Gaylene Preston has been making feature films and documentaries with a distinctive New Zealand flavour and a strong social message for more than 30 years. Join us to celebrate her work …
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GAYLENE PRESTON FILM RETROSPECTIVE
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A documentary account of the devastating Napier earthquake of 1931.
11:00am / 44mins
Fundraiser for Christchurch earthquake recovery
Gold coin donation at the door

GETTING TO OUR PLACE
A fly-on-the-wall documentary about the lead up to the opening of Te Papa Tongarewa, the Museum of New Zealand, in 1998.
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KAI PURAKAU
A rare insight into the life of Booker Prize-winning author Keri Hulme.
3:00pm / 27mins / free

LOVELY RITA – A PAINTER’S LIFE
A documentary portrait of celebrated New Zealand artist Rita Angus.
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WAR STORIES OUR MOTHERS NEVER TOLD US
Seven New Zealand women – including the director’s mother – discuss their wartime experiences.
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A remarkable memoir of resilience, determination and love – based on the director’s interviews with her father.
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‘The Film Portrait of a New Zealand Storyteller: Gaylene Preston’s Home by Christmas’
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A round table discussion with Gaylene Preston, Dr Bruce Harding, Dr Deborah Shepherd and guests, moderated by Mary M. Wiles.
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BOOK SIGNING
Dr Deborah Shepard will sign copies of her recent book Her Life’s Work: Conversations with Five New Zealand Women, which features Gaylene Preston.
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MONDAY 24 OCTOBER

GAYLENE PRESTON MASTER CLASS
Join Gaylene Preston for a discussion about the film restoration and re-mastering of her acclaimed mini-series Bread and Roses.
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BREAD AND ROSES
This moving epic tells the story of pioneering trade unionist, politician and feminist Sonja Davies.
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INTRODUCTION

A pioneer in the world of New Zealand film, Gaylene Preston has produced a body of work over the course of four decades that draws on diverse traditions, from documentary portraiture to American film genres, from oral history to fairy tale and the fantastic. This retrospective does not pretend to provide a comprehensive assessment of the Preston oeuvre but rather features a selection of Preston’s films, focusing exclusively on documentaries and biographical drama. This idiosyncratic approach to the Preston oeuvre may reflect my own desire as an American film scholar to come to grips with what is peculiar to this Kiwi director and distinguishes her from others working within the international film community – creative use of the matrix of the family, the chronicling of distinctive people, places, and events within New Zealand, and the commitment to an indigenous and political focus. Gaylene Preston is a national treasure: it is thus entirely fitting that she be feted at the Museum of New Zealand, Te Papa Tongarewa.

Our programme opens with four documentaries, Earthquake, Getting to Our Place, Kai Purakau, and Lovely Rita, which prioritize the places and people of New Zealand and the events that shaped them. While Earthquake and Getting to Our Place document public events of national significance, Preston’s meditative approach to the evolution of painter Rita Angus in Lovely Rita gives expression to her own deep interest in early training in painting. Indeed, in those documentaries that focus on a singular individual such as Lovely Rita, Preston draws on the tradition of film portraiture, reshaping it to suit her own distinctive, nationalist agenda. Her work in this vein can be traced to the documentary short, Kai Purakau, and later evolves into epic drama form in Bread and Roses. These two films rework the portrait genre to showcase the lives and stories of important New Zealand women – Booker-prize winning author, Keri Hulme, and feminist politician, Sonja Davies. The next section of the programme pairs War Stories My Mother Never Told Me and Home By Christmas, two very different films that each bring to light New Zealanders’ experiences of World War II. A documentary classic, War Stories provides consecutive portraits of seven New Zealand women, including the director’s own mother, Tui. Tui’s ‘war story’ of a secret love affair subsequently affords Preston with the opportunity to explore the differences between the female and male experience of the war in the companion work, Home by Christmas, a film memoir culled from recorded interviews with her father, Ed, in which he recounts his memories of active duty. The subtle disparities between female and male voices revealed within the two films form what the filmmaker calls, “a story net,” that extends beyond the borders of the Preston family to the wider community of New Zealand and beyond. It is my hope that the choice to concentrate on nonfictional and historical material in this retrospective exhibition of Preston’s work will help to facilitate the interrogation of documentary form, the interplay of the personal story and the political film, and the use of film as a means to create an oral history.

