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Dedicated to New Haven Public School students, families, and teachers whose joy in music inspires and motivates us.
The Symposium on Music in Schools is made possible by the generous endowment created by the Yale College Class of 1957.
Declaration

We call for every student in every city to have access to a robust and active music life.

This call is animated by the values of dignity and inclusion.

An active music life affirms the dignity of individuals and communities.

We decry the inequities that deny some city students access to an active music life.

City students’ access to an active music life requires the ongoing development of supportive music ecosystems.

We call for all city students to have access to in-school music education taught by certified music educators.

We call for strategic partnerships with local organizations to expand and enrich cities’ music ecosystems.

We call for changes in the development, training, and support of music educators and teaching artists.
In June 1963, the Yale School of Music hosted a twelve-day “Seminar on Music Education,” organized by musicologist Claude Palisca and attended by thirty-one participants from across the broader music field. The seminar’s self-proclaimed goal was to “bring the subject matter and method of teaching in line with contemporary knowledge and culture,” and its final report, *Music in Our Schools*, was published by the U.S. Office of Education in 1964.¹ It identified the perceived weakness of the nation’s current music education practices and issued a series of recommendations to improve the quality of music instruction, repertoire, and aesthetic education.

In 1979, Palisca invited the seminar’s participants to contribute to a fifteen-year review of the impact of the 1964 document. In the resulting collection’s
preface, Palisca wrote:

If more did not happen in the past ten years, this may be owed to discontinuities between 1963 and the present that none of us foresaw. The post-Sputnik movement was interrupted by the painful realization that many children in the cities and of the racial minorities were not enjoying even the quality of education that we were criticizing, that before we could improve education for some … we ought to extend to all the basic opportunity for an adequate general education.²

Indeed, the issues which had seemed so pressing to the seminar’s participants—improving the quality of student repertoire and prioritizing musicality over superficial showmanship—now paled in comparison to the realization that opportunities for a musical education remained unavailable to a significant number of American students, especially “children in the cities” and students of color.

Nearly forty years have passed since Palisca lamented the irregular distribution of musical opportunity, yet this inequality remains largely unaddressed. Both the quantity and quality of musical opportunities vary widely based on school demographics and locale, especially in America’s city schools. With this reality in mind, the Yale School of Music hosted its sixth biennial Symposium on Music in Schools June 15-17, 2017, at the Yale School of Music in New Haven, Connecticut. Like the seminar fifty-four years earlier, the symposium gathered a broad coalition to inform and shape an inspirational document, this time designed to pursue equity in music for each student in each city in America.

The project was inspired by our observations of the Music in Schools Initiative, the Yale School of Music’s partnership with New Haven Public Schools (for description, see page 78). As we have observed over the past ten years, the beauty of this partnership is found in the home it provides New Haven students, both in their school music programs and at Yale. It became clear that music played a significant role not only in their experiences at school, but in their families, their communities, and their relationships to their city. Simultaneously, we saw our graduate music students develop mature social consciences as they were inspired and changed by their experiences with New Haven students.

We are privileged to partner with a school dis-
strict that values music education as an important part of a healthy, vibrant school. But as we looked at nation-wide statistics and spoke with colleagues across the country, we observed that city schools are the least likely to offer substantial music opportunities to their students. While there is a great deal of excellent advocacy for music education, little of it focuses specifically on the needs of city students, and we saw the opportunity to create a policy document focused specifically on city schools and students that could galvanize conversations at the local, regional, and national level. Two years after our initial planning meeting, we are publishing the *Declaration on Equity in Music for City Students*.

This document is designed primarily for the fields of music and education in their broadest contexts, and we challenge these fields to assertively claim music as a social, educational, and cultural right for our cities’ students. The declaration will also inform urban education policy discussions, ensuring that music is recognized as an important part of a comprehensive education system. We choose to provide a policy framework rather than a “road map” because each city’s needs, history, and populations are unique. It is up to the members of each city’s “music ecosystem” to determine how best to provide a robust music life to its students.

It is our hope that this declaration will invigorate the national discussion about the role of music in affirming dignity, uniting communities, and transforming the social landscape of urban schools and their cities.

Michael Yaffe
Associate Dean, Yale School of Music
Director, Yale Symposium on Music in Schools
June 2018
Declaration on Equity in Music for City Students
We call for every student in every city in America to have access to a robust and active music life.
Every student in every school in America deserves opportunities to make and learn music. A student’s access to an active music life should not be dependent on zip code, socioeconomic status, racial or ethnic background, country of birth, or language spoken at home.

There are schools in which students do not have access to music-making opportunities. This is particularly pronounced in America’s cities. Systemic inequities deprive students of their social, cultural, and educational rights as human beings. City students deserve the right to the same music education and music-making that their suburban and more affluent counterparts enjoy. We call for every student in every city in America to have access to a robust and active music life.

An active music life means creating, performing, and responding to music in a variety of settings. For students, this process takes place in and out of school and in informal contexts. It is characterized by:

1. Accessible, sequential, and robust music instruction in schools;
2. Outside-of-school opportunities that provide services that schools do not provide; and
3. Music-making in less formalized contexts (at home, at places of worship, with family and friends, etc.).

An active music life requires all three contexts. Many students in city schools enjoy rich informal music lives but are not offered sequential music instruction in their schools or substantial out-of-school music opportunities. We aim to ensure that every student in America’s city schools has access to the full range of opportunities that comprise an active music life.

