

## HOMEWORK #2 - SOLUTIONS

**Exercise 1** (Tensor fields). Prove the following:

- (1) Let  $F : \mathcal{X}(M) \times \mathcal{X}(M) \times \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  be a  $C^\infty(M)$ -multilinear map. Given  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  and  $\omega \in \Omega^1(M)$ , prove that the value of  $f = F(X, Y, \omega)$  at  $p$  only depends on  $X_p, Y_p \in T_pM$  and  $\omega_p \in T^*M$ .
- (2) Prove that the map

$$\Gamma(T^{2,1}M) \rightarrow \{F : \mathcal{X}(M) \times \mathcal{X}(M) \times \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M) \mid C^\infty(M)\text{-multilinear}\}$$

sending  $\phi \in \Gamma(T^{2,1}M)$  to  $F_\phi(X, Y, \omega)(p) := \phi_p(X_p, Y_p, \omega_p)$  is a  $C^\infty(M)$ -module isomorphism.

*Solution 1.* 1) Let  $X', Y' \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ ,  $\omega' \in \Omega^1(M)$  be such that  $X_p = X'_p$ ,  $Y_p = Y'_p$ ,  $\omega_p = \omega'_p$ . By multilinearity we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(X, Y, \omega)(p) - F(X', Y', \omega')(p) &= (F(X, Y, \omega)(p) - F(X', Y, \omega)(p)) \\ &\quad + (F(X', Y, \omega)(p) - F(X', Y', \omega)(p)) \\ &\quad + (F(X', Y', \omega)(p) - F(X', Y', \omega')(p)) \\ &= F(X - X', Y, \omega)(p) + F(X', Y - Y', \omega)(p) \\ &\quad + F(X', Y', \omega - \omega')(p) \end{aligned}$$

We want to prove that the difference is zero, and from the equality above it is enough to prove that  $F(X, Y, \omega)(p) = 0$  whenever  $X_p = 0$  or  $Y_p = 0$  or  $\omega_p = 0$ .

Let's assume  $X_p = 0$ . Take  $(U, \mathbf{x})$  a chart around  $p$ . Write  $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ , so that  $X_p^i = 0$  for all  $i$ , and let  $\phi : M \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth function such that  $\phi \equiv 0$  outside of  $U$ , and  $\phi \equiv 1$  around  $p$  ( $\phi$  is called *cut-off function*). Notice that  $\phi^2 X = 0$  outside of  $U$ , and equal to  $(\phi X^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$  on  $U$ . Define the smooth global functions and vector fields

$$\hat{X}^i = \begin{cases} \phi X^i & \text{in } U \\ 0 & \text{outside of } U \end{cases} \quad \hat{V}_i = \begin{cases} \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} & \text{in } U \\ 0 & \text{outside of } U \end{cases}$$

Then  $\phi^2 X = \hat{X}^i V_i$ , and  $\hat{X}^i(p) = 0$  for all  $i$ .

Since  $F$  is  $C^\infty(M)$ -multilinear, around  $p$  we have

$$\phi^2 F(X, Y, \omega) = F(\phi^2 X, Y, \omega) = F(\hat{X}^i V_i, Y, \omega) = \hat{X}^i F(V_i, Y, \omega).$$

Since  $\phi(p) = 1$  and  $\hat{X}^i(p) = 0$  for all  $i$ , evaluating the above identity at  $p$  gives

$$F(X, Y, \omega)(p) = 0,$$

as wanted.

2) First, it is clear from the definition that  $F_\phi$  is  $C^\infty(M)$ -multilinear, and that for any two sections  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  and functions  $f_1, f_2$ , one has

