Ending this Manufactured Debt Limit Crisis (and Preventing the Next One)
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Ten years ago, the U.S. was slowly recovering from the Great Recession. Elevated unemployment, declines in tax revenue, and necessary stimulus spending increased federal debt. Congress needed to increase the statutory debt limit to finance spending that it had already authorized and appropriated to boost the economy and keep basic government functions running. However, deficit hawks in Congress used a looming debt default as political leverage, threatening an economic crisis.

Now, as the U.S. emerges from the COVID-19 pandemic, an unnecessary fight over the debt limit once again threatens the economy.

Historically underrepresented communities bore the brunt of the COVID-19 pandemic. Millions of families fell behind on rent and mortgage payments, businesses shut down, and students lost crucial in-person learning time. However, Congress is playing political brinkmanship with the lives and livelihoods of families across the country. The economic impact of this political game will be disastrous to families' finances.

Congress created the debt limit and, by extension, manufactured the repeated debt limit crises in recent years. The next debt limit crisis is coming in December. Congress should not only act expeditiously to prevent a financial crisis that would imperil recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic but also prevent similar manufactured crises from happening in the future.

The Origin of the Debt Limit

Prior to 1917, Congress passed specific bills on when and how the Treasury could borrow money and issue debt—a process even more cumbersome than the current debt limit regime. Around the U.S. entry into World War I in 1917, Congress gave the Treasury more leeway, setting an aggregate limit on federal debt and loosening limits on specific debt issues. In 1939, Congress further simplified debt issuance by establishing an aggregate debt limit that covered nearly all public debt.¹

Under the 1939 framework, which continues today, Congress gives the Treasury discretion to manage the federal debt as long as total debt stays below the statutory debt limit. However, Congress still sets that statutory limit, and it usually votes on that limit separately from the policies that necessitate issuing more federal debt. This has allowed several current Members of Congress to vote against “increasing the debt” even though they supported record military spending and deficit-financed tax cuts for the wealthy.

**Debt Limit Adjustments**

Debt limit increases and suspensions are pretty routine. Congress has adjusted the debt ceiling 100 times since its creation in 1939.²

Historically, these adjustments raised the debt to a specific dollar amount. Since 2013, though, Congress has suspended the debt limit until a specific date and then has reestablished the debt limit at the current public debt level as of that date. Most recently, the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2019, which also set discretionary spending caps for FY2020 and FY2021, suspended the debt limit through July 31, 2021.³ The debt limit returned on August 1, 2021 at the level of federal debt that existed on that date.

**The Threat of Default**

Even though the need to increase the debt limit is foreseeable, Congress sometimes lets the Treasury hit the debt limit before voting to raise it. When the Treasury hits the statutory limit, it resorts to extraordinary measures to finance the federal government’s obligations. How long the Treasury can keep up these extraordinary measures depends on the daily inflow and outflow of spending and revenue, but typically the Treasury can provide Congress weeks or months to act.

In an August 2, 2021 letter, Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen notified House Speaker Nancy Pelosi that the Treasury Department began using extraordinary measures to keep the government afloat.⁴ This includes suspending additional investments to the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund, the Postal Service Retiree Health Benefits Fund, the sale of State and Local Government Series securities, and limiting contributions to the Thrift Savings Plan Government Securities Investment Fund.

After the debt limit came back on August 1, Republican Senators have repeatedly blocked legislation to prevent economic catastrophe. In a September 28 letter, Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen estimated that the government would exhaust its extraordinary measures if Congress does not raise or suspend the debt limit by
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October 18. That same day, Republican Senators blocked a measure that would have suspended the debt limit until December 16, 2022 and kept the government funded through December 3, 2021. They also blocked a unanimous consent request that would have allowed Senate Democrats to pass a debt limit suspension on a simple majority vote. On October 7, 2021, Senate Democratic and Republican leadership agreed to raise the debt limit by $480 billion, which should cover the government’s obligations through at least December 3, 2021.

If Congress fails to raise the debt limit later this year before the Treasury exhausts its extraordinary measures, the federal government will default on its debt. The U.S. has never had a full-scale default since the statutory debt limit began, but Congress has gotten perilously close. If Congress did fail to raise the debt limit in time, the resulting default could trigger a financial crisis because the global financial system relies on Treasury securities to be a safe asset. It would also do long-term fiscal damage: a default would permanently increase the cost of borrowing for the federal government. This means the U.S. would have to pay more over the long term to provide the same level of government services.

