Solids are phases of matter that break both translational and rotational symmetry, forming periodic atomic and/or molecular structures. In many molecular solids, increasing the temperature can lead to the activation of rotational motion, such that the orientational structure of the activated modes becomes disordered while the translational symmetry is still broken and fixed on the periodic crystalline lattice [1–4]. These phases, characterized by long-ranged translational order and orientational disorder, are termed plastic crystals. Understanding the molecular details governing these orientationally disordered phases has led to profound insights into solid-state electrolytes [5,6], alkanes [7,8], and fatty acid crystals [9], for example.

In the classification of these phases, one focuses on the atomic (nuclear) structure of the materials. However, one might envision having similar correlations among electrons and nuclei, especially in systems with localized, lone pair electrons. In this Letter, we generalize the concept of a plastic crystal to electronic degrees of freedom and predict such motion may be found in a broad range of solids with lone pair electrons. Such fluctuations in the charge density should be observable, in principle, via synchrotron scattering.

To characterize the electronic plastic crystal motion, we perform ab initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations using CP2K and the QUICKSTEP module [10,11]. Simulations for Cl₂ systems followed our previous work [12], and used a 3 × 3 × 2 supercell. For the perovskite simulations, we employ the molecularly optimized (MOLOPT) Godecker-Teter-Hutter (GTH) double-ζ valence single polarization short-ranged (DZVP-MOLOPT-SR-GTH) basis set [11] and the GTH-PADE pseudopotential [13] to represent the core electrons. All perovskite simulations here employed a 3 × 3 × 3 supercell. The valence electrons were treated explicitly, using the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof [14] functional as implemented in CP2K with a plane wave cutoff of 400 Ry, in order to connect to earlier work on similar systems [15]. We first equilibrated each system to the desired temperature using a Nosé-Hoover thermostat chain of length three [16,17] with a time step of 1.0 fs. Systems were then equilibrated in the microcanonical (NVE) ensemble, before gathering statistics in the NVE ensemble over at least 4 ps of production simulation time. The coordinates of the maximally localized Wannier function centers (MLWFCs) were obtained using CP2K, minimizing the MLWF spreads according to the formulation of Ref. [18].

We first focus on solid Cl₂ as a model molecular solid that exhibits rotational lone electron pair dynamics. Diatomic chlorine forms a single covalent Cl—Cl bond and the remaining six electrons of each Cl form three sp³ hybridized lone pairs, as illustrated by the MLWFCs [19] in Fig. 1(a). In addition, the Cl₂ molecule has electron deficient, σ-hole regions located along the bond axis at the end of each Cl, as well as between each lone pair [12,20,21]. The unique orthorhombic crystal structures of the halogens Cl₂, Br₂, and I₂ are stabilized by halogen bonds, directional electrostatic attractions between...
Despite the presence of halogen bonds, we find that, at high enough temperatures, the lone electron pairs of Cl$_2$ rotate about the Cl—Cl bond axis. This rotational motion can be observed in Fig. 1(a), where we show three snapshots of the lone pair MLWFCs along a simulation trajectory. The combined electronic-nuclear structure of Cl$_2$ is reminiscent of the nuclear structure of ethane (C$_2$H$_6$), which exhibits rotational motion of hydrogen atoms in its plastic crystal phase that resemble that of the Cl$_2$ lone pairs [23,24].

