

Loru Forest Project - Monitoring Report 1, 2015

An Avoided Deforestation project at Loru, Santo, Vanuatu D3.3 (1) v1.0 20151009

The Nakau Programme: An Indigenous Forest Conservation Programme Through Payments for Ecosystem Services











Report prepared by

Sean Weaver, Nakau Programme Pty Ltd, October 2015.

Suggested citation:

Weaver, S.A., Nelson, A., and Henderson, R. 2015. Loru Forest Project Monitoring Report 1, 2015. D3.3 (1) v1.0 20151009. Nakau Programme Pty Ltd.

This publication has been produced with the assistance of the European Union, in the framework of the project "Pilot effective models for governance and implementation of REDD in Small Islands Development States to provide equitable benefits for forest dependent local and indigenous people", co-funded by the European Union. The contents of this publication are the sole responsibility of the authors and Live & Learn Environmental Education and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Union.

Table of Contents

1.1 Summary Description of the Implementation Status of the Project 6 1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type 6 1.3 Project Coordinator 6 1.4 Other Entities Involved in the Project 7 1.5 Project Start Date 8 1.7 Project Crediting Period 8 1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology. 10 1.9 Other Programmes 10 2. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS 11 2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 11 2.2 Deviations 11 2.1 Jeviations 11 2.2.2 Project Description Deviations 12 3.3 Grouped Project 12 3.4 Carbon Monitoring 13 3.1 Carbon Monitoring Reles And Responsibilities - Carbon 14 3.1.2 Monitored Parameters - Carbon 17 3.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring - Carbon 17 3.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report	1. PROJECT DETAILS	6
1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type 6 1.3 Project Coordinator 6 1.4 Other Entities Involved in the Project 7 1.5 Project Start Date 8 1.6 Project Crediting Period 8 1.7 Project Location 8 1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology 10 1.9 Other Programmes 10 2. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS 11 2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 11 2.2 Deviations 11 2.2.1 Methodology Deviations 12 2.3 Grouped Project 12 3.4 Carbon Monitoring 14 3.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon 14 3.1.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.6 Community Monitoring Carbon 22 3.1.1 Monitored Parameters - Community 24 3.2.2 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.6 Community Monitoring 22 <t< td=""><td>1.1 Summary Description of the Implementation Status of the Project</td><td> 6</td></t<>	1.1 Summary Description of the Implementation Status of the Project	6
1.3 Project Coordinator 6 1.4 Other Entities Involved in the Project 7 1.5 Project Start Date 8 1.6 Project Crediting Period 8 1.7 Project Location 8 1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology. 10 1.9 Other Programmes 10 2. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS 11 2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 11 2.2 Deviations 11 2.1 Methodology Deviations 12 2.3 Grouped Project 12 3.4 Carbon Monitoring 14 3.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon 14 3.1.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.6 Community Monitoring - Carbon 22 3.1.8 Community Monitoring Carbon 22 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 18 3.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon 17 3.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon 22	1.2 Sectoral Scope and Project Type	6
1.4 Other Entities Involved in the Project 7 1.5 Project Start Date 8 1.6 Project Crediting Period 8 1.7 Project Location 8 1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology 10 1.9 Other Programmes 10 2. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS 11 2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 11 2.2 Deviations 11 2.1 2.1 Methodology Deviations 12 2.3 Grouped Project 12 3.4 Monitoring 14 3.1 Carbon Monitoring 14 3.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon 15 3.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon 18 3.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon 23 3.2.1 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon 24 3.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community 24 3.2.1 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 26 3.2.4 Information Management Systems - Communit	1.3 Project Coordinator	6
1.5 Project Start Date 8 1.6 Project Crediting Period 8 1.7 Project Location 8 1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology 10 1.9 Other Programmes 10 2. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS 11 2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 11 2.2 Deviations 11 2.2.1 Methodology Deviations 12 2.3 Grouped Project 12 3. MONITORING PLAN 13 3.1 Carbon Monitoring 14 3.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon 14 3.1.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon 18 3.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon 22 3.2 Community Monitoring 23 3.2.1 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 24 3.2.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 24 3.2.1 Monitoring Roles And Responsibiliti	1.4 Other Entities Involved in the Project	7
1.6 Project Crediting Period 8 1.7 Project Location 8 1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology 10 1.9 Other Programmes 10 2. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS 11 2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 11 2.2 Deviations 11 2.2.1 Methodology Deviations 11 2.2.2 Project Description Deviations 12 2.3 Grouped Project 12 3. MONITORING PLAN 13 3.1 Carbon Monitoring 14 3.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon 14 3.1.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon 12 3.2 Community Monitoring - Carbon 22 3.2.1 Monitored Parameters - Community 24 3.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 22 3.2.2 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon 26 3.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community	1.5 Project Start Date	8
1.7 Project Location 8 1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology 10 1.9 Other Programmes 10 2. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS 11 2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 11 2.2 Deviations 11 2.1 Methodology Deviations 11 2.2 Project Description Deviations 12 2.3 Grouped Project 12 3. MONITORING PLAN 13 3.1 Carbon Monitoring 14 3.1.1 Monitored Parameters - Carbon 14 3.1.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon 18 3.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon 22 3.1.8 Community Impact Monitoring 23 3.2 Community Impact Monitoring Report Methodology - Community 24 3.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 24 3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 24 3.2 Community Impact Monitoring Report Methodology - Community 26	1.6 Project Crediting Period	8
1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology 10 1.9 Other Programmes 10 2. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS 11 2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 11 2.2 Deviations 11 2.2.1 Methodology Deviations 11 2.2.2 Project Description Deviations 12 2.3 Grouped Project 12 3. MONITORING PLAN 13 3.1 Carbon Monitoring 14 3.1.1 Monitored Parameters - Carbon 14 3.1.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Carbon 18 3.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon 12 3.2 Community Impact Monitoring 23 3.2.1 Monitored Parameters - Community 24 3.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community 24 3.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 26 3.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community 26 3.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community 26	1.7 Project Location	8
1.9 Other Programmes 10 2. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS 11 2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 11 2.2 Deviations 11 2.2.1 Methodology Deviations 11 2.2.2 Project Description Deviations 12 2.3 Grouped Project 12 3. MONITORING PLAN 13 3.1 Carbon Monitoring 14 3.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon 14 3.1.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon 12 3.2 Community Impact Monitoring - Carbon 22 3.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon 22 3.2.1 Monitored Parameters - Community 24 3.2.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 24 3.2.1 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 26 3.2.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 26 3.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community	1.8 Title and Reference of Methodology	. 10
2. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS 11 2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 11 2.2 Deviations 11 2.2.1 Methodology Deviations 11 2.2.2 Project Description Deviations 12 2.3 Grouped Project 12 3. MONITORING PLAN 13 3.1 Carbon Monitoring 14 3.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon 14 3.1.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Carbon 18 3.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon 22 3.1.8 Community Impact Monitoring 23 3.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Community 24 3.2.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 26 3.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community 26 3.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community 26 3.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Community 26 3.2.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 26	1.9 Other Programmes	10
2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity 11 2.2 Deviations 11 2.2.1 Methodology Deviations 11 2.2.2 Project Description Deviations 12 2.3 Grouped Project 12 3. MONITORING PLAN 13 3.1 Carbon Monitoring 14 3.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon 14 3.1.2 Monitored Parameters - Carbon 15 3.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon 17 3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon 17 3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon 18 3.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon 22 3.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon 22 3.2 Community Impact Monitoring 23 3.2.1 Monitored Parameters - Community 24 3.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community 24 3.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community 26 3.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community 26 3.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community 26 3.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure:	2. IMPLEMENTATION STATUS	.11
2.2 Deviations112.2.1 Methodology Deviations112.2.2 Project Description Deviations122.3 Grouped Project123. MONITORING PLAN133.1 Carbon Monitoring143.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon143.1.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon173.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon173.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon173.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring — Carbon183.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.2 Community Impact Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.1 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.1 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon273.2.1 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community263.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community263.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4	2.1 Implementation Status of the Project Activity	. 11
2.2.1 Methodology Deviations112.2.2 Project Description Deviations122.3 Grouped Project123. MONITORING PLAN133.1 Carbon Monitoring143.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon143.1.2 Monitored Parameters - Carbon153.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon173.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon173.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon173.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon183.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon233.2.1 Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community263.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodi	2.2 Deviations	. 11
2.2.2 Project Description Deviations122.3 Grouped Project123. MONITORING PLAN133.1 Carbon Monitoring143.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon143.1.2 Monitored Parameters - Carbon143.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon173.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon173.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon173.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon223.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.4.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodol	2.2.1 Methodology Deviations	. 11
2.3 Grouped Project123. MONITORING PLAN.133.1 Carbon Monitoring143.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon143.1.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon173.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon173.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon173.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon173.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity273.3.1 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity283.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiv	2.2.2 Project Description Deviations	. 12
3. MONITORING PLAN.133.1 Carbon Monitoring143.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon143.1.2 Monitored Parameters - Carbon153.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon173.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon173.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon173.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon183.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity293.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.4.3 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Bi	2.3 Grouped Project	. 12
3.1 Carbon Monitoring143.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon143.1.2 Monitored Parameters - Carbon153.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon173.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon173.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon173.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon183.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.2 Community Impact Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Mon	3. MONITORING PLAN	.13
3.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon143.1.2 Monitored Parameters - Carbon153.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon173.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon173.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon173.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon183.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.2 Community Impact Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Sindiversity273.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.1 Carbon Monitoring	. 14
3.1.2 Monitored Parameters - Carbon153.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon173.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon173.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon173.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Carbon183.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.2 Community Impact Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community263.2.7 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Community263.2.8 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.9 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology -	3.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon	. 14
3.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon173.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon173.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon173.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Carbon183.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.2 Community Impact Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community263.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources293.4 Monitoring Resources20	3.1.2 Monitored Parameters - Carbon	. 15
3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon173.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon173.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Carbon183.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.2 Community Impact Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity283.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon	. 17
3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon173.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Carbon183.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.2 Community Impact Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity283.3 Sinplified Project Monitoring Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources293.4 Monitoring Resources20	3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon	. 17
3.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Carbon183.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.2 Community Impact Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon	. 17
3.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon223.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.2 Community Impact Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters - Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity273.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.4 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Carbon	. 18
3.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon223.2 Community Impact Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity283.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon	. 22
3.2 Community Impact Monitoring233.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.2 Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity283.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.4 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon	. 22
3.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Community.243.2.2 Monitored Parameters – Community	3.2 Community Impact Monitoring	. 23
3.2.2 Monitored Parameters – Community243.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity283.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Community	. 24
3.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community.263.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community.263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community.263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community.263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring.273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity.273.3.2 Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity.283.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity.293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity.293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity.293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Biodiversity.293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.2.2 Monitored Parameters – Community	. 24
3.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community.263.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community.263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community .263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring .273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity .273.3.2 Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity .283.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity .293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity .293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity .293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity .293.4 Monitoring Resources .30	3.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community	. 26
3.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community263.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitored Parameters - Biodiversity283.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring - Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community	. 26
3.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community263.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity283.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community	. 26
3.3 Biodiversity Monitoring273.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity283.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community	. 26
3.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity273.3.2 Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity283.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.3 Biodiversity Monitoring	. 27
3.3.2 Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity283.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity293.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity293.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity293.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity293.4 Monitoring Resources30	3.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity	. 27
 3.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity	3.3.2 Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity	. 28
 3.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity	3.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity	. 29
 3.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity	3.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity	. 29
3.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity	3.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity	. 29
3.4 Monitoring Resources	3.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity	. 29
	3.4 Monitoring Resources	. 30

