To listen to open session portions of the meeting in real time, dial (530) 621-7603. This specialized dial in number is programmed for listening only and is operable when the audio system inside the meeting room is activated. Please be advised that callers will experience silence anytime the Commission is not actively meeting, such as during Closed Session or break periods.

A translator will be available in Spanish, or in another language as determined to be necessary, if a request is made two full days in advance of the meeting. Un traductor del idioma español estará disponible.

The El Dorado County Transportation Commission is committed to ensuring that persons with disabilities are provided the resources to participate in its public meetings. Please contact the Executive Assistant if you require accommodation at 530.642.5260 or email jrice@edctc.org.

REVISED AGENDA (see added Agenda Item 9)
Regular Meeting
Thursday, November 1, 2018, 2:00 PM
(or immediately following the Transit meeting, if after 2:00)

NOTE: Members of the public may address any item on the agenda during consideration of that item.

CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ADOPTION OF AGENDA AND CONSENT CALENDAR

Commissioners or staff may request that an item be pulled from the Consent Calendar for discussion. Items requested to be removed from the Consent Calendar shall be removed if approved by the Commission. The Commission will make any necessary additions, deletions, or corrections to the agenda, determine matters to be added to, or removed from, the Consent Calendar.

CONSENT CALENDAR

1. MINUTES FOR THE SEPTEMBER 6, 2018 COMMISSION MEETING (RICE) PG 1
REQUESTED ACTION: The Secretary to the Commission requests approval of the Action Minutes for the September 6, 2018 Commission meeting.

2. AUGUST-SEPTEMBER 2018 CHECK REGISTER (THOMPSON) PG 6
REQUESTED ACTION: Receive and file the August-September 2018 Check Register.

3. OVERALL WORK PROGRAM BUDGET VS ACTUAL COMPARISON FISCAL YEAR 2017/2018 JULY-JUNE REPORT (THOMPSON) PG 8
REQUESTED ACTION: Receive and file the Overall Work Program Budget vs. Actual Comparison Fiscal Year 2017/2018 July-June Report.

4. SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2017/2018 EXCHANGE APPORTIONMENT AND FUNDING AGREEMENTS BETWEEN EDCTC AND EXCHANGE RECIPIENTS (THOMPSON) PG 12
REQUESTED ACTION:
1. Adopt Resolution 18/19.09 approving the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) FY 2017/2018 Exchange Apportionment and Allocation
2. Authorize the Executive Director to sign the 2017/18 Exchange Recipient Agreements between EDCTC and the City of Placerville and El Dorado County.

OPEN FORUM
At this time, any person may comment on any item that is not on the agenda that is within the jurisdiction of the Commission. Please voluntarily state your name for the record. Action will not be taken on any item that is not on the agenda. Items requiring action will be referred to staff and/or placed on the next meeting agenda. Please limit your comments to no more than three minutes.

BUSINESS ITEMS
5. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT: TRIENNIAL PERFORMANCE AUDIT (RICE) PG 27
   REQUESTED ACTION: Authorize the Executive Director to enter into a professional services agreement between EDCTC and LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc., to develop a Triennial Performance Audit for EDCTC and El Dorado County Transit Authority for a not-to-exceed amount of $30,000.

6. RATIFICATION OF THE STAKEHOLDER ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR THE WESTERN EL DORADO COUNTY SHORT- AND LONG-RANGE TRANSIT PLAN (BOLSTER) PG 29
   REQUESTED ACTION: Ratify the Western El Dorado County Short- and Long-Range Transit Plan Stakeholder Advisory Committee.

7. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT: US 50 RECREATION TRAVEL HOT SPOT TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT STUDY PLACERVILLE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT (DELORIA) PG 31
   REQUESTED ACTION: Authorize the Executive Director to enter into a professional services agreement between EDCTC and AIM Consulting to perform the scope of work included in the US 50 Recreation Travel Hot Spot Transportation Management Study Placerville Public Engagement, for a not to exceed amount of $40,000.

PRESENTATION
8. EL DORADO COUNTY AND CITY OF PLACERVILLE SENATE BILL 743 IMPLEMENTATION PLANS (DELORIA) PG 33
   REQUESTED ACTION: None. This item is for information only.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT

CALTRANS – COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

CEREMONIAL MATTER
9. PRESENTATION TO COMMISSIONER THOMAS
   A Certificate of Appreciation will be presented to outgoing Commissioner Thomas by Chair Ranalli.

ADJOURNMENT
The next regular meeting is scheduled for 2:00 PM on December 6, 2018 at 330 Fairlane, Placerville, California.
CONSENT CALENDAR

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 1, 2018
TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
FROM: JONI RICE, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT/SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION
SUBJECT: MINUTES FOR THE SEPTEMBER 6, 2018 COMMISSION MEETING

REQUESTED ACTION

The Secretary to the Commission requests approval of the Action Minutes for the September 6, 2018 Commission meeting.

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Attachment A: September 6, 2018 Minutes
CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
Chair Ranalli called the meeting to order at 2:00 PM and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

COMMISSIONER ATTENDANCE
PRESENT: Chair Ranalli and Commissioners Borelli, Frentzen, Alternate Acuna, and Ex Officio Kevin Yount representing Caltrans. ABSENT: Vice Chair Clerici and Commissioners Hidahl, Thomas, and Veerkamp.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA AND CONSENT CALENDAR
ACTION: Commissioner Acuna made a motion to adopt the agenda and approve or adopt all items on the Consent Calendar, as shown in agenda items 1-2 below. Commissioner Borelli seconded the motion which carried as follows:

MOTION/SECOND: Acuna/Borelli
AYES: Acuna, Borelli, Frentzen, Ranalli, Acuna on Consent Item 2.
ABSTAIN: Commissioner Acuna abstained from approving Consent Item 1, the Minutes, as he was not in attendance at that meeting.
NOES: None
ABSENT: Clerici, Hidahl, Thomas, Veerkamp

1. MINUTES FOR THE AUGUST 2, 2018 COMMISSION MEETING
REQUESTED ACTION: The Secretary to the Commission requested approval of the Action Minutes for the August 2, 2018 Commission meeting.

2. JULY 2018 CHECK REGISTER
REQUESTED ACTION: Receive and file the July 2018 Check Register.

OPEN FORUM
Public comment was received from Terry Kayes who also offered a written statement to the Commission.

BUSINESS ITEMS
3. FISCAL YEAR 2018/2019 OVERALL WORK PROGRAM, BUDGET, AND GOALS AND OBJECTIVES AMENDMENT #1
REQUESTED ACTION: Adopt Resolution 18/19.04 approving the Fiscal Year 2018/2019 Overall Work Program, Budget, and Goals and Objectives Amendment #1.

There was no public comment.
ACTION: Commissioner Frentzen made a motion to adopt the Resolution as requested. Commissioner Borelli seconded the motion which carried as follows:

MOTION/SECOND: Frentzen/Borelli
AYES: Acuna, Borelli, Frentzen, Ranalli
ABSTAIN: None
NOES: None
ABSENT: Clerici, Hidahl, Thomas, Veerkamp

4. PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT: WESTERN EL DORADO COUNTY SHORT- AND LONG-RANGE TRANSIT PLAN
REQUESTED ACTION: Authorize the Executive Director to enter into a professional services agreement between EDCTC and LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc., to develop the Western El Dorado County Short- and Long-Range Transit Plan for a not-to-exceed amount of $150,000.

Public comment was received from Terry Kayes.

ACTION: Commissioner Acuna made a motion to authorize the Agreement as requested. Commissioner Borelli seconded the motion which carried as follows:

MOTION/SECOND: Acuna/Borelli
AYES: Acuna, Borelli, Frentzen, Ranalli
ABSTAIN: None
NOES: None
ABSENT: Clerici, Hidahl, Thomas, Veerkamp

5. RATIFICATION OF THE STAKEHOLDER ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR THE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLANS
REQUESTED ACTION: Ratify the Stakeholder Advisory Committee for the El Dorado County and City of Placerville Active Transportation Plans.

There was no public comment.

ACTION: Commissioner Acuna made a motion to ratify the Committee as requested. Commissioner Borelli seconded the motion which carried as follows:

MOTION/SECOND: Acuna/Borelli
AYES: Acuna, Borelli, Frentzen, Ranalli
ABSTAIN: None
NOES: None
ABSENT: Clerici, Hidahl, Thomas, Veerkamp

6. CONGESTION MITIGATION AND AIR QUALITY FUNDS DE-PROGRAMMING
REQUESTED ACTION: Adopt Resolution 18/19.05 approving the de-programming of $206,205 in Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality funds from the El Dorado County Air Quality Management District to be returned to EDCTC for future programming.

Public comment was received from Terry Kayes.

ACTION: Commissioner Borelli made a motion to adopt the Resolution as requested. Commissioner Acuna seconded the motion which carried as follows:
MOTION/SECOND: Borelli/Acuna  
AYES: Acuna, Borelli, Frentzen, Ranalli  
ABSTAIN: None  
NOES: None  
ABSENT: Clerici, Hidahl, Thomas, Veerkamp

7. **Urgent Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Funds Programming – Apple Hill Traffic Flow Improvements and Shuttle Support Pilot Project**

Adopt Resolution 18/19.06 approving urgent programming of $180,000 in Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality funds to El Dorado County Community Development Services, Department of Transportation for traffic control services related to Apple Hill Traffic Flow Improvements and Shuttle Support Pilot Project.

Public comment was received from Terry Kayes.

**ACTION:** Commissioner Frentzen made a motion to adopt the Resolution as requested. Commissioner Borelli seconded the motion which carried as follows:

MOTION/SECOND: Frentzen/Borelli  
AYES: Acuna, Borelli, Frentzen, Ranalli  
ABSTAIN: None  
NOES: None  
ABSENT: Clerici, Hidahl, Thomas, Veerkamp

8. **Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Project Scope Change – Silva Valley Parkway Bikeway Project**

REQUESTED ACTION: Adopt Resolution 18/19.07 approving a change in project scope for the Silva Valley Parkway Bikeway, Harvard Way to Green Valley Road Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Project.

There was no public comment.

**ACTION:** Commissioner Acuna made a motion to adopt the Resolution as requested. Commissioner Borelli seconded the motion which carried as follows:

MOTION/SECOND: Acuna/Borelli  
AYES: Acuna, Borelli, Frentzen, Ranalli  
ABSTAIN: None  
NOES: None  
ABSENT: Clerici, Hidahl, Thomas, Veerkamp

9. **Transportation Development Act Local Transportation Funds Pedestrian/Bicycle Funds Programming**

REQUESTED ACTION: Adopt Resolution 18/19.08 programming $171,821 in Transportation Development Act Local Transportation Funds, Pedestrian/Bicycle Funds to El Dorado County to serve as matching funds for Regional Active Transportation Program request through Sacramento area Council of Governments.