To further the analogy to ethane, we can characterize the Cl$_2$ electronic plastic crystal motion by defining a lone pair-Cl-Cl-lone pair (W-Cl-Cl-W) dihedral angle, $\phi$, and examining its statistics. The effective free energy landscape governing lone pair rotations, $\Delta F(\phi)$, is then given by $\Delta F(\phi) = -k_B T \ln P(\phi)$, where $k_B T$ is the product of Boltzmann’s constant and the temperature and $P(\phi)$ is the probability distribution of the dihedral angle $\phi$ observed in the simulation. This free energy is shown in Fig. 1(b) for three temperatures, one below the electronic plastic crystal transition (25 K), one above the transition and below melting (100 K), and a superheated state (300 K). The threefold symmetry of $\Delta F(\phi)$ arises from the symmetry of the Cl$_2$ lone pairs. At low temperatures, thermal fluctuations (in the form of activated phonon modes) are not large enough to activate orientational motion of the lone pairs, and the free energy can only be computed near the minima; barriers are not traversed under unbiased sampling. At 100 and 300 K, electronic plastic crystal motion is observed in the solid, lone pairs readily rotate between ground states, and the free energy barrier with a temperature-independent height of $\Delta F^\ddagger \approx 6$ kJ/mol is sampled. This lone pair rotational motion does not arise from a phase transition in the nuclear structure, but we hypothesize that it is tied to thermal activation of phonon modes that induce local polarization fluctuations.

The rotational motion of lone pairs breaks halogen bonds in order to cross the free energy barrier, and then reforms halogen bonds upon completing a rotation and returning to a free energy minimum. The lone pair rotational motion will therefore show up in time-dependent quantifications of halogen bond dynamics. We characterize the dynamics of halogen bonds through the time correlation function (TCF) $C(\tau) = \langle h(t) h(0) \rangle / \langle h \rangle$, where $h(t) = 1$ if a halogen bond between two Cl atoms is intact at time $t$, and $h(t) = 0$ otherwise [12,25,26]. In previous work, we developed a first principles, geometric definition of halogen bonds involving nuclei-nuclei and nuclei-MLWFC correlations.

FIG. 1. (a) Snapshots illustrating a lone pair rotation of 120° in solid Cl$_2$ at $T = 100$ K. Cl atoms are colored green and the maximally localized Wannier function centers (MLWFCs) of the Cl lone electron pairs are shown as pale blue spheres. The Cl$_2$ molecule undergoing the rotation is colored red. Orange lines indicate halogen bonds. (b) Free energy as a function of the W-Cl-Cl-W dihedral angle, where W indicates the center of a maximally localized Wannier function. (c) Time correlation function for halogen bonds in liquid and solid Cl$_2$. Results for the solid are shown for the same temperatures as in panel (b). (d) Intermediate scattering function $F_k(t)$ determined according to Eq. (2), normalized by its value at $t = 0$. The value of $k = 2.886$ Å$^{-1}$ corresponds approximately to typical distances between lone pairs on opposite ends of the Cl$_2$ molecule.
[12], and we use this definition here when quantifying the influence of lone pair rotations on halogen bond dynamics via \( C(t) \).

The halogen bond TCF is shown in Fig. 1(c) for the same three states discussed above. We observe a significant change in the form of \( C(t) \) as the rotational motion of lone pairs is activated; \( C(t) \) plateaus at a much lower value and the initial decay is much faster at high \( T \). This faster decay of \( C(t) \) is consistent with the increased rotational motion of lone pairs in solid Cl\(_2\), which transiently break halogen bonds between neighboring molecules. The disruption of halogen bonding increases with temperature and may play an important role in melting, for example, wherein the transient weakening of intermolecular interactions by lone pair rotations could make it easier to nucleate a liquid phase than would be the case if lone pair orientations were fixed. We note that the description of halogen bonding can depend sensitively on the choice of density functional, with charge transfer playing a significant role in some cases [27,28]. However, we expect our findings to be qualitatively insensitive to these subtleties, with changes in the halogen bond strength leading to shifts in the onset temperature for lone pair dynamics being the dominant effect.