 3.5 Community Monitoring 3.5.1 Community Participation In Monitoring 3.5.2 Sharing Results of Community Monitoring 3.5.3 Quality Controls for Community Monitoring 	31 32 32 32
 4. QUANTIFICATION OF GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS 4.1 Baseline Emissions 4.2 Project Emissions 4.3 Leakage 4.4 Net GHG Emission Reductions and Removals 	
 5. QUANTIFICATION OF HABITAT HECTARE UNITS 5.1 Baseline Habitat Hectares 5.2 Project Habitat Hectares 5.3 Leakage 5.4 Net Habitat Hectare Units 	35 35 35 35 36
 6. QUANTIFICATION OF COMMUNITY IMPACTS 6.1 Baseline Community Impacts 6.2 Project Community Impacts 6.3 Net Community Impact Enhancements 6.3.1 Community Baseline 	
 7. QUANTIFICATION OF BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS 7.1 Baseline Biodiversity Impacts 7.2 Project Biodiversity Impacts 7.2.1 Loru Forest Project Biodiversity Survey 2015 7.3 Net Biodiversity Impact Enhancements 	40 40 40 40 40
APPENDICES Appendix 1. Loru Project Carbon Budget & Pricing Spreadsheet Appendix 2 Georeferencing Data Appendix 3. Director's Certificate Simplified Project Monitoring	45 45 45 45

LORU FOREST PROJECT MONITORING REPORT 1

Document Prepared By Sean Weaver, Nakau Programme Pty Ltd

sean@ekos.org.nz, +64 35256073

Project Title	Loru Forest Project
Version	1.0
Report ID	N/A
Date of Issue	9 October 2015
Project ID	N/A
Monitoring Period	16 January 2013 to 15 January 2015.
Prepared By	Live and Learn Environmental Education Society Committee (Vanuatu). (Project Coordinator) and the Nakau Programme Pty Ltd (Programme Operator)
Contact	Anjali Nelson, <u>anjali.nelson@livelearn.org</u> , Live & Learn Vanuatu (+678) 27455

Please note that text in grey boxes signifies requirements of the VCS Monitoring Report Template unless otherwise stated.

1. Project Details

1.1 SUMMARY DESCRIPTION OF THE IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF THE PROJECT

Provide a summary description of the implementation status of the project, including the following (no more than one page):

- A summary description of the implementation status of the technologies/ measures (e.g. plant, equipment, process, or management or conservation measure) included in the project.
- The relevant implementation dates (e.g. dates of construction, commissioning, and continued operation periods).
- The total GHG emission reductions or removals generated in this monitoring period.

Project implementation began on 16 January 2013. This is the first verification event.

1.2 SECTORAL SCOPE AND PROJECT TYPE

Indicate the sectoral scope(s) applicable to the project, the AFOLU project category and activity type (if applicable) and whether the project is a grouped project.

AFOLU Avoided Deforestation – Deforestation to Protected Forest (AD-DtPF). First activity instance of a grouped project.

1.3 PROJECT COORDINATOR

Provide contact information for the project proponent(s). Copy and paste the table as needed.

Organization name	Live and Learn Environmental Education Society Committee (Vanuatu). Abbreviated to 'Live and Learn Vanuatu'.
Contact person	Anjali Nelson
Title	REDD+ Regional Project Advisor
Address	Erakor House (Erakor Bridge/Korman Stadium) PO Box 1629, Port Vila, Vanuatu
Telephone	Tel: +678 27448 , Fax: +678 27455
Email	anjali.nelson@livelearn.org

1.4 OTHER ENTITIES INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT

Provide contact information and roles/responsibilities for any other project participant(s). Copy and paste the table as needed.

Organization name	Ser-Thiac
Role in the project	Project Owner
Contact person	Serg Warakar
Title	REDD+ Field Officer
Address	Erakor House (Erakor Bridge/Korman Stadium) PO Box 1629, Port Vila, Vanuatu
Telephone	Tel: +678 27448 , Fax: +678 27455
Email	serge.warakar@livelearn.org

Figure 1.4 Nakau Programme Legal Structure (from Section 2.13.2 of the Loru PD Part A)



1.5 PROJECT START DATE

Indicate the project start date, specifying the day, month and year.

16 January 2013

1.6 PROJECT CREDITING PERIOD

Indicate the project crediting period, specifying the day, month and year for the start and end dates and the total number of years.

16 January 2013 to 15 January 2044 (30 years).

1.7 PROJECT LOCATION

Indicate the project location and geographic boundaries (if applicable) including geodetic coordinates. For grouped and AFOLU projects, coordinates may be submitted separately as a KML file.

Project Location: Loru, Santo, Vanuatu.

Project boundaries: Depicted in Figure 1 below:



Figure 1.7 Project Boundaries

Black line = Project Area boundary

Zone A = Tall Forest Eligible Forest Area (165.6 ha); Management Areas: A1-A4

Zone B = Tall forest to be included in Eligible Forest Area at 2nd Verification (following Zone B inventory); Management Areas: B1-B6

Zone C = Non-forest allocated for agroforestry; Management Areas: C1-C5

K2-23 = randomly located forest inventory sample plots located in Zone A1, with results extrapolated to Zones A2-A4. Inventory to be undertaken in Zones A2-A4 prior to second verification.

1.8 TITLE AND REFERENCE OF METHODOLOGY

Provide the title, reference and version number of the methodology or methodologies applied to the project. Include also the title and version number of any tools applied by the project.

This project applies two Nakau Programme methodology elements:

- 1. Nakau Methodology Framework D2.1 v1.1 20150513
- 2. Technical Specifications Module (C) 2.1 (AD-DtPF): D2.2.1 v1.0, 20150815

1.9 OTHER PROGRAMMES

Include the following information, as applicable:

- <u>Emission Trading Programmes and Other Binding Limits</u>: Where the project reduces GHG emissions from activities that are included in an emissions trading program or any other mechanism that includes GHG allowance trading (as identified in the project description, or where such programs or mechanisms have subsequently emerged) demonstrate that net GHG emission reductions or removals generated during this monitoring period have not be used for compliance under such programs or mechanisms. Examples of appropriate evidence are provided in the VCS Standard.
- <u>Other Forms of Environmental Credit</u>: Indicate whether the project has sought or received another form of GHG-related environmental credit, including renewable energy certificates, during this monitoring period. Include all relevant information about the GHG-related environmental credits and the related program. Additionally, provide a list of all and any other programs under which the project is eligible to create another form of GHG-related environment credit.

<u>Participation under Other GHG Programmes</u>: Indicate whether the project is registered under any other GHG programs and, where this is the case, provide the registration number and details. Provide details of any GHG credits claimed under such programs.

No other programmes apply.

2. Implementation Status

2.1 IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF THE PROJECT ACTIVITY

Describe the implementation status of the project activity(s), include information on the following:

- The operation of the project activity(s) during this monitoring period, including any information on events that may impact the GHG emission reductions or removals and monitoring.
- Where applicable, describe how leakage and non-permanence risk factors are being monitored and managed for AFOLU projects.
- Any other changes (e.g. to project proponent or other entities).

The Loru Forest Project was implemented starting on 16 January 2013. This monitoring report represents project implementation results for the first verification event, representing two vintages (16 January 2013 to 15 January 2015).

This is the first Project Monitoring Report for this project and is presented as a Simplified Project Monitoring Report as provided for in Section 8.1.5 of the PD and Section 8.1.5 of the Technical Specifications Module applied: Technical Specifications Module (C) 2.1 (AD-DtPF): D2.2.1 v1.0, 20150815. The reason for presenting a Simplified Project Monitoring Report for the first verification is due to the fact that although the project start date was 16 January 2013 the methodology and PD were not available until immediately prior to issuance of this first Project Monitoring Report. This is because the Nakau Programme methodologies and the PD for this project were in development between the project start date and the present (i.e. methodology and PD validation took place immediately prior to verification of this first monitoring report). Pursuant to Section 8.1.5 of the PD and Technical Specifications Module Applied this project supplies the equivalent of a Director's Certificate asserting that the material components of the Project Monitoring Plan have been executed (Appendix 3).

2.2 DEVIATIONS

2.2.1 Methodology Deviations

Describe and justify any methodology deviations applied during this monitoring period. Include evidence to demonstrate the following:

- The deviation does not negatively impact the conservativeness of the quantification of GHG emission reductions or removals.
- The deviations relates only to the criteria and procedures for monitoring or measurement, and do not relate to any other part of the methodology

There are no methodology deviations in this monitoring report.

2.2.2 Project Description Deviations

Describe any project description deviations applied during this monitoring period and explain the reasons for the deviation. Identify whether the deviation impacts the applicability of the methodology, additionality or the appropriateness of the baseline scenario and provide an explanation of the outcome.

Describe and report on any project description deviations applied in previous monitoring reports.

