## Actuarial Update On The State Retirement Plans



Presentation at the Finance and Administration Seminar Washington Public Ports Association


## Today's Presentation

- Overview of the Office of the State Actuary (OSA)
- 2015 Actuarial Valuation results
- Contribution rate adoption process
- Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) updates
- Upcoming special projects at OSA


## What Is OSA?

- OSA is a small agency
- Within the Legislative Branch
- Eighteen-person staff
- In-house actuaries
- Mixture of certified actuaries and actuarial students
- Unique among the states
- Three policy analysts focused on pension practice


## Principal Duties

- "Supporting financial security for generations."
- Support the state retirement plans
- Perform annual actuarial valuations
- Calculate required contribution rates
- Perform experience studies to set assumptions
- Prepare actuarial fiscal notes on pension legislation
- Staff the Select Committee on Pension Policy (SCPP)
- Advise the Guaranteed Education Tuition (GET) program
- Provide actuarial support for the Higher Education Supplemental Retirement Plan
- Provide assistance to the Legislature with Healthcare actuarial work



## OSA Clients

## OSA Clients

## Legislative Branch

Pension Funding Council, Select Committee on Pension Policy, Legislators and Legislative Staff, GET Legislative Advisory Committee, and Fiscal Committees.

## Executive Branch

Governor's Office, Office of the State Treasurer, Health Care Authority, Department of Retirement Systems, Office of Financial Management, and Office of the Attorney General.

Volunteer Fire Fighters' and Reserve Officers' Relief and Pension Fund, State Investment Board, LEOFF Plan 2 Retirement Board, Public Employees' Benefits Board, GET Committee, and Institutions of Higher Education.
Public Pension community, local governments, and citizens at large.

## Highlights Of The 2015 Actuarial Valuation

- $4.93 \%$ return on market value of assets
- July 1, 2014, through June 30, 2015
- Assumed long-term investment return reduced from 7.8\% to 7.7\%
- Contribution rate increases adopted by the Pension Funding Council (PFC) for 2017-19
- Funded Status (FS) for all plans combined is $86 \%$, compared to $87 \%$ in the prior valuation


## Funded Status Compares Assets To Liabilities

- FS equals Actuarial Value of Assets divided by Accrued Liabilities
- FS more than $100 \%$
- More than \$1 of assets for every \$1 of earned benefits
- On track with systematic actuarial funding plan
- Does not necessarily mean no future contributions are needed
- FS less than $100 \%$
- Plan needs additional assets to get it back on track to full funding


## Actuarial Value Of Assets Is Less Volatile Than Market Value

- Start with Market Value of Assets (MVA) reported by Washington State Investment Board (WSIB)
- Calculate 2015 asset gain (or loss) based on expected return
- Develop Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA) by smoothing past and current asset gains (or losses)
- Smooth gain (or loss) over a period up to eight years
- AVA limited to 30\% "corridor" around MVA
- Smoothing method reduces contribution rate and funded status volatility


## Actuarial Liabilities Of The Plan

- Present Value of Future Benefits (PVFB)
- Today's value of all future expected benefits for current members
- Accrued Liabilities or "earned" benefits
- Today's value of all future expected benefits for current members that have been "earned" as of the measurement date


## Washington Plans Well-Funded Overall

| Funded Status |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | 2015 |  |
| All Systems | $\$ 83,477$ | $\$ 78,800$ |
| a. Accrued Liability |  |  |
| b. Market Value of Assets | 74,476 | 72,553 |
| c. Deferred Gains/(Losses) | 3,016 | 3,776 |
| d. Actuarial Value of Assets (b-c) | 71,460 | 68,777 |
| $\quad$ Unfunded Liability (a-d) | $\$ 12,017$ | $\$ 10,023$ |
| $\quad$ Funded Ratio (d/a) | $86 \%$ | $87 \%$ |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding.
*Liabilities valued using EAN cost method.

## PERS 2/3 Higher Funded Status Than PERS 1

| 2015 Funded Status |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | PERS |  |
| (Dollars in Millions) | Plan 1 | Plan 2/3 |
| a. Accrued Liability* | 7,553 | $\$ 32,008$ |
| b. Market Value of Assets | 243 | 29,512 |
| c. Deferred Gains/(Losses) | 7,315 | 28,292 |
| d. Actuarial Value of Assets (b-c) | $\$ 5,239$ | $\$ 3,715$ |
| $\quad$ Unfunded Liability (a-d) | $58 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Funded Ratio (d/a) |  |  |
| Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding. |  |  |
| *Liabilities valued using EAN cost method. |  |  |