In the following sections, we will demonstrate that:

1. Based on the values of human dignity and inclusion, access to an active music life is a fundamental human right.
2. There are many underserved students in America’s city schools who do not have access to an active music life due to pervasive inequities.
3. We must develop healthy and robust music “ecosystems” to serve the needs of underserved city students.
4. In order to create (and strengthen existing) ecosystems, we must:
   a. Establish and expand in-school music opportunities in all city schools;
   b. Develop partnerships between schools and local organizations that are meaningful, collaborative, and additive;
   c. Prepare full-time certified music educators and teaching artists who are fully-equipped to thrive in city schools.
This call is animated by the values of dignity and inclusion.
We propose a humanitarian justification for music-making, one that is rooted in the inherent dignity of each and every person. The preamble of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948) states: “[T]he inherent dignity … of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world.”

Dignity is the state or quality of being worthy of honor or respect. It is grounded in the concepts of worth, respect, affirmation of value, and self-esteem, although its meaning extends to the very definition of the human being. All humans possess inherent dignity based solely on the fact that they are human beings. As philosopher and ethicist Teresa Iglesia explains,

To be a human being is not a status conferred upon me by anyone. Nor is this a status that I, nor anybody else, can confer upon others … These are facts of recognition, of acknowledgment, constituting the very beings we are, and that we take for granted in what we do. We are not “instructed” in these truths, they become part of us in the process of being alive and aware as human beings. Let me acknowledge these facts as bedrock truths.

Innate human dignity implies innate human rights. The right to enjoy and participate in society and culture is a natural extension of this relationship, as found in the articles of the Universal Declaration on Human Rights.

**Article 22:** Everyone, as a member of society … is entitled to realization … of the economic, social and cultural rights indispensable for his dignity and the free development of his personality.

**Article 27:** Everyone has the right freely to participate in the cultural life of the community, to enjoy the arts and to share in scientific advancement and its benefits.

**Article 26:** Everyone has the right to education. … Education shall be directed to the full development of the human personality and to the strengthening of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. It shall promote understanding, tolerance and friendship … .

Each person deserves opportunities to participate in social, cultural, and educational spaces and to develop as an individual. An active music life exists at the intersection
of social, educational, and cultural rights, rooted in the inherent dignity of each person:

But when human dignity and its attending rights are disregarded or violated, communities and individuals experience exclusion. “In every country,” notes the World Bank, “certain groups … confront barriers that prevent them from fully participating in their nation’s political, economic, and social life.” Exclusion doesn’t “just happen”: instead, it occurs when individuals and systems of power forget, disregard, or blatantly violate a person’s inherent dignity. Whether inadvertent, covert, or overt, exclusion is deeply damaging at the individual, local, and national levels and can infiltrate all aspects of daily life. For America’s underserved students, exclusion is manifested in countless ways, including the denial of students’ right to an active music life. Exclusion can only be combatted by assertively pursuing inclusion—the affirmation of human dignity manifested by full access and participation in the educational, social, and cultural aspects of a community. In the next section, we will examine how an active music life can foster inclusion for city students.

Based on the inherent dignity of every person and the desire for a just and equitable society, we assert on behalf of all students that:

- Access to an active music life is a cultural right.
- Participation in the cultural life of the community is a social right.
- Education in music is an educational right.

To be denied these rights is to experience exclusion from educational, cultural, and social spheres. It is our moral imperative to seek these fundamental rights for each and every student.
An active music life affirms the dignity of individuals and communities.
Access to and participation in an active music life is one way to affirm human dignity and to expand social, cultural, and educational inclusion. Music is not the only means of asserting dignity and inclusion, but it is an effective and compelling one, especially for the underserved city students who persistently face exclusion in their lives.

An active music life speaks directly to the educational goals as expressed in Article 26 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights:

> Everyone has the right to education. … Education shall be directed to the full development of the human personality and to the strengthening of respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms. It shall promote understanding, tolerance and friendship …

A fundamental characteristic of dignity is a sense of contributing and belonging. An active music life can affirm and reinforce these values by providing students a context in which they can be recognized, known, and heard. This sense of belonging — of being valued — can extend beyond the music classroom into students’ relationships to school, community, and city. An active music life can also shape students’ understandings of interdependence and equality. For example, making music as a group recognizes that each voice or instrument has responsibility and value to the group. Different voices may take on different roles at different times, but they are each necessary to and valued in the process of making music.

An active music life also promotes social and cultural inclusion for students and their families. Creating and performing music can literally give voice to the voiceless, especially those who are rarely listened to or acknowledged by society. Students can also enjoy opportunities that connect them to broader city and regional communities, widening both their physical and social experiences. Indeed, music can be a bridge that connects
entire families to social and cultural spaces from which they might otherwise be or feel excluded. Simultaneously, larger communities can learn to hear and value the voices of social and cultural groups that have been historically excluded, marginalized, and silenced.

“The full development of the human personality”

Education is not merely the distribution of information or the development of skills: it must address the whole person, including the intellectual, social, and emotional components of a person’s development. One of the most powerful attributes of music is its connection to emotional expressivity, its capacity to convey emotions. Music can be a strong tool for social-emotional development. Making and creating music can be an expressive outlet and a positive way for students to explore and communicate their emotions. While an active music life should be prioritized for all students, it is especially powerful for the students who have consistently been denied a voice in society and who have not always enjoyed their full educational, social, and cultural rights.

