Important considerations when setting sustainability targets

Deciding which factors should take priority when setting sustainability targets is a rarely addressed and challenging problem. Questions arise such as; whose interests are the most important? How challenging should targets be? And, under what circumstances is it acceptable to let some farmers fail to meet their targets? The NZSD used a choice modelling technique with a group of Beef + Lamb stakeholders to investigate these questions. When considering setting sustainability targets, there is often more than one decision maker, and potentially, many different perspectives. Choice modelling provides a method for combining people’s preferences, and confronting the inevitable trade-offs involved in setting a sustainability target.

Group Decision Making

A workshop was organized by Beef + Lamb in which a wide range of agricultural stakeholders attended. The stakeholders got together around a table to take part in a facilitated choice experiment using software known as 1000minds.

1000Minds presents decision makers with a series of choices, each of which involves two criteria which differ in their characteristics. Decision makers are required to trade-off one set of criteria and characteristics for the other. Figure 1 provides an example of a choice presented to decision makers using 1000Minds. By undertaking the choice experiment in a group environment, participants were able to discuss and debate the choices they made.

Four Considerations for Setting Sustainability Targets

The choice model contained four criteria which were considered critical considerations for setting industry level sustainability targets. Stretch – Immediacy – Achievability – and, Sustainability Relevance.

The participants were forced by the choice model to trade these considerations off against each other. Decisions were made by group consensus after a discussion on each choice. While the choice experiment could be administered to each participant individually, and their preferences aggregated, the use of a group environment provides the opportunity to discuss some of the tensions around the trade-offs. This in turn results in a more nuanced understanding of the issues involved in setting targets, and helps to build greater consensus amongst a group of decision makers.
What Matters Most When Setting Sustainability Targets

The choice experiment revealed the following preferences for the Beef + Lamb stakeholders:

1. The relevance of a sustainability issue to Beef + Lamb is the most important consideration when setting targets.
2. Stretching farmers to do better was considered the second most important consideration.
3. How easily the target could be achieved was the third most important consideration.
4. How quickly the target could be achieved was the least important consideration.

It is significant that achievability was not the most important consideration. The participants were more concerned with addressing important sustainability issues as well as stretching the industry to perform better.

The participants signalled their willingness to accept an achievement rate of only 50% under some circumstances. This finding is promising as it suggests a genuine commitment to achieving higher levels of sustainability, despite the potential to cause some discomfort amongst the industry in meeting this aim.

Further Information

- New Zealand Sustainability Dashboard Website: http://www.nzdashboard.org.nz/prioritisation.html