Dear Amanda Spielman

We wrote on 26 November last year to draw your attention to multiple allegations of abuse at the Army Foundation College in Harrogate. Specifically, the army's Joint Personnel Administration system had recorded 60 formal alleged incidents of violence against recruits aged under 18 by members of college staff against since 2014, including assault and battery. Ten of the allegations were made since Ofsted’s inspection in 2017, which had graded the college ‘Outstanding’ for welfare and duty of care.

In addition, we alerted you to a very high rate of attrition: 30 percent of army recruits aged under 18 drop out before they complete their training, which leaves them out of education and out of work. We pointed out that recruits have no legal right to leave during the first six weeks, which corresponds with the most stressful period of training, and thereafter may only leave subject a notice period of between two weeks and three months. We also mentioned the daily use of physical and psychological stressors, such as the interruption of sleep and privacy and the use of humiliation to induce obedience.

We were assured in the reply from Ofsted’s Regional Director for the North East that our letter would be shared with inspectors in advance of the 2021 inspection. In the event, the 2021 inspection report, which again grades the college ‘Outstanding’ for welfare and duty of care, is silent on all the points we raised.

The ‘Outstanding’ grade leads potential recruits and their parents to assume that the college offers the highest standard of care. With this open letter, we wish to repeat our concern that the college is unsafe, as evidenced by multiple formal complaints of abuse by staff, the routine use of stressors in training, and the imposition on recruits of legal obligations that deny them the right to leave at will. We believe that a civilian college with a similar record would be closed.

In addition to the evidence we sent you last year, newly available data show that, since 2015, girls aged under 18 in the armed forces have made 41 formal complaints of rape or other sexual assault to the service police. This is equivalent to a rate of 2.5 percent, or one in every 40 girls enlisted, which is twice the rate of reported sexual abuse of girls in the same age group in civilian life. We are still working to discover where these alleged incidents took place and whether training staff were involved. Most girls in the age group undergo initial training at the Army Foundation College.

The latest inspection report for the college notes: ‘Recruits… report that there is no bullying at the college.’ We have no doubt that this is what inspectors were told but assume that they interviewed only a selection of recruits. We recognise the challenge of relying on short, infrequent inspections to obtain an accurate picture of young people’s experiences of an institution. For balance, we wish to share with you what parents of recruits have told us about the treatment of young people at the college whenOfsted inspectors have gone home.
Kevin is a father of a recruit who joined the College in 2015. He has told us the following:

‘[My son] had been bullied… he and the other recruits were talked down to, called [the c-word and the f-word] constantly, which seems at odds with AFC’s good Ofsted rating for health and well-being… In our conversations to have our son released, we had to constantly remind officers that he was only sixteen, and in our care, even though he had sworn an oath to the British Army. The Major conceded in the end, but then another officer indicated that our son would be charged with AWOL, and said that he would never get a job or go to another college or university… As I waited for him to sign the release papers, I was able to see first hand how the young soldiers were marched and drilled, brought into line. I saw lads who were limping, some with crutches, also being drilled and marched, trying in vain to keep up with the rest of the platoon. My son said that some keeled over and fainted as they marched and stood to attention.’

Charlotte Poad, a mother of a recruit who joined the college in 2016, relates similar problems:

‘My son… came home for a week or two, and it was during this time that I realised all was not well at Harrogate. I overheard several conversations with his fellow recruits discussing “bathroom beatings” and “things going too far”. [He] also let slip he had been in several pubs, bars and clubs in Leeds, and was actively encouraged to attend strip clubs by the staff members in charge of his group. [My son] struggles to talk about what happened…but we know that staff bullied and abused the young recruits, as well as encouraging fighting amongst peers… He is a completely different person since his time at Harrogate. He has attempted suicide and his mental health is permanently damaged. He also sustained injuries while in army training which may turn out to be life-changing.’

