Annex 3:
Armed Forces Recruitment and Convention 182
Military employment constitutes hazardous work for children

Introduction
Tens of thousands of children under the age of 18 are enlisted every year into state armed forces.\(^1\) This briefing uses evidence from the United Kingdom to show that the recruitment of adolescent children, including when unforced, meets the definition of ‘hazardous work’ under ILO Convention 182: ‘work which, by its nature or the circumstances in which it is carried out, is likely to harm the health, safety or morals of children’.\(^2\)

Legal standards
Convention 182 does not exclude military employment from work that may be hazardous, and indeed excludes no field of work from its scope.\(^3\) The travaux préparatoires further clarified that ‘the participation of children in military services [or] armed forces’ may be determined to be hazardous work.\(^4\)

Under the Convention, hazardous work is one of the ‘worst forms of child labour’, meaning that States Parties must ‘take immediate and effective measures to secure [its] prohibition and elimination’.\(^5\) The types of hazardous work are to be determined by ‘national laws or regulations or by the competent authority, after consultation with the organizations of employers and workers concerned’.\(^6\) In Recommendation 190 the ILO elaborates the meaning of hazardous work, which includes but is not limited to: exposure to abuse, unhealthy environments, dangerous equipment, and hazards; and also handling heavy loads and working in difficult conditions.\(^7\) It recommends that children aged 16–17 should not carry out such work unless ‘the health, safety and morals of the children concerned are fully protected’.\(^8\)

The UK has not codified types of hazardous work.\(^9\) Instead, legislation requires employers to assess, and safeguard against, the risks to young persons [under the age of 18] in their workforce,\(^10\) and prohibits work involving certain kinds of risk,\(^11\) with some caveats.\(^12\)

Following the widespread ratification of the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Rights of the Child on the Involvement of Children in Armed Conflict (OPAC), which prohibits their direct participation in hostilities,\(^13\) most States Parties no longer deploy children to war zones.\(^14\) However, recruitment and employment practices typically violate other rights recognised by both OPAC\(^15\) and its parent Convention.\(^16\)

Military employment and risk
Even before child recruits turn 18 and may be deployed to war zones, military employment introduces multiple risks which are typically absent in civilian work. Military training is a psychologically coercive process involving extraordinary exposure to stress.\(^17\) It applies heavy physical loads to the body over long periods and routinely involves hazardous activities, equipment and substances.\(^18\) Military environments are characterised by elevated rates of bullying and sexual harassment relative to civilian settings.\(^19\) In addition, the employment rights and legal remedies that civilians enjoy are weak or absent in military employment.\(^20\)
**Psychological stress**

Psychological stressors are intentionally applied\(^{21}\) in order to transform young civilians into soldiers.\(^\text{22}\) Daily life is tightly controlled by restricting access to sleep,\(^\text{23}\) privacy,\(^\text{24}\) and contact with friends and family; for the first weeks of training recruits are not allowed to leave the military estate.\(^\text{25}\) Testimony from former recruits shows that emotional and physical violence are routine punishments, such as insults, humiliation, hitting, and kicking.\(^\text{26}\) Such stressors are also used to stimulate intense aggression;\(^\text{27}\) the British army notes the risk of ‘strong emotional impact on the Junior Soldiers’ due to the ‘intensity and aggressive nature of bayonet fighting’, for example.\(^\text{28}\)

Studies from the UK, the US and elsewhere further show that bullying is common in military settings and that the sexual harassment of women by men is much more common in the armed forces than in civilian life.\(^\text{29}\)

Epidemiological and neurodevelopmental research shows that stressors of this kind are harmful to mental health, particularly during mid-adolescence when young people experience heightened susceptibility to prolonged conditions of stress.\(^\text{30}\) Research in the UK and US has found that military personnel and veterans across the age range are more likely than civilians to have stress-related mental health problems and to drink at harmful levels.\(^\text{31}\) This is not solely attributable to the trauma of war; it is true also of military personnel even before they are deployed.\(^\text{32}\) Research from the US, for example, has found a sharp increase in the prevalence of depression and attempted suicide during basic army training.\(^\text{33}\)