There are no deviations from the Project Description in this monitoring report.

2.3 GROUPED PROJECT

For a grouped project, provide relevant information about new instances of the project activity(s) and demonstrate and justify how each new instance of the project activity(s) meets the eligibility criteria set out in the project description. Address each eligibility criteria separately.

This is the first activity instance for a grouped project under the activity type: Avoided Deforestation: Deforestation to Protected Forest for the Nakau Programme.

3. Monitoring Plan

Describe the process and schedule followed for monitoring the data and parameters, set out above, during this monitoring period, include details on the following:

- The organizational structure, responsibilities and competencies of the personnel that carried out the monitoring activities.
- The methods used for generating/measuring, recording, storing, aggregating, collating and reporting the data on monitored parameters.
- The procedures used for handling any internal auditing performed and any non-conformities identified.
- The implementation of sampling approaches, including target precision levels, sample sizes, sample site locations, stratification, frequency of measurement and QA/QC procedures. Where applicable, demonstrate whether the required confidence level or precision has been met.

Where appropriate, include line diagrams to display the GHG data collection and management system.

This section replicates Section 8 in the Loru PD Part B D3.2b v1.0 20151009 with the only difference being that section numbering in this section replaces 8.x with 3.x.

The purpose of project monitoring is to measure, report, and verify ecosystem service outcomes delivered by the project. While a project may generate multiple ecosystem service and social outcomes, the scope of project monitoring is restricted to the specific outcomes represented by PES units.

Two PES unit types are produced by this project: Carbon Offsets and Habitat Hectare units. Both of these unit types are mutually exclusive to each other and cannot be double counted. The core PES unit for purposes of project monitoring is carbon offsets. Habitat Hectares are a proxy for general rainforest protection whereby the assertion of value delivered in project implementation is dominated by project implementation activities associated with the creation of carbon offsets.

The particular type of carbon offset produced by this project is a Plan Vivo Certificate issued as a Verified Emission Reduction unit (VER) but imbued with biodiversity and community cobenefits as required by the Plan Vivo Standard. These co-benefits are integral attributes of the carbon offsets produced under this standard and for this reason, project monitoring requires measurement, reporting and verification of the following project outcome attributes:

- Carbon benefits
- Community benefits
- Biodiversity benefits

Project measurement requirements set out in the PD are broken down into these three categories. Similarly, project monitoring is also broken down into the same three categories. The Project Monitoring Plan is the annual standard operating procedure for measuring project outcome delivery according to these three project benefit types.

3.1 CARBON MONITORING

Carbon offsets are issued to this project as a result of 3rd party verification of each Project Monitoring Report, which contains data sufficient to provide evidence to support a GHG assertion for the Project Monitoring Period in question.

Project Monitoring reports will be produced using the latest VCS Monitoring Report Template at a maximum of 5-yearly intervals covering each Project Monitoring Period. The Project Monitoring Report will be produced in the year following the final year of the Project Monitoring Period.

3.1.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters - Carbon

Some data parameters are derived from default values or are measured at one time only. These are non-monitored parameters. Other data parameters are monitored during each Monitoring Period.

Monitored and non-monitored data are listed in Table 3.1.1 below, and presented in the sequence in which measurement of GHG emissions and emission reductions are calculated.

Table 3.1.1 Monitored and Non-Monitored Parameters – Carbon (monitored parameters in green)					
Notation	Parameter	Unit	Equa- tion	Origin	Monitored
EFA	Eligible Forest Area	ha	-	PD	Monitored
LF/ULF	Forest stratification (logged/unlogged forest)	ha	-	PD	Area calculated in PD
AGBE	Above Ground Biomass Emitted	m ³ yr ⁻¹	4.1.1	Calculated from inventory	Not monitored Updated each Baseline Revision
BGBE	Below Ground Biomass Emitted	m ³ yr⁻¹	4.1.2	Root-shoot ratio (proportion of AGBE)	Not monitored Updated each Baseline Revision
TM3	Total Emissions in m ³	m ³ yr ⁻¹	4.1.3	Sum of AGBE and BGBE	Not monitored Updated each Baseline Revision
GTCO2	Gross Total Emissions in tCO ² e	tCO₂e yr ⁻¹	4.1.4a 4.1.4b 4.1.4c	Conversion factors from wood volume to emissions	Not monitored Updated each Baseline Revision

			4.1.4d		
GBEWP	Gross Baseline	tCO ₂ e yr ⁻¹	4.1.5	Conversion factors from wood	Not monitored
	Emissions			products calculation	Updated each
					Baseline Revision
ltWP	Long Term Wood	tCO ₂ e yr ⁻¹	4.1.6	Calculated through conversion	Not monitored
	Products			factors based on volume of	
				wood harvested.	
NBEA	Net Baseline	tCO ₂ e yr ⁻¹	4.1.7	Default factors based on GBE	Not monitored
	Emissions				Updated each
	Avoided				Baseline Revision
ER	Enhanced	tCO ₂ e yr ⁻¹	5.1.1	Default values derived from	Not Monitored
	Removals			mean sequestration rates for	Updated each
				relevant forest types and	Monitoring Period
				subsequently derived from	
				project-specific data	
TAL	Total Activity	tCO ₂ e yr ⁻¹	5.2.1	Derived from Activity Shifting	Monitored
	Shifting Leakage			Leakage Analysis	Updated each
					Monitoring Period

3.1.2 Monitored Parameters - Carbon

Complete the table below for all data and parameters monitored during the project crediting period (copy the table as necessary for each data unit/parameter). Data and parameters determined or available at validation are included in Section **Error! Reference source not found.** (Data and Parameters Available at Validation) above.

Monitored data and parameters are summarized in the tables below.

Data Unit / Parameter:	Eligible Forest Area (Eligible Forest Area)
Data unit:	На
Description:	Forest area included in baseline and project scenario, and area upon
	which crediting is based (EFA _{LF} &/or EFA _{ULF})
Source of data:	Aerial imagery and Project Boundary Inspection
Description of	Aerial imagery (sub-meter accuracy) to define Eligible Forest Area
measurement methods	boundary; boundary survey inspections (sub-meter accuracy) using
and procedures to be	GPS.
applied:	Measure any reversals occurring in the Eligible Forest Area.
	Monitored by means of Eligible Forest Boundary Inspections that
	record any reversal incident occurring within the Eligible Forest Area.
	The area of any reversal above and beyond the de minimis threshold
	is measured using GPS units set up for sub-meter accuracy and
	measuring tapes. Area subject to reversal is removed from the Eligible
	Forest Area until the reversal has recovered the carbon volume lost in
	the reversal. This is calculated by means of sequestration rates and
	the estimate of the forest age for the area subject to the reversal.
	Forest age of the area subject to the reversal is calculated by:
	 Dendrochronology on stumps in the case of a timber harvest
	reversal

	Dendrochronology on adjacent living trees of equivalent size of	
	burnt stumps	
Frequency of	Aerial imagery: 5-yearly	
monitoring/recording:	Eligible Forest Boundary inspections: annually	
Value monitored:	Area	
Monitoring equipment:	Aerial imagery/satellite data to sub-meter accuracy	
	Hand held GPS unit, photography	
QA/QC procedures to be	Maximum periodicity of 5-yearly 3 rd party verification of Project	
applied:	Monitoring Reports.	
Calculation method:	Subtract reversal area from the Eligible Forest Area and recalculate	
	the Net Carbon Credits by means of the Buffer Account Rules (Section	
	5.5.2 this document).	

Data Unit / Parameter:	Total Activity Shifting Leakage
Data unit:	tCO ₂ e/yr
Description:	Leakage caused by activity shifting
Source of data:	Project Area Inspection (outside Eligible Forest Area)
Description of	Site visit of indigenous forest lands owned and controlled by the
measurement methods	Project Owner to assess commercial timber harvesting activity in
and procedures to be	comparison with the Baseline Activity and Project Activity as stated in
applied:	the PD.
	Where commercial indigenous timber harvesting is occurring on lands
	owned and controlled by the Project Owner but lying outside the
	Eligible Forest Area, and where such harvesting has been declared in
	the PD, the following assessment will be undertaken:
	 Records of timber harvesting activity are inspected and
	verified against the timber harvesting plan stated in the PD.
	 Timber harvesting sites are inspected to verify that they are
	occurring in the areas specified in the PD.
	Where commercial indigenous timber harvesting is occurring on lands
	owned and controlled by the Project Owner but lying outside the
	Eligible Forest Area, and where such harvesting has not been declared
	in the PD (i.e. and thereby constitutes Activity Shifting Leakage), the
	following assessment will be undertaken:
	 Records of timber harvesting activity are inspected and
	annual timber harvesting volumes and species are recorded.
	 Timber harvesting sites are inspected to determine area of
	harvesting activity.
	 Calculations are made using the baseline GHG emissions
	measurement methodology in the Technical Specifications
	Module 2.1 (C) (AD-DtPF), to determine the volume of Activity
	Shifting Leakage.
	 Net Carbon Credits are recalculated to account for Total
	Activity Shifting Leakage (TAL)
	 The Project Owner is notified of the consequence of any
	continuation of Activity Shifting Leakage in terms of the

	reduction in Net Carbon Credits for the Project.			
	The Project Owner is instructed to terminate Activity Shifting timber			
	harvesting or risk suspension or termination from the Nakau			
	Programme.			
Frequency of	Annual Leakage Inspection and results incorporated into the annual			
monitoring/recording:	Project Management Report. 5-yearly 2 nd party verification of Project			
	Management Reporting by the Programme Operator.			
Value monitored:	m ³ yr ⁻¹			
Monitoring equipment:	GPS unit, measuring tape, photography			
QA/QC procedures to be	Maximum periodicity of 5-yearly 3 rd party verification of Project			
applied:	Monitoring Reports.			
Calculation method:	Activity Shifting Leakage method specified in Section 5.2.1 of the			
	Technical Specifications Module (C) 2.1 (AD-DtPF): D2.2.1 v1.0,			
	20150815.			

3.1.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Carbon

Specific project monitoring roles for projects applying this Technical Specifications Module are summarised in Table 7.1.3. Project Owners and Project Coordinators are required to assign specific roles to specific stakeholders in the PD, and use this convention in the implementation and monitoring of the Project Activity.