An active music life can also promote students’ sense of self-efficacy, the belief that they are capable of taking on a challenge and succeeding. Creating, practicing, and performing music develops skills—including discipline, perseverance, grit, and problem-solving—that support self-efficacy and confidence. The development of these skills is particularly vital for students who have been marginalized and excluded. Some students may discover that music is a particularly effective means for them to examine their identity, their life story, and their community. The development of self-identity is a key component in the successful transition from adolescence to adulthood, especially for the most deeply marginalized and underserved students in our cities.10

“Promote understanding, tolerance, and friendship”

Active music-making with others requires collaboration, teamwork, and respect. Participants develop collegiality, a shared sense of responsibility in the group’s work. Participants may also engage in mentor-mentee relationships, whether between teachers and their students or between more- and less-experienced students.

Group music-making also has the capacity to
change how individuals perceive difference in others. Recent studies have drawn national attention to implicit bias, the “attitudes or stereotypes that affect our understanding, actions, and decisions in an unconscious manner.” Implicit bias is formed by “observation of who occupies valued roles and devalued roles in a community”—essentially, who is perceived to have dignity and who is not. Music may be a useful tool for combating implicit bias because it can physically gather diverse individuals for positive, intergroup contact. The process of making music as a group may rewire patterns of thinking, allowing students to develop positive unconscious associations about people whom they perceive as different. This kind of “de-biasing” may positively impact students’ future interactions in school, the workplace, and society.

These are not the only arguments for access to an active music life. They simply outline the potent influence that an active music life can have at the individual and communal levels. The relationship between dignity and an active music life can be thought of as a “positive feedback loop” in which small positive changes feed back into the system to accelerate the rate and impact of change. Ultimately, an active music life has the potential to both affirm and inspire human dignity, leading to positive changes for individuals, schools, and communities.
We decry the inequities that deny some city students access to an active music life.
In some respects, students living in America’s cities have access to social, cultural, and educational resources that town and rural regions may not have. For example:

*Cities often boast rich and diverse cultural environments.*

*Cities are home to close-knit and supportive communities, often based on strong social, ethnic, lingual, religious, familial, and historical ties.*

*City populations live in geographic proximity to one another, as well as to cultural institutions, including libraries, music venues, universities, museums, and public spaces.*

Despite these assets, many city schools do not offer their students robust and sequential music education opportunities. Moreover, there are strong correlations between a school’s music offerings and its proportion of students from low socioeconomic, non-white, and immigrant backgrounds. These correlations are the result of historical and current inequities that have shaped our nation and its cities.

**Student Poverty**

In America’s cities, about one in four students live in poverty. Urban students are far more likely to attend a school in which more than 75 percent of students receive free/reduced-price lunches (a common proxy for school poverty concentration levels). Poverty levels dramatically correlate to students’ access to music opportunities in school. For example:

*A 2011 demographic study of high school music students found that students in the highest socioeconomic quartile were nearly twice as likely as students in the lowest quartile to have participated in music during high school.*

*Of high schools in which more than 75 percent of students receive free or reduced-price lunches, 19 percent did not offer even a single music course in the 2008-2009 academic year; many more did not offer comprehensive music programs to provide the full impact of an active music life.*

*At the secondary school level, only 10 percent of music ed-
ucators work at schools in the highest quartile of poverty concentration.¹⁷

In its most recent report on arts education, the U.S. Department of Education noted that “whether a school offered music instruction varied by its concentration of poverty.”¹⁸ For example, elementary schools with higher concentrations of poverty were significantly less likely to offer music year-round, to have dedicated rooms and equipment for music instruction, and to have arts specialists available to teach music.¹⁹

Simply put, students who struggle with poverty are significantly less likely to enjoy substantial—or any—music opportunities in their schools, let alone access to private lessons or after-school music classes.

**Student Race and Ethnicity**

Compared to the nation as a whole, cities (and city schools) are home to a disproportionately large population of students of color. Approximately 70 percent of city students are students of color; 20 percent higher than the national average.²⁰ This is due to the historical and ongoing patterns of segregation that have shaped our nation and isolated “non-white” populations in urban areas. Like so many other aspects of educational, social, and cultural life, access to school music opportunities correlates to the color of a student’s skin. For example, the larger a high school’s proportion of students of color, the less likely it is that the school will offer even a single music class.²¹

**Immigrant and First-Generation Students**

At the time of the 2010 Census, nearly 13 percent of the U.S. population was born outside the United States.²² As in generations past, cities function as “gateways” for new immigrants. Of the more than 42 million foreign-born U.S. residents, 86 percent live in metropolitan areas.²³ New arrivals are settling in cities across the nation—such as Atlanta, Austin, Charlotte, Las Vegas, Orlando, and Phoenix—and 15 percent of city students are non-native English speakers.²⁴

Despite their large and increasing population, students who are born outside the United States or who are first-generation Americans are under-represented in
music programs. For example, native English-speaking students are more than twice as likely as native Spanish-speaking students to have participated in music in high school, and although Latino students make up more than 20 percent of school populations, they comprise only about 10 percent of high school music students.\textsuperscript{25}