There was no public comment.

**ACTION:** Commissioner Acuna made a motion to adopt the Resolution as requested. Commissioner Borelli seconded the motion which carried as follows:
MOTION/SECOND: Acuna/Borelli
AYES: Acuna, Borelli, Frentzen, Ranalli
ABSTAIN: None
NOES: None
ABSENT: Clerici, Hidahl, Thomas, Veerkamp

PRESENTATION

10. **SEPTEMBER 2018 PROJECT MONITORING REPORT**
    REQUESTED ACTION: None. This item was for information only.

    Public comment was received from Terry Kayse.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

CALTRANS – COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 3:46 PM.

The next regular meeting was scheduled for 2:00 PM on October 4, 2018 at 330 Fairlane, Placerville, California. The October 4 meeting was subsequently cancelled.
CONSENT CALENDAR

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 1, 2018
TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
FROM: KAREN THOMPSON, ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OFFICER
SUBJECT: AUGUST-SEPTEMBER 2018 CHECK REGISTER

REQUESTED ACTION
Receive and file the August-September 2018 Check Register (Attachment A).

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION
The attached check listing includes six payments that merit further explanation:

Check # 8318 – Extreme Towing ................................................................. $11,254.55
July and August professional services for the Freeway Service Patrol Program, Work Element 130. The contract with Extreme Towing was approved at the May 5, 2016 EDCTC meeting.

Check # 8337 – Extreme Towing ................................................................. $11,667.80
July and August professional services for the Freeway Service Patrol Program, Work Element 130. The contract with Extreme Towing was approved at the May 5, 2016 EDCTC meeting.

Check # 8328 – SACOG ................................................................. $99,360.91
EDCTC contributes annually to SACOG for their federal planning and programming effort. This payment is for fiscal year 2018/2019. Per EDCTC’s MOU with SACOG, the amount is 2% of the annual apportionment of Transportation Development Act/Local Transportation Funds.

Check # 8330 – Fehr & Peers ................................................................. $3,707.00
July professional services for the SB 743 Implementation Plans, Work Element 201. The contract with Fehr & Peers was approved at the March 1, 2018 EDCTC meeting.

Check # 8334 – Alta Planning & Design ................................................................. $2,719.60
July and August professional services for the El Dorado County and City of Placerville Active Transportation Plans, Work Element 231. This contract was approved at the June 7, 2018 EDCTC meeting.

Check # 8338 – Fehr & Peers ................................................................. $1,406.28
July professional services for the County Line Multi-Modal Transit Center Study, Work Element 229. The contract with Fehr & Peers was approved at the November 2, 2017 EDCTC meeting.

Approved for Agenda:

_____________________________
Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Attachment A: August-September 2018 Check Register
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Num</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Payment</th>
<th>Memo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>08/01/2018</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>ICMA 457</td>
<td>1,636.53</td>
<td>Employee 457 Contributions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/01/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>CalPERS Retirement System</td>
<td>2,790.47</td>
<td>August 2018 Contrib #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/01/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Benefit Coordinators Corporation</td>
<td>208.46</td>
<td>August 2018 Life/Disability Premiums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/01/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>CalPERS Health</td>
<td>6,491.34</td>
<td>August 2018 Health Premiums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/01/2018</td>
<td>8314</td>
<td>Premier Access Insurance Company</td>
<td>671.63</td>
<td>August 2018 Dental/Vision Premiums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/09/2018</td>
<td>8315</td>
<td>Century Building Maintenance</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>July 2018 Building Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/09/2018</td>
<td>8316 Terrie Y. Prod'hon, CPA</td>
<td>34.72</td>
<td>July 2018 Accounting Oversight</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/13/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Cardmember Service - Visa JB</td>
<td>120.00</td>
<td>Poll Everywhere annual subscription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/13/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Cardmember Service - Visa JR</td>
<td>44.75</td>
<td>July 2018 Office Exp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/13/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Cardmember Services-Visa WD</td>
<td>95.45</td>
<td>CTC &amp; Caltrans Meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/15/2018</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>ICMA 457</td>
<td>1,636.53</td>
<td>Employee 457 Contributions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/15/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>CalPERS Retirement System</td>
<td>2,977.69</td>
<td>Aug 2018 Contrib #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/20/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>QuickBooks Payroll Service</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>July 2018 Payroll Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/21/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>631.31</td>
<td>July 2018 Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/21/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Umpqua Bank</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>ACH Fee 7/3 7/18 7/31 ICMA and SAFE FSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/21/2018</td>
<td>8317</td>
<td>Cal.net</td>
<td>64.92</td>
<td>September 2018 Internet Service Provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/21/2018</td>
<td>8318 Extreme Towing</td>
<td>11,254.55</td>
<td>July 2018 Freeway Service Patrol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/21/2018</td>
<td>8319</td>
<td>Mountain Democrat</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>Public Notice 8/1/10 Triennial Perf Audit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/27/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>National Access LD</td>
<td>32.58</td>
<td>August 2018 Long Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/27/2018</td>
<td>8320</td>
<td>Ameritas Life Insurance Corp.</td>
<td>614.00</td>
<td>September 2018 Dental/Vision Premiums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/27/2018</td>
<td>8321 AT&amp;T</td>
<td>137.02</td>
<td>August 2018 Office Phone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/27/2018</td>
<td>8322 Carbon Copy</td>
<td>31.08</td>
<td>August 2018 Copy Machine Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/27/2018</td>
<td>8323 EDC Department Heads Association</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td>DHA Dues-Woodrow Deloria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/27/2018</td>
<td>8324 Rimrock Water Company</td>
<td>55.62</td>
<td>July 2018 Water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/27/2018</td>
<td>8325 RTS IT, Inc.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>VOID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/27/2018</td>
<td>8326 Steele Building Offices</td>
<td>4,517.00</td>
<td>September 2018 Office Rent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/27/2018</td>
<td>8327 RTS IT, Inc.</td>
<td>552.50</td>
<td>September 2018 ITCare Silver Service Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/29/2018</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>ICMA 457</td>
<td>1,636.53</td>
<td>Employee 457 Contributions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/29/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>CalPERS Retirement System</td>
<td>2,977.69</td>
<td>August 2018 Contrib #3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/01/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Benefit Coordinators Corporation</td>
<td>208.46</td>
<td>September 2018 Life/Disability Premiums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/04/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>CalPERS Fiscal Services Division</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>2017/18 GASB-68 Reports &amp; Schedules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/04/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>CalPERS Health</td>
<td>6,491.34</td>
<td>September 2018 Health Premiums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/06/2018</td>
<td>8328 SACOG</td>
<td>99,360.91</td>
<td>FY2018/19 Payment per MOU</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Century Building Maintenance</td>
<td>450.00</td>
<td>August 2018 Building Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/2018</td>
<td>8330 Fehr &amp; Peers</td>
<td>3,707.00</td>
<td>July 2018 SB743 Implementation Plans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/2018</td>
<td>8331 Self-Help Counties Coalition</td>
<td>550.00</td>
<td>Focus on the Future Conference Woodrow Deloria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Cardmember Service - Visa JB</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>SACOG Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Cardmember Service - Visa JR</td>
<td>730.46</td>
<td>August 2018 Office Exp/Replace Projector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Cardmember Service - Visa KB</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>Parking Calpers Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Cardmember Services-Visa WD</td>
<td>883.33</td>
<td>CTC &amp; SACOG Meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>De Lage Landen Financial Services</td>
<td>193.05</td>
<td>August 2018 Copy Machine Lease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/12/2018</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>ICMA 457</td>
<td>1,636.53</td>
<td>Employee 457 Contributions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/12/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>CalPERS Retirement System</td>
<td>2,977.69</td>
<td>September 2018 Contrib #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/13/2018</td>
<td>8332 Gold Trail Grange #452</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>Hall Rental 9/20/18 Coloma Comm Mobility Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/13/2018</td>
<td>8333 Gold Trail Grange #452</td>
<td>80.00</td>
<td>Hall Rental 10/3/18 Coloma Comm Mobility Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/19/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>QuickBooks Payroll Service</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>August 2018 Payroll Fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/20/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>Umpqua Bank</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>ACH Fee Aug 1 &amp; Aug 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>8334 Alta Planning &amp; Design</td>
<td>2,719.60</td>
<td>July-August 2018 Active Transportation Plan Update</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>8335 Cal.net</td>
<td>64.92</td>
<td>October 2018 Internet Service Provider</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>8336 Carbon Copy</td>
<td>52.47</td>
<td>September 2018 Copy Machine Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>8337 Extreme Towing</td>
<td>11,667.80</td>
<td>August 2018 Freeway Service Patrol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>8338 Fehr &amp; Peers</td>
<td>1,406.28</td>
<td>July 2018 County Line Transit Center Study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>8339 RTS IT, Inc.</td>
<td>552.50</td>
<td>October 2018 ITCare Silver Service Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>8340 Sierra Office Supply &amp; Printing</td>
<td>191.13</td>
<td>August 2018 Office Supplies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>8341 Steele Building Offices</td>
<td>4,517.00</td>
<td>October 2018 Office Rent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>De Lage Landen Financial Services</td>
<td>193.05</td>
<td>September 2018 Copy Machine Lease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>De Lage Landen Financial Services</td>
<td>44.54</td>
<td>Annual Property Tax for Leased Copy Machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>National Access LD</td>
<td>32.54</td>
<td>September 2018 Long Distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/24/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>488.32</td>
<td>August 2018 Utilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/26/2018</td>
<td>ACH</td>
<td>ICMA 457</td>
<td>1,636.53</td>
<td>Employee 457 Contributions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/26/2018</td>
<td>EFT</td>
<td>CalPERS Retirement System</td>
<td>2,977.69</td>
<td>September 2018 Contrib #2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total 183,981.76
CONSENT CALENDAR

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 1, 2018
TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
FROM: KAREN THOMPSON, ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OFFICER
SUBJECT: OVERALL WORK PROGRAM BUDGET VS. ACTUAL COMPARISON FISCAL YEAR 2017/2018 JULY-JUNE REPORT

REQUESTED ACTION

Receive and file the Overall Work Program Budget vs. Actual Comparison Fiscal Year (FY) 2017/2018 July-June Report (Attachment A).

BACKGROUND

This budget vs. actual comparison is for July 1-June 30 of fiscal year 2017/2018. The purpose of this report is to provide information relative to the financial position of the agency and to compare the budgeted revenues and expenditures to the actual for the fiscal year by work element.