Table 4.1.3 Project Monitoring Roles/Responsibilities		
Task	Responsibility	
Eligible Forest Area Boundary	Project Owner with assistance from the Project Coordinator	
Inspections	where needed	
Eligible Forest Area Inspections	Project Owner with assistance from the Project Coordinator	
	where needed	
Project Management Reporting	Project Owner with assistance from the Project Coordinator	
Aerial imagery/mapping	Project Coordinator	
Project Monitoring data	Project Coordinator	
management		

Specific project monitoring roles for this project is presented in Table 4.1.3 below:

3.1.4 Information Management Systems - Carbon

This project uses the information management system described in Section 7.1 of the Nakau Methodology Framework.

3.1.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Carbon

This project will submit a simplified Project Monitoring Report for its first verification. The Simplified Project Monitoring Report will fulfil all components of the latest VCS Monitoring

Report Template with the exception that Section 3.2 will list the data and parameters monitored but the full monitoring procedures will not be implemented until the second verification. In place of data generated from monitoring activities the Project Owner will supply the equivalent of a Director's Certificate to assert that the Project Activity has taken place according to the requirements of the Nakau Methodology Framework and the Technical Specifications Module (C) 2.1 (AD-DtPF): D2.2.1 v1.0, 20150815.

3.1.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Carbon

All projects applying this Technical Specifications Module are required to develop a Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for Monitoring. Projects have the option to submit a simplified SOP for Monitoring when submitting the PD for validation and/or for first verification. Projects electing to supply a simplified SOP for Monitoring for PD and first verification are required to establish a simplified SOP for Monitoring for first verification and then follow the full monitoring SOP thereafter. The simplified SOP for Monitoring requires the Project Coordinator to prepare the first Project Monitoring Report based on the requirements of the Nakau Methodology Framework and this Technical Specifications Module.

Table 3.1.6 Monitoring Schedule - Carbon							
Carbon							
Activity	Frequency	Responsibility	Human Resources	Financial Resources			
Eligible Forest	6-monthly	Landowner	Rangers employed by the	PES unit price accounts for			
Area	inspection	(rangers);	project from the landowner	employment of rangers			
	3-yearly aerial	Project	community; Project	and Project Coordinator			
	imagery	Coordinator	Coordinator staff	staff*			
Eligible Forest	6-monthly	Landowner	Rangers employed by the	PES unit price accounts for			
Boundary	inspection	(rangers);	project from the landowner	employment of rangers			
	3-yearly aerial	Project	community; Project	and Project Coordinator			
	imagery	Coordinator	Coordinator staff	staff			
De minimis	6-monthly	Landowner	Rangers employed by the	PES unit price accounts for			
timber	inspection	(rangers);	project from the landowner	employment of rangers			
harvesting	3-yearly aerial	Project	community; Project	and Project Coordinator			
inspections	imagery	Coordinator	Coordinator staff	staff			
Activity	Annual	Project	Rangers employed by the	PES unit price accounts for			
Shifting	inspection	Coordinator	project from the landowner	employment of rangers			
Leakage	3-yearly	and	community; Project	and Project Coordinator			
	calculation	Landowner	Coordinator staff	staff			

The Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for Monitoring Carbon benefits is presented below.

* Evidence to support the assertion of the unit price accounting for monitoring costs can be found in Appendix 1 (Sheets 'Loru Pricing' and 'Loru Budget').

3.1.6.1 Forest Management Areas

The Forest Management Areas for the Loru Forest Project are presented in Figure 3.1.6.1.



Figure 3.1.6.1 Loru Forest Project management zones and inventory plots

The Eligible Forest Area is restricted to Zone A1-A4. The A1-A4 boundary is delineated by describing a line from the southern most point in Zeon C1 to the nearest point in Zone B3 in Figure 3.1.6.1 above.

3.1.6.2 Eligible Forest Boundary Inspections

Description: The Eligible Forest Area boundary is inspected annually to record the status of this boundary.

Purpose: Monitor and manage any reversals occurring at the boundary.

Method:

Make observations of the Eligible Forest Area boundary during the course of the 6-monthly Eligible Forest Area Inspections. This is conducted during the walking of line transects from one side of an Eligible Forest Area boundary to another, and by viewing the Eligible Forest Area boundary in both directions along the boundary from the point on each transect line as it meets the Eligible Forest Area boundary. If reversals at the Eligible Forest Area boundary are observed at points along the boundary that do not coincide with the line transect then the reversal is recorded using the Eligible Forest Boundary Inspection Template (Appendix 6 of Loru PD Part B D3.2b v1.0 20151009).

Recurrence: 6-monthly inspections.

Responsibility: Project Owner with supervision support from the Project Coordinator until such time as Project Coordinator supervision support not required (as determined by Project Owner and Project Coordinator by mutual agreement). Project Coordinator to supervise Eligible Forest Boundary Inspection at leas once during each 3-yearly monitoring period.

3.1.6.3 Eligible Forest Area Inspections

Description: Descriptive survey of forest condition within Eligible Forest Area boundary.

Purpose: Monitor any reversals occurring within Eligible Forest Area, and ensure that any timber harvesting lies within the *de minimis* limit imposed by the Technical Specifications Module applied.

Method:

Large Area Transect Method: For each Forest Management Area, permanently mark a Transect Base Point with a boundary peg (this can be a boundary peg used for forest inventory and/or permanent sample plots). Define a Transect Datum Line using a compass bearing and orient the transect datum line along the long axis of the Forest Management Area (see Figure 8.1.6.3). Use the last two digits from random numbers and convert to meters, to select a transect starting point along the Transect Datum Line. Use a compass bearing to mark out parallel transect lines through the Forest Management Area, with transects located between 100m and 500m intervals and orientated perpendicular to the Transect Datum Line.

<u>Medium Area Transect Method</u>: For forest management areas that are too small to undertake two or more transects using the Large Area Transect Method, use the same method as the Large Area Transect Method but select the last single digit from the random numbers to locate the first transect line, and locate the transects between 20m and 100m intervals along the transect datum line.

<u>Small Area Transect Method</u>: For forest management areas less than 100m long, start with the Transect Base Point, then locate a single transect running through the longest axis of the

forest patch (and curving the transect where necessary in order to keep the transect within the forest boundary).

<u>Transect Survey Procedure</u>: Walk the full length of each transect line and on the Project Area Inspection Template (Appendix 7, Loru PD Part B D3.2b v1.0 20151009) record the following Reversal Events:

- a. Evidence of timber harvesting
- b. Evidence of fire
- c. Evidence of detrimental changes in forest health (e.g. browsing, pest infestation, disease, snow-break, dieback)

For each Reversal Event record the location with a GPS unit and describe the event using the Eligible Forest Area Inspection Checklist. For each timber harvesting Reversal Event record the stump diameter, the species of harvested tree where possible, any evidence of on-site timber processing, log hauling, and collateral damage.





Recurrence: 6-monthly inspections.

Responsibility: Project Owner with supervision support from the Project Coordinator until such time as Project Coordinator supervision support not required (as determined by Project Owner and Project Coordinator by mutual agreement). Project Coordinator to supervise Eligible Forest Boundary Inspection at leas once during each 3-yearly monitoring period.

Note: Use a different random number to generate the transect starting point along the transect datum line for each subsequent annual monitoring cycle.

3.1.6.4 De Minimis Timber Harvest Inspection

De minimis timber harvesting inspections will be undertaken 6-monthly in conjunction with the 6-monthly Eligible Forest Area Inspections described in Section 4.1.6.3.

The *de minimis* timber harvesting volume for the Loru Forest Project is 60m³ per year. This amounts to <5% of the total allowable annual commercial timber harvest in the Baseline Scenario in the Eligible Forest Area as provided for in the Technical Specifications Module applied. There has been no *de minimis* timber harvesting in this monitoring period.

3.1.6.5 Activity Shifting Leakage Inspection

Activity Shifting Leakage Inspections will be undertaken annually in the Loru Forest Project following first verification. These inspections will be undertaken in conjunction with the 6-monthly Eligible Forest Area Inspections described in Section 3.1.6.3.

The project will record Activity Shifting Leakage events using the template supplied in Appendix 9 Loru PD Part B D3.2b v1.0 20151009.

3.1.7 Monitoring Resources and Capacity - Carbon

According to Section 5 of the Plan Vivo Standard (2013, p17):

5.9. A monitoring plan must be developed for each project intervention which specifies:5.9.6. Resources and capacity required

According to the Technical Specifications Module (C) 2.1 (AD-DtPF): D2.2.1 v1.0, 20150815: The Project Monitoring Plan must identify (and provide evidence for) the resources available to undertake monitoring, including:

- Financial resources and the source of such finance (e.g. unit pricing, grants, fees)
- Human resources and capability required.

The financial and human resources allocated to project monitoring are presented in Table 3.1.6 above.

3.1.8 Community Monitoring - Carbon

According to Section 5 of the Plan Vivo Standard (2013, p17):

- 5.9. A monitoring plan must be developed for each project intervention which specifies:
 - 5.9.7. How communities will participate in monitoring, e.g. by training community members and gradually delegating monitoring activities over the duration of the project
 - 5.9.8. How results of monitoring will be shared and discussed with participants
- 5.10. Where participants are involved in monitoring, a system for checking the robustness of monitoring results must be in place, e.g. checking a random sample of monitoring results by the project coordinator.

According to the Technical Specifications Module (C) 2.1 (AD-DtPF): D2.2.1 v1.0, 20150815:

The Project Monitoring Plan must include:

• A description of how the Project Owner and/or other local people will participate in

monitoring in compliance with the Project Participation Protocol specified in Section 3.1 of the PD (applying Section 3.1 of the Nakau Methodology Framework).

- A description of how the results of monitoring will be shared and discussed with participants with reference to the Project Monitoring Workshops specified in Section 3.1.7 of the PD (applying Section 3.1.7 of the Nakau Methodology Framework).
- A description of the quality controls used to safeguard the integrity and accuracy of data gathered from monitoring activities involving Project Owners and/or other local people.

Community involvement in monitoring is set out in Table 3.1.6 above.

3.1.8.1 Community Participation In Monitoring

The Project Owner will recruit rangers with responsibilities to undertake project monitoring tasks described in Table 3.1.6. Ser-Thiac Ltd (the landowner community business entity responsible for this project) will be responsible for recruitment and management of rangers for this project. The Project Coordinator will provide supervision and support for ranger activities with this role scaling downwards through time at a rate determined by mutual agreement between the Project Coordinator and Ser-Thiac.