## Funded Status By Plan At June 30, 2015



Note: Liabilities valued using Entry Age Normal Cost method.
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## Overview Of System Membership At June 30, 2015

| PERS |  |  |  |  | TRS |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | SERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 Actives | 3,927 | 117,768 | 31,602 | 1,353 | 15,342 | 52,125 | 24,479 | 31,326 |
| 2015 Annuitants | 50,270 | 38,693 | 3,186 | 35,239 | 4,305 | 7,453 | 6,562 | 5,750 |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 , 1 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6 , 4 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 7 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 5 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 6 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 , 5 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 , 0 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 0 7 6}$ |
| Percent of Total | $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |


|  | PSERS | LEOFF |  | WSPRS |  | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Plan 2 | Plan 1 | Plan 2 | Plan 1 | Plan 2 | Systems |
| 2015 Actives | 5,202 | 82 | 17,019 | 560 | 475 | $\mathbf{3 0 1 , 2 6 0}$ |
| 2015 Annuitants | 80 | 7,507 | 3,710 | 1,033 | 0 | $\mathbf{1 6 3 , 7 8 8}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 2 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 5 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 7 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 5 , 0 4 8}$ |
| Percent of Total | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

## Summary Of Plan Participants

|  | PERS At June 30, 2015 |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average |  |  |  |  |
| Number | Average <br> Age | Service | Average <br> Salary/Beneffits* |  |
| Actives | 3,927 | 64 | 25 | $\$ 58,748$ |
| Plan 1 | 117,768 | 48 | 13 | $\$ 61,176$ |
| Plan 2 | 31,602 | 43 | 9 | $\$ 56,320$ |
| Plan 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Annuitants | 50,270 | 75 | 24 | $\$ 23,611$ |
| Plan 1 | 38,693 | 71 | 17 | $\$ 17,220$ |
| Plan 2 | 3,186 | 67 | 19 | $\$ 9,874$ |
| Plan 3 |  |  |  |  |

*Annualized.

## Looking "Ahead" To The 2016 Actuarial Valuation

- $2.65 \%$ return on market value of assets
- July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2016
- Preliminary funded status and actuarial liabilities posted this month to OSA's website
- Final valuation published late August
- Not relied on to adopt contribution rates


## Questions On The 2015 Actuarial Valuation?

## 2017-19 PFC Contribution Rate Adoption

- Last summer, the PFC adopted contribution rates for 2017-19
- Rates subject to revision by the Legislature
- Adopted rates include
- Lowering assumed rate of return from 7.8\% to 7.7\%
- Second of three planned steps to phase-in budget impacts from new mortality assumptions
- Includes PERS and TRS Plans 1 additional rate to address unfunded


## Plan For Getting PERS 1 And TRS 1 Back On Track

- New funding method adopted in 2009
- Requires higher rates to address the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)
- Employer pays UAAL rates, no member payments to UAAL
- PERS 1 UAAL paid by PERS, SERS, and PSERS employers
- TRS 1 UAAL paid by TRS employers
- Phasing in higher UAAL rate requirements
- Full requirements began in 2015


## 2017-19 PFC Adopted Contribution Rates - Member

| Member Contribution Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| System | Current | Adopted 2017-19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Projected }^{2} \\ \text { 2019-21 } \end{gathered}$ |
| PERS ${ }^{3}$ | 6.12\% | 7.38\% | 8.19\% |
| TRS ${ }^{3}$ | 5.95\% | 7.06\% | 7.63\% |
| SERS ${ }^{3}$ | 5.63\% | 7.27\% | 8.15\% |
| PSERS | 6.59\% | 6.73\% | 6.98\% |
| LEOFF $2^{4}$ | 8.41\% | 8.75\% | 8.75\% |
| WSPRS | 6.84\% | 7.34\% | 7.34\% |

${ }^{1}$ Rates do not reflect any changes from the 2017 Legislative Session.
${ }^{2}$ Rates shown for 2019-21 are projections based on the results of the 2015 Actuarial Valuation. Actual results may vary.
${ }^{3}$ Plan 1 members' contribution rate is statutorily set at $6.0 \%$. Members in Plan 3 do not make contributions to their defined benefit.
${ }^{4}$ No member or employer contributions are required for LEOFF Plan 1 when the plan is fully funded.

## 2017-19 PFC Adopted Contribution Rates - Employer*

| Employer Contribution Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Adopted | Projected $^{2}$ |
| System | Current | $2017-19$ | $2019-21$ |
| PERS | $11.00 \%$ | $12.52 \%$ | $13.05 \%$ |
| TRS | $12.95 \%$ | $15.02 \%$ | $15.09 \%$ |
| SERS | $11.40 \%$ | $13.30 \%$ | $12.97 \%$ |
| PSERS | $11.36 \%$ | $11.76 \%$ | $11.80 \%$ |
| LEOFF 2 |  |  |  |
| WSPRS | $8.41 \%$ | $8.75 \%$ | $8.75 \%$ |
|  | $8.16 \%$ | $12.81 \%$ | $18.32 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Rates do not reflect any changes from the 2017 Legislative Session.
${ }^{2}$ Rates shown for 2019-21 are projections based on the results of the 2015 Actuarial Valuation. Actual results may vary.
${ }^{3}$ No member or employer contributions are required for LEOFF Plan 1 when the plan is fully funded.
*Excludes current administrative expense rate of 0.18\%.

