Introduction

1. President’s Report (5 minutes)
   a. Members Highlights
      i. Thank you to the new members for coming to the training!
      ii. Thank you to Caleb for organizing the USG table at Communiversity!
   b. Week in Review
      i. Monday: Faculty vote on calendar reform. The new calendar passed! COD selections were in the evening.
      ii. Tuesday: COD Selections continued. Nate and Rachel met with President Eisgruber.
      iii. Wednesday: USLC meeting. Thank you to Tania for working on that meeting!
      iv. Thursday: Nate, Chitra and Rachel met with Dean Dunne. We will be piloting an all USG bonding dinner with ODUS once a month starting in the fall.
      v. Friday: CPUC ExComm meeting.
      vi. Saturday: Transition Training from 9-3.
   c. Looking Ahead
      i. Lawnparties: Thank you Liam!
      ii. First day of reading period: Our website will be launched! We will also be releasing metrics and sending out a feedback form.
      iii. Kade and Brad are working on the bulletin board. Reach out to them if you want to help work on that.

New Business

1. Frist Student Packages and Mail: Ashley Gorfine (Director of Print & Mail Services) (15 minutes)
   a. We will have a new method to pick up packages in the fall. Print & Mail Services wants student feedback, so they are presenting here today.
   b. Mail Services did 129,000 student packages in the last year. The current space is the only place to store packages. The number of packages has risen significantly in the past year (80,000 to almost 130,000).
   c. The package room is only about 700 square feet.
   d. There is a lot of space in Frist, but this is reserved for the mailboxes.
e. Mail is declining, and packages are increasing about 5% a year.
f. Mail Services is now working on putting in package lockers. Construction will occur during the summer.
g. Package lockers are smart lockers that combine software and hardware technologies for package pick-up.
h. Currently, the package center is open 59 hours a week. The new lockers will be open whenever Frist is open (even on Sundays). This is an additional 75 hours per week.
i. With the old system, students had to wait in line in order to pick up their packages because the center can only accommodate two students per line.
j. With the new system, there will be a Princeton package app that will be available in the fall. You can use the app to open the assigned locker without waiting in line.
k. Sustainability: Each locker bank will have recycle receptacles nearby to collect packaging materials.
l. In the new system…
   i. Students will get an e-mail that will tell you which locker bank to go to or whether to go to the package room. (The package room will exist for larger packages that may not fit in the locker.)
   ii. You go to the locker bank on the 100 level of Frist. You open the app on your smartphone. You click on My Packages. If you have more than one package, you will be able to see each package individually. You select the package in the app.
   iii. You can click “Open Locker” if you’re within 50 feet of your room. You can also scan a QR code. This is not wifi enabled. It is bluetooth enabled.
m. Lockers will not be student specific because of the electronic component. People who have wheelchair accessibility and will be assigned lower lockers.
n. Construction will begin the day after commencement.
o. 4 mailrooms are being changed during construction. 1 is becoming a storage space.
p. There will be 3 banks of lockers. There will be 990 lockers total. This accounts for approximately 10 years worth of growth. This means a lot of the time, we will have empty lockers.
q. What happens to mail? Mail now goes behind the corner in a High Density Mailbox System. First class mail will be scanned; you will get an e-mail saying you have mail. Flyers/advertisements will not be scanned. The mailbox room will account for 10-12,000 students.
r. In special circumstances, mail can be inbounded and placed in a locker.
s. From late August to mid-October, UPS-delivered package must be picked up at pyne Circle behind Little Hall.

t. Things to Know
   i. The app only unlocks your locker if you are in nearby distance.

t. Question: How long does the package stay in the locker?
   i. Mail Services is looking for feedback on this. Currently, they are thinking about 48 hours.
   ii. Follow Up: Can there be the option to extend this?
      1. Yes, you can e-mail Mail Services.

t. Question: How does this affect the amount of time it takes to process packages?
   i. This will actually make processing faster.

t. Question: How can students be notified of this?
   i. Mail Services will be sending an e-mail out to the school.
   ii. They are looking for feedback from USG about this.
	x. Feedback: Mail Services can team up with USG’s Communications Team to make sure messaging to students is consistent.

y. Feedback: Perhaps you could meet with RCAs to ensure RCAs are up to date. RCAs do a scavenger hunt during orientation; maybe the lockers can be part of the scavenger hunt.

z. Feedback: USG could do a video about someone getting a package. This can be posted on social media as a visual representation.

aa. Question: Would there be a way to integrate advertising the new system in the current package e-mails?
   i. Yes, this will be considered.

bb. Feedback: FSI does a similar scavenger hunt; the new mail system can be incorporated into this as well.