3.1.8.2 Sharing Results of Community Monitoring

Community monitoring outputs are recorded in annual Project Management Reports prepared and approved by Ser-Thiac with the assistance of the Project Coordinator. Project Management Reports are submitted for approval to the Project Coordinator and the Programme Operator on an annual basis. The Project Coordinator collates the content of annual Project Management Reports into three-yearly Project Monitoring Reports. Ser-Thiac and the Project Coordinator approves each Project Monitoring Report before being submitted to the Programme Operator for approval. Once approved by the Programme Operator the Project Monitoring Report is submitted for a verification audit.

3.1.8.3 Quality Controls for Community Monitoring

Quality controls for community monitoring are described in Section 3.1.8.2.

3.2 COMMUNITY IMPACT MONITORING

Carbon offsets are issued to this project as a result of 3rd party verification of each Project Monitoring Report, which contains data sufficient to provide evidence to support a community impact assertion for the Project Monitoring Period in question. This is a requirement for the carbon offsets to be issued as Plan Vivo Certificates under the Plan Vivo Standard.

3.2.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Community

Table 3.2.1 Monitored and Non-Monitored Parameters – Community Impacts						
Notation	Parameter Unit Origin Monitored					
FA	Food & Agriculture	Various	Community Impact Survey	Monitored		
W	Water accessibility	%	Community Impact Survey	Monitored		
Н	Household Income	Vatu	Community Impact Survey	Monitored		
Ρ	Participation	Number & %	Community Impact Survey	Monitored		

Monitored and non-monitored community impact data are listed in Table 3.2.1 below.

3.2.2 Monitored Parameters – Community

Monitored data and parameters are summarized in the tables below.

Data Unit / Parameter:	Food & Agriculture		
Data unit:	Various		
Description:	 We want to know: If the forest products continue to be used indicating the continuation traditional practices If access to land for gardens diminishes to a point that it affects acces food If project owners begin to purchase food more often indicating increased income but also creating possible negative unintended impacts (i.e. health) If income is still sought through the sale of food and how this income changes over time. 		
Source of data:	Community Impact Survey		
Description of	Structured interviews pursuing the following questions:		
and procedures to be	1.2 How big is your family garden?		
applied:	1.3 How often do you eat free food from your garden?		
appricar	1.4 How often do you run out of food?		
	1.5 How often do you eat food from the forest?		
	1.6 How much do you make selling food?		
Frequency of monitoring/recording:	3-yearly		
Value monitored:	Various		
Monitoring equipment:	Social survey equipment		
QA/QC procedures to be applied:	3-yearly 3 rd party verification of Project Monitoring Reports.		
Calculation method:	Compare responses with previous survey		

Data Unit / Parameter:	Water Accessibility		
Data unit:	Various		
Description:	Access to water has been a key issue for project owners in Loru. We want to		

	know if improved access to water results from the project. Further, access to		
	water being such a basic need, is another indicator of overall wellbeing. The		
	impact of this on women deserves special attention by interviewers.		
Source of data:	Community Impact Survey		
Description of	Structured interviews pursuing the following questions:		
measurement methods	1.1 Do you run out of water?		
and procedures to be	1.2 Are there days when you can use as much as you like?		
applied:			
Frequency of	3-yearly		
monitoring/recording:			
Value monitored:	Various		
Monitoring equipment:	Social survey equipment		
QA/QC procedures to be	3-yearly 3 rd party verification of Project Monitoring Reports.		
applied:			
Calculation method:	Compare responses with previous survey		

Data Unit / Parameter:	Household Income		
Data unit:	Various		
Description:	Increased income can demonstrate increased wellbeing although it can also		
	be damaging. While we measure income over time, we also measure		
	changes in livelihoods or time spent on activities every day such as		
	housework, gardening etc. This will help us to see if project owners have		
	more time to give to non-core activities and therefore, perhaps their lives are		
	made easier by the project. We will also monitor if the money is causing		
	social decay via its use for negative pursuits (i.e. alcohol). Education is also		
	used to determine whether increased income is creating greater wellbeing.		
Source of data:	Community Impact Survey		
Description of	Structured interviews pursuing the following questions:		
measurement methods	1.1 Access to Education		
and procedures to be	1.2 Personal Monthly Income (VUV)		
applied:	1.3 Travel to town (times per week)		
	1.4 Hours spent cooking (per day)		
	1.5 Hours spent Gardening (Per day)		
	1.6 Hours spent resting		
Frequency of	3-yearly		
monitoring/recording:			
Value monitored:	Various		
Monitoring equipment:	Social survey equipment		
QA/QC procedures to be	3-yearly 3 rd party verification of Project Monitoring Reports.		
applied:			
Calculation method:	Compare responses with previous survey		

Data Unit / Parameter:	Project Participation
Data unit:	Various
Description:	We want to use this monitoring as a chance to assess how well the 'REDD+
	Enterprise' (i.e. the cooperative or family business) is doing at engaging the
	project owners and earning local trust. This indicates resilience and overall
	wellbeing if the faith in this institution is high.

Source of data:	Community Impact Survey		
Description of	Structured interviews pursuing the following questions:		
measurement methods	4.1 How many youth do you know that are engaged with the REDD+		
and procedures to be	Enterprise?		
applied:	4.2 Are you given the opportunity to access information about the REDD+		
	Enterprise's finances and activities?		
	4.3 Do you trust the REDD+ Enterprise?		
Frequency of	3-yearly		
monitoring/recording:			
Value monitored:	Various		
Monitoring equipment:	Social survey equipment		
QA/QC procedures to be	3-yearly 3 rd party verification of Project Monitoring Reports.		
applied:			
Calculation method:	Compare responses with previous survey		

3.2.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Community

Specific project monitoring roles for projects applying this Technical Specifications Module are summarised in Table 7.1.3. Project Owners and Project Coordinators are required to assign specific roles to specific stakeholders in the PD, and use this convention in the implementation and monitoring of the Project Activity.

Community Impact Monitoring surveys are the responsibility of the Project Coordinator. Surveys are to be conducted with the consent of Ser-Thiac.

3.2.4 Information Management Systems - Community

This project uses the information management system described in Section 7.1 of the Nakau Methodology Framework.

3.2.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Community

This project will submit a simplified Project Monitoring Report for its first verification.

3.2.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Community

The Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for Monitoring Community Impacts is presented below.

Table 3.2.6 Monitoring Schedule – Community Impacts							
Community							
Activity	Frequency Responsibility Human Resources Financial Resources						
Food,	3-yearly Project Project Coordinator staff PES unit price account			PES unit price accounts for			
consumption, Coordinator employment of Project							
agriculture Coordinator staff*							

Water	3-yearly	Project	Project Coordinator staff	PES unit price accounts for
accessibility		Coordinator		employment of Project
				Coordinator staff
Household	3-yearly	Project	Project Coordinator staff	PES unit price accounts for
income		Coordinator		employment of Project
				Coordinator staff
Participation	3-yearly	Project	Project Coordinator staff	PES unit price accounts for
		Coordinator		employment of Project
				Coordinator staff

* Evidence to support the assertion of the unit price accounting for monitoring costs can be found in Appendix 1 (Sheets 'Loru Pricing' and 'Loru Budget').

3.2.6.1 Baseline Community Impacts

Baseline community impacts were measured during project development and have been measured and presented in Section 5.2.2.3 of the Loru Forest Project PD Part A D3.2a v1.0 20151009.

3.2.6.2 Project Community Impacts

Project community impacts will be measured by means of a 3-yearly community impact survey to quantify change in the community impact indicators described in Section 3.2.2 above.

3.2.6.3 Net Community Impact Enhancements

Tabulation of baseline and project community impacts, and net community impact enhancements will be presented in summary using the following format.

	Baseline community	Project community	Net community impact
	impacts	impacts	enhancements
Impact 1			
Impact 2			

3.3 BIODIVERSITY MONITORING

Carbon offsets are issued to this project as a result of 3rd party verification of each Project Monitoring Report, which contains data sufficient to provide evidence to support a biodiversity impact assertion for the Project Monitoring Period in question. This is a requirement for the carbon offsets to be issued as Plan Vivo Certificates under the Plan Vivo Standard.

3.3.1 Monitored And Non-Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity

Monitored and non-monitored community impact data are listed in Table 3.3.1 below.

Table 3.3.1 Monitored and Non-Monitored Parameters – Community Impacts						
Notation	Notation Parameter Unit Origin Monitored					
SSA	Significant species - Animals	Presence/absence	Biodiversity Survey	Monitored		
SSP Significant species - Plants Presence/absence Biodiversity Survey Monitored						

3.3.2 Monitored Parameters – Biodiversity

Monitored data and parameters are summarized in the tables below.

Data Unit / Parameter:	Significant Species - Animals
Data unit:	Presence/absence
Description:	
Source of data:	Biodiversity Survey
Description of	Record significant species during Eligible Forest Area Inspections.
measurement methods	
and procedures to be	
applied:	
Frequency of	3-yearly
monitoring/recording:	
Value monitored:	Presence/absence
Monitoring equipment:	Animal identification table, binoculars, mobile phone, itracker
	software (or equivalent)
QA/QC procedures to be	3-yearly 3 rd party verification of Project Monitoring Reports.
applied:	
Calculation method:	Compare responses with previous survey

Data Unit / Parameter:	Significant Species - Plants
Data unit:	Presence/absence
Description:	
Source of data:	Biodiversity Survey
Description of	Record significant species during Eligible Forest Area Inspections.
measurement methods	
and procedures to be	
applied:	
Frequency of	3-yearly
monitoring/recording:	
Value monitored:	Presence/absence
Monitoring equipment:	Plant identification table, binoculars, mobile phone, itracker software
	(or equivalent)
QA/QC procedures to be	3-yearly 3 rd party verification of Project Monitoring Reports.
applied:	
Calculation method:	Compare responses with previous survey

3.3.3 Monitoring Roles And Responsibilities - Biodiversity

Specific project monitoring roles for projects applying this Technical Specifications Module are summarised in Table 7.1.3. Project Owners and Project Coordinators are required to assign specific roles to specific stakeholders in the PD, and use this convention in the implementation and monitoring of the Project Activity.