DISCUSSION

This report shows the Fiscal Year 2017/2018 OWP budget vs. actual expenditures by work element.

A summary of the Commission’s total funds on hand, disbursements, and receipts for FY 2017/2018 are provided in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year Cash Balances</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Funds Money Market and Checking Account Balances at July 1, 2017</td>
<td>$1,612,304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receipts</td>
<td>$1,778,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements</td>
<td>$1,921,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Funds Money Market and Checking Account Balances at June 30, 2018</td>
<td>$1,469,124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director
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## OWP Budget vs. Actual Comparison FY 2017/2018 July-June

**Agenda Item 3A**

### Income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget 50</th>
<th>Actual 50</th>
<th>Budget 100</th>
<th>Actual 100</th>
<th>Budget 110</th>
<th>Actual 110</th>
<th>Budget 112</th>
<th>Actual 112</th>
<th>Budget 120</th>
<th>Actual 120</th>
<th>Budget 125</th>
<th>Actual 125</th>
<th>Budget 130</th>
<th>Actual 130</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Transportation Funds (LTF)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,020.99</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,910.27</td>
<td>163.87</td>
<td>640.17</td>
<td>44,118.54</td>
<td>45,082.04</td>
<td>15,849.62</td>
<td>9,259.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Transportation Funds (LTF-Transit)</td>
<td>57,887.52</td>
<td>57,887.52</td>
<td>114,180.12</td>
<td>114,180.12</td>
<td>10,939.57</td>
<td>10,939.57</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIP Planning, Programming &amp; Monitoring (PPM)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Transp Block Grant Prog (STBGP)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Land Use Commission Fees</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Communities-FTA 5304</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership Planning Grants</td>
<td>9,550.65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Transportation Grant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeway Service Patrol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,550.65</td>
<td>57,887.52</td>
<td>59,908.51</td>
<td>114,180.12</td>
<td>121,090.39</td>
<td>11,103.44</td>
<td>11,579.74</td>
<td>44,118.54</td>
<td>45,082.04</td>
<td>15,849.62</td>
<td>9,659.03</td>
<td>144,966.70</td>
<td>149,177.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income / Misc Income</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td>9,550.65</td>
<td>57,887.52</td>
<td>59,908.51</td>
<td>114,180.12</td>
<td>121,090.39</td>
<td>11,103.44</td>
<td>11,579.74</td>
<td>44,118.54</td>
<td>45,082.04</td>
<td>15,849.62</td>
<td>9,659.03</td>
<td>144,966.70</td>
<td>149,177.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Expense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget 50</th>
<th>Actual 50</th>
<th>Budget 100</th>
<th>Actual 100</th>
<th>Budget 110</th>
<th>Actual 110</th>
<th>Budget 112</th>
<th>Actual 112</th>
<th>Budget 120</th>
<th>Actual 120</th>
<th>Budget 125</th>
<th>Actual 125</th>
<th>Budget 130</th>
<th>Actual 130</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Employee/ Benefits</td>
<td>177,282.18</td>
<td>181,177.36</td>
<td>36,193.56</td>
<td>37,456.87</td>
<td>73,005.88</td>
<td>1,355.79</td>
<td>2,159.59</td>
<td>16,757.06</td>
<td>17,525.83</td>
<td>6,783.61</td>
<td>6,039.17</td>
<td>14,297.16</td>
<td>17,150.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Employee</td>
<td>310.00</td>
<td>309.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Lease &amp; Utilities</td>
<td>63,348.00</td>
<td>62,774.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expense</td>
<td>35,130.00</td>
<td>31,135.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,875.00</td>
<td>4,324.79</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>350.00</td>
<td>83.71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>34,232.50</td>
<td>23,062.27</td>
<td>40,965.28</td>
<td>43,759.72</td>
<td>8,935.00</td>
<td>7,134.92</td>
<td>16,967.50</td>
<td>16,967.50</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>3,619.86</td>
<td>120,600.00</td>
<td>120,288.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Cost Allocation</td>
<td>(290,215.52)</td>
<td>(290,604.77)</td>
<td>21,693.96</td>
<td>22,451.64</td>
<td>40,965.28</td>
<td>43,759.72</td>
<td>8,935.00</td>
<td>7,134.92</td>
<td>16,967.50</td>
<td>16,967.50</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>3,619.86</td>
<td>120,600.00</td>
<td>120,288.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Costs Carryover from Prior Year</td>
<td>(12,087.16)</td>
<td>(12,087.16)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest-Indirect Credit</td>
<td>(8,000.00)</td>
<td>(8,000.00)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expense</strong></td>
<td>144,966.70</td>
<td>149,177.55</td>
<td>60.9%</td>
<td>102.9%</td>
<td>102.2%</td>
<td>104.3%</td>
<td>106.1%</td>
<td>103.5%</td>
<td>102.9%</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
<td>144,966.70</td>
<td>149,177.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OWP Budget vs. Actual Expenses</th>
<th>103.5%</th>
<th>106.1%</th>
<th>104.3%</th>
<th>102.2%</th>
<th>66.9%</th>
<th>102.9%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
## OWP Budget vs. Actual Comparison FY 2017/2018 July-June

### Regional Transportation Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget 200</th>
<th>Actual 200</th>
<th>Budget 201</th>
<th>Actual 201</th>
<th>Budget 221</th>
<th>Actual 221</th>
<th>Budget 229</th>
<th>Actual 229</th>
<th>Budget 231</th>
<th>Actual 231</th>
<th>Budget 236</th>
<th>Actual 236</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Transportation Funds (LTF)</td>
<td>87,502.69</td>
<td>87,502.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26,055.72</td>
<td>32,242.83</td>
<td>15,205.13</td>
<td>5,306.48</td>
<td>10,012.87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Planning Assistance (RPA)</td>
<td>93,406.49</td>
<td>93,406.49</td>
<td>50,300.10</td>
<td>11,051.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Communities-FTA 5304</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership Planning Grants</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Transportation Grant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeway Service Patrol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income / Misc Income</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Income</td>
<td>181,339.04</td>
<td>185,959.49</td>
<td>89,300.10</td>
<td>18,934.28</td>
<td>26,055.72</td>
<td>32,242.83</td>
<td>128,205.13</td>
<td>51,519.12</td>
<td>127,650.04</td>
<td>6,947.76</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Expense

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget 200</th>
<th>Actual 200</th>
<th>Budget 201</th>
<th>Actual 201</th>
<th>Budget 221</th>
<th>Actual 221</th>
<th>Budget 229</th>
<th>Actual 229</th>
<th>Budget 231</th>
<th>Actual 231</th>
<th>Budget 236</th>
<th>Actual 236</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Employees/Benefits</td>
<td>58,428.08</td>
<td>61,538.82</td>
<td>5,814.81</td>
<td>4,323.71</td>
<td>16,291.06</td>
<td>20,156.93</td>
<td>11,382.56</td>
<td>9,273.74</td>
<td>17,287.90</td>
<td>4,343.98</td>
<td>14,341.72</td>
<td>795.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Employee</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Lease &amp; Utilities</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Expense</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>31.61</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>37.66</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Services</td>
<td>87,502.69</td>
<td>87,502.69</td>
<td>80,000.00</td>
<td>12,018.93</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110,000.00</td>
<td>36,649.63</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>130,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Cost Allocation</td>
<td>35,016.27</td>
<td>36,886.37</td>
<td>3,485.29</td>
<td>2,591.64</td>
<td>9,764.66</td>
<td>12,082.08</td>
<td>5,558.69</td>
<td>12,082.14</td>
<td>2,603.79</td>
<td>8,596.28</td>
<td>1,706.51</td>
<td>455.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Costs Carryover from Prior Year</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expense</td>
<td>181,339.04</td>
<td>185,959.49</td>
<td>89,300.10</td>
<td>18,934.28</td>
<td>26,055.72</td>
<td>32,242.83</td>
<td>128,205.13</td>
<td>51,519.12</td>
<td>127,650.04</td>
<td>6,947.77</td>
<td>152,938.00</td>
<td>4,553.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### OWP Budget vs. Actual Expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budget 200</th>
<th>Actual 200</th>
<th>Budget 201</th>
<th>Actual 201</th>
<th>Budget 221</th>
<th>Actual 221</th>
<th>Budget 229</th>
<th>Actual 229</th>
<th>Budget 231</th>
<th>Actual 231</th>
<th>Budget 236</th>
<th>Actual 236</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Year Retention</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Year Retention</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Region Specifics

- **WE 200**
- **WE 201**
- **WE 221**
- **WE 229**
- **WE 231**
- **WE 232**
- **WE 236**

**Income**

- Local Transportation Funds (LTF)
- Local Transportation Funds (LTF-Transit)
- Rural Planning Assistance (RPA)
- STIP Planning, Programing & Monitoring (PPM)
- Surface Transp Block Grant Prog (STBGP)
- Sustainable Communities-FTA 5304
- Partnership Planning Grants
- Active Transportation Grant
- Freeway Service Patrol
- Interest Income / Misc Income

** Expense**

- Permanent Employees/Benefits
- Temporary Employee
- Building Lease & Utilities
- Office Expense
- Professional Services
- Indirect Cost Allocation
- Indirect Costs Carryover from Prior Year
- Interest-Indirect Credit

**Total Expense**

- 181,339.04
- 185,959.49
- 89,300.10
- 18,934.28
- 26,055.72
- 32,242.83
- 128,205.13
- 51,519.12
- 127,650.04
- 6,947.77
- 152,938.00
- 4,553.54
- 5,314.56
- 4,376.89
- 3,038.00
- 501.77

**OWP Budget vs. Actual Expenses**

- 182.5%
- 22.7%
- 123.7%
- 43.4%
- 5.4%
- 3.8%
- 82.4%
### OWP Budget vs. Actual Comparison FY 2017/2018 July-June