Poverty, racial inequality, and anti-immigrant attitudes create a “perfect storm” of social, cultural, and educational exclusion. In elementary and secondary city schools, this exclusion is manifested in a lack of access to and participation in school music programs. Our challenge is to reverse this pattern and ensure that all city students have access to an active music life regardless of their socioeconomic status, the color of their skin, or their birthplace.
City students’ access to an active music life requires the ongoing development of supportive music ecosystems.
An ecosystem is a network of interacting individuals, communities, and systems in which diverse elements are balanced and thrive. A music ecosystem, then, is the network of music-making people, places, and systems that characterize a city’s music and cultural life. Its participants in each community can include (but are not limited to):

- Students
- Music educators
- General education teachers
- School leadership
- Families
- Communities
- Partner organizations
- Musicians
- Universities and institutions

An “ecosystem approach” is particularly strategic for city students because it harnesses the rich cultural and organizational assets available in America’s cities. This strategy extends students’ music lives beyond the classroom, connecting them to a rich network of school, community, and regional resources and opportunities. This network can, in turn, support and enrich in-school music opportunities.

A city’s music ecosystem interacts with larger ecosystems that include school districts, public services, neighborhoods, and its local, state, and federal government. Like biological ecosystems, a music ecosystem is at its healthiest when it hosts a diverse range of elements and when all its participants and systems are in balance with one another. Where there is a healthy music ecosystem, students have opportunities to make, study, and enjoy music.

Each participant plays a key role in the ecosystem and exerts influence on the community. The following elements are influential in whether a music ecosystem is healthy, diverse, and balanced:

- School, district, and city leaders who prioritize access to music-making as an essential part of every school’s curriculum.

- Partner organizations that complement and support music opportunities for city students in- and out-of-school.
Music educator and teaching artist preparation that cultivates and equips teachers to serve in diverse urban settings.

The following sections examine these three key elements of cities’ music ecosystems. We identify some of the barriers that can prevent students from enjoying access to an active music life and respond with ideas that can disrupt current patterns of inequity.
We call for all city students to have access to in-school music education taught by certified music educators.
Confronting inequities in school-based music education will require a two-fold effort: On one hand, we must create music opportunities in schools where they do not currently exist. Simultaneously, we must strengthen and expand existing music programs so they become more robust and inclusionary.

Developing new in-school opportunities

There are city schools which simply do not offer any music classes at all. To address this inequity, music-making opportunities must be made available in schools where they currently do not exist.

The creation of new in-school music education opportunities requires that school leaders recognize the value of music as part of a well-rounded education. The implementation of new music education opportunities will take different forms depending on how a school district is structured. Each district should develop its own strategy within its larger educational ecosystem. Local and national organizations are available to help schools and districts implement new or reinvigorate dormant music programs. Private foundations can “jump-start” music programs by providing resources if a school or district commits to including music as a core subject. Local partnerships can also be influential in the development of new music offerings by demonstrating community commitment to in-school music education.

Strengthening and expanding current opportunities

It is essential to recognize that “access” does not simply refer to the existence of music opportunities at a school; it means that opportunities are easily accessible to all interested students. While a school may report “offering” music, it does not always follow that all interested students are able to participate; some remain excluded from this fundamental part of a well-rounded education due to a variety of financial, social, structural, and musical barriers. Each student should have equal access to a rich, meaningful, and inclusive music life. To address this, we must make existing music opportunities in city schools more robust and inclusive.

We call for both school leadership and classroom music educators to consider the ways in which their current practices might be exclusionary to students. By ex-
amining current practices and trends—however uncomfortable doing so might be—it is possible to identify the barriers that prevent potentially interested students from participating in music. These may include:

**Financial barriers**
Prohibitive costs, including purchase/rental of an instrument and fees for uniforms or transportation; incapacity to pay for outside-of-school enrichment opportunities including private lessons and summer music intensives; the need to spend out-of-school hours in employment rather than in rehearsals or practicing.

**Social barriers**
Experiences of social exclusion, including the sense of not belonging; students may not perceive support for their musical interests from general educators, school counselors, or parents.

**Structural barriers**
Music courses may be inconsistent in duration and frequency, preventing students from building mastery over time; students identified as English language learners may not be able to accommodate music courses in their schedule or may be pulled out of electives for ESL programming.

**Musical barriers**
An emphasis on traditional Western genres (band, string orchestra, and choir) may isolate students from other cultures; students who have rich informal music lives outside of school may feel that their musical culture and skill is not valued in the classroom.

These are certainly not the only reasons that potentially interested students choose not to or are not able to participate in school music opportunities. It is up to teachers and school leaders to engage in self-examination to identify their students’ needs. Once potential barriers have been identified, teachers and leaders can take action to mitigate—or, better, eliminate—those barriers. This might include utilizing culturally responsive pedagogy, expanding the genres and styles that are taught, creating mentorship networks (including those with outside partners), and working with school counselors to address scheduling conflicts. From small creative changes to stra-
tegic systemic reform, music education can be made more inclusive and engage a broader cross-section of city students.
We call for strategic partnerships with local organizations to expand and enrich cities’ music ecosystems.
Cities are home to a variety of cultural organizations, and this makes urban centers ideal for strategic partnerships in which city schools and local music organizations work together to expand and enrich students’ access to music opportunities. Strategic partnerships take all sorts of forms and can address specific needs in local contexts.