## Conclusions For State Retirement Plans

- Among best funded systems nationally
- Future contribution increases expected
- 2019-21 rates reflect final phase-in step of increases resulting from new mortality assumption
- Creates short-term pressure on state and local government budgets
- Stay on track with the funding plan
- Funding shortfalls today increase future contribution requirements
- Regularly review assumptions used to model plan experience



## Questions On Funding The State Retirement Systems?

## GASB Financial Reporting Differs From Funding

- 7.5\% discount rate used for all plans
- Based on OSA's recommendation of long-term expected return on assets
- Currently under review
- Asset sufficiency test required to determine discount rate
- All plans passed test in 2016
- Accrued Liability compared to MVA
- Referred to as Total Pension Liability and Plan Fiduciary Net Position
- GASB 68: Employer share based on allocation percentage
- DRS publishes Participating Employer Financial Information (PEFI)


## GASB 67 Plan Level Financial Reporting

| GASB 67 Plan Level Financial Reporting* |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | PERS $2 / 3$ |  |
| a. Total Pension Liability (TPL)** | $\$ 35,518$ | $\$ 33,085$ |
| b. Plan Fiduciary Net Position (PFNP) | $\underline{30,483}$ | $\underline{29,512}$ |
| Net Pension Liability (a-b) | $\$ 5,035$ | $\$ 3,573$ |
| PFNP as a \% of TPL (b/a) | $85.82 \%$ | $89.20 \%$ |
| Pension Expense | $\$ 687$ | $\$ 423$ |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding.
*From DRS PEFI.
**Liabilities valued using EAN cost method.

## Other Post-Employment Benefit Reporting Rules Are Changing

- GASB 75 addresses employer level OPEB reporting
- Effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2017
- GASB workgroup meeting regularly to prepare for changes
- OSA to continue preparing "OPEB tool" on our website
- Less than 100 employees (active and inactive) provided with OPEB
- Discount rate equal to bond index that meets GASB criteria
- "Bond Buyer 20"
- June 30, 2017, rate used for beginning balance in FYE 2018 reporting
- Early June 2017, rate: 3.61\%
- Bond rate changes annually


## State Auditor's Office Contact for GASB 75 Questions

- Debra Burleson

E Email: debra.burleson@sao.wa.gov

- Phone: 253.593.2047, ext. 121



## Questions on GASB?

## Upcoming Special Projects At OSA

- Economic Experience Study (EES) and Recommendations on LongTerm Economic Assumptions
- Report and recommendations due in September
- Includes review and recommendations of long-term expected rate of return on plan assets, inflation, general salary growth, and growth in plan membership
- Report on Financial Condition
- In conjunction with the EES
- Focuses on health of pension systems



## Web Reports Allow User Interaction

- OSA has moved some elements from the Actuarial Valuation Report to our website
- Provides the ability to use different inputs to see how the results change
- Current reports include:
- Funded Status
- Future Value and Present Value of Benefit Payments
- New reports in the queue:
- Contribution Rates (expected 2017)
- Age/Service Distributions (expected 2018)


## Contacting The Office Of The State Actuary

E Website

- leg.wa.gov/OSA
- E-mail address
- state.actuary@leg.wa.gov
- Phone
- 360.786 .6140



## Questions?

## Appendix



## Historical CTF Investment Returns

| Investment Returns of the |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Commingled Trust Funds* |  |  |  |
| Year | ROR | Year | ROR |
| 1997 | $20.18 \%$ | 2007 | $21.33 \%$ |
| 1998 | $17.12 \%$ | 2008 | $(1.24 \%)$ |
| 1999 | $11.76 \%$ | 2009 | $(22.84 \%)$ |
| 2000 | $13.56 \%$ | 2010 | $13.22 \%$ |
| 2001 | $(6.75 \%)$ | 2011 | $21.14 \%$ |
| 2002 | $(5.15 \%)$ | 2012 | $1.40 \%$ |
| 2003 | $3.02 \%$ | 2013 | $12.36 \%$ |
| 2004 | $16.72 \%$ | 2014 | $18.89 \%$ |
| 2005 | $13.05 \%$ | 2015 | $4.93 \%$ |
| 2006 | $16.69 \%$ | 2016 | $2.65 \%$ |
| *Returns are calculated for fiscal years ending |  |  |  |
| June 30 as reported by DRS. |  |  |  |