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WE 300</th>
<th>WE 310</th>
<th>WE 330</th>
<th>WE 400</th>
<th>WE 410</th>
<th>OWP Total</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>State &amp; Federal Programming</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>Actual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Transportation Funds (LTF)</td>
<td>68,101.13</td>
<td>76,233.57</td>
<td>44,308.51</td>
<td>46,194.55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,857.94</td>
<td>60,752.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Transportation Funds (LTF-Transit)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Planning Assistance (RPA)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64,870.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIP Planning, Programing &amp; Monitoring (PPM)</td>
<td>33,779.16</td>
<td>37,261.66</td>
<td>40,273.72</td>
<td>40,273.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Transp Block Grant Prog (STBGP)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14,867.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Land Use Commission Fees</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>6,027.74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainable Communities-FTA 5304</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership Planning Grants</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active Transportation Grant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeway Service Patrol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income / Misc Income</td>
<td>2,500.00</td>
<td>2,638.72</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td>101,880.29</td>
<td>113,495.23</td>
<td>84,582.23</td>
<td>86,468.27</td>
<td>56,234.78</td>
<td>60,988.75</td>
<td>64,870.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Expense** |        |        |        |        |        |           |       |
| Permanent Employees/Benefits | 61,198.60 | 69,632.62 | 52,133.84 | 53,279.48 | 34,785.04 | 36,022.82 | 40,403.44 | 42,321.23 | 29,851.17 | 29,120.68 | 663,680.81 | 668,525.36 |
| Temporary Employee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 310.00 | 309.72 |
| Building Lease & Utilities | 63,348.00 | 62,774.06 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Office Expense | 4,000.00 | 2,124.81 | 1,253.06 | 1,253.06 | 600.00 | 373.85 | 250.00 | 40.13 | 12,500.00 | 12,589.37 | - | - |
| Professional Services | 3,000.00 | 3,000.00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 616.52 | 616.52 |
| Indirect Cost Allocation | 36,681.69 | 41,737.80 | 31,248.39 | 31,935.73 | 20,849.74 | 21,592.08 | 24,217.31 | 25,367.35 | 16,566.55 | 16,130.27 | - | - |
| Indirect Costs Carryover from Prior Year | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (0.02) | (0.02) |
| Interest-Indirect Credit | (8,000.00) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Total Expense** | 101,880.29 | 113,495.23 | 84,582.23 | 86,468.27 | 56,234.78 | 60,988.75 | 64,870.77 | 67,728.71 | 60,752.72 | 56,382.92 | 1,470,479.32 | 1,096,583.60 |

| **Current Year Retention** | 111.4% | 102.2% | 108.5% | 104.4% | 92.8% | 111.5% | 78.8% | 100% of FY Complete |
| **Prior Year Retention** | 6,200.40 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Public Education & Outreach* | 111.5% | 111.4% | 108.5% | 104.4% | 92.8% | 111.5% | 78.8% | 100% of FY Complete |
CONSENT CALENDAR

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 1, 2018
TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
FROM: KAREN THOMPSON, ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OFFICER
SUBJECT: SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2017/18 EXCHANGE APPORTIONMENT AND FUNDING AGREEMENTS BETWEEN EDCTC AND EXCHANGE RECIPIENTS

REQUESTED ACTION

1. Adopt Resolution 18/19.09 (Attachment A) approving the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) FY 2017/18 Exchange Apportionment and Allocation (Attachment B).
2. Authorize the Executive Director to sign the 2017/18 Exchange Recipient Agreements between EDCTC and the City of Placerville (Attachment C) and El Dorado County (Attachment D).

BACKGROUND

The Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) was established by California State Statute utilizing Surface Transportation Program Funds that are identified in Section 133 of Title 23 of the United States Code. The State of California allows smaller counties to exchange their apportionment of federal STBGP funds for State Highway Account funds.

DISCUSSION

The El Dorado County Transportation Commission (EDCTC) distributes the STBGP funds to the City of Placerville and El Dorado County as part of its responsibilities as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for the Western Slope of El Dorado County. The funds are allocated according to the formula-based distribution policy approved February 3, 2011 with Resolution 10/11.16 (Attachment E).

EDCTC executes agreements between EDCTC and the STBGP Exchange recipients to provide contract management and oversight of the program funds.

The City of Placerville programmed their 2017/18 exchange appropriation to the Mosquito Road Overlay project. El Dorado County programmed their 2017/18 exchange appropriation as a match to the U.S. 50 Camino Safety Project and the bridge projects at Newtown Road/South Fork Weber Creek, Green Valley Road/Mound Springs Creek, and Green Valley Road/Indian Creek.

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Attachments: A) EDCTC STBGP Resolution 18/19.09  
B) 2017/18 STBGP Exchange Apportionment and Allocation  
C) City of Placerville FY 2017/18 STBGP Exchange Recipient Agreement  
D) El Dorado County FY 2017/18 STBGP Exchange Recipient Agreement  
E) EDCTC Resolution 10/11.16 RRSTP Policy
RESOLUTION 18/19.09

RESOLUTION OF THE EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
APPROVING THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 2017/18 EXCHANGE APPORTIONMENT AND RECIPIENT
FUNDING AGREEMENTS

WHEREAS, pursuant to California Government Code, Title 7.95, Section 67950, the El Dorado County Transportation Commission (EDCTC) was created as a local planning agency to provide regional transportation planning for the western slope of El Dorado County; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 29532.1(g), identifies EDCTC as the designated regional transportation planning agency for El Dorado County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and is responsible for the planning, allocating and/or programming of funds; and

WHEREAS, the El Dorado County Transportation Commission, as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency, distributes the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) funds using the formula based distribution policy adopted February 3, 2011 after an agreement is executed between EDCTC and the STBGP recipient; and

WHEREAS, the City of Placerville has executed the STBGP Exchange Recipient Agreement programming the funding to the Mosquito Road Overlay project; and

WHEREAS, El Dorado County has executed the STBGP Exchange Recipient Agreement programming the funding to the U.S. 50 Camino Safety project, the Green Valley Rd/Mound Creek Bridge project, the Green Valley Rd/Indian Creek Bridge project, and the Newtown Road Bridge project.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the El Dorado County Transportation Commission make the following apportionment and allocations for FY 2017/18 of $782,237:

1. To the El Dorado County Transportation Commission for Overall Work Program, including planning, programming, project monitoring, and matching grant funds in the amount of $78,224.
2. To the City of Placerville for eligible STBGP use in the amount of $200,000.
3. To El Dorado County for eligible STBGP use in the amount of $504,013.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Executive Director is authorized to sign the Agreements between EDCTC and the City of Placerville and El Dorado County.

PASSED AND ADOPTED, by the El Dorado County Transportation Commission at their regular meeting on November 1, 2018 by the following vote:

Vote Pending

Attest:

Michael Ranalli, Chairperson                               Joni G. Rice, Secretary to the Commission
### SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM (RURAL STBGP EXCHANGE)

#### FY 2017/18 APPORTIONMENT AND ALLOCATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado County West Slope STBGP FY 2017/18 Allocation</td>
<td>782,237.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Balance Available for Apportionment</td>
<td>782,237.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### FY 2017/18 ALLOCATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDCTC Rural STBGP Planning and Programming (10% of Rural STBGP Exchange Funds)</td>
<td>78,224.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Priority Project</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal</td>
<td>78,224.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Remaining Balance Available for Formulaic Distribution to:
- EDC Department of Transportation and City of Placerville: 704,013.00

- City of Placerville - 19.2% (9.6% of Eligible Road Miles x 2) of Available Balance or $200,000 (whichever is greater): 200,000.00

- EDC Department of Transportation - 80.8% of Available Balance or Balance of Remaining Funds: 504,013.00

#### Total City of Placerville & El Dorado County Allocations: 704,013.00

#### Total All Allocations: 782,237.00
AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE
EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
AND CITY OF PLACERVILLE FOR FY 2017/18
SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM
EXCHANGE FUNDS

This agreement is made on August 30, 2018, by and between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission, hereinafter referred to as “EDCTC,” and City of Placerville, hereinafter referred to as “STBGP Exchange Recipient.”

WHEREAS, as authorized by section 182.6(g) of the Streets and Highways Code, EDCTC has entered into agreement X18-6157(064) with the State of California, through the Department of Transportation (Caltrans), to assign a defined portion of its annual Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) apportionment to Caltrans in exchange for fiscal year (FY) 2017/18 nonfederal State Highway Account funds; and

WHEREAS, EDCTC is authorized to use these exchanged funds (hereinafter STBGP Exchange Funds) to assist local agencies to promote projects which otherwise qualify for STBGP funds; and

WHEREAS, EDCTC is required to execute formal written agreements between EDCTC and STBGP Exchange Recipients and provide oversight of the program funds; and

WHEREAS, the EDCTC received the FY 2017/18 STBGP Exchange funds on June 14, 2018 which will be paid to the City of Placerville per the formula based distribution policy adopted by the EDCTC on February 3, 2011 after approval by the El Dorado County Transportation Commission and execution of this agreement; and

WHEREAS, EDCTC is implementing this agreement and Exhibit A to perform contract management and oversight of the STBGP Exchange Funds; and

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, THE PARTIES HERETO AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to use the funds only for the STBGP Exchange eligible project(s) described in Exhibit A.

2. The projects described in Exhibit A, and the amounts allocated therefore, may be amended from time to time without changing the rest of this Agreement, by means of approval by the EDCTC Board of a revised Exhibit A, which shall be designated by a date and number (e.g., “Exhibit A-1 (DATE)”).

3. The STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to mention EDCTC’s role in funding the project in any press release or media event held by the STBGP Exchange Recipient at the beginning and completion of the construction phase of the STBGP funded project.

4. EDCTC agrees to allocate all of these Funds only for those projects implemented by cities, counties, and other STBGP Eligible agencies as are authorized under Article XIX of the
California State Constitution, in accordance with the requirements of Section 182.6(d)(1) of the Streets and Highways Code.

5. The STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to submit a status report to EDCTC by April 30 each year with the details of the STBGP funding by project on Exhibit A.

6. STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to establish a special account within its Special Gas Tax Fund for the purpose of depositing therein all payments received from EDCTC pursuant to this agreement.

7. The STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to have a City Council approved budget appropriation of the STBGP Exchange project(s) within three years of the date of this agreement. The expiration date of these funds will be five years from the date funds were allocated by the EDCTC. Failure to complete the STBGP Exchange project(s) within the five years shall allow EDCTC to request repayment from the STBGP Exchange Recipient and reprogram such Exchange Funds for other purposes.

8. COST PRINCIPLES
   A. EDCTC and STBGP Exchange Recipient agree to comply with Office of Management and Budget Supercircular 2 CFR 200, Cost Principles for State and Local Government and the Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments.

   B. STBGP Exchange Recipients will be obligated to agree that (A) Contract Cost Principles and Procedures, 48 CFR, Federal Acquisition Regulations System, Chapter 1, Part 31, Et Seq., shall be used to determine the allowability of individual project cost items and (B) STBGP Exchange Recipients shall comply with Federal Administrative Procedures in accordance with 2 CFR 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements To State And Local Governments. Every sub-recipient receiving funds as a contractor or sub-contractor under this agreement shall comply with Federal administrative procedures in accordance with 2 CFR 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments.