Most important, partnerships should be evaluated in terms of how successfully they add to the health of the music ecosystem. In what ways does a partnership support an active music life for students in city schools? How does it improve access to services and resources? How well does it fill unmet needs? For partnerships to be effective and beneficial, they must be meaningful, collaborative, and additive.

**Partnerships must be meaningful**

Successful partnerships go beyond surface affiliations and focus on substantial, long-term social change. It is easy to develop relationships that lack substantial commitment and value. A partnership must address social issues in a comprehensive way. Without a deep level of engagement, a partnership will likely fail to benefit its students and its community.

**Partnerships must be collaborative**

Partnerships, by their very nature, cannot exist in isolation from other elements of the ecosystem, and an organization that fails to collaborate with other ecosystem members will be significantly less effective. Partners must prioritize communication—even when it is challenging—and identify common goals. Schools and local organizations should recognize how each contributes to the development of a healthy music ecosystem.

Communication and goal-setting are particularly vital where community organizations serve students in the classroom during school hours. In-school teaching artists should enhance classroom instruction and contribute to the curricular and developmental goals of the school and district, and music and classroom teachers should be prepared to review these goals and check in regularly to monitor student growth. Out-of-school partnerships should also develop and maintain communication with their local schools, determining how their work can support students’ musical, educational, and social development.
Partnerships must be additive

Partnerships with community organizations do not replace music education during school hours; they should supplement—not supplant—high-quality sequential music education. A community music organization’s goal is to enrich students’ music lives, to “fill in the gaps” by providing the services or opportunities that school programs do not. Partner organizations should be responsive to the needs of a school or district and might provide:

- Private lessons and coaching
- One-on-one mentorship
- Expertise in performance
- Supplemental or specialized instruments and resources
- Small group ensembles
- Instruments, genres, and styles not taught in school
- Instruction for special-needs students
- Ensembles focusing on challenging repertoire (i.e. youth symphonies)
- Professional development for music teachers
- Care during non-school hours (before- and after-school and weekends)

Access to resources such as food, transportation, and health services

Partnerships do not simply provide “extra resources”: they can become important sources of influence in the city music ecosystem. Partnerships can spur schools and districts to invest and expand music opportunities for city students. Moreover, they affirm the dignity of city students by demonstrating that these students are included and valued in their city’s social and cultural life.
We call for changes in the development, preparation, and support of music educators and teaching artists.
The future health of city music ecosystems depends on developing and expanding a pool of teachers who are both capable of and committed to serving diverse city populations, including both certified music educators and teaching artists. If we are committed to expanding music opportunities for our city students, we will need to inspire and produce well-equipped teachers.

Preparing Music Educators and Teaching Artists to Be Effective in Urban Settings

Many teachers—both certified music educators and teaching artists—are insufficiently prepared to teach in diverse urban classrooms, and goodwill alone is not enough to make an unprepared teacher successful. Everyone who spends time with city students—whether in- or out-of-school—must be appropriately trained and equipped to serve the diverse needs of their students. All music teachers working in city settings should receive ample training in cultural competence, culturally responsive pedagogy, social-emotional learning, and social justice.

Music educators and teaching artists bring their own sets of cultural expectations, stereotypes, and biases to urban classrooms. If they are not equipped to teach, work, and communicate in a diverse urban setting, they will find their experiences more arduous and less effective. Cultural competence is “having an awareness of one’s own cultural identity and views about difference, and the ability to learn and build on the varying cultural and community norms of students and their families.” It allows teachers to communicate effectively and respectfully across cultures and to recognize and celebrate differences among their students. Cultural competence is particularly important when teaching music because music itself is a carrier of culture, and teachers who teach music in urban settings must develop a thorough knowledge of their own cultural identities, biases, and expectations so that they can respectfully and effectively engage their students.

The Music Educator Lifecycle: Who Becomes a Music Educator and Why?

The reality is that, nationally, today’s music teachers do not statistically reflect the diversity of their students:
while 50 percent of U.S. students are non-white, only around 10 percent of music educators are teachers of color.\textsuperscript{28} The lack of proportional diversity between teachers and students is certainly not unique to music education, but it is particularly pertinent since music education is not culturally neutral: simply put, it matters who teaches music.

The field of music education is a lifecycle in which current music educators prepare and inspire students to become future music educators. In its most ideal form, high school students choose to pursue careers in music education because they have had music teachers who had impact on their lives, who provided them solid training in music, and whom they desire to emulate. These students enter music education programs at institutions of higher education, where they receive quality pre-service training as musicians and educators. They then progress to the classroom, where their quality instruction inspires and prepares some of their own students to become music educators, and so the cycle continues.

This lifecycle often works—and works well—in creating new and committed music teachers. If the process breaks down at any of its stages, however, it can adversely impact who has access to becoming a music educator.