The youngest recruits are particularly vulnerable to these stressors. In the British army, younger soldiers are more likely than both their civilian peers and older recruits to experience PTSD, drink heavily, and die by suicide.\(^\text{34}\) For instance, the suicide rate among male ex-forces personnel aged 16–19 over the last two decades has been three times that of the same age group in the general population.\(^\text{35}\)

**Physical stress**

The intensity of physical training\(^{36}\) puts recruits at risk of musculoskeletal injuries in particular,\(^\text{37}\) as well as other conditions such as heat exhaustion.\(^\text{38}\) In the British army recruits are put through long marches and runs under time pressure, often carrying heavy loads, and in both hot and cold conditions.\(^\text{39}\) There is no limit to the weight a recruit can be instructed to lift.\(^\text{40}\) Film footage from the training institution for 16- and 17-year-olds shows instructors pushing them to continue when they are exhausted or in pain, and recruits vomiting and fainting.\(^\text{41}\) The Ministry of Defence acknowledges that a musculoskeletal disorder is the most common cause of medical discharge from the armed forces and that ‘personnel aged under 20 have consistently had a higher rate of medical discharge than other age groups’.\(^\text{42}\) In the UK armed forces between 2000 and 2018 there have been 143 deaths in training and on training exercises, including five children;\(^\text{43}\) the most common causes of death were transport accidents, illness (mostly heart attacks), and live fire.\(^\text{44}\)

**Hazardous exposure**

Contrary to the recommendations of the Committee on the Rights of the Child,\(^\text{45}\) children in military training handle and fire live ammunition.\(^\text{46}\) CBRN (Chemical, Biological, Radiological and Nuclear) training exposes recruits to tear gas; in a sealed chamber trainees are normally ordered to remove
their respirators, which can lead to vomiting and burning sensations and aggravate skin or breathing conditions. In 1997, one 18-year-old recruit died from a lung infection after reportedly experiencing breathing difficulties in the chamber and being made to go for a run afterwards.

**Working conditions**

Children in the British army are normally on duty for ten hours per day between 0800hrs and 1800hrs. Additional training exercises involve working out of hours, including overnight. The armed forces are exempt from regulations governing rest periods that must be given to young workers, and also claim an exception from Working Time Regulations in certain circumstances, including ‘training, including exercises, aimed at causing fatigue and stress to prepare for or simulate an operational situation’. Time spent ‘off duty’ is still subject to the schedule and rules of the command structure, which for the first few weeks includes a prohibition on leaving the base at will during free time.

The terms of service applied to children in the British army would be unlawful in civilian employment. Recruits have no right at all to leave the army during the first six weeks of training, and after six months their right to leave is subject to a notice period of up to three months, which can be distressing for those who want to leave. Absence Without Leave is punishable by up to two years’ imprisonment; children are not exempt and the armed forces have no juvenile justice system. Unions are prohibited.

**Conclusion**

This briefing has argued that the military employment of adolescent children is an overlooked case of ‘hazardous work’ under the terms of ILO Convention 182. Both by its nature and the circumstances in which it is carried out, it is ‘likely to harm the health, safety or morals of children’. With respect to the criteria set out in Recommendation 190, military employment applies stressors routinely to children, requires them to handle ‘heavy loads’ for long periods, includes work with ‘dangerous machinery, equipment and tools’, exposes children to ‘hazardous substances’, makes them work for ‘long hours or during the night’ and where they are ‘unreasonably confined to the premises of the employer’, and introduces an elevated risk of ‘physical, psychological or sexual abuse’ relative to civilian employment. Children are not ‘fully protected’ from these risks, as the Convention requires.

**Recommendations to the UK Government**

- The UK should add military service to their lists of types of hazardous work for children and make the transition to all-adult armed forces.
- Where children continue to be enlisted by armed forces, the activities and conditions of their military employment should be scrutinised through the Convention 182 monitoring and reporting process.

**Child Rights International Network (CRIN), August 2019.**

**For further information, contact:** Charlotte Cooper - charlotte@crin.org
conflict zones. For example, the United States interprets 'direct part in hostilities' broadly as 'immediate and actual action not to take a direct part in hostilities'. Parties shall take all feasible measures to ensure that members of their armed forces who have not attained the age of 18 years are not employed or work as from the age of 16 on condition that the health, safety and morals of the children concerned are fully protected.
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