Alison Blackwell, a mother of another recruit at the College in 2016, told us this:

‘[My son…] told me he was hit, slapped, pushed, kicked and verbally abused by staff. He said he felt humiliated by this treatment and he never expected to be treated this way. He knew the training would be tough but this was abuse and the staff were power crazy… He told me all staff knew what was going on but turned a blind eye. He had family photos on display in this dorm as all the lads did. He got fed up with his corporals making vulgar comments regarding me and my daughter. He said this happened to everyone but what started out as banter became so crude he had no option other than to put the photos away… [My son] started drinking heavily and was very withdrawn… he rang me to tell me he was handing in his letter to leave. He told me his request was ripped up in his face. He was only 17 years old and devastated at not being able to leave… My son died last year while still serving in the army.’

Extracts from these testimonies, which we enclose in full for your information, were read out by Baroness Massey in the House of Lords last week.10

Can you please tell us whether inspectors were indeed made aware of the concerns we raised with you last year, and how they dealt with them? Can you also tell us how you plan to respond to these concerns now?

With thanks in advance for your attention to these queries.

Yours sincerely
Veronica Yates
Director
Child Rights International Network (CRIN)
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7 December 2021

Ms Veronica Yates
Director
Child Rights International Network

Sent by email c/o: charlotte@crin.org

Our ref: 444961, 446967 and 458840

Dear Ms Yates

**Army Foundation College, Harrogate**

Thank you for your letter of 18 November 2021 to Her Majesty’s Chief Inspector, Amanda Spielman. I have been asked to respond in my capacity as Regional Director for the North East, Yorkshire and Humber region.

You raise a number of points in your letter. I will try to deal with these as fully as I can, in the order in which you make them. However, some points may be better directed at the Army, including concerns about data and records.

You refer to complaints listed on the Army Joint Personnel Administration (JPA) system. We are aware from the Army that a number of these complaints referred to cases that occurred during battle camp at another Army setting. Inspectors visiting an Army initial training establishment will be aware of existing complaints and will check the JPA records. They ensure that complaints are dealt with promptly and fairly according to internal, and/or criminal process. However, Ofsted does not oversee these processes and does not play a part in prosecutions for matters investigated and/or prosecuted by the Army.

Inspectors who visited The Army Foundation College (Harrogate) [AFC (H)] checked that all serious complaints had been the subject of internal, and where appropriate, criminal investigation. They also investigated the ways complaints can be raised by recruits and the processes for investigation. Inspectors had wide-ranging and unsupervised access to recruits and staff during inspection. They also had unfettered access to documentation relating to welfare, and care and training, including complaints.
The attrition rates that you highlight in your letter do not relate directly to AFC (H). Only a proportion of under-18 Army recruits trains at AFC (H). Many enter the Army through other routes. The data that you cite refers to total recruit and early leaver numbers, whatever the recruits’ entry point to the Army. It is impossible to draw conclusions about leaver or subsequent attrition rates relating to AFC (H) from such data.

In the third paragraph of your letter, you say that recruits have no legal right to leave during the first six weeks in training. This is not correct. Recruits who are under 18 years of age at AFC (H) do not have to give any period of notice. They may leave whenever they wish. As our report pointed out, early leavers receive very good levels of support to return to civilian life, including up to two years of careers advice and guidance following discharge.

The inspectors who visited AFC (H) earlier this year did have sight of your previous letter stating your concerns about the establishment. They inspected according to our handbook for further education and skills inspections, contextualised for Armed Forces inspections. Under such inspection, the matters you raise would be subject to investigation. Inspectors do not give an outstanding judgement lightly. Our grading criteria are demanding and our standards rigorous. We did not report on the concerns you had about AFC (H) because during inspection, inspectors saw no evidence of anything that aligned with your concerns. No recruits made any form of complaint or allegation relating to their treatment by training or other staff. Indeed, all recruits were highly complimentary about the quality of their training, the support they received from staff, and the care and welfare they received.

Yours sincerely

Emma Ing HMI
Regional Director, North East, Yorkshire and Humber