$$F_{f_1 \phi_1 + f_2 \phi_2} = f_1 F_{\phi_1} + f_2 F_{\phi_2}$$

Now, let us prove that if  $\phi$  is a smooth section, then for any  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$  and  $\omega \in \Omega^1(M)$ , the function  $F_\phi(X, Y, \omega)$  is smooth. This is a local statement, so it is enough to look at a chart  $(U, \mathbf{x})$ . By the previous point, the value of  $F_\phi(X, Y, \omega)$  in  $U$  only depends on the values of  $X, Y, \omega$  in  $U$ . So let us write  $X = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ ,  $Y = Y^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$ ,  $\omega = \omega_k dx^k$ ,  $\phi = \phi_{ij}^k dx^i \otimes dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$ , where all functions  $X^i, Y^j, \omega_k, \phi_{ij}^k$  are smooth. By multilinearity of  $F_\phi$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_\phi(X, Y, \omega) &= X^i Y^j \omega_k \phi \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, dx^k \right) \\ &= X^i Y^j \omega_k \phi_{ij}^k \end{aligned}$$

which is smooth.

Finally, we need to prove that the map  $\phi \mapsto F_\phi$  is a bijection. Injection follows from the computations above: in fact, if  $F_\phi(X, Y, \omega) \equiv 0$  for every  $X, Y, \omega$ , then on any chart  $(U, \mathbf{x})$  one has  $X^i Y^j \omega_k \phi_{ij}^k = 0$  for any  $X^i, Y^j, \omega^k$ , which implies that  $\phi_{ij}^k = 0$  thus  $\phi = 0$ .

As for surjectivity: given any  $F$ , define  $\phi$  locally on any chart  $(U, \mathbf{x})$  by  $\phi_U := (\phi_U)_{ij}^k dx^i \otimes dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$ , where  $(\phi_U)_{ij}^k = F \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, dx^k \right)$ . On overlapping charts  $(U, \mathbf{x}), (V, \mathbf{y})$ , one has to check (using the transformations for sections from last homework) that the two definitions of  $\phi_U, \phi_V$  coincide:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_V &= F \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^b}, dy^c \right) dy^a \otimes dy^b \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^c} \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial x^t}{\partial y^c} \frac{\partial y^a}{\partial x^r} \frac{\partial y^b}{\partial x^s} F \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s}, dx^t \right) \right) \left( \frac{\partial y^c}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial y^a} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial y^b} dx^i \otimes dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial x^t}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^r} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial x^s} F \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s}, dx^t \right) \right) dx^i \otimes dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \\ &= F \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, dx^k \right) dx^i \otimes dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \\ &= \phi_U. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the local  $\phi_U$  glue together to a global  $\phi$ , and from the computations above it follows that  $F = F_\phi$ .

**Exercise 2** (Lie groups). Prove the following:

- (1) Letting  $G \times \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} G$  denote the trivial vector bundle over  $G$ , with fiber  $\mathfrak{g}$ , prove that the map  $\psi : TG \rightarrow G \times \mathfrak{g}$  sending  $(g, v) \in T_g G$  to  $(g, dL_{g^{-1}})$  is a diffeomorphism, such that  $\pi_1 \circ \psi = \pi$  and such that the restriction to each fiber is a linear isomorphism ( $TG$  and  $G \times \mathfrak{g}$  are *isomorphic smooth vector bundles*).
- (2) A vector field  $X \in \mathcal{X}(G)$  is called *left invariant* if  $L_g(X_h) = X_{gh}$  for every  $g, h \in G$ . Prove that the set of left invariant vector fields is a vector space, isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}$ .
- (3) Prove that the Lie bracket of two left invariant vector fields is again left invariant. Use this to prove that  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be given the structure of a finite-dimensional Lie algebra.
- (4) Prove that every inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_e : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$  can be extended to a Riemannian metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $G$  that is *left-invariant*, that is, such that every left multiplication  $L_g : G \rightarrow G$  is an isometry.