Given the necessarily restrictive focus of this retrospective, I have chosen to include essays in the catalogue, specifically Deborah Shepard’s ‘A Decent Sense of Outrage: Gaylene Preston – Film Director,’ and Bruce Harding’s ‘Agonistic Gender Psychodrama, Angstlust, and Sympathetic Insight in the Work of Gaylene Preston, Bricolage Cineaste and Documentarian’, which address Preston’s purely fictional work (notably Mr Wrong, Ruby and Rata, and Perfect Strangers). Shepard’s essay offers a warm and lively account of her relationship with Preston and an overview of the director’s life and career. Shepard not only touches upon both fiction feature films and documentaries, but also provides us with her own behind-the-scenes insight into what makes the director tick – describing Preston’s experience in London, her start in film and video production, her interest in and empathy with her subjects, her inspiration, and the often intensely personal nature of her work. Harding’s essay looks at Preston’s work as a reflection of time and place, examining influences and intertextual references in both her fiction and documentary work. Harding explores the impact of feminism in her work, unraveling the thread of connection between fiction features, Mr. Wrong and Perfect Strangers, and the documentary short, Kai Purakau. He stresses the importance of landscape in both Kai Purakau and Perfect Strangers, which were both filmed on the director’s ‘home turf’ of the West Coast of the South Island.

Without dismissing the impact of her work in fiction, Harding concludes that Preston’s real strength as a filmmaker lies in her documentaries, citing films such as Lovely Rita, Kai Purakau and her recent ‘faction’ (fact-fiction) feature Home by Christmas. I also have included an interview with Preston that I conducted in 2010, “A Quiet Voice in a Noisy Room: An Interview with Gaylene Preston,” which complements the perspectives expressed in the two essays, providing the director’s own perceptions on – the profound influence of her family, her early career path, her roots in documentary filmmaking, her relationship with other New Zealand filmmakers, her work with actors, her methods of filming, the production history of War Stories and Home by Christmas, and her future plans. The medley of different perspectives offered in this catalogue must be understood as the mere opening gambit to a larger conversation about the director’s work. I am hopeful that both our retrospective exhibition and this publication will prompt further debate and study of those films that have not been shown or discussed in the context of this event.

Mary M Wiles
This highly compelling short feature delivers an honest and moving insight into the risks of living on the ‘shaky isles’ of Aotearoa–New Zealand. It was produced to record oral testimonies of survivors of the devastating magnitude 7.8 Napier earthquake of 3 February 1931. There are nine participants – including Hana Cotter, Audrey McKelvie (née Hunt), John Clayton and poet Lauris Edmond – who remember and reflect on their experiences of that tragic and terrible period of New Zealand history. Preston is not constructing a geotechnical science-fact documentary but, rather, a powerful subjective account of subtly orchestrated and emotionally resonant recollections of, for instance, excavating corpses from the rubble of fallen buildings (Cotter), a parent photographing the total destruction of Napier (McKelvie), environmental effects on a farm (Clayton), and the perceptions of primary school children (Edmond), and five other survivor stories. These are artfully intercut with newsreel footage and monochrome stills, and underlaid by a careful mix of reflective piano music (Plan 9) and foley sound – including the unsettling audio soundtrack of violent earth-shaking, which now makes a disturbing parallel between this and the more recent seismic upheavals experienced in Christchurch and Canterbury. Harding
Filmed between 1995 and 1998, Getting to Our Place is a startlingly frank fly-on-the-wall exposé of the planning process and compromises made on the often difficult journey to the opening of Te Papa Tongarewa, the Museum of New Zealand, in February 1998. From the construction site itself, to planning, staff and board meetings, Preston and her fellow director Anna Cottrell ensure their camera accesses all areas and introduces us to some of the key players on ‘the way to Our Place.’ This forthright, talented and dedicated group includes founding CEO Dame Cheryll Sotheran and the Te Papa Board. We also meet national historian Jock Phillips, the remarkably talented artist Cliff Whiting, chief architect Pete Bossley, and a clutch of Museum consultants, the strategy team and bureaucrats. As political, ideological, creative and commercial considerations collide, we see the sparks fly. This is an honest, gutsy and gripping ‘insider’s take’ on the debates surrounding corporate sponsorship, a bicultural vision and the central, educative role of the Treaty Exhibition, reflecting Sotheran’s desire to avoid a boring ‘curricular’ museum and to create a provocative visitor experience that explores ‘this funny, quirky, difficult kind of nation.’ We share aspects of Sotheran’s angst about the depressing battles with an entrenched, cost-obsessed bureaucracy, as well as the sense of uplift of bringing to fruition Whiting’s stunning marae design (which is the aesthetic and spiritual heart of Te Papa). This film celebrates that final sense of arrival and high accomplishment. 