Households across the country would feel the economic impact. According to an analysis by Moody’s Analytics, a default would force the government to dramatically reduce spending, including delaying billions of dollars in Social Security payments. A debt default could also wipe out $15 trillion of household wealth. The scenario would be comparable to the 2008 financial crisis and would result in the loss of nearly 6 million jobs and a spike in unemployment to 9 percent.

The threat of economic chaos should make the congressional brinkmanship over the debt limit too scary to consider. However, congressional Republicans used the threat of default as leverage multiple times in the past decade.

In 2011, former House Speaker John Boehner insisted that federal spending be cut one dollar for every dollar increase in the debt limit. President Obama and congressional Democrats ultimately agreed to the Budget Control Act of 2011, which raised the debt limit and capped federal spending for the next decade. (FY2022 will be the first year in a decade that discretionary spending is free from the budget caps set in tandem with the debt limit increase ten years ago.)

In 2013, Congress came within days of debt default amidst a 16-day government shutdown as congressional Republicans pushed for the repeal of the Affordable Care
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Act.\(^8\) The subsequent debt limit suspensions over the past decade have been relatively less dramatic. Most were part of budget agreements that relaxed the severe discretionary spending caps put in place by the Budget Control Act of 2011.\(^9\)

While the short-term debt limit increase moving through Congress is encouraging, the measure simply kicks the can down the road and sets up another debt limit crisis in early December. In fact, shortly after reaching the short-term deal, Senate Minority Leader Mitch McConnell signaled that Republicans will not vote to increase the debt limit in December and insisted that Democrats act alone through the reconciliation process.\(^10\)

**Legislative Options to Raise the Debt Limit**

Congress can consider a debt limit increase on its own or as part of another bill. The House has passed a debt limit suspension until December 16, 2022 both as part of a larger funding bill and as a standalone measure.

The challenge is moving a debt limit adjustment through the Senate. Most bills in the Senate are subject to a filibuster and need 60 votes to advance. All Republican Senators have voted against a debt limit suspension even though they have separately voted for some of the policies that made an adjustment to the debt limit necessary.

While the Senate has several options for the exact form that a debt limit adjustment takes, all legislative options under current Senate rules require some level of Republican cooperation because the Senate is evenly split between Democrats and Republicans.

**Regular Order:** Under regular order, a debt limit adjustment would require 60 votes to avoid a filibuster in the Senate, meaning ten Republican Senators would have to join all 50 Democratic Senators in voting to end debate on the bill. (The bill itself only takes a simple majority to pass.) Alternatively, Senate Republicans could choose not to object to a unanimous consent allowing the bill to pass a simple majority vote (where Vice President Harris would cast the tie-breaking vote). The Senate used this avenue to kick the can down the road and pass S. 1301, a short-term debt limit increase until December 3, 2021.

Over the past decade, Congress has gotten creative about how to adjust the debt limit by regular order. In 2013, for instance, Congress allowed the Treasury to suspend
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the debt limit but then took a second vote allowing Members to vote in disapproval of that suspension (without actually stopping it)\(^\text{11}\).

**Revising the FY2022 Budget Resolution:** Rather than allowing a debt limit adjustment to advance under regular order, Senate Republicans have suggested that congressional Democrats could revise the FY2022 budget resolution passed in August with debt limit instructions. While it is true that lawmakers can amend the budget resolution to direct the Senate Finance Committee and the House Ways and Means Committee to raise (though not suspend) the debt limit, that process is complicated and time-consuming. Moreover, it still requires Republican cooperation in an evenly divided Senate.

According to a recent opinion earlier this year from the Senate Parliamentarian, a revised budget resolution cannot be automatically discharged from the Budget Committee to the Senate floor.\(^\text{12}\) It would need to be marked up in the evenly divided Budget Committee. Republican Senators could effectively block the resolution in committee by refusing to provide a quorum.