   C. Any Fund expenditures for costs which STBGP Exchange Recipient has received payment or credit that are determined by subsequent audit to be unallowable under Office of Management and Budget Supercircular 2 CFR 200 are subject to repayment to EDCTC and Caltrans. The implementation of the Supercircular will cancel 49 CFR Part 18.

9. THIRD PARTY CONTRACTING
   A. STBGP Exchange Recipient shall not award a construction contract over $10,000 or other contracts over $25,000 on the basis of a noncompetitive negotiation for work to be performed using Funds without the prior written approval of Caltrans. This provision shall not apply to professional service contracts of the type which are required to be procured in accordance with Government Code Sections 4525 (d), (e), and (f).
B. STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees that any subcontract or agreement entered into by STBGP Exchange Recipient using Funds received pursuant to this Agreement shall contain all of the fiscal provisions of this Agreement; and shall mandate that travel and per diem reimbursements and third-party contract reimbursements to subcontractors will be allowable as project costs only after those costs are incurred and paid for by the subcontractors and only if consistent with Paragraph 12, below.

C. In addition to the above, the pre-award requirements of third party contractor/consultants with STBGP Exchange Recipient shall be consistent with Local Program Procedures as published by Caltrans.

10. ACCOUNTING SYSTEM
STBGP Exchange Recipient, its contractors and subcontractors, shall establish and maintain an accounting system and records that properly accumulate and segregate Fund expenditures by line item. The accounting system of STBGP Exchange Recipient, its contractors and all subcontractors shall conform to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), enable the determination of incurred costs at interim points of completion, and provide support for project payments.

11. RIGHT TO AUDIT
For the purpose of determining compliance with this Agreement and other matters connected with the performance of STBGP Exchange Recipient’s contracts with third parties, EDCTC, STBGP Exchange Recipient, STBGP Exchange Recipient’s contractors and subcontractors and Caltrans shall each maintain and make available for inspection all books, documents, papers, accounting records, and other evidence pertaining to the performance of such contracts, including, but not limited to, the costs of administering those various contracts. All of the above referenced parties shall make such materials available at their respective offices at all reasonable times for five years from the date of payment of Funds to STBGP Exchange Recipient. Caltrans, the California State Auditor, or any duly authorized representative of Caltrans or the United States Department of Transportation, shall each have access to any books, records, and documents that are pertinent for audits, examinations, excerpts, and transactions, and STBGP Exchange Recipient shall furnish copies thereof if requested.

12. TRAVEL AND SUBSISTENCE
Payments to STBGP Exchange Recipient for travel and subsistence expenses of STBGP Exchange Recipient forces and/or its contractors or subcontractors, claimed for reimbursement or applied as local match credit, shall not exceed rates authorized to be paid exempt non-represented State employees under current State of California Department of Human Resources (Cal HR) rules. If the rates invoiced are in excess of those authorized Cal HR rates, then STBGP Exchange Recipient is responsible for the cost difference and any overpayments shall be reimbursed to EDCTC and Caltrans on demand.

13. PROJECT COMPLETION
STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to provide to EDCTC a report summarizing total project costs and milestones for each project using this STBGP Exchange funding within sixty (60) days of completion.
14. TERMINATION DATE
This Agreement shall remain in effect for a period of five (5) years from the execution
date of this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, EDCTC and STBGP Exchange Recipient execute this Agreement
as follows:

EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

______________________________  ________________________
Woodrow Deloria  Date
Executive Director

STBGP Exchange Recipient:
CITY OF PLACERVILLE

______________________________  ________________________
M. Cleve Morris  8/30/18
City Manager  Date
EXHIBIT A

FY 2017/18

El Dorado County Transportation Commission
Agreement # X18-6157 (064)
Surface Transportation Block Grant Program - Exchange Funds

Allocation Approved to the City of Placerville on __________: $200,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Commission Approval Date</th>
<th>Fund Expiration Date</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Project Cost Estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Placerville</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mosquito Road Overlay</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total             |                          |                      |                       | $200,000              |
AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE
EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
AND EL DORADO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
FOR FY 2017/18 SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM
EXCHANGE FUNDS

This agreement is made on ______________ by and between the El Dorado County Transportation Commission, hereinafter referred to as “EDCTC,” and El Dorado County Department of Transportation, hereinafter referred to as “STBGP Exchange Recipient.”

WHEREAS, as authorized by section 182.6(g) of the Streets and Highways Code, EDCTC has entered into agreement X18-6157(064) with the State of California, through the Department of Transportation (Caltrans), to assign a defined portion of its annual Surface Transportation Block Grant Program (STBGP) apportionment to Caltrans in exchange for fiscal year (FY) 2017/18 nonfederal State Highway Account funds; and

WHEREAS, EDCTC is authorized to use these exchanged funds (hereinafter STBGP Exchange Funds) to assist local agencies to promote projects which otherwise qualify for STBGP funds; and

WHEREAS, EDCTC is required to execute formal written agreements between EDCTC and STBGP Exchange Recipients and provide oversight of the program funds; and

WHEREAS, EDCTC received the FY 2017/18 STBGP Exchange funds on June 14, 2018 which will be paid to El Dorado County Department of Transportation per the formula based distribution policy adopted by EDCTC on February 3, 2011 after approval by EDCTC and execution of this agreement; and

WHEREAS, EDCTC is implementing this agreement and Exhibit A to perform contract management and oversight of the STBGP Exchange Funds; and

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants contained herein, THE PARTIES HERETO AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. The STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to use the funds only for the STBGP Exchange eligible project(s) described in Exhibit A.

2. The projects described in Exhibit A, and the amounts allocated therefore, may be amended from time to time without changing the rest of this Agreement, by means of approval by the EDCTC Board of a revised Exhibit A, which shall be designated by a date and number (e.g., “Exhibit A-1 (DATE)”).

3. The STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to mention EDCTC’s role in funding the project in any press release or media event held by the STBGP Exchange Recipient to promote a STBGP funded project.

4. EDCTC agrees to allocate all of these Funds only for those projects implemented by cities, counties, and other STBGP Eligible agencies as are authorized under Article XIX of the
California State Constitution, in accordance with the requirements of Section 182.6(d)(1) of the Streets and Highways Code.

5. The STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to submit a status report to EDCTC by April 30 each year with the details of the STBGP funding by project listed on Exhibit A.

6. STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to establish a special account within its Road Fund for the purpose of depositing therein all payments received from EDCTC pursuant to this agreement.

7. The STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to have an approved budget appropriation of the STBGP Exchange project(s) within three years. The expiration date of these funds will be five years from the date funds were allocated by the El Dorado County Transportation Commission. Failure to complete the STBGP Exchange project(s) within the five years shall allow EDCTC to request repayment from the STBGP Exchange Recipient and reprogram such Exchange Funds for other purposes.

8. COST PRINCIPLES
   A. EDCTC and STBGP Exchange Recipient agree to comply with Office of Management and Budget Supercircular 2 CFR 200, Cost Principles for State and Local Government and the Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments.

   B. STBGP Exchange Recipients will be obligated to agree that (A) Contract Cost Principles and Procedures, 48 CFR, Federal Acquisition Regulations System, Chapter 1, Part 31, Et Seq., shall be used to determine the allowability of individual project cost items and (B) STBGP Exchange Recipients shall comply with Federal Administrative Procedures in accordance with 2 CFR 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements To State And Local Governments. Every sub-recipient receiving funds as a contractor or sub-contractor under this agreement shall comply with Federal administrative procedures in accordance with 2 CFR 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State and Local Governments.

   C. Any Fund expenditures for costs which STBGP Exchange Recipient has received payment or credit that are determined by subsequent audit to be unallowable under Office of Management and Budget Supercircular 2 CFR 200 are subject to repayment to EDCTC and Caltrans. The implementation of the Supercircular will cancel 49 CFR Part 18.

9. THIRD PARTY CONTRACTING
   A. STBGP Exchange Recipient shall not award a construction contract over $10,000 or other contracts over $25,000 on the basis of a noncompetitive negotiation for work to be performed using Funds without the prior written approval of Caltrans. This provision shall not apply to professional service contracts of the type which are required to be procured in accordance with Government Code Sections 4525 (d), (e), and (f).
B. STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees that any subcontract or agreement entered into by STBGP Exchange Recipient using Funds received pursuant to this Agreement shall contain all of the fiscal provisions of this Agreement; and shall mandate that travel and per diem reimbursements and third-party contract reimbursements to subcontractors will be allowable as project costs only after those costs are incurred and paid for by the subcontractors and only if consistent with Paragraph 12, below.

C. In addition to the above, the pre-award requirements of third party contractor/consultants with STBGP Exchange Recipient shall be consistent with Local Program Procedures as published by Caltrans.

10. ACCOUNTING SYSTEM
STBGP Exchange Recipient, its contractors and subcontractors, shall establish and maintain an accounting system and records that properly accumulate and segregate Fund expenditures by line item. The accounting system of STBGP Exchange Recipient, its contractors and all subcontractors shall conform to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), enable the determination of incurred costs at interim points of completion, and provide support for project payments.

11. RIGHT TO AUDIT
For the purpose of determining compliance with this Agreement and other matters connected with the performance of STBGP Exchange Recipient’s contracts with third parties, EDCTC, STBGP Exchange Recipient, STBGP Exchange Recipient's contractors and subcontractors, and Caltrans shall each maintain and make available for inspection all books, documents, papers, accounting records, and other evidence pertaining to the performance of such contracts, including, but not limited to, the costs of administering those various contracts. All of the above referenced parties shall make such materials available at their respective offices at all reasonable times for five years from the date of payment of Funds to STBGP Exchange Recipient. Caltrans, the California State Auditor, or any duly authorized representative of Caltrans or the United States Department of Transportation, shall each have access to any books, records, and documents that are pertinent for audits, examinations, excerpts, and transactions, and STBGP Exchange Recipient shall furnish copies thereof if requested.

12. TRAVEL AND SUBSISTENCE
Payments to STBGP Exchange Recipient for travel and subsistence expenses of STBGP Exchange Recipient forces and/or its contractors or subcontractors, claimed for reimbursement or applied as local match credit, shall not exceed rates authorized to be paid exempt non-represented State employees under current State of California Department of Human Resources (Cal HR) rules. If the rates invoiced are in excess of those authorized Cal HR rates, then STBGP Exchange Recipient is responsible for the cost difference and any overpayments shall be reimbursed to EDCTC and Caltrans on demand.