Experimentally, electron dynamics can be probed through inelastic scattering [29,30]. Within this context, the key observable is the intermediate scattering function

\[
F_k(t) = \langle \hat{\rho}_k(t) \hat{\rho}_{-k}(0) \rangle,
\]

where \( \hat{\rho}_k(t) \) is the Fourier transform of the electron density \( \rho(r,t) \) at time \( t \). The exact computation of \( F_k(t) \) would require solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation to monitor the quantum dynamics of the electrons in the system. However, we can approximate \( F_k(t) \) using the results from our AIMD simulations, where the dynamics are contained only in the nuclear motion, and the electron density is constrained to lie at the ground state in each nuclear configuration. Within this level of approximation, it is not necessary to work within the basis of eigenstates of the Hamiltonian, and we can determine the electron density in each configuration (at each time) from the MLWFs [19]. If the shape of the MLWFs is rigid, as is true to a good approximation for Cl\(_2\), we can further approximate the electron density as a convolution of a time-independent shape function \( f(r) \) and the density of MLWFCs \( \rho^C(r,t) \) such that the intermediate scattering function is

\[
F_k(t) \approx \hat{f}_k^2 \langle \hat{\rho}^C_k(t) \hat{\rho}^C_{-k}(0) \rangle.
\]

Thus, for rigid MLWFs and Born-Oppenheimer AIMD, the electron dynamics are contained in the trajectories of the MLWFCs, drastically simplifying the estimation of \( F_k(t) \).

The intermediate scattering function \( F_k(t) \) is shown for a select value of \( k \) and a range of temperatures in Fig. 1(d). In agreement with the behavior of \( C(t) \), the scattering function decays more rapidly when lone pair rotational motion is present, and exhibits almost no decay or features beyond the initial transient below the electronic plastic crystal transition. This suggests that inelastic scattering-based probes of electron dynamics may be able to uncover the existence electronic plastic phases in materials. Additionally, one might also envision probing electronic dynamics indirectly through nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) relaxation and chemical shift anisotropy measurements [31–33].

Dynamical motion of lone pairs is not limited to molecular solids. We also find significant lone pair rotational motion in the \( ABX_3 \) halide perovskites CsSnCl\(_3\) (CSC), CsSnBr\(_3\) (CSB), and CsCaBr\(_3\) (CCB), at 400 K. In these systems, the nuclei-MLWFC structure of Cl/Br and Ca are topologically analogous to methane molecules, in the same way that the Cl\(_2\) molecule’s electronic structure was akin to ethane. Therefore, we can expect that the rotational dynamics of Cl/Br and Ca in these perovskites may resemble those of the plastic phases of methane and other systems containing tetrahedral molecules [4,34–37]. The Sn atom has a single lone pair, forming a nuclei-lone pair dipole when the lone pair MLWF is off-center, i.e., when the MLWF-Sn bond length is greater than zero. Such off-centering occurs in the cubic phase studied here, as evidenced in previous work [15,38] and by the snapshot in Fig. 2(a). In contrast, Ca has a symmetric lone pair structure in this perovskite, as indicated by the snapshots illustrating typical MLWFC structures in Fig. 2(b).

We characterize the lone pair motion in the halide perovskites through rotational TCFs. For the Sn-lone pair dipole motion, we compute

\[
C_{\text{rot}}(t) = \langle P_2(\mathbf{\mu}(t) \cdot \mathbf{\mu}(0)) \rangle,
\]

where \( \mathbf{\mu}(t) \) is the Sn-MLWFC dipole vector at time \( t \) and \( P_2(x) \) is the second order Legendre polynomial. For Cl/Br and Ca atoms, we compute the TCF of tetrahedral rotor functions \( M_\gamma \) of order \( l = 3 \), following previous work on ionic crystals with tetrahedral ions [37]. Here, \( \gamma \) labels the \((2l+1)\) functions for each \( l \). Because of the cubic symmetry of the crystal, we need to consider only three representative functions, \( M_1 = 3\sqrt{3}/4 \sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i y_i z_i, \)
\( M_2 = 3\sqrt{5}/40 \sum_{i=1}^{4} (5x_i^3 - 3x_i y_i^2), \)
\( M_5 = 3\sqrt{3}/8 \times \sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i (y_i^2 - z_i^2) \), where \( r_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i) \) is a unit vector along nuclei-MLWFC bond \( i \), and \( r_j = |r_i| \). We then examine the motion of Cl/Br and Ca MLWFCs through the TCFs