2. **COD Selections: Olivia Ott (10 minutes)**

   a. Selections
      i. Process: Olivia will present information about each of the candidates. Then, there will be some deliberation in the open meeting. Nate will then motion to go into executive session which passes by ⅔ majority. Members being confirmed should leave the room. Voting occurs in executive session. Unlike any other resolutions, only the final tally will be released. The names of who voted for what will not be released.
      ii. Olivia requests that Yousef stays for deliberation because he was involved in helping Olivia select.
      iii. 12 people applied for 5 spots. The number of spots is a little higher than usual.
iv. The Honor Committee did not do selections this cycle. 5 people are being presented across 3 different class years. The candidates are a diverse group of people with different backgrounds.

v. Voting (Motion to go into Executive Session)
   1. Yes: 17
   2. Abstain: 0
   3. No: 2 (Caleb and Diego)

b. Candidates
   i. Tait Helgaas: I'm a sophomore originally from Minneapolis, Minnesota. I'm majoring in religion and pursuing certificates in engineering and management systems and environmental studies. Outside of class, I tutor local high school students at the Fields Center, work with Matriculate to provide college counseling for high school seniors, and lead campus tours.
   
   ii. Virginia Murphy: Virginia is a freshman from Greenwich, CT. She plays on the squash and lacrosse club teams here. Additionally, she is a volunteer for CONTACT of Mercer County, a crisis and suicide hotline.
   
   iii. Jivahn Moradian: My name is Jivahn Moradian; I am a Princeton Sophomore who recently decided to sell out to Economics. I grew up in Paris, France, though I was born in Colorado. When I am not struggling through the relentless Princeton workload, you can probably find me working in the PACE lounge on the Princeton Student Climate Initiative, helping students muddle through convoluted ECO 100 problem sets in McGraw, acting as extremely serious and profound characters in the French Theater Group, and climbing up random trees on Forbes' magnificent golf course!
   
   iv. Camille Price: Camille Price is a Woodrow Wilson School concentrator in the Class of 2019. Originally from Melbourne, Australia, Camille now lives in New York City and attended boarding school in Massachusetts. As a member of the Women's Squash Team, manager of the 40th Reunion, French tutor, and former member of the USG Social Committee, Camille has experience mentoring and working in team settings, and she stresses the importance of accountability and integrity.
   
   v. Daniel Che: My name is Daniel Che; I'm a sophomore majoring in Neuroscience. I'm from Pennington NJ, which is right down the road. On campus, I do research in a neuroscience lab, play badminton with the club, and volunteer as an EMT. I can often be found in Lewis library struggling through orgo or enjoying my Dostoevsky readings.
c. **Candidates are approved with 18 in favor and 1 abstention during voting.** 20 voting members were initially present at the meeting; however, 1 member left early.

3. **Elections Campaign Spending Limit Discussion: Jonah Hyman (5 minutes)**
   a. We should consider that those who spend all of their money do not have additional funding to spend in run-offs.
      i. People on the other hand who have leftover money can spend during the run-off.
   b. Suggestion: We should not vote on amending the limit until we consult with Laura (Chief Elections Manager).
   c. This discussion has been tabled until Laura has been consulted regarding her opinion on the spending limit.

4. **Historian Updates: Emily McLean (5 minutes)**
   a. On the 19th, Emily McLean met with Valencia from Mudd Library.
   b. There are USG records online and in Mudd Library.
   c. If we want to, we can store records there as well. We can also control who is able to access these records.
   d. It will help future administrations in order to figure out what has been done in the past.
   e. These records are also accessible to students. For instance, they can contain all minutes.
   f. Feedback: We should not open all of the records to the anyone who wishes to access them. For instance, we wouldn’t want confidential Executive Session notes to be completely open.
      i. Follow Up: Constitutionally, some packets and minutes are required to be open.
      ii. Follow Up: Jonah, are there any situations that you can think of which would require having closed records?
         1. Not that he can think of as of now. There aren’t many “secret” documents that USG has.
         2. It would be great to have a method of tagging documents. For instance, having a tag for “Charters” or “COD Confirmations” can be useful for institutional memory.
   g. It would be great to have the e-mails be archived. Mudd Library is currently working on how they can archive e-mails because of how communication has shifted toward technology. Perhaps Emily can look into this.
      i. Alternatively, e-mails can also be saved as PDFs.