Biodiversity Monitoring surveys are the responsibility of the Project Owner with support and supervision of the Project Coordinator. Surveys are to be conducted with the consent of Ser-Thiac.

3.3.4 Information Management Systems - Biodiversity

This project uses the information management system described in Section 7.1 of the Nakau Methodology Framework.

3.3.5 Simplified Project Monitoring Report Methodology - Biodiversity

This project will submit a simplified Project Monitoring Report for its first verification.

3.3.6 Standard Operating Procedure: Project Monitoring – Biodiversity

The Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for Monitoring Biodiversity is presented below.

Table 3.3.6 Monitoring Schedule – Biodiversity									
Community									
Activity	Frequency	Responsibility	Human Resources	Financial Resources					
Biodiversity	3-yearly	Project Owner	Project Rangers	PES unit price accounts for					
Survey -				employment of Project					
Animals				Coordinator staff*					
Biodiversity	3-yearly	Project Owner	Project Rangers	PES unit price accounts for					
Survey -				employment of Project					
Plants				Coordinator staff					

* Evidence to support the assertion of the unit price accounting for monitoring costs can be found in Appendix 1 (Sheets 'Loru Pricing' and 'Loru Budget').

3.3.6.1 Baseline Biodiversity Impacts

Baseline biodiversity impacts (i.e. survey of a reference area supporting habitat types in the baseline) have not been measured. A baseline biodiversity survey is optional under the Plan Vivo standard minimum requirements for biodiversity, but it is the aspiration of the Loru

Forest Project to undertake a baseline biodiversity survey to enable comparison between baseline and project biodiversity indicators and generate a net biodiversity impact assertion.

3.3.6.2 Project Biodiversity Impacts

Project biodiversity impacts will be measured by means of a 3-yearly biodiversity impact survey to quantify change and/or trends in site biodiversity. The first project biodiversity impact survey was undertaken during project development and have been measured and presented in Section 5.3.1 of the Loru Forest Project PD Part A D3.2a v1.0 20151009.

3.3.6.3 Net Biodiversity Impact Enhancements

Tabulation of baseline and project biodiversity impacts, and net biodiversity impact enhancements will be presented in summary using the following format.

	Baseline community impacts	Project community impacts	Net community impact enhancements
Impact 1			
Impact 2			

3.4 MONITORING RESOURCES

According to Section 5 of the Plan Vivo Standard (2013, p17):

5.9. A monitoring plan must be developed for each project intervention which specifies: 5.9.6. Resources and capacity required

The Project Monitoring Plan must identify (and provide evidence for) the resources available to undertake monitoring, including:

- Financial resources and the source of such finance (e.g. unit pricing, grants, fees)
- Human resources and capability required.

A summary of financial resources for project monitoring is presented in Tables 3.1.6, 3.2.6, and 3.3.6 above. Human resource and capability for monitoring is sourced from three key project stakeholder entities:

Project Monitoring Stakeholder	Capability						
Project Owner	Carbon and Biodiversity Monitoring						
	Project rangers have been trained by the Project Coordinator and						
	the Programme Operator during project development and in						
	particular, during the Project Owner participation in the carbon						
	stock inventory. Rangers have supervision support from the						
	Project Coordinator and the Programme Operator.						
Project Coordinator	Community Impact Monitoring						
	Community impact monitoring will be undertaken by the Project						
	Coordinator. The capability of the Project Coordinator to						

	undertake community impact monitoring has been demonstrated					
	during project development and the completion of the community					
	impact baseline survey with results presented in Section 5.2.2 of					
	the PD Part A. The Project Coordinator has supervision support					
	from the Programme Operator, whose supervision was applied					
	during project development. Training of new Project Coordinator					
	staff will be undertaken by both incumbent Project Coordinator					
	staff and the Programme Operator. The capability of the Project					
	Coordinator is sumarised in Section 2.13.4 of the Loru PD Part A					
	D3.2a v1.0 20151009.					
Programme Operator	The Programme Operator has demonstrated its capability in					
	providing supervision and guidance to Project Coordinators during					
	the course of programme design and project development.					

3.5 COMMUNITY MONITORING

According to Section 5 of the Plan Vivo Standard (2013, p17):

- 5.9. A monitoring plan must be developed for each project intervention which specifies:
 - 5.9.7. How communities will participate in monitoring, e.g. by training community members and gradually delegating monitoring activities over the duration of the project
 - 5.9.8. How results of monitoring will be shared and discussed with participants
- 5.10. Where participants are involved in monitoring, a system for checking the robustness of monitoring results must be in place, e.g. checking a random sample of monitoring results by the project coordinator.

The Project Monitoring Plan must include:

- A description of how the Project Owner and/or other local people will participate in monitoring in compliance with the Project Participation Protocol specified in Section 3.1 of the PD (applying Section 3.1 of the Nakau Methodology Framework).
- A description of how the results of monitoring will be shared and discussed with participants with reference to the Project Monitoring Workshops specified in Section 3.1.7 of the PD (applying Section 3.1.7 of the Nakau Methodology Framework).
- A description of the quality controls used to safeguard the integrity and accuracy of data gathered from monitoring activities involving Project Owners and/or other local people.

The Serakar Clan will play a central role in project monitoring, including participating in 6monthly eligible forest area inspections, continuous biodiversity survey, and annual activity shifting inspections jointly with the Project Coordinator. The Serakar Clan will be surveyed in 3-yearly community impact surveys.

3.5.1 Community Participation In Monitoring

The Project Owner has recruited rangers with responsibilities to undertake project monitoring tasks described in Table 3.1.6. Ser-Thiac Ltd (the landowner community business entity responsible for this project) is responsible for recruitment and management of rangers for this project. The Project Coordinator and provided supervision and support for ranger activities during project development and for this simplified version of the Project Monitoring Report. The Project Coordinator has already started delegating responsibilities to the Project Owner.

3.5.2 Sharing Results of Community Monitoring

Community monitoring outputs have been recorded in the PD and this document prepared and approved by Ser-Thiac with the assistance of the Project Coordinator. Project Management Reports are submitted for approval to the Project Coordinator and the Programme Operator on an annual basis. The Project Coordinator collates the content of annual Project Management Reports into three-yearly Project Monitoring Reports. Ser-Thiac and the Project Coordinator approves each Project Monitoring Report before being submitted to the Programme Operator for approval. Once approved by the Programme Operator the Project Monitoring Report is submitted for a verification audit.

3.5.3 Quality Controls for Community Monitoring

Quality controls for community monitoring are described in Section 8.1.8.2 of the Loru PD Part A D3.2a v1.0 20151009 and have been fulfilled for this Monitoring Report.

4. Quantification of GHG Emission Reductions and Removals

4.1 BASELINE EMISSIONS

Quantify the baseline emissions and/or removals, providing sufficient information to allow the reader to reproduce the calculation. Attach electronic spreadsheets as an appendix or separate file to facilitate the verification of the results.

Annual Baseline Emissions: 1,979tCO2e. The first Monitoring Period is 16 January 2013 – 15 January 2015 (i.e. 2 years) (Appendix 1, Sheet 'Loru Carbon' Cell E9).

Baseline Emissions for the first monitoring period are 3,520 tCO2e (i.e. 1,760 x 2).

Annual Baseline Removals: 34 tCO2e. Baseline Removals for the first monitoring period are 68 tCO2e (Appendix 1, Sheet 'Loru Carbon' Cell E10).

Annual Net Baseline Emissions: 1,726 tCO2e (Appendix 1, Sheet 'Loru Carbon' Cell E11).

4.2 PROJECT EMISSIONS

Quantify the project emissions and/or removals, providing sufficient information to allow the reader to reproduce the calculation. Attach electronic spreadsheets as an appendix or separate file to facilitate the verification of the results.

Annual Net Project Removals: 1,326 tCO2e (Appendix 1, Sheet 'Loru Carbon' Cell E15).

4.3 LEAKAGE

Quantify leakage emissions providing sufficient information to allow the reader to reproduce the calculation. Attach electronic spreadsheets as an appendix or separate file to facilitate the verification of the results.

There has been no activity shifting leakage in this monitoring period. There has been no market leakage in this monitoring period (due to the insignificant volume of baseline timber harvesting in relation to the national domestic timber market).

Leakage for this monitoring period is 0 tCO2e (Appendix 1, Sheet 'Loru Carbon' Cell E12).

4.4 NET GHG EMISSION REDUCTIONS AND REMOVALS

Quantify the net GHG emission reductions and removals, summarizing the key results using the table below. Specify breakdown of GHG emission reductions and removals by vintages.

For AFOLU projects, include quantification of the net change in carbon stocks. Also, state the nonpermanence risk rating (as determined in the AFOLU non-permanence risk report) and calculate the total number of buffer credits that need to be deposited into the AFOLU pooled buffer account. Attach the non-permanence risk report as either an appendix or a separate document.

Net Ca	Net Carbon Credits									
Year	Net	Buffer	Net	Buffer	Gross	Buffer	Leakage	Net		
	Baseline	NBEA	Project	NPR	Carbon	total	emissions	Carbon		
	Emissions	(tCO ₂ e)	Removals	(tCO ₂ e)	Credits	(tCO ₂ e)	(tCO ₂ e)	Credits		
	Avoided		(NPR)		(NBEA +			(tCO ₂ e)		
	(NBEA)		(tCO ₂ e)		NPR)					
	(tCO ₂ e)				(tCO ₂ e)					
2013	1,726	345	1,326	265	3,052	610	0	2,442		
2014	1,726	345	1,326	265	3,052	610	0	2,442		
Total	3,452	690	2,652	530	6,014	1,220	0	4,884		

Net Carbon Credits (NCC) is calculated as follows:

For due diligence on the above calculations see Loru Carbon Budget & Pricing Spreadsheet (Appendix 1, Sheet 'Loru Carbon' Cells E4-19). Note that the annual accounting periods for this Monitoring Report are:

- 16 January 2013-15 January 2014
- 16 January 2014-15 January 2015

5. Quantification of Habitat Hectare Units

This project markets Habitat Hectare units that are mutually exclusive to carbon offsets. This is for purposes of marketing the rainforest protection project to buyers not interested in carbon offsetting but interested in supporting rainforest protection through the purchase of payment for ecosystem service units.