13. PROJECT COMPLETION
STBGP Exchange Recipient agrees to provide to EDCTC a report summarizing total project costs and milestones for each project using this STBGP Exchange funding within sixty (60) days of completion.
14. TERMINATION DATE
   This Agreement shall remain in effect for a period of five (5) years from the execution
date of this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, EDCTC and STBGP Exchange Recipient execute this Agreement
as follows:

EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

_________________________ _______________________
Woodrow Deloria Date
Executive Director

STBGP Exchange Recipient:
EL DORADO COUNTY

_________________________
Michael Ranalli, Chair Date
El Dorado County Board of Supervisors
EXHIBIT A

FY 2017/18

El Dorado County Transportation Commission
Agreement # X18-6157 (064)
Surface Transportation Block Grant Program - Exchange Funds

Allocation Approved to El Dorado County Department of Transportation on
_________________ : $ 504,013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Commission Approval Date</th>
<th>Fund Expiration Date</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Cost Estimate-STBGP Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#71319 US 50 / Camino Area Safety Project</td>
<td>$ 60,244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#77122 Newtown Rd / So. Fork Weber Creek Bridge</td>
<td>$ 120,771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#77127 Green Valley Rd over Indian Creek</td>
<td>$161,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Dorado County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>#77136 Bridge -Green Valley @ Mound Springs Creek</td>
<td>$161,499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total                                    $ 504,013
RESOLUTION 10/11/16

RESOLUTION OF THE EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
APPROVING THE POLICY FOR FORMULA-BASED DISTRIBUTION OF
RURAL REGIONAL SURFACE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM FUNDS

WHEREAS, pursuant to California Government Code, Title 7.91, Section 67950, the El Dorado County Transportation Commission (EDCTC) was created as a local planning agency to provide regional transportation planning for the area of El Dorado County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and

WHEREAS, California Government Code Section 29532.1(g), identifies EDCTC as the designated regional transportation planning agency for El Dorado County, exclusive of the Lake Tahoe Basin; and is responsible for the planning, allocating and/or programming of funds; and

WHEREAS, Caltrans exchanges Federal RSTP Funds for State Funds for EDCTC as a Rural Regional Transportation Planning Agency; and

WHEREAS, it is the responsibility of the El Dorado County Transportation Commission, in accordance with Caltrans Local Assistance Program Guidance, as the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) receiving an apportionment of Rural RSTP funding, to "provide application rules for project listings" in our jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, EDCTC and partner jurisdictions have developed a method for formula-based distribution of Rural RSTP Funds; as follows;

- To EDCTC for Overall Work Program, including: planning, programming, project monitoring, and grant matching funds, up to a maximum of 10% of available RSTP; and
- To a regional project, as determined by EDCTC jurisdictions, annually, when applicable; and
- To the City of Placerville utilizing 2 times the City’s RSTP Eligible centerline mileage, applied as a percentage to available funds, or $200,000, whichever is greater; and
- To the El Dorado County Department of Transportation, all remaining funds; and

WHEREAS, the formula shall be applied annually upon EDCTC’s receipt of estimated or actual funding levels for Rural RSTP; and

WHEREAS, the policy shall be reviewed every ten years, or upon incorporation of a new jurisdiction, or at the request of the City of Placerville, El Dorado County, or El Dorado County Transit Authority; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the El Dorado County Transportation Commission approves the policy for formula-based distribution of Rural RSTP funding.
PASSED AND APPROVED, by the El Dorado County Transportation Commission governing body at the regular meeting held on February 3, 2011, by the following vote:

AYES: Acuna, Borelli, Briggs, Hagen, Knight, Nutting, Sweeney
NOES: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

Attest:

[Signature]
Patty Borelli, Chairperson

[Signature]
Joni G. Rice, Secretary to the Commission
BUSINESS ITEM

STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 1, 2018
TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
FROM: JONI RICE, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT/SECRETARY TO THE COMMISSION
SUBJECT: PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT: TRIENNIAL PERFORMANCE AUDIT

REQUESTED ACTION

Authorize the Executive Director to enter into a professional services agreement between EDCTC and LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc., to develop a Triennial Performance Audit for EDCTC and El Dorado County Transit Authority (EDCTA) for a not-to-exceed amount of $30,000.

BACKGROUND

The EDCTC is statutorily required by California Public Utilities Code §99246 to designate entities, other than itself, to conduct a Triennial Performance Audit of the activities of the Regional Transportation Planning Agency and the Transit Operator to whom it allocates funds. Additionally, administrative guidelines published by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) require that a transit service receiving Transportation Development Act (TDA) assistance perform a Triennial Performance Audit. The audit is to review how the operator has provided the public with transportation services while complying with the State requirements. These performance audits will cover the fiscal years ending June 30, 2015 through June 30, 2018 and must be conducted in compliance with relevant sections of the Transportation Development Act.

The 2018/2019 EDCTC Overall Work Program and Budget includes $30,000 for the Audit.

DISCUSSION

EDCTC staff distributed the Triennial Performance Audit Request for Proposals (RFP) on August 6, 2018. The RFP was distributed via email to consultants, sent to a consultant distribution center, posted in the newspaper, and made available online via the EDCTC website and Facebook page. Staff received no proposals by the due date of September 7. An addendum to the RFP was issued extending the deadline an additional two weeks. By September 21, one proposal was received from LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc.

This proposal was evaluated according to the criteria specified in the RFP by EDCTC and Transit Authority personnel. The evaluation criteria utilized are as follows:

- Understanding the purpose and requirements of the audit.
- Approach to be followed and the tasks to be performed, including detailed steps, resources required and proposed project schedule.
- Relative allocation of resources, in terms of quality and quantity to key tasks, including the time and skills of personnel assigned to the task, and the consultant’s approach to managing resources and project output.
- Experience in public transit, performance auditing and the issues and functional area(s) to be analyzed.
- Education and specific experience of the project team to be assigned.
Based upon the fact that the LSC proposal responded to the criteria listed above, staff recommends LSC for this contract. Key factors considered by EDCTC staff in making this recommendation are as follows:

- Project Manager has extensive experience conducting Triennial Performance Audits.
- Project Manager is familiar with both EDCTC and EDCTA operations.
- Thorough understanding and presentation of scope of work including well defined tasks.
- Effective presentation of process and duties to be expected of EDCTC and EDCTA staff.
- LSC performed the Triennial Performance Audit for Fiscal Years 2012/13, 2013/14, 2014/15.

LSC has selected Genevieve Evans, AICP as the Project Manager for this Triennial Performance Audit.

Approved for Agenda:

______________________________
Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Note: Copies of the Request for Proposal and the proposal received is available for review at the EDCTC office.
STAFF REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 1, 2018
TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
FROM: DAN BOLSTER, SENIOR TRANSPORTATION PLANNER
SUBJECT: RATIFICATION OF THE STAKEHOLDER ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR THE WESTERN EL DORADO COUNTY SHORT AND LONG RANGE TRANSIT PLAN

REQUESTED ACTION
Ratify the Western El Dorado County Short and Long Range Transit Plan Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC).

BACKGROUND
On February 23, 2018 EDCTC submitted an application to Caltrans for a Fiscal Year 2018/2019 Federal Transit Administration Section 5304 Strategic Partnerships Transit Planning Grant for the Western El Dorado County Short and Long Range Transit Plan. On May 11, 2018 Caltrans notified EDCTC that the Western El Dorado County Short and Long Range Transit Plan had been selected for funding in Fiscal Year 2018/2019 with an award of $150,000. EDCTC committed a total of $19,434 in Surface Transportation Block Grant Program Exchanged Funds and Local Transportation Funds as match. On September 6, 2018 the EDCTC Board awarded the consulting contract to LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc., for a not-to-exceed amount of $150,000.

DISCUSSION
Public involvement and outreach are major components of the Western El Dorado County Short and Long Range Transit Plan. In an effort to involve a broad range of potentially affected interests, pending the Commission’s ratification, the 42 groups/entities/organizations listed below will be represented on the Western El Dorado County Short and Long Range Transit Plan Stakeholder Advisory Committee. The list was based consultation with El Dorado County Transit Authority and the public. Staff welcomes any suggested changes to the following proposed list:

- 50 Corridor TMA
- Blue Shield
- Broadridge
- Cameron Park Community Services District
- Cameron Park Design Review Board
- City of Placerville Community Services
- City of Placerville Transportation
- Community Hubs/First 5 El Dorado
- Diamond Springs and El Dorado Community Advisory Committee
- El Dorado County Area Agency on Aging
- El Dorado County Air Quality Management District
- El Dorado County Chief Administrative Office
- El Dorado County Chamber of Commerce
- El Dorado County Child Support Services
- El Dorado County Commission on Aging
- El Dorado County Department of Transportation
- El Dorado County Economic Development Advisory Committee
- El Dorado County Health and Human Services Agency (including representatives from Senior Services, Calworks and Connections)
Agenda Item 6

- El Dorado County Library
- El Dorado County Mental Health
- El Dorado County Office of Education
- El Dorado County Long Range Planning
- El Dorado County Probation
- El Dorado County Public Health
- El Dorado County Youth Commission
- El Dorado Courts
- El Dorado Hills Community Services District
- El Dorado Hills Community Vision Coalition
- First Mile / Last Mile – Pedestrian
- First Mile / Last Mile - Bicyclist
- Four Seasons
- Inalliance
- Lennar at Heritage
- Marshall Hospital
- Mother Lode Rehabilitation Enterprises, Inc. (MORE)
- Placerville Mobility Support Group – Potential Transit User Handicapped
- Sacramento Area Council of Governments
- Social Services Transportation Advisory Committee – Commuter
- Social Services Transportation Advisory Committee – Seniors
- South Tahoe Transit
- Tahoe Transportation District

The purpose of the SAC is to provide both policy and technical guidance to the EDCTC during the development of the Western El Dorado County Short and Long Range Transit Plan. The SAC’s efforts will be complemented by and coordinated with the work of the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) that will include El Dorado County Transit Authority, City of Placerville, El Dorado County Department of Transportation, El Dorado County Planning Department, California Highway Patrol, El Dorado County Office of Emergency Services, Caltrans, Capital Southeast Connector, Sacramento Area Council of Governments, Folsom Stage Lines, Sacramento Regional Transit, and EDCTC.

The project scope of work assumes a minimum of two SAC meetings and two public open houses. The date of each SAC meeting and the date of the two public open houses will be finalized pending the availability of meeting locations and discussion at the project kickoff meeting between LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc., AIM Consulting, El Dorado County Transit Authority, El Dorado County Public Health, City of Placerville, El Dorado County, Folsom Stage Lines, Tahoe Transportation District, and EDCTC.

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director
REQUESTED ACTION

Authorize the Executive Director to enter into a professional services agreement between EDCTC and AIM Consulting, to perform the scope of work included in the US 50 Recreation Travel Hot Spot Transportation Management Study Placerville Public Engagement, for a not to exceed amount of $40,000.