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
  \node[align=center] (A) {\textit{emulation, aspiration, and preparation}};
  \node[align=center, below] (B) {quality teaching (in-service)};
  \node[align=center, below] (C) {quality training (pre-service)};
  \draw[->] (A) to (B);
  \draw[->] (A) to (C);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

\textbf{Emulation, Aspiration, and Preparation}

Many city music students—particularly those who are students of color or from low socioeconomic backgrounds—lack music educator role models with whom they share similar characteristics. Studies outside of music education have demonstrated the importance of same-race role models for children.\textsuperscript{29} These studies suggest that students of color may be more likely to aspire to become music educators if they have been taught or mentored by a teacher of color. The current lifecycle patterns of aspiration and emulation perpetuate a lack of racial and socioeconomic diversity among music educators: because
a majority of music educators are white and from middle- and upper-class suburban backgrounds, the students who emulate them are, as well. We must increase diversity among music educators in order to provide role models that reflect the student demographics of city schools. This means more teachers of color, teachers from Latino backgrounds, teachers who have experienced immigration, and teachers from low socioeconomic backgrounds.

Diversifying the educator workforce may be most broadly achieved by increasing the diversity of students seeking degrees in music education programs. This may appear to be an obvious solution, but it will be a key strategy in meeting the needs of the twenty-first-century music classroom. Teacher diversity may also be increased by providing rigorous alternative certification routes for those who may have been unable to study music education in a degree program. The goal—however it is met—is to welcome more teachers of color and of diverse backgrounds into the classroom to inspire and mentor students who identify with them. Additionally, music educators who are not racially, ethnically, or socioeconomically similar to their students can also make conscious efforts to cultivate aspiration in their students—for example, connecting aspiring music educators with mentors, studying accomplished non-white musicians, or performing a broad range of genres.

But aspiration alone is not sufficient; students who aspire to become music educators may not have access to the opportunities necessary for application and admittance to music education degree programs. Under-resourced students in city schools may find that the supplementary music activities that many colleges expect of applicants—such as private lessons, summer intensives, or regional chamber ensembles—are financially prohibitive for them.

Aspirational students may be connected with community partners who can enrich students’ musical development. Community partnerships can be of great assistance in providing the kind of supplementary activities that city students do not receive at school and which they may not be able to afford. Local musicians might provide one-on-one instruction and personal mentorship that a music educator simply cannot provide in a classroom setting. Partnerships might also provide aspiring music educators with guidance during college admissions and music audition processes. This kind of support has
been shown to be successful in general college admissions processes and could be highly effective in helping underserved students navigate the complicated and intimidating admissions and audition process.

However, the current requirements and processes for admission into music education degree programs often exclude students who demonstrate potential outside of standard admissions requirements. Many schools require music education applicants to audition on a single instrument, most often in Western “classical” genres. Students who demonstrate the potential to be gifted music educators, but who cannot audition under traditional parameters, are often ineligible for admission into music education degree programs. Students who do not play a “conventional” instrument, who are proficient in genres outside of the Western “classical” canon, or who may not fluently read/write Western music notation, are thus barred from entering many music education programs, even if they demonstrate the potential to be a skilled and inspiring music educator.

If we are committed to diversity among music educators, the schools that offer music education degree programs will need to reimagine the criteria by which students are admitted. Music education admissions criteria may be broadened to include traditions outside of the performance of Western “classical” music, including expanding the range of instruments on and the genres in which students can audition. As the field of music broadens to include more genres, sounds, and performance styles, music education programs should follow suit, affirming that being a music educator is far less about being an adept performer of the Western “classical” canon and far more about teaching and inspiring students to make music.

Adjusting patterns in the lifecycle will require substantial intervention at each stage. Progress will not be immediate, but sustained effort can result in measurable improvement over time.
We call for a national mobilization in support of an active music life for all city students.

We call on stakeholders to pursue equity in music opportunities so that children in every city school are afforded this fundamental right.
What’s next?

We encourage you to critically examine your own city’s commitment to equity in music, using this declaration as a framework. Read each section through the lens of your own community. Do all students have access to an active music life? Who is part of the local music ecosystem? What needs are being met, and what needs are as yet unmet?

Because America’s cities are as diverse as their populations, there is no one-size-fits-all, national solution in addressing the present and persistent challenge of inequity in music. Each city ecosystem is unique, and there will be many models that can ensure access to an active music life for every student. It is up to each locality to determine its best way forward, crafting solutions with distinct implementation strategies. Each community should chart its own course based on its specific culture,
participants, history, and needs.

The most important step is action. Now is the time to create infrastructures that will provide an active music life to every city student. This is happening in a number of cities already, and we are excited and encouraged by the schools, districts, partnerships, and teachers that are already working for equity in music for their students. We hope you will join—or begin—this process in your own city.

As part of our commitment to continuing this conversation, we invite you to visit music.yale.edu/declaration for extended content, including:

- Examples of cities, schools, classrooms, community programs, partnerships, and music education programs that prioritize an active music life for city students;
- Personal narratives of students and families whose lives have been changed by their experiences with music;
- Research and articles that expand on key concepts;
- Information on partner organizations and resources; and
- The opportunity to share stories of music flourishing in city communities.
This is not a document with a single author. Rather, it is a call to action derived from the 2017 Yale Symposium on Music in Schools, held at the Yale School of Music June 15-17, 2017. We are honored to recognize the influence of the symposium’s forty-three participants—before, during, and after the event—including ten Yale Distinguished Music Educator Award recipients who represent exemplary city music programs. We also invited leaders from across urban music ecosystems: music education scholars, foundation leaders, district music supervisors, city superintendents, individuals with expertise in urban affairs and political action, and leaders of non-profit music organizations that provide services to city children (for a list of participants, see page 70). To a person, each participant has helped shape the declaration as it stands today. The Yale School of Music is indebted to each of
these remarkable individuals whose passion for the lives of students in underserved communities across America will have lasting impact.