When a buyer purchases a Habitat Hectare unit from this project, the equivalent volume of carbon offsets is retired in the registry. In this manner carbon offsets are used as a registered proxy of Habitat Hectare units.

One Habitat Hectare unit equals one hectare of rainforest protected inside the eligible forest area for one year.

5.1 BASELINE HABITAT HECTARES

Quantify the baseline hectares of protected rainforest. Attach electronic spreadsheets as an appendix or separate file to facilitate the verification of the results.

Baseline hectares of rainforest protected inside the eligible forest area: Oha (Appendix 1, Sheet 'Loru HH' Cell E4).

5.2 PROJECT HABITAT HECTARES

Quantify the project hectares of protected rainforest. Attach electronic spreadsheets as an appendix or separate file to facilitate the verification of the results.

The eligible forest area (EFA) is 147 ha in size. Project Habitat Hectares of rainforest protected inside the eligible forest area: 118 ha yr^{-1} . This amounts to the EFA – 20% (Appendix 1, Sheet 'Loru HH' Cell E8).

5.3 LEAKAGE

Quantify hectare leakage.

There has been no activity shifting leakage in this monitoring period. There has been no market leakage in this monitoring period (due to the insignificant volume of baseline timber harvesting in relation to the national domestic timber market).

Leakage for this monitoring period is 0 ha.

5.4 NET HABITAT HECTARE UNITS

Quantify the net Habitat Hectare units produced by vintages arising from the quantification of the net change in hectares protected. Also, state the non-permanence risk rating (as determined in the AFOLU non-permanence risk report) and calculate the total number of buffer credits that need to be deposited into the AFOLU pooled buffer account. Attach the non-permanence risk report as either an appendix or a separate document.

Net Habitat Hectares									
Year	Gross Habitat Hectares (GHH) (ha)	Buffer (GHH) (ha)	Leakage (ha)	Net Habitat Hectares (NHH) (ha)	Net Carbon Credits equivalent (mutually exclusive to HHs) (tCO ₂ e)	Net Carbon Credits / Habitat Hectare (tCO₂e)			
2013	147	29	0	118	2,442	20.72			
2014	147	29	0	118	2,442	20.72			
Total	294	58	0	236	4,884	-			

Net Habitat Hectares (NHH) is calculated as follows:

For due diligence on the above calculations see Loru Carbon Budget & Pricing Spreadsheet (Appendix 1, Sheet 'Loru HH' Cells E4-10). Note that the annual accounting periods for this Monitoring Report are:

- 16 January 2013-15 January 2014
- 16 January 2014-15 January 2015

6. Quantification of Community Impacts

6.1 BASELINE COMMUNITY IMPACTS

Quantify the baseline community impacts, providing sufficient information to allow the reader to reproduce the calculation. Attach electronic spreadsheets as an appendix or separate file to facilitate the verification of the results. Present community impacts measured and for each quantify the baseline as modeled.

At first verification the Loru Forest Project has only undertaken baseline community impact monitoring. These results are presented in Section 5.2.2.2 of the Loru Forest Project – Project Description Part A D3.2a v1.0 20151009.

6.2 PROJECT COMMUNITY IMPACTS

Quantify project community impacts providing sufficient information to allow the reader to reproduce the calculation. Attach electronic spreadsheets as an appendix or separate file to facilitate the verification of the results. Present community impacts measured and for each quantify project performance for that impact.

Because the Loru Forest Project has only completed baseline community impact monitoring at the time of first verification there is no contrasting data to enable project community impacts. The first occasion where project community impacts can be measured and reported for monitoring will be at the second verification event.

6.3 NET COMMUNITY IMPACT ENHANCEMENTS

Quantify the net community impact enhancements summarizing the key results using the table below. Specify breakdown of community impact enhancements.

Net community impact enhancements will become available for the first time at the second verification event. This monitoring report reproduces the community baseline as presented in Section 5.2.2.3 of the Loru Forest Project PD Part A D3.2a v1.0 20151009.

Criteria 1: The landscape provides sufficient quality and quantity of food					
Question	Measure	Average	Comments		
1.1 How often do you buy	Days per	4.6	Respondents are buying basic foodstuffs from		
food?	week		local cooperative store such as rice, sugar and oil.		
1.2 How big is your family	Hectares	0.7	Garden plot sizes are relatively small but allow		
garden?			food for consumption and sale.		
1.3 How often do you eat	Days per	5.3	This question was misunderstood as respondents		
free food from your garden?	week		thought they were being asked how often they		
			ate from their large garden rather than home		
			garden. Observations are that some of the food		
			eaten every day is food they have grown.		
1.4 How often do you run out	Times Per	0	Respondents spoke about eating simply some		
of food?	Month		days (rice and green veg only).		
1.5 How often do you eat	Times Per	2.5	Food from Loru was mainly sourced by men who		
food from the forest?	month		went to shoot wild game for special events.		
1.6 How much do you make	Vatu Per	9750 VUV	Women only sell food at market in town. This		
selling food?	Month		works on a roster system and they go twice a		
			month to market.		

6.3.1 Community Baseline

Criteria 2: Access to clean water occurs all year round						
Question	Measure	Average	Comments			
2.1 Do you run out of water?	% 'yes'	100%	Respondents noted that in dry season they regularly run out of water for weeks at a time as they rely purely on rainwater and their storage is not large.			
2.2 Are there days when you can use as much as you like?	% 'yes'	100%	Respondents noted that in wet season their tanks were full all the time as storage capacity was low and rainfall high.			

Criteria 3: Household income and assets increase allowing for improved livelihood							
opportunities and quality of living.							
3.1 Access to Education	Of those surve	yed with childre	en of school age	, 95% were atter	nding school.		
	Generally child	ren attend scho	ool from 4 - 15 y	ears. Only 2 res	pondents		
	noted their chi	ldren were in te	ertiary education	n.			
	Female Adult	Male Adult	Female	Male Youth	Comments		
			Youth	(<25yrs)			
			(<25yrs)				
3.2 Personal Monthly	17750	11591	8143	400	Women sell		
Income (VUV)					food, men		
					make money		
					from Copra		
	mainly						
3.3 Travel to town (times	1.2	1.7	1.7	0.2	n/a		
per week)							
3.4 Hours spent cooking	2.7	0.4	1.9	0	n/a		
(per day)							

3.5 Hours spent	2	0.8	2	0	n/a
householder chores (per					
day)					
3.6 Hours spent Gardening	4.6	7.5	5.9	4.5	n/a
(Per day)					
3.7 Hours spent resting	1.8	3.6	2.6	9.3	n/a

Criteria 4: The Community REDD+ Enterprise contributes to the wellbeing of its members.				
	Measure	Across all groups		
4.1 How many youth do you know that are engaged with	Number of Youth	Average of 10 youth		
the REDD+ Enterprise?		identified by respondents		
4.2 Are you given the opportunity to access information	Percentage yes"	72%		
about the REDD+ Enterprise's finances and activities?				
4.3 Do you trust the REDD+ Enterprise?	Percentage "yes"	90%		

Tabulation of baseline and project community impacts, and net community impact enhancements will be presented at the second verification event.

	Baseline community impacts	Project community impacts	Net community impact enhancements
Impact 1			
Impact 2			

7. Quantification of Biodiversity Impacts

7.1 BASELINE BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS

Quantify the baseline biodiversity impacts, providing sufficient information to allow the reader to reproduce the calculation. Attach electronic spreadsheets as an appendix or separate file to facilitate the verification of the results. Present biodiversity impacts measured and for each quantify the baseline as modeled.

At first verification the Loru Forest Project has only undertaken the first <u>Project</u> Biodiversity Impact Monitoring survey. These results are presented in Section 5.3.1 of the Loru Forest Project – Project Description Part A and are reproduced below.

At the second verification event, the Loru Forest Project:

- a. Will present results of the second Project Biodiversity Monitoring survey, and
- b. Aspires to present the first **Baseline** Biodiversity Monitoring.

7.2 PROJECT BIODIVERSITY IMPACTS

Quantify project biodiversity impacts providing sufficient information to allow the reader to reproduce the calculation. Attach electronic spreadsheets as an appendix or separate file to facilitate the verification of the results. Present biodiversity impacts measured and for each quantify project performance for that impact.

The Loru Forest Project has completed the first (project scenario) biodiversity impact monitoring survey recording significant species present inside the project boundary. The biodiversity value of the project has been recorded and is presented in Section 5.3 of the Loru Forest Project PD Part A D3.2a v1.0 20151009 and reproduced below:

7.2.1 Loru Forest Project Biodiversity Survey 2015

The following species of animals and plants were identified in within the project boundary during the forest and first (project scenario) biodiversity inventory undertaken in 2015.

IUCN Classification: VU = Vulnerable; EN = Endemic; CR = Critically Endangered (see Explanatory Notes in Appendix 1 of this document). CEPF = Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund. CEPF Priority sites for investment are listed for the East Melanesian Islands Biodiversity Hotspot can be accessed here: http://www.cepf.net/SiteCollectionDocuments/east_melanesian_islands/EMI_ecosystem_profile.pdf

Endemism = whether endemic to the country (C), or to the island (I) or site (S).