## Funded Status By Plan At June 30, 2015

| Funded Status on an Actuarial Value Basis* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | PERS |  | TRS |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SERS } \\ & \text { Plan } 2 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | PSERS <br> Plan 2 | LEOFF |  | WSPRS | Total |
|  | Plan 1 | Plan 2/3 | Plan 1 | Plan 2/3 |  |  | Plan 1 | Plan 2 |  |  |
| Accrued Liability | \$12,553 | \$32,008 | \$9,107 | \$10,831 | \$4,381 | \$357 | \$4,307 | \$8,838 | \$1,093 | \$83,47 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | \$7,315 | \$28,292 | \$5,870 | \$9,953 | \$3,901 | \$338 | \$5,404 | \$9,320 | \$1,067 | \$71,460 |
| Unfunded Accrued Liability | \$5,239 | \$3,715 | \$3,237 | \$879 | \$481 | \$19 | $(\$ 1,097)$ | (\$482) | \$26 | \$12,01 |
| Funded Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 58\% | 88\% | 64\% | 92\% | 89\% | 95\% | 125\% | 105\% | 98\% |  |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding.
*Liabilities valued using the EAN cost method at an interest rate of $7.7 \%$ (7.5\% for LEOFF 2).

## Funded Status By Plan With -1\% Interest Rate Assumption

| Funded Status on an Actuarial Value Basis Liabilities Discounted @ Statutory Rate for Funding -1\%* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | PERS | TRS |  | SERS | PSERS | LEOFF |  | WSPRS | Total |
|  | Plan 1 Plan 2/3 | Plan 1 | Plan 2/3 |  | Plan 2 | Plan 1 | Plan 2 |  |  |
| Accrued Liability | \$13,631 \$36,983 | \$9,882 | \$12,630 | \$5,033 | \$435 | \$4,716 | \$10,299 | \$1,250 | \$94,859 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | \$7,315 \$28,292 | \$5,870 | \$9,953 | \$3,901 | \$338 | \$5,404 | \$9,320 | \$1,067 | \$71,460 |
| Unfunded Accrued Liability | \$6,316 \$8,691 | \$4,011 | \$2,677 | \$1,133 | \$97 | (\$688) | \$979 | \$182 | \$23,399 |
| Funded Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 54\% 77\% | 59\% | 79\% | 77\% | 78\% | 115\% | 90\% | 85\% | ) |
| Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Funded Status By Plan With +1\% Interest Rate Assumption

| Funded Status on an Actuarial Value Basis <br> Liabilities Discounted @ Statutory Rate for Funding +1\%* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Millions) | PERS | TRS | SERS | PSERS | LEOFF | WSPRS | Total |
|  | Plan 1 Plan 2/3 | Plan 1 Plan 2/3 | Plan 2/3 | Plan 2 | Plan 1 Plan 2 |  |  |
| Accrued Liability | \$11,622 \$27,936 | \$8,438 \$9,371 | \$3,845 | \$296 | \$3,957 \$7,649 | \$966 | \$74,080 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | \$7,315 \$28,292 | \$5,870 \$9,953 | \$3,901 | \$338 | \$5,404 \$9,320 | \$1,067 | \$71,460 |
| Unfunded Accrued Liability | \$4,307 (\$357) | \$2,568 (\$582) | (\$56) | (\$42) | $(\$ 1,447)(\$ 1,671)$ | (\$101) | \$2,620 |
| Funded Ratio |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 63\% 101\% | 70\% 106\% | 101\% | 114\% | 137\% 122\% | 110\% | ) |
| Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Liabilities valued us | the EAN cost meth | od at an interest r | f 7.7\% | 5\% for | OFF 2). |  |  |

## Components Of Pension Expense

| PERS 2/3 Components of Pension Expense* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in Thousands) | PERS 2/3 |  |
|  | 2016 | 2015 |
| Service Cost | 893,404 | 864,319 |
| Interest Cost | 2,506,824 | 2,302,454 |
| Amortization of |  |  |
| Differences in Experience | 59,770 | 111,711 |
| Changes of Assumptions | 16,686 | 1,693 |
| Differences in Investment Return | $(72,978)$ | $(372,376)$ |
| Changes in Benefit Terms | 0 | 0 |
| Employee Contributions | $(497,274)$ | $(374,825)$ |
| Expected Earnings on Plan Assets | $(2,222,428)$ | $(2,111,750)$ |
| Administrative Expenses | 759 | 581 |
| Other Changes | 2,103 | 1,617 |
| Total Pension Expense | 686,866 | 423,424 |

Note: Totals may not agree due to rounding.
*From DRS PEFI.
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