



From: Alan Zelenka, ODOE Assistant Director for Planning & Innovation
Re: Public Comment Questions on TIGHGER Scoring Process
Date: December 22, 2022

550 Capitol St. NE
Salem, OR 97301
Phone: 503-378-4040
Toll Free: 1-800-221-8035
www.oregon.gov/energy

The Oregon Global Warming Commission is taking public comment on the TIGHGER scoring and ranking process until January 5, 2023.

In addition to general comments on the process, we would specifically appreciate comments on two questions:

1. Comments on Draft Recommendations on Actions.

- a. Common actions (21 in both scenarios): “Move them all forward to figure out how to implement each of them.” (Slide 52 of the December 16, 2022 presentation)
- b. Unique scenario actions (7 Electrification scenario and 5 Hybrid scenario): “Move all forward to figure out how to implement each of them.” (Slide 53 of the December 16, 2022 presentation)

What does it mean to figure out how to implement each of them? In order to implement these individual scenario actions each will need an Action Implementation Plan developed. Each scenario action is at a different current level of development. While some actions are ready to implement and fund (e.g., programs already in existence like rooftop solar can be accomplished by expanding the Solar + Storage program, existing residential weatherization programs can be bolstered, energy building code improvement process can be enhanced, etc.), others need more development (e.g., increasing Amtrak ridership, putting in-place congestion pricing, determining how to reduce residential floor area or increase urban density, facilitating transportation mode shifts, etc.). Action Implementation Plans would include the specifics on who, what, where, when, and how the action will be implemented. The plans will need to suggest funding sources and provide enough specific details for agency approval or Legislative authorization. In addition, the action’s program design needs to maximize the co-benefits identified by the Commission. Development of these Plans is a large undertaking and the OGWC may request additional staff support in the next biennial budget to develop these Action Implementation Plans.

We would appreciate comments on whether the two above recommendations are appropriate, or how you would modify the recommendations.

2. Comments on how to prioritize the scenario actions.

While we will need to implement all of the actions identified in either scenario to meet the accelerated goal, with limited resources making it unlikely that all of the over two dozen actions could be implemented at the same time - the question becomes which of the actions should be prioritized for implementation in the near-term? OGWC had a presentation and robust discussion at the December 16, 2022, meeting on how to view prioritization. As it turns out the prioritization ranking of actions

depends on which criteria or lens one looks through to determine the prioritization. The ranking, if you look through the lens of how much GHG reductions each action creates, is different than the ranking through the lens of most-to-least cost-effective action; and different again if you look through lens of evaluation criteria scoring.

Please see the memo entitled “Guide to the TIGHGER Scoring and Ranking Spreadsheets” and the attached spreadsheets for more information.

We would appreciate comments on which criteria or lenses we should use to prioritize the near-term actions? Or how we should balance the use of the different criteria or lenses to determine the near-term prioritization of the actions?