If Republicans showed up to the markup, the resolution would still fail on a tie vote in committee unless a Republican Senator voted for it. That would then require the Senate to go through a discharge process to bring the resolution to the Senate floor. After discharging the bill, the Senate would spend hours debating the resolution followed by a long amendment vote-a-rama. The House would also need to approve the revised resolution.

Once both chambers approve the resolution, then the actual bill to increase the debt limit would need to be marked up in the House Ways & Means or the Senate Finance Committee, marked up in the Budget Committee, and then passed in both chambers. In the Senate, that would involve a second amendment vote-a-rama. Shortening any part of this process in the Senate would take the consent of all senators.

This process has never been used before and is incredibly long. It does not actually allow Democrats to pass a debt limit increase “on their own.” It still requires an amount of Republican cooperation even as it wastes weeks of time.

**Annual Budget Resolution:** While the annual budget process provides a path to passing a debt limit increase with a simple majority in the Senate, the route is not available because the House and Senate have already passed the FY2022 budget resolution without reconciliation instruction on the debt limit.\(^\text{13}\) Congress has


\(^{13}\) Congress adopted a Senate point of order against including a debt limit increase through budget reconciliation in the FY2016 budget resolution ([S.Con.Res. 1](#)), 114th Congress, but that rule could be changed by a simple majority in a subsequent budget resolution.
increased the debt limit four times through the reconciliation process. However, a hypothetical FY2023 budget resolution could not be discharged from the Senate Budget Committee before April 1, 2023.

Unlike a revision to the budget resolution, the annual budget resolution would not require Republican cooperation in the Budget Committee to reach the Senate floor. That said, it would still be a time-consuming, multi-step process.

**Changing Senate Rules**

In the absence of Republican cooperation to raise or suspend the debt limit, Senate Democrats could act unilaterally by changing the Senate rules with a simple majority vote to exempt debt limit increases or suspensions from the filibuster. While it would set a precedent allowing similar debt limit changes to avoid a filibuster in the future, it would not necessarily change the filibuster for other types of legislation.

**Options for Executive Action**

In the extraordinary event that a debt default is imminent, the Administration may want to pursue executive action. However, these actions have little precedent and could be subject to legal challenge.

**Trillion Dollar Coin:** If Congress fails to raise or suspend the debt limit, President Biden could hypothetically direct the Department of the Treasury to mint a coin worth $1 trillion (or another large amount), deposit it with the Federal Reserve, and use the funds to keep the government running.

**Invoking the 14th Amendment:** Section 4 of the 14th Amendment reads: “The validity of the public debt of the United States ... shall not be questioned.” Some legal and constitutional scholars have argued that the concept of a debt limit is unconstitutional as the threat of default questions the validity of the government’s public debts. The Administration could simply ignore the debt limit and continue issuing debt. The legal uncertainty could still cause significant economic damage.

**Preventing the Next Crisis**

While Congress has never failed to raise or suspend the debt limit and has protected the full faith and credit of the United States, the government has come close to default too many times. Congress will continue to put the country in these perilous situations unless debt limit crises are effectively taken off the table.

The most obvious way to prevent a future crisis is to get rid of the debt limit altogether, a move Treasury Secretary Janet Yellen supports. In this vein, Rep.
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Brendan Boyle (D-PA-2) introduced legislation earlier this year that would repeal the debt ceiling.\(^\text{16}\) Alternatively, Rep. Boyle also introduced a measure that would transfer the duty of raising the debt limit from Congress to the Treasury Department.\(^\text{17}\)

A second way to prevent future threats of defaults is to raise the debt limit to an amount the Treasury would never conceivably hit. House Budget Committee Chairman John Yarmuth (D-KY-3) has endorsed raising the debt limit to a “gazillion dollars,” rendering the debt limit effectively inoperative. This could be done through the budget reconciliation process.

In addition, enacting a long or even permanent suspension could avoid similar crises years into the future.

While increasing the debt limit slightly addresses the immediate crisis, it sets up a similar situation at the end of the year. Families will only be safe from manufactured debt limit crises when Congress stops manufacturing these crises.

*The authors thank Groundwork Collaborative and the Roosevelt Institute for their comments and insights.*


\(^{17}\) H.R. 5415, To provide the Secretary of the Treasury authority to increase the public debt limit, [https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/5415](https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/5415)