*Solution 2.* 1) The map  $\psi$  is a bijection, with inverse  $\psi^{-1}(g, x) = (g, (L_g)_*x)$ . Clearly  $\pi(g, v) = \pi_1 \circ \psi(g, v) = g$ . Finally, for every  $g \in G$ ,  $\psi$  restricts to a linear isomorphism  $\psi_g = (L_{g^{-1}})_* : T_g G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ , with inverse  $(L_g)_*$ . It is left to prove that it is a diffeomorphism. We will prove that  $\psi$  is smooth, a similar proof will work for  $\psi^{-1}$ . This is a local statement, to let us consider a coordinate neighbourhood  $(U, \mathbf{x})$  in  $G$ , and prove that  $\psi : \pi^{-1}U \rightarrow U \times \mathfrak{g}$  is smooth. First, we prove the following

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(V, y)$  be a coordinate neighbourhood of  $G$  around  $e$ . Then for any  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , the functions  $\phi_{ij} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(L_{g^{-1}})_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_g = \phi_{ij}(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \Big|_e$  are smooth.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu : G \times G \rightarrow G$  be the (smooth) map  $\mu(g, h) = g^{-1}h$ . Possibly shrinking  $U$ , we can suppose that  $\mu(U \times U) \subseteq V$ . The function  $\phi_{ij}$  can be rewritten as  $((L_{g^{-1}})_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_g)(y^j)$ . Consider first the function  $\hat{\phi}_{ij} : U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $(g, h) \mapsto ((L_{g^{-1}})_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_h)(y^j)$ . Define the coordinates on  $U \times U$  given by  $x_1^i = x^i \times 0$  and  $x_2^i = 0 \times x^i$ . Then we can rewrite  $\hat{\phi}_{ij}$  as

$$\hat{\phi}_{ij}(g, h) = \left( (L_{g^{-1}})_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_h \right) (y^j) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2^i} \Big|_{(g, h)} (y^j \circ \mu)$$

Thus  $\hat{\phi}_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2^i} (y^j \circ \mu)$  is a smooth function, and therefore so is  $\phi_{ij}(g) = \hat{\phi}_{ij}(g, g)$ .  $\square$

Composing  $\psi : \pi^{-1}U \rightarrow U \times \mathfrak{g}$  with the trivialization  $\varphi^{-1} : U \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}(g, (a_1, \dots, a_n)) &= \psi \left( g, a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_g \right) = \left( g, (L_{g^{-1}})_* \left( a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_g \right) \right) \\ &= \left( g, a_i \phi_{ij}(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \Big|_e \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi_{ij}$  are smooth,  $f = \psi \circ \varphi^{-1}$  is smooth, thus  $\psi = f \circ \varphi$  is smooth as well.

2) Clearly if  $X, Y$  are left invariant, so is  $X + Y$ :

$$(L_g)_*(X + Y)_h = (L_g)_*(X_h + Y_h) = (L_g)_*X_h + (L_g)_*Y_h = X_{gh} + Y_{gh} = (X + Y)_{gh}.$$

Define the map  $\{\text{Left invariant vector fields}\} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  sending  $X$  to  $X_e$ . This is a linear map. It is injective, since if  $X_e = 0$  then  $X_g = (L_g)_*X_e = 0$  for all  $g \in G$ . It is surjective, since for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  we can define  $X$  by  $X_g = (L_g)_*x$ . This is left invariant, because

$$(L_g)_*X_h = (L_g)_*(L_h)_*x = (L_g L_h)_*x = (L_{gh})_*x = X_{gh}.$$

3) Notice that a vector field  $X \in \mathcal{X}(G)$  is left invariant if and only if  $(L_g)_*X = X \circ L_g$  or equivalently,  $X(f \circ L_g) = X(f) \circ L_g$  for every smooth function  $f$  on  $G$ . Thus, for left invariant  $X, Y$ , it is enough to prove that  $[X, Y](f \circ L_g) = [X, Y](f) \circ L_g$  for every function  $f$ . This is true because

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y](f \circ L_g) &= X(Y(f \circ L_g)) - Y(X(f \circ L_g)) = X(Y(f) \circ L_g) - Y(X(f) \circ L_g) \\ &= XY(f) \circ L_g - YX(f) \circ L_g = (XY - YX)(f) \circ L_g \\ &= [X, Y](f) \circ L_g. \end{aligned}$$

One can define a Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by  $[x, y] := [X, Y]_e$  where  $X, Y$  are the unique left invariant vector fields with  $X_e = x, Y_e = y$ . This bracket is clearly bilinear and skew symmetric. Moreover, the Jacobi identity is satisfied because the Lie bracket of left invariant vector fields is again left invariant, therefore  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$  is a Lie algebra.