Table 7.2.1a: Significant Animal Species Located With The Project Area						
Taxonomic Gro	oup: insects					
Common Name	Taxonomic Name	IUCN	CEPF	Endemism	Cultural Significance	Reference
Sacco's Emperor	Polycon sacco					D. Kalfatak
Taxonomic Gro	oup: mammals					
Common Name	Taxonomic Name	IUCN	CEPF	Endemism	Cultural Significance	Reference
Vanuatu Flying Fox	Pteropus anetianus	EN	Priority (Control of over exploitation)	С	Food / hunting	D. Kalfatak
Taxonomic Gro	oup: Birds					
Common Name	Taxonomic Name	IUCN	CEPF	Endemism	Cultural Significance	Reference
Incubator Bird	Megapodius freycinet layardi	CR,EN		С		D. Kalfatak
Vanuatu Kingfisher	Halycon farquhari	EN		С		D. Kalfatak
Vanuatu Flycatcher	Neolalage banksiana	EN	Y/N	С		D. Kalfatak
Vanuatu Fruit Dove	Ptilinopus tannensis	EN		С		D. Kalfatak
Vanuatu White- eye	Zosterops flavifrons	EN		С		D. Kalfatak
Santo Mountain Starling	Aplonis santovestris	EN	Priority (Control of invasive species)	I		EMI Ecosystem Profile
Vanuatu Imperial Pigeon	Ducula bakeri	EN	Priority (Control of invasive species)	С		EMI Ecosystem Profile
Golden Whistler,	Pachycephala pectoralis	EN		С		D. Kalfatak
Taxonomic Gro	oup: Crustaceans					
Common Name	Taxonomic Name	IUCN	CEPF	Endemism	Cultural Significance	Reference
Coconut Crab	Birgus latro	EN/C R		С		D. Kalfatak

Table 7.2.1b Indigenous plant species identified in the Conservation Area (non-endemics)				
Scientific name:	Family name:	Common name:	Language name:	Plant Form
Macaranga indica	Euphorbiaceae	Navenue	None	Tree
Macaranga tannarius	Euphorbiaceae	Navenue	None	Tree
Codieaum variegatum	Euphorbiaceae	Nahahali	None	Shrub
Antiaris toxicaria	Moraceae	Melektri	None	Tree
Dysoxylum arborecense	Meliaceae	Wael stingwud	Netpo	Tree
Micromelum minutum	Rutaceae	None	None	Tree

	-			-
Murraya paniculata	Rutaceae	None	None	Shrub
Micropiper latifolia	Piperaceae	Wael kava	None	shrub
Piper astro caledonicum	Piperaceae	None	Nvulkoha	Shrub
Hemigraphis reptans	Acanthaceae	None	Naiettiet	Herb
Selaginella durvilei	Selaginellaceae	None	Natwal	Herb
Christella dentata	Telypteridaceae	None	Thavthav	Herb
Desmodium ormocarboides	Fabaceae	None	Natiwarkar	Shrub
Cordyline fruiticosa	Agavacece	Nagaria	None	Shrub
Pometia pinnata	Sapotacece	Nadao	Neseri	Tree
Stephania japonica	Menispermaceae	None	None	Liane
Cayratia trifolia	Vittata	None	None	Lian
Pueraria lopata	Fabaceae	None	Nwehea	Creeper
Epiprenum pinnatum	Araceae	Nawalu	None	Climber
Entada phasiloides	Fabaceae	Snekrop	None	Liane
Pycnarrhena ozanta	Menispermaceae	None	None	Liane
Dendrocnide latifolia	Urticaceae	Nagalat	Noclath	Tree
Dendrocnide harvyii	Urticaceae	Nagalat	Noclath	Tree
Dendrocnide moroides	Urticaceae	Nagalat	Noclath	Tree
Dracontomelon vitiense	Anarcadiaceae	Nakatapol	Natbol	Tree
Gatus	Zingerberaceae	None	Nreter	Shrub
Geophila repens	Rubiaceae	None	Nmuthmuthvra	Herb
Adenanthera pavonina	Fabaceae	None	Nthera	Tree
Semecarpus tannaensis	Anarcadiaceae	Green nawalas	Nle	Tree
Semecarpus vitiensis	Anarcadiaceae	Red nawalas	Nle	Tree
Barringtonia edulis	Lecythidaceae	Navele	Naruth	Tree
Ervatamia obtuiscula	Apocynaceae	Lastic tri	Nabangbang	Shrub
Elatostema beccari	Urticaceae	None	Naskehro	Herb
Pteorocarpus indicus	Fabaceae	Bluwota	Nula	Tree
Endospermum medullosum	Euphorbiaceae	Waetwud	Nocmac	Tree
Pisonia umbellifera	Nyctaginaceae	None	Nene	Tree
Acalypha forsteriana	Euphorbiaceae	None	Nkas	Tree
Bischofia javanica	Euphorbiaceae	Nakoka	Noukar	Tree
Burckella obovata	Sapotaceae	Naduledule	Nenget	Tree
Canarium indicum	Burseraceae	Nagai	Nanga	Tree
Planchonella sp.	Sapotaceae	None	Namsem	Tree
Pongamia pinnata	Fabaceae	None	Ntorula	Tree
Cleidion	Euphorbiaceae	None	Nlahare	Tree
Bampusa vulgaris	Graminea	Bampu	Nerienkar	Tree
Dysoxylum bijucum	Meliaceae	Stingwud	Naspu	Tree
Mimosop elengi	Sapotaceae	Natariu	Ner	Tree
Garuga floribunda	Burseraceae	Namalaus	Naleu	Tree
Inocarpus fagiferae	Fabaceae	Namambe	Namav	Tree
Tectaria	Aspleniaceae	None	None	Fern
Pteris pacifica	Adiantaceae	None	None	Fern
Vaavea amicorum	Meliaceae	None	None	Tree

Trophis scandens	Moraceae	None	None	Liane
Diospyros samoensis	Ebenaceae	Blakwud	Nrues	Tree
Instia bijuca	Fabaceae	Natora	Ntor	Tree
Gyrocarpus americanus	Hernandiaceae	Kenutri	Nene	Tree
Fluggea flexuosa	Euphorbiaceae	Namamao	Nvacer	Tree
Terminalia cataba	Combretaceae	Natapoa	Ntau	Tree
Alphitonia phasiloides	Rhamnaceae	Navasvas	Nwerie	Tree
Pipturus argenteus	Urticaceae	None	Elwe	Tree
Premna serratifolia	Verbenaceae	None	Nvenven	Tree
Castanospermum australe	Fabacece	Bintri	Nas	Tree
Erythina variegata	Fabaceae	Narara	Nrur	Tree
Spondias dulsis	Anacardiaceae	Naus	Neu	Tree
Cananga odorata	Annonaceae	Tiare	Nares	Tree
Metroxylon warburgii	Palmae	Natagura	Ndalo	Tree
Alpinia pacifica	Zingerberaceae	Wael zinger	None	Shrub
Alpinia popurea	Zingerberaceae	Wael Zinger	None	Shrub
Hornstedtia lycostoma	Zingerberaceae	Wael Zinger	None	Shrub
Graptophyllum pictum	Acanthaceae	None	Naro	Shrub
Ficus septica	Moraceae	None	Nworworo	Tree
Ficus wassa	Moraceae	Nabalango	None	Tree
Kleihovia hospita	Sterculiaceae	None	Nedal	Tree
Myristica fatua	Myristicaceae	Nadaedae	None	Tree
Ventilago neo ebudicum	Rhamnaceae	None	None	Tree
Hibiscus tiliacues	Malvaceae	Burao	None	Tree

Table 7.2.1c Endemic plant species identified in the Conservation Area					
Scientific name:	Family name:	Common name:	Language name:	Plant Form:	
Meryta neo ebudicum	Araliaceae	None	None	Tree	
Calamus vanuatuensis	Arecaceae	Wael ken	None	Climber	
Smilax vitiense	Smilaxaceae	None	None	Liane	
Anodendron paniculata	Apocynaceae	None	Nwenuk	Liane	
Pseuderanthemum sp	Acanthaceae	None	None	Shrub	
Ground orchid	Orchidaceae	Ground Orchid	None	Herb	
Graptophyllum pictum	Acathanceae	None	None	Shrub	
Pandanus tannaensis	Pandanaceae	Wael Pandanus	None	Shrub	
Sterculia banksiana	Sterculiaceae	None	None	Tree	
Corynocarpus similis	Corynocarpaceae	None	Nethov	Tree	
Claoxylon falax	Euphorbiaceae	None	Nvaoc	Tree	
Phaleria pentecostalis	Thymelaeaceae	None	None	Shrub	
Dysoxylum aneityensis	Meliaceae	Stingwud	Napuven	Tree	
Dysoxylum arborecene	Meliaceae	Wael stingwud	Netpo	Tree	
Palaqium neo ebudicum	Meliaceae	None	Nwalmav	Tree	

Loru Forest Project Monitoring Report 1 D3.3 (1) v1.0 20151009

Litsea aneityensis	Lauraceae	None	Nowthroloc	Tree
Osmoxylon orientale	Araliaceae	None	Navarku	Tree
Polycias samoensis	Araliaceae	Wael nalalas	Nesthul	Tree
Glochidion ramiflorum	Euphorbiaceae	Wael Namamao	Nelakar	Tree
Celtis paniculata	Cannabaceae	None	Nousokar	Tree
Cythandra efatensis	Gesneriaceae	None	None	Shrub
Psychotria milnei	Rubiaceae	None	Nkerkeraroth	Shrub
Psychotria fosteri	Rubiaceae	None	Nkerkeraroth	Shrub
Psychotria sp	Rubiaceae	None	Nkerkeraroth	Shrub
Nothonoides repada	Urticaceae	None	None	Climber
Sysygium gracilipes	Myrtaceae	None	Naskar	Shrub
Evodia hortensis	Myrtaceae	Nabwagi	None	Shrub

Table 7.2.1d Invasive plant species identified in the Conservation Area				
Scientific name:	Family name:	Common name:	Language name:	Plant Form:
Urenna lopata	Fabaceae	None	None	Shrub
Meremia peltata	Convolvulaceae	Big leaf	None	Vine
Mikania micrantha	Asteraceae	Mael-minit (Mile-a- minute)	None	Vine
Solanum torvum	Solanaceae	Biko	None	Shrub
Sida rhombifolia	Malvaceae	Broom wed (broom weed)	None	Shrub
Mimosa pudica	Fabaceae	Grass nil	None	Herb
Achyranthes aspera	Amaranthaceae	None	None	Herb

7.3 NET BIODIVERSITY IMPACT ENHANCEMENTS

Quantify the net biodiversity impact enhancements summarizing the key results using the table below. Specify breakdown of biodiversity impact enhancements.

Tabulation of baseline and project biodiversity impacts, and net biodiversity impact enhancements will be presented at the second verification event.

	Baseline biodiversity	Project biodiversity	Net biodiversity impact
	impacts	impacts	enhancements
Impact 1			
Impact 2			

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1. LORU PROJECT CARBON BUDGET & PRICING SPREADSHEET

Supplied as a separate file.

APPENDIX 2 GEOREFERENCING DATA

Supplied as a separate file.

APPENDIX 3. DIRECTOR'S CERTIFICATE SIMPLIFIED PROJECT MONITORING

Supplied as a separate file.