school books and curricula, and ability grouping all suggest that racial issues will continue to be the source of tremendous conflict. However, to the extent that Brown ensconced the principle of equal access in the policies and practices of public school districts, the potential for reducing educational inequality between racial groups is greater now than it ever has been. We may still have a long way to go, but Brown has brought our society closer than ever to the fulfilling of the ideal of liberty and justice for all.
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