**Visionary Funding for the Declaration and the Symposium**

In 2007, the Yale College Class of 1957 gave a substantial part of its fiftieth reunion class gift to the Yale School of Music to establish an endowment that has supported the creation and dissemination of the Declaration on Equity in Music for City Students. The class’s visionary gift has allowed the School of Music to create the Music in Schools Initiative—a partnership with New Haven public schools in which graduate-student teaching artists complement the work of New Haven public school music teachers to create in-school and after-school programs that serve more than 1,000 New Haven students. It has also allowed the School to host a visiting faculty member in community engagement. Finally, it has brought us to a point where the biennial Symposium on Music in Schools may enter the national conversation on the role that music plays for children in New Haven and throughout America.

**Yale School of Music Symposium Leadership**

Just as this declaration is not the work of a single author, it is also not the product of a single person. Michael Yaffe, Associate Dean of the School of Music, has spearheaded this project, and his vision has been the driving force behind the symposium and declaration.

We are also deeply grateful to Rubén Rodríguez, the Director of the Music in Schools Initiative’s New Haven programs, for being the conscience behind the declaration. His deep understanding of human dignity and passion for the role that music plays in the lives of the children of New Haven have inspired us to turn our local work into a national discussion.

Rachel Glodo, Assistant to the Associate Dean, has been the primary writer and editor of this document.
Her commitment to this difficult task—from initial research and drafting, to sifting through the ideas of nearly fifty experts with differing points of view—has been remarkable. She also managed the symposium to great success.

We must also recognize Robert Blocker, the Henry and Lucy Moses Dean of Music, who has nurtured and supported the Music in Schools Initiative since he first discussed the major gift with the Class of 1957. His broad vision of cultural leadership and music as a child’s birthright has shaped the direction of the program since its inception.

We also thank David Brensilver, Yale School of Music Communications Officer, for his editorial skills; Katie Kelley, the School’s Design Manager, for the design of this document; Gail Yaffe, for proofing these pages; Mackenzie Dilbeck, Director of Communications, for guidance throughout the publishing process; Lauren Schiffer, Assistant to the Deputy Dean, and Esther Woo, Assistant to the Music in Schools Initiative, for their excellent notes at the symposium; and Jocelyn Hernandez, Summer Intern at the School of Music (and graduate of our New Haven programs), for ensuring that the symposium ran smoothly.
Participants at the 2017 Symposium on Music in Schools

June 15–17, 2017

Alandra Abrams Music educator (general music, choir, and music technology), Philadelphia Public Schools

Carlos R. Abril Professor of Music Education, Director of Undergraduate Studies in Music Education, Frost School of Music, University of Miami

Luke Anderson Middle school humanities teacher, The Gordon School (Providence, Rhode Island)

Rita Black Music educator (choir and general music), Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools

Mike Blakeslee Executive Director and CEO, National Association for Music Education

Robert Blocker The Henry and Lucy Moses Dean of Music, Professor of Piano, Professor of Management (affiliate), Yale University

Adam Brooks Music educator (band), Atlanta Public Schools

Monique Brusca Music educator (band and string orchestra), Los Angeles Unified School District

Kriner Cash Superintendent, Buffalo Public Schools

Nathan Cohen Music educator (band and string orchestra), Trenton Public Schools

Jesús Cortés-Sanchez Music education student, University of Connecticut; graduate, Music in Schools Initiative; summer intern, Yale School of Music

Lara Davis Arts Education Manager, Seattle Office of Arts & Culture

Henry Donahue Executive Director, VH1 Save The Music Foundation

Thomas C. Duffy Professor (adjunct), Yale School of Music & Director of University Bands, Yale University
Francisco Escobedo  Superintendent, Chula Vista Elementary School District

Chiho Okuizumi Feindler  Senior Director of Programs and Policy, VH1 Save The Music Foundation

Kate Fitzpatrick-Harnish  Associate Professor of Music Education, University of Michigan

Arlene Goldbard  Writer, speaker, activist, and consultant; Chief Policy Wonk, U.S. Department of Arts and Culture; President, The Shalom Center

Nola Jones  Director of Visual and Performing Arts, Music Makes Us, Metropolitan Nashville Public Schools

Aldie Lopez-Rios  Music educator (band and general music), Roosevelt Elementary School District (Phoenix, Arizona)

Frank Machos  Executive Director, Office of The Arts & Academic Enrichment, School District of Philadelphia

Ellen P. Maust  Performing & Visual Arts Supervisor, New Haven Public Schools

Constance L. McKoy  Professor and Director of Undergraduate Studies in Music, The University of North Carolina at Greensboro

Jessica Mele  Program Officer for Performing Arts, William and Flora Hewlett Foundation

Jeff Midkiff  Music educator (string orchestra), Roanoke City Public Schools

Corina Miller  Music educator (choral and classroom music), Minneapolis Public Schools

Bob Morrison  Founder and CEO, Quadrant Research

Denese Odegaard  President and Board Chair, National Association for Music Education

Robin Paige  Music educator (choral and general music), Baltimore City Public Schools