\[
C_\gamma(t) = \langle \delta M_\gamma(t) \delta M_\gamma(0) \rangle / \langle \delta M_\gamma^2(0) \rangle,
\]

where \( \delta M_\gamma(t) = M_\gamma(t) - \langle M_\gamma \rangle \). We note that MLWFs are not gauge invariant, however, the MLWFCs can only be altered by a factor of a lattice vector upon a change of gauge [19,39]. Because the lattice vectors are constant in the microcanonical (and canonical) ensemble, this is a
time-independent constant. The time dependence of the TCFs therefore does not depend on the chosen gauge, and our results should be independent of the specific transformation used to obtain localized Wannier functions from periodic Bloch functions.

The TCFs $C_{\text{rot}}(t)$ and $C_{\gamma}(t)$ are shown in Figs. 2(c)–2(f) and suggest that lone pair rotational motion occurs on rapid, subpicosecond timescales. The rotational timescale of the $B$-site ion ($ABX_3$) is roughly the same as that of the $X$-site ions, highlighting the interplay of lone pair rotational dynamics that gives rise to dynamic off-centering of Sn observed in CsSnBr$_3$ and similar materials [15,40]. Moreover, the timescale for MLWF rotational motion is in agreement with that identified for local polar fluctuations in similar perovskites [41–43]. These polar fluctuations were linked to Br face expansion and Cs head-to-head motions. Additional work has highlighted the impact of dynamic disorder and activation of specific phonon modes at finite temperature on local electronic structure [43], which suggests an interplay between electronic plastic crystal motion and local polarity fluctuations, but further investigations are needed to quantify the precise relationship between these phenomena.

We find only subtle differences between the rotational timescales of the $B$ site and $X$ site among the three perovskites studied. In particular, we find that rotations in CSC may be slightly faster than in CSB, most likely due to the larger polarizability of Br leading to stronger $X - B$ ion-ion interactions.

The observed fast decay of orientational correlations suggests the ability of these perovskites to rapidly respond to the addition of a charge to the lattice, either through a charged defect or photoexcited charge carriers. In this context, one is concerned with the solid-state solvation dynamics of the system [44–47]. Recent work has highlighted the utility of applying concepts from liquid-state solvation theory to polaron formation in halide perovskites [48–51]. Within this liquid-state context, solvation dynamics are characterized by the time dependence of the interaction energy between the charge and its environment following introduction of the solute charge [52,53]. Maroncelli and co-workers have shown that in many cases, including solvation in a dipolar lattice, such a response function can be approximated reasonably well by a power-law scaling of the dipole rotational TCF, where the power is proportional to the dipole density [54,55]. Thus, within the accuracy of this model, a rapid decay of $C_{\text{rot}}(t)$ implies fast solvation dynamics within halide perovskites.

These findings are in agreement with the high efficiency of CSB to separate photoexcited charged carriers. If the dipoles are not highly correlated, nanoscale polarization (or solid-state solvation) in response to a charge carrier is not significantly affected by polarization to other charge carriers. Thus, the solvation environment around one charge carrier does not “see” that around another, and the interactions between charge carriers are efficiently screened, especially on timescales longer than the short solvation dynamics timescale implied by $C_{\text{rot}}(t)$. This efficient solvation can also be expected from the large dielectric constant of CSB ($\sim 67$), while that for CCB is much lower ($\sim 17$). We note, however, that the difference in the dielectric constants of CSB and CCB is not expected to originate from the timescale for dipole-polarization fluctuations, because the rotational times of Br in each crystal, as well as those of Sn and Ca, are approximately the same. Figs. 2(c)–2(f). Instead, our results suggest that polarization fluctuations occur on the same timescale in CSB and CCB, however, the dipole moment that is fluctuating in CSB is of much larger magnitude, which gives rise to its larger dielectric constant and ultimately the higher efficiency of CSB as a photovoltaic material.
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