



CITY OF BEAUMONT

December 28, 2017

(via e-mail: libiure@gmail.com)

Libi Uremovic

Re: Public Records Request received on December 20, 2017

Dear Ms. Uremovic:

This letter is in response to your request for the following documents:

Request No. 1: "...written text that limits the Citizens' public comment to three minutes"

Response to Request No. 1: Attached are responsive documents for this request No. 1.

Request No. 2: "Written and/or Oral Communications between Lloyd White and his 'legal Counsel' that allowing a citizen to speak more than three minutes violates State and Federal Laws"

Response to Request No. 2: There are no responsive documents for this request No. 2.

Request No. 3: "All written and/or Oral Communications to Councilmen advising that they are never to allow the Citizen to speak more than three minutes in Public Comment"

Response to Request No. 3: There are no responsive documents for this request No. 3.

Request No. 4: "All written and/or oral communication to the Beaumont City Councilmen from any/all Staff Lawyers and/or consultants regarding public comment"

Response to Request No. 4: This request is overly broad. A narrowed scope of employee(s) and time frame is required to accurately search for documents related to this request. *"A public records request must reasonably describe an identifiable record or records. It must be focused and specific and clear enough so that the agency can decipher what record or records are being sought. A request that is so open-ended that it amounts to asking for all of a department's files is not reasonable. If a request is not clear or is overly broad, the local agency still has a duty to assist the requester"*

in reformulating the request to make it more clear or less broad.” The People’s Business: A Guide to the California Public Records Act, p. 11; citing Gov’t Code § 6253(b).

Sincerely,

Nicole Wheelwright
Deputy City Clerk