Myran Parker-Brass  Executive Director for the Arts, Boston Public Schools

Dantes Rameau  Co-Founder and Executive Director, Atlanta Music Project
Nicole R. Robinson  Professor of Music Education, Associate Vice President for Equity and Diversity, University of Utah

Rubén Rodríguez  Director, Music in Schools Initiative, Yale School of Music

Jaclyn Rudderow  Program Director, VH1 Save The Music Foundation

Sebastian Ruth  Founder and Artistic Director, Community MusicWorks; Visiting Lecturer in Community Engagement, Yale School of Music

Dalouge Smith  President and CEO, San Diego Youth Symphony and Conservatory

Dan Trahey  Artistic Liaison, OrchKids, Baltimore Symphony; Founder and Director, Tuned In, Peabody Institute

Lynn Tuttle  Director of Public Policy and Professional Development, National Association for Music Education

Larry Ward  Music educator (band), Houston Public Schools

Tarik Ward  Director of ELMA Music Programs, ELMA Philanthropies Services

Richard Wenning  Executive Director, BeFoundation; Co-founder, SpreadMusicNow

Michael Yaffe  Associate Dean, Yale School of Music

Observers

David Brensilver  Communications Officer, Yale School of Music

Thomas Chittenden  Member of the Yale College Class of 1957

Rachel M. Glodo  Assistant to the Associate Dean, Yale School of Music

Jocelyn Hernandez  Music education student, Western Connecticut State University; graduate, Music in Schools Initiative; Summer Intern, Yale School of Music

Philip Richards  Member of the Yale College Class of 1957
Lauren Schiffer Program Manager, Yale School of Music

Stephen Wittenberg Member of the Yale College Class of 1957

Esther Woo Administrator, Music in Schools Initiative, Yale School of Music
About the 
Music in Schools Initiative 
in New Haven

A living laboratory

As part of the Yale School of Music’s mandate to develop cultural leaders, the Music in Schools Initiative explores how music can be used as a tool of inclusion and social transformation in the city of New Haven and beyond. It provides the School and its graduate students the opportunity to think, create, design, and implement new ways of connecting the city’s students, teachers, families, and communities to one another. It functions as a workshop in which graduate teaching artists can develop their personal teaching style, as well as their social conscience. Activities are based on a mentorship model founded on personal relationships and respect.

A partnership

The Music in Schools Initiative partners with New Haven Public Schools to support music educators as they develop broader and more robust programs in their schools. This meaningful partnership, based on a deep commitment to collaboration and respect, connects music educators and their programs with one another, with arts organizations, and with teaching artists. The initiative creates new spaces in which knowledge can be gained and shared, and allows music educators to provide more personal attention to each student.

A community

The Music in Schools Initiative provides opportunities for its participants to contribute significantly to the construction of a more inclusive society. Its community proudly reflects the racial, socioeconomic, and ethnic diversity of New Haven. Through an active music life, students develop and strengthen relationships with other communi-
ty members including: peers; performers and audiences; teaching artists and music educators; cultural leaders and arts administrators; and families.

Notes


6 Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

7 Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

8 Universal Declaration of Human Rights.


12 The Kirwan Institute authors note that “intergroup contact generally reduces intergroup prejudice” when individuals share “equal status and common goals, a cooperative rather than competitive environment, [and] the presence of support from authority figures.” *State of the Science: Implicit Bias Review 2015*, 66.


14 In 2013, 43 percent of students in city schools attended a school where more than 75 percent of the students received free or reduced-price lunch. Of black and Hispanic city students, more than 60 percent attended such schools. See: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics, Common Core of Data, *Public Elementary/Secondary School Universe Survey, 2013-14*, Table B.1.e.-1: Number and percentage distribution of public elementary and secondary enrollment by percentage of students in school eligible for free or reduced-price lunch, by school urban-centric 12-category locale and race/ethnicity: Fall 2013, https://nces.ed.gov/surveys/ruraled/tables/B.1.e.-1.asp?


17 “Arts Education in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools,” 22.


21 *Secondary Schools Arts Education Survey: Fall 2009*, Table 70.


23 Singer, “Metropolitan immigrant gateways revisited, 2014.”


26 The 2015 Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) includes music as part of a well-rounded education; see https://www.ed.gov/essa.


In June 2017, the Yale School of Music hosted its sixth biennial Symposium on Music in Schools, inviting leaders from across the fields of music and education to contribute their expertise and perspectives to a “declaration” on music in city schools. The result is a report of the substantive discussions before, during, and after the Symposium, as well as a national call to action for equity and inclusion in our city schools.

The Declaration on Equity in Music for City Students calls for every student in every city in America to have access to a robust and active music life. Based on the values of dignity and inclusion, the declaration decries the inequities that deny some city students access to an active music life and calls for changes in the priorities of city public schools, professional music organizations, and music education degree programs.

Both inspirational and pragmatic, this declaration is a blueprint for action at the local, regional, and national levels, challenging readers to envision a future in which all of our nation’s city students have access to quality music education in their schools and their communities. It also calls for the preparation of music educators and teaching artists who are well equipped and committed to teaching in diverse, urban settings.

The declaration is intended to stimulate candid discussions wherever music is taught, challenging us all to pursue inclusion and equity in our own cities and communities.

music.yale.edu/declaration
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Robert Blocker, Dean