5. **Banning the Box Town Hall Resolution: Olivia Ott, Diego Negrón-Reichard (10 minutes)**
a. Last week, the SPEAR group presented the Ban the Box campaign.
b. Olivia and Diego feel that the best way to move forward to help this policy be discussed would be to sponsor a Town Hall where the issue will be presented in a neutral manner.
c. This is a great opportunity to see how students are looking at this issue.
d. Olivia’s committee has been actively working on and discussing this issue for a while. The town hall will be offered as a platform for discussion, and the event will have a strong commitment to neutrality.
e. Clause 2 of the resolution provides Academics Committee the authority to ensure that the event itself is conducted in a neutral manner. SPEAR has agreed that Olivia or another neutral party can facilitate and run the matter.
f. Originally, the language of the resolution was not neutral. Olivia and Diego have worked to amend it to make it more neutral.
g. This could be an opportunity to see how town halls are in terms of a forum for debate.
h. Question: How do you envision providing any bias? What are some requirements for a neutral presentation?
   i. Somebody from SPEAR will not be introducing the issue, because they are committed to neutrality, their advocacy platform is intended toward one specific goal.
   ii. Feedback: Is there a group that has an advocacy platform opposite to SPEAR that can be incorporated as a co-sponsor?
      i. Not that we know of, but both sides of the issue will be presented in the introduction.
   j. Feedback: Perhaps you can reach out to the SHARE Director to attend because many Senate members had concerns about allowing people to not report sexual crimes.
   k. Feedback: On that same line of thought, perhaps the Director of Public Safety could also be invited to help further the discussion about whether people should be forced to disclose violent crimes.
l. Dean Dolan is attending. VP Calhoun, Dean Kulkarni, and Dean Deignan will also be invited.
m. Senate members should attend as well to see the discussion.

n. The event will be held at 7 PM. Note: many JPs due are at 5 PM.
o. Question: By endorsing this, are we also funding this?
   i. Not specifically as outlined in this resolution. We might sponsor food but that will be a small cost; it can probably come out of Olivia’s budget. Whig-Clio and SPEAR will also be covering some of the cost.
p. Voting (with amendment that reads “merits and drawbacks”)
i. Yes: 18
ii. No: 0
iii. Abstain: 1 (Ben Press)

6. **Day of Action Resolution: Caleb Viser, Diego Negrón-Reichard (10 minutes)**

   a. Thank you to everyone who helped out with Communiversity!
   b. This is the resolution for the funding for the Day of Action in the fall that Caleb presented on two weeks ago.
   c. Caleb will be working on a formal charter for CCA.
   d. The resolution asks for $10,000 in the fall. Contingent on Caleb presenting on the success of the event, the Senate will decide whether or not to make this a yearly and annual event that we will fund.
   e. Last year, the budget was $13,570. The other $3,000 can be received from partnerships that will be built on campus.
   f. The $10,000 gives CCA flexibility to run the event to the best of its capacity. $7,000 was used for food last year. There are also costs as security and facilities charges, t-shirts for volunteers, thank you cards, etc.
      i. Spaces will be reserved through student scheduling. They would use cameras such as J Street Cameras.
   g. This will be a neutral event. Caleb is looking to team up with the new Free Speech Task Force that will be forming as well as Dean Fisher.
   h. This is a non-partisan, non-advocacy event. It is meant to get people connected to issues that are affecting the community.
   i. Question: Alison, what would the $10,000 would look like as a percentage of the full budget? Do we have that comfortably in our budget?
      i. Yes, we do. It would not be a significant detriment to the budget. We would not have to rearrange anything.
      ii. In the fall, $5,000 is allocated to CCA anyway. Effectively, this would be increasing CCA’s allocation by another $5,000.
      iii. The allocation is amendable on a year-to-year basis.
   j. Question: Jonah, what would the “recurring” mean? Would we be tying the hands of future Senates to allocate money every year?
      i. The language calls upon the Treasurer. It is not a binding directive to the Treasurer.
      ii. Generally, a resolution cannot tie the hands of future Senates.
   k. Feedback: There are years where the Senate has an excess in budget. Our Senate varies from year to year.
   l. The CCA will create guidelines closer to the event about what would cause the event to be successful. Caleb will then present on whether we have met these outlined goals.
m. Question: Can we consider also examining whether the funding should be $10,000 or should be a different amount?
   i. Yes, this amendment will be made.