BACKGROUND

In late 2017 and early 2018 EDCTC worked closely with Caltrans to develop a scope of work for the US 50 Recreation Travel Hot Spot Transportation Management Study, to be led by Caltrans. This study was aimed at identifying issues and opportunities to improve safety, congestion, and throughput on US Highway 50 along key segments of the corridor, including the segment through the City of Placerville. To better serve the interests of the City of Placerville, EDCTC submitted an application for Caltrans Rural Planning Assistance grant funding to add an additional public engagement and outreach task to the Caltrans study. On July 25, 2018 Caltrans notified EDCTC of the successful award of a Rural Planning Assistance grant in the amount of $45,000 to support the US 50 Recreation Travel Hot Spot Transportation Management Study Placerville Public Engagement (Study Outreach).

DISCUSSION

EDCTC staff distributed the Request for Proposals (RFP) for the Study Outreach on September 10, 2018. Notice of the RFP was distributed via email to 24 consultants, a consultant distribution center, was published in the Mountain Democrat, and was available online via Facebook and the EDCTC website. Staff received two proposals by the October 12, 2018 deadline, one each from MIG and AIM Consulting. Each proposal was evaluated according to the criteria specified in the RFP by EDCTC staff as well as staff from EDCTC’s planning partners.

The evaluation criteria utilized are as follows:

- Understanding the purpose and requirements of the Study Outreach.
- Familiarity with the project area and the type of issues and problems associated with the project.
- Ability to meet the project’s goals and objectives.
- Approach to be followed and the tasks to be performed, including detailed steps, resources required, and proposed project schedule.
- Relative allocation of resources in terms of quality and quantity to key tasks, including the time and personnel assigned to the task and the approach to managing resources and project output.
- Experience in public engagement and the issues and functional area(s) to be analyzed.

Based upon the review of the proposal and AIM’s history of having successfully performed public engagement for other EDCTC projects, staff recommends AIM Consulting for this contract. Key factors considered by the review panel in making this recommendation are as follows:
The firm has extensive experience leading similar public engagement efforts.

- The firm has a very strong understanding of the overall issues associated with tourism along US 50.
- The firm is providing a highly-qualified project team.
- The firm provided a scope of work that detailed the steps and approach for completion of tasks necessary to deliver a comprehensive public engagement campaign.

Gladys Cornell of AIM Consulting will serve as project manager for the US 50 Recreation Travel Hot Spot Transportation Management Study Placerville Public Engagement. Ms. Cornell is an experienced project manager and has a keen interest in delivering a public engagement effort that will engage the public in a manner which empowers local residents, business owners, and stakeholders offering ownership in the process and outcomes.

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director

Note: Copies of the Request for Proposals and the proposals received are available for review at the EDCTC office.
REQUESTED ACTION

None. This item is for information only.

BACKGROUND

Senate Bill (SB) 743, signed into law in 2013, requires that local, regional, and state agencies move away from vehicle delay and level of service (LOS) as the metric used to evaluate impacts under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). SB 743 placed the responsibility on the State Office of Planning and Research (OPR) to determine a new metric to be used in the CEQA analysis. OPR has done so through the identification of Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT) as the new metric to be used. This recommendation would require that VMT per-capita, per-employee, and per-service population be considered for analysis of transportation impacts of land use projects. Should the OPR recommendations be approved as written, the new requirements will apply to projects statewide beginning January 1, 2020.

To assist the City of Placerville and El Dorado County with implementation of these new requirements, on March 1, 2018 EDCTC entered into a professional services agreement with Fehr & Peers to perform work on the El Dorado County and City of Placerville SB 743 Implementation Plans (the Plans). Since March 1, 2018 EDCTC has facilitated multiple working meetings with the City of Placerville and El Dorado County staff. EDCTC has been working closely with the City of Placerville, El Dorado County, and Fehr & Peers completing the task within the scope of work to assist each jurisdiction with the transition to using Vehicle Miles Travelled (VMT) as the transportation impact metric to be used for CEQA review.

DISCUSSION

Fehr & Peers has been working in direct partnership with El Dorado County and the City of Placerville transportation staff and traffic engineers to review the existing General Plan policies, travel demand model metrics, and other technical elements. The project team has been using this information to develop VMT estimates by Community Region using both the County travel demand model and the SACOG SACSIM model. Ultimately, this information will roll into a baseline VMT, VMT assessment tool, and VMT thresholds memo. These estimates will also serve as the starting point for a VMT screening tool that will be developed. David Robinson of Fehr & Peers will be presenting an update of this effort.

Approved for Agenda:

Woodrow Deloria, Executive Director
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT

DATE: NOVEMBER 1, 2018
TO: EL DORADO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
FROM: WOODROW DELORIA, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
SUBJECT: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR’S REPORT

The following is an overview of the issues, projects, and coordination currently being advanced by EDCTC.

TRANSIT PLANNING AND ADMINISTRATION

County Line Multi-Modal Transit Center Study
On January 24, 2018, the project kickoff meeting was held to review project scope and schedule with staff from El Dorado Transit, El Dorado County DOT, Caltrans, EDCTC, and the consultant Fehr & Peers. The draft Stakeholder Advisory Committee (SAC) list was ratified at the February 1, 2018 EDCTC meeting. The first SAC meeting was held on Thursday, April 5th at the El Dorado Hills CSD, and the first PDT was held on Tuesday, April 17 at El Dorado Transit. Public Workshop #1 was held on Thursday, May 17th at the El Dorado Hills CSD Pavilion. The second public workshop is scheduled for November 27 from 4:30 pm to 6:30 pm at Silva Valley Elementary School and will provide the public with an opportunity to provide input on potential site evaluations.

Short- and Long-Range Transit Plan Update
On February 23, 2018, submitted an application for a FY 2018/19 Caltrans Strategic Partnership – Transit Planning Grant for $150,000 to fund an update of the Western El Dorado County Short- and Long-Range Transit Plan. On May 11, 2018 Caltrans notified EDCTC that it had been awarded an FTA Section 5304 FY 2018/19 Strategic Partnership Transit Grant for $150,000. EDCTC staff distributed the Request for Proposals (RFP) on July 19, 2018. One proposal was received from LSC which was evaluated according to the criteria specified in the RFP by EDCTC staff as well as staff from EDCTC’s planning partners, El Dorado County Transit Authority, and El Dorado County Community Development Services Transportation Department. Based upon the review of the proposal and LSC’s history of having successfully prepared numerous other transit studies for EDCTC, staff recommends LSC for this contract.

Triennial Performance Audits
EDCTC is statutorily required to designate entities other than itself to conduct a Triennial Performance Audit of the activities of the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) and the Transit Operator to whom it allocates Transportation Development Act (TDA) funds, in this case El Dorado Transit. The performance audit generally follows the guidelines established in the Performance Audit Guidebook for Transit Operators and Regional Transportation Planning Entities, published by the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Division of Mass Transportation. EDCTC staff distributed the Triennial Performance Audit Request for Proposals (RFP) on August 6, 2018. By the deadline, September 21, one proposal was received from LSC Transportation Consultants, Inc. On November 1, 2018 EDCTC will consider awarding the consulting contract to LSC.

TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

2020-2040 El Dorado County Regional Transportation Plan
The El Dorado County 2020-2040 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) was initiated by the ratification of the RTP Advisory Committee by the EDCTC in April. EDCTC held the first RTP Advisory Committee meeting on July 11th. The focus of this first meeting was to develop the goals, objectives, and strategies that will help shape the development of the RTP. EDCTC presented information on the RTP 2020-2040 at the Diamond Springs El Dorado Advisory Committee meeting on September
20, 2018 and the El Dorado County Supervisorial District 2 Town Hall Meeting at the Cameron Park Community Services District on September 27th. The RTP Advisory Committee met for the second time on October 17, 2018. Approximately 25 people have attended each of the two advisory committee meetings. EDCTC will finalize the Goals, Objectives, and Strategies to include comments from advisory committee members and work with SACOG to refine the streets and roads project list.

Senate Bill 743 VMT Analysis
EDCTC staff was successful in securing Rural Planning Assistance grant funds to develop an SB 743 Vehicle Miles Travelled requirements analysis for El Dorado County and the City of Placerville. This will provide the City and County with an introductory strategy to update the necessary policies, travel demand modelling tools, and land use analytics in order to comply with SB 743. On March 1, 2018 EDCTC awarded a contract to Fehr & Peers to complete the work. A kickoff meeting was held in late May with the City of Placerville and El Dorado County staff. EDCTC and the project team are now reviewing the draft threshold setting transportation demand tools memos.

TRAVEL DEMAND MANAGEMENT
El Dorado County Freeway Service Patrol (FSP)
FSP operates from 6:00 AM to 8:30 AM and from 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM Monday through Friday on US 50 from the El Dorado/Sacramento County line extending eastward to Greenstone Road. FSP is funded by the Capital Valley Regional Service Authority for Freeways and Expressways and the Caltrans FSP program, no local funds are required. One recent quote from an FSP assisted driver was:

“We think that the program is very good and we were very thankful for the service and we are truly grateful for the Driver who was very caring and helpful so please keep the program going. Thank God for yall’s service.”

ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING
El Dorado County and City of Placerville Active Transportation Plans
On October 20, 2017 EDCTC staff submitted an application for a Caltrans Sustainable Communities Transportation Planning Grant to develop comprehensive Active Transportation Plans for the West Slope of El Dorado County and the City of Placerville. EDCTC was successful, securing $100,003 to support the development of these plans for the City of Placerville and El Dorado County. On July 3, 2018 EDCTC entered into a professional services agreement with Alta Planning + Design to support the update of the plans. EDCTC worked with Alta Planning + Design to develop and ratify a Stakeholder Advisory Committee for the Active Transportation Plans. Early exchange of information has begun and the first stakeholder meeting was held on October 24th. Non-traditional outreach has started with one event being held at the El Dorado Hills farmers market on October 28th. Over 50 people were engaged at that event. Another outreach event is scheduled for the Placerville Farmers market on November 3rd.

COORDINATION, OUTREACH, AND ADVOCACY
El Dorado County
El Dorado Hills Business Park Transportation Plan
EDCTC worked with Caltrans to secure $144,000 in State Planning and Research grant funds to support the El Dorado Hills Business Park Community Transportation Plan. The effort will be closely coordinated with El Dorado County and the El Dorado Hills Business Park Association to look at future traffic and circulation in and around the business park. EDCTC will release a request for proposals in the coming months to identify a consultant to perform the technical studies and analysis.
Apple Hill Traffic and Circulation
EDCTC has been working with El Dorado County to develop a pilot Apple Hill Shuttle pilot project. This effort will be implemented over four weekends in October. The pilot will limit automobile traffic on one lane of Carson Road to support transit shuttle buses. El Dorado County is working closely with El Dorado Transit, CHP, and Emergency response to implement this pilot to improve traffic circulation and safety. EDCTC will report on the results of the pilot after the traffic data and observed results are compiled.