4) Given  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_e : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ , define  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g : T_g G \times T_g G$  by  $\langle v, w \rangle_g = \langle (L_{g^{-1}})_* v, (L_{g^{-1}})_* w \rangle_e$ . Via the identification  $\psi$  in point 3, this corresponds to the constant section  $\sigma \in \Gamma(G \times \text{Sym}^2(\mathfrak{g}^*))$  given by  $\sigma(g) = (g, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_e)$ , which is smooth. Left translations are isometries since for every  $v, w \in T_h G$ ,  $(L_g)_* v, (L_g)_* w \in T_{gh} G$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (L_g)_* v, (L_g)_* w \rangle_{gh} &= \langle (L_{(gh)^{-1}})_* (L_g)_* v, (L_{(gh)^{-1}})_* (L_g)_* w \rangle_e \\ &= \langle (L_{h^{-1}})_* v, (L_{h^{-1}})_* w \rangle_e \\ &= \langle v, w \rangle_h. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 3** (Real projective space). Let  $a : S^n \rightarrow S^n$  denote the antipodal map, sending  $p$  to  $-p$ .

- (1) Prove that  $\{id_{S^n}, a\}$  is a group of diffeomorphisms of  $S^n$ , isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , and that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  on  $S^n$  induced by  $a$  is properly discontinuous. Therefore, the quotient  $S^n/\mathbb{Z}_2$  is a manifold, called *real projective space* and denoted  $\mathbb{R}P^n$ .
- (2) Prove that  $a$  is an isometry of  $S^n$  with its round metric  $g_0$ . Use this fact to produce a metric  $g$  on  $\mathbb{R}P^n$  such that the quotient  $\pi : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}P^n$  satisfies  $g_0 = \pi^* g$ .

*Solution 3.* 1) Clearly both  $id$  and the antipodal map are smooth maps (they are restriction of smooth maps on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). Moreover,  $a^2(p) = p$  for every  $p$ , thus  $a^2 = id$ , which means that the inverse of  $a$ , which is  $a$  itself, is smooth, thus  $a$  is a diffeomorphism. Finally, the action is properly discontinuous because every for every point  $p$ , any small enough distance ball  $U$  around  $p$  (say, of radius 0.1) satisfies  $U \cap a(U) = \emptyset$ .

2) Any tangent space  $T_p S^n$  in the sphere can be identified with the subspace  $V_p$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  orthogonal to  $p$ . With this identification,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  is simply the restriction of the standard inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_0$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , to  $V_p$ . Moreover,  $T_p S^n, T_{a(p)} S^n$  are both identified with  $V_p$ , and under this identifications, the map  $a_* T_p S^n \rightarrow T_{a(p)} S^n$  is simply multiplication by  $-1$ . Therefore

$$\langle a_* v, a_* w \rangle_{a(p)} = \langle -v, -w \rangle_0 = \langle v, w \rangle_0 = \langle v, w \rangle_p.$$

Given a point  $[p] \in S^n/\mathbb{Z}_2$ , define the inner product  $\langle \bar{v}, \bar{w} \rangle_{[p]}$  by choosing a representative  $p \in S^n$  for  $[p]$ , vectors  $v, w \in T_p S^n$  such that  $\pi_* v = \bar{v}, \pi_* w = \bar{w}$ , and defining  $\langle \bar{v}, \bar{w} \rangle_{[p]} = \langle v, w \rangle_p$ . By the discussion above, this is well defined: in fact, given another  $p'$  representing  $[p]$  and vectors  $v', w' \in T_{p'} S^n$  with the same properties as  $v, w$ , it follows that  $p' = a(p)$  and  $v' = a_*(v), w' = a_*(w)$ , and so  $\langle v, w \rangle_p = \langle v', w' \rangle_{p'}$ , therefore the definition of  $\langle \bar{v}, \bar{w} \rangle_{[p]}$  does not depend on the choice of representative for  $[p]$ .