n. The previous Day of Action had significant graduate student involvement.
   i. Caleb has talked to graduate students who have organized last year’s Day of Action. This will be open to the whole community, but primarily, the engagement will be undergraduate students.

o. The event will choose themes that represent strong dialogue on both sides. Last year, one example was environmental change and the climate. CCA will look to involve themes that will excite students on both sides. This doesn’t necessarily mean shying away from “hot topics” or political or polarizing topics as long as there is value in discussing these issues.

p. Voting (with amendment)
   i. Yes: 18
   ii. No: 0
   iii. Abstain: 0

7. Alumni Affairs Committee Updates: Dora Zhao (5 minutes)
   a. Dora has created a FB group for USG Alumni. Please request to join the group if you haven’t already been added. If you know alumni, add them as well!
   b. We are having a Reunions event for Alumni. At the moment, we have 28 alumni attending and 9 maybes. Those who cannot make the event are alumni who are not coming to Reunions at all.
   c. There has been positive feedback from alumni about the event (14 alumni presidents). For instance, many have expressed that they cannot come this year but would love to come in the future.
   d. Currently, we will be having the reception at the Joseph Henry House. Dora is looking to see if we can secure an indoor space in case of rain.
   e. Dora will be sending out a Google form for current Senate member to attend if they are on campus. As of now, wristbands will not be provided to Senate members who are staying for the event specifically.
   f. Looking forward, Dora will be ordering the food.
   g. Other programming that Alumni Affairs is focusing on is Homecoming and She Roars. For Homecoming, there will be a post-game celebration for alumni - perhaps a flag football team with current students and alumni classes along with a potential BBQ. For She Roars, on Friday, Dora is meeting with Emily in the Office of Alumni Affairs.
   h. Feedback: Michael Yaro (past two-term president) has expressed that he would love to see a newsletter for alumni. Perhaps we can look into this.
i. The committee is working on interest groups as well. They are reaching out to people at Yale and Harvard as interest groups exist there already.

8. **Projects Board Charter: Nick Fernandez (10 minutes)**
   a. *Note: The Projects Board has made changes to the Charter since the creation of the packet. This will be re-presented to the Senate, and voting will not occur at this meeting. Projects Board will be providing an updated proposal to the new Charter for a future meeting.*
   b. Projects Board increased their budget at the start of the semester (an increase of $10,000). This was because student groups hold bigger events to celebrate the end of the year, and many cultural groups hold appreciation dinners. Since the allocated awarding, Projects Board has been able to fund many more events (i.e. Service in Style).
   c. One of the conditions for receiving the funding was amending the Charter. The Charter has not been amended since 2007.
      i. The goal of this project was to make the Charter more accurate with past boards.
   d. Section 301 says each members will be selected through an application process. The sentences have been split in half.
   e. Section 303 discusses how members who are on Senate and Projects Board should not vote on appointments and funding requests - namely, this refers to the U-Councilor.
   f. On page 4, there is language about Co-Chairs asking members to resign. This has been changed to dismissed. The decision can be overturned by a majority vote in the Senate.
   g. *All revised up-to-date changes will be sent out to the Senate.*
   h. Feedback: We should re-examine the lifetime membership portion of the Charter.
   i. Feedback: The Senate should consider the fact that Senate members have been made non-voting.
   j. The new Charter actually increases Senate oversight of Projects Board.
   k. From a practical perspective, having someone from each class year is important but it is not crucial. It has not come up for a specific class interest has come up and it was necessary for someone to be there to address this.
   l. In terms of USG representation, it is worthy to note that groups have the right to appeal and come before the Senate if Projects Board turns them down. At the end of the day, USG does get to decide on these issues.
   m. Institutional memory is important for analyzing the behavior or groups and how they use their spending.
   n. Feedback/Answers from Jonah: Currently, the Projects Board cannot spend money over $1,000 without the Senate approving the funding. In order to make
the President, VP and Treasurer voting members, this would require a constitutional change.

o. Feedback: Maybe we should consider this over the summer. Maybe we should hold off on the charter until the fall.
   i. Projects Board is required to do this because of the resolution that was passed earlier.

p. Suggestion: If we are going to vote on this, this should be placed on the normal agenda as opposed to the consent agenda.