Coloma Sustainable Community Mobility Plan
The Coloma Sustainable Community Mobility Plan will address safety, active transportation, and mobility deficiencies in Coloma, specifically on State Route (SR) 49 through Marshall Gold Discovery State Historic Park (State Park) and Lotus Road near Henningsen-Lotus Park in order to increase vehicle, pedestrian, and bicycle safety for all ages and abilities, promote health and equity, and enhance the environmental and economic resiliency and sustainability of the community. A consulting contract was awarded to Omni Means, a GHD Company at the June 7, 2018 EDCTC Board meeting. The contract was fully executed on June 18th and the project kickoff meeting was held on Tuesday, July 31, 2018 at Marshall Gold Discovery State Historic Park. On August 21, 2018 EDCTC staff and GHD Project Manager Jim Damkowitch met with California State Parks archeologist Steve Hilton and planner Jim Michaels to discuss cultural resource issues within the state park. The GHD project team performed field observations of bicycle and pedestrian activity in the project area on Friday and Saturday, August 24th and 25th. The first SAC meeting was held on September 20th at the Gold Trail Grange and the first public workshop was held on October 4th, also at the Grange. The second SAC meeting is being scheduled for the first week of December at the Grange when the project team will share draft concepts for mobility improvements in the project area.

CITY OF PLACERVILLE

Western Placerville Interchanges Phase 2
The allocation request for $5.542 million in STIP was approved at the August 16-17, 2017 CTC meeting. The City of Placerville awarded the construction management services contract to Drake Haglan and Associates at the October 24, 2017 City Council meeting. At the January 9, 2018 meeting, the Placerville City Council awarded the construction contract to Doug Veerkamp Engineering for a not-to-exceed amount of $9,064,856. The project began construction at the end of January 2018. On October 24th access to the County Jail was switched over to the newly realigned segment of Forni Rd. The remaining segment of Forni Road between Ray Lawyer Drive and the County Jail driveway was closed on October 24th so work on it can commence. The Park-and-Ride lot was recently paved. The project is ahead of schedule and pending weather conditions could be completed during the spring 2019.

On May 16/17, 2018, the CTC voted to award $1,070,000 in Local Partnership Program (LPP) funds to the project to include construction of the eastbound on-ramp with the overall WPI 2 project. EDCTC has been working with the City of Placerville and Caltrans to identify the required matching funds. Caltrans has agreed to provide SHOOPP Minor A funding in the amount of $675,000 to support a portion of the match needed. The remaining $200,000 needed was programmed by the EDCTC in August 2018. On August 28, 2018 the City Council approved a contract with R.E.Y. Engineers, Inc., to obtain design level topographic survey of the eastbound on-ramp area adjacent to Ray Lawyer Drive and the WPI 2 project. R.E.Y. performed the Lidar survey and provided the survey data to Dokken Engineering to develop project layouts. The City is currently preparing an RFP for design of the project and expect to release the RFP in mid-November.

Upper Broadway Bike Lanes Project
On October 18, 2017, the California Transportation Commission awarded $1,886,000 in 2017 ATP Augmentation – Statewide and Small Urban and Rural Components funding for the Upper Broadway Pedestrian Connection Project that will provide strategically located sidewalks and
additional pedestrian improvements and new transit stops on Broadway between Schnell School Road and Point View Drive. The environmental document was approved by Caltrans on April 19, 2018. The City recently completed appraisal reviews as well as 65% preliminary plans and estimates.

**Broadway Sidewalks Project**
The project improves pedestrian safety by constructing new curb, gutter, and sidewalk on four segments of Broadway between the Main Street/Mosquito Road/Broadway intersection and Orchard Lane where there are currently no pedestrian facilities. The project is funded by Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funds and is scheduled to begin construction in April 2019.

**Placerville Drive Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities Project**
The project will design bicycle facilities and sidewalks along Placerville Drive from west of the US 50 undercrossing to Green Valley Road and sidewalk on the west side of Green Valley Road from Placerville Drive to Mallard Lane. In June 2016 EDCTC programmed $1.1 million of CMAQ funds to the project. The consulting contract was awarded to Drake Haglan and Associates at the July 10, 2018 City Council meeting. The project kickoff meeting between City staff and the consulting team was held on September 27, 2018.

**CALTRANS**

**State Route (SR) 49 South Fork American River Bridge Project**
Construction began on March 8, 2017 and is now complete. Recent concerns about the intersection of Lotus Road and SR 49 have led to more analysis of the safety elements. Caltrans is considering placing a flashing red stop light at the intersection to improve safety. Other safety options are also being considered within the Coloma Mobility Plan being completed by EDCTC.

**US Highway 50 Hot Spot Study**
On August 28, 2017 EDCTC and City of Placerville staff met with Caltrans to form a plan to identify and analyze improvements to traffic and circulation along the US 50 corridor through Placerville. This effort was formalized through the Caltrans led US 50 Corridor Hot Spot Study. Caltrans is leading this effort to identify issues and opportunities along US 50 from Placerville into the Tahoe Basin. The kickoff meeting was facilitated by Caltrans on October 28, 2018.

After reviewing the scope of work for the study, EDCTC determined that additional public outreach and engagement would be necessary in the City of Placerville. EDCTC secured $45,000 in Caltrans grant funding to support this additional work. EDCTC released an RFP on September 10th, and received proposals from AIM consulting and MIG. EDCTC staff will recommend AIM for the contract at the November 1, 2018 EDCTC meeting.

**US Highway 50 Camino Safety Project**
EDCTC has been working with Caltrans to develop a strategy to continue moving forward with the project. Alternative 4.7 costs increased from $35 to $56.6 million creating the need to break the project into fundable phases. Caltrans has finalized the Project Report to include the first phase which will be the completion of the median barrier closure from Still Meadows Road to Upper Carson Road and a minimum undercrossing at Lower Carson Road. Caltrans, El Dorado County, and EDCTC will be developing a plan to deliver and fund the remaining elements. Caltrans held a public meeting on August 30 to present the phased approach to the community members.

EDCTC submitted an application for the Federal Better Utilizing Investments to Leverage Development (BUILD), formerly the TIGER program, grant program requesting $15 million to fully fund the improvements necessary to retain access north and south of US 50 once the median barrier is installed. BUILD grant awards are expected to be announced in fall of 2018.
STATE TRANSPORTATION LEGISLATION AND FUNDING

Proposition 6
The effort to repeal SB 1, Proposition 6, is on the November 2018 ballot for consideration. Proposition 6 state on their “Yes on 6” (https://gastaxrepeal.org) website the reason for the repeal is:

“It costs you a lot more than you think – the tax hike will cost the typical family of four $779.28 more per year in taxes and 2) it won’t fix our roads – this is a blank check tax hike that has already been diverted away from road repairs.”

Approval of Proposition 6 would require majority voter approval for the State Legislature to impose, increase, or extend any tax on fuel or the operation of a vehicle or trailer coach on public highways. The proposition would be imposed on any tax enacted after January 1, 2017, thus overturning SB 1. Proposition 6 proposes no solution to a transportation funding shortfall should SB 1 be repealed.

Opposition to Proposition 6
The Fix Our Roads Coalition and California State Association of Counties, two of the primary backers of SB 1, began a counter campaign in late October 2017 to highlight the importance of a number of state and local projects and ultimately the importance of investing in transportation. They have completed an economic analysis of SB 1 which shows the value of investment in the transportation system and the relationship to economic prosperity and growth. The full analysis can be found at: https://www.artba.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/ARTBA_California_Report_Feb_2018.pdf.

Senate Bill 1328
SB 1328 authored by Senator Beale, would extend the sunset on the Road Charge Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) to January 1, 2023 and give it the authority to continue studying a road charge as a potential future mechanism for transportation funding. In addition, would require the technical advisory committee to continue to assess the potential for mechanisms, including, but not limited to, a mileage-based revenue collection system, to use as alternative methods to the existing gas tax system for generating the revenue necessary to maintain and operate the state’s transportation system.

FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION LEGISLATION AND FUNDING

Federal $1.5 Trillion Infrastructure Plan
The President released a $1.5 trillion infrastructure plan to Congress to find long-term reforms on how infrastructure projects are regulated, delivered, and maintained. The plan would invest $200 billion in new Federal revenue to leverage $1.3 trillion in incentivized state, local, and private investment. This equates to an unprecedented four-to-one match for every Federal dollar spent. The plan is focused around four primary principles: Make Targeted Federal Investments, Encourage Self-Help, Align Infrastructure Investment with Entities Best Suited to Provide Sustained and Efficient Investment, and Leverage the Private Sector.

The President’s plan emphasizes rural infrastructure improvements, focusing one quarter of investments on rural roads, water, and broadband expansion. Half of the funding would be programmed to the Infrastructure Incentives Initiative which would encourage state, local, and private investment in core infrastructure. The entire plan can be viewed here: https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/02/INFRASTRUCTURE-211.pdf.
### EVENTS AND MEETINGS ATTENDED (since the last Commission meeting, September 6, 2018)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/07</td>
<td>Regional Program Working Group Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/10</td>
<td>Apple Hill Traffic Implementation Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/11</td>
<td>Sac Metro Chamber Transportation Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/12</td>
<td>Regional Program Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/12</td>
<td>Camino PDT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/13</td>
<td>Apple Hill CMAQ Eligibility meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/13</td>
<td>Regional Program Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/17</td>
<td>Apple Hill Media Tour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/19</td>
<td>SACOG Pilot Program Discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/20</td>
<td>SACOG Board meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/21</td>
<td>RCTF meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/25</td>
<td>CALCOG CDAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/26</td>
<td>Camino PDT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/26</td>
<td>Caltrans Tom Brannon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/01</td>
<td>FSP meeting, annual conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/03</td>
<td>Coloma-Lotus Sustainable Community Mobility Plan Public Workshop</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/04</td>
<td>Apple Hill Traffic Implemental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/18</td>
<td>SACOG Board meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/19</td>
<td>Hot Spot Study Interviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/22</td>
<td>SB 743 Check in meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/23</td>
<td>Healthy Eating/Active Living Leadership meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/24</td>
<td>Camino PDT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/25</td>
<td>Agenda Review with Chair Ranalli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/26</td>
<td>Camino meeting with school Transportation Director/Caltrans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/29-30</td>
<td>Focus on the Future Conference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