Getting to Our Place is dedicated to the memory of Leni Apisai, the sole construction worker who lost his life during the building of Te Papa.
Renowned New Zealand painter Rita Angus (1908–1970) lived and worked at a time when to be a full-time artist was highly unusual, especially for women. Angus’ letters to composer Douglas Lilburn and the insights of her biographer Jill Trevelyan illuminate this documentary portrait, alongside commentary from family, associates, and others – including designer Vita Cochran, publisher Christine Cole Catley, artist Jacqueline Fahey, photographer Marti Friedlander, actor Sam Neill and artist Grahame Sydney. Visual pleasures beyond Angus’ paintings further enrich the portrait: Alun Bollinger’s evocative cinematography, actress Loren Horsley’s sympathetic portrayal of the artist, and a story about the blouse Betty Curnow wore when she sat for ‘Portrait of Betty Curnow,’ in 1942.
In this richly evocative documentary, Gaylene Preston provides an informal, almost glancing portrayal of the reclusive whitebaiting ‘dream-catcher’-writer of Okarito (South Westland), almost as though cinematographer Leon Narbey is tracking Keri Hulme in her native habitat. Hulme achieved stellar fame for being the only New Zealand author to date to have received the prestigious Booker Prize, for her novel *the bone people*, in 1985. In this sensitive portrait, Preston uses her creative freedom to document the texture of everyday life during whitebaiting season at the entrancingly scenic Okarito Lagoon. She packs a huge amount of content (both emotional and visual) into this short film, and the sense of intimacy and sharing is quite startling and unexpected for a television profile.

Preston’s unobtrusive direction really captures her subject, as she tracks Hulme’s singular female odyssey on the South Island’s West Coast and explores some of her struggles – including her account of building her own home, which overlooks the frequently tempestuous Tasman Sea. In addition, we get to hear Hulme’s own extraordinarily lucid exposition of *matauranga* Māori values as they relate to her own life and her sense of place in the world.

In keeping with the director’s habitat focus, Preston talks to a clutch of unnamed ‘Coasters,’ one of whom, a stray whitebaiter, doesn’t actually know Keri but declaims convincingly on the author’s reclusive and quiet personality. Some cheeky local boys get their 15 minutes of fame. And, best of all, Hulme’s beloved next-door neighbours (the Minehans) add authentic touches about their famous, but modest, friend. These sequences recall the late filmmaker Merata Mita’s profound point that moving pictures allow resurrections into the world of light (Te Ao Marama), as the gregarious Bill Minehan has, alas, since died.

The camera impressively captures the rich trove of local flora and fauna, including a rare glimpse of the legendary kotuku (white heron) and wiggling whitebait trapped in a net, while the soundtrack is enhanced by a number of soulful *waiata* written and performed by Keri Kaa.

A final point: Keri Hulme loves this gently paced and revelatory film, which has to be its ultimate accolade.

*Harding*
FROM KERI HULME

I didn’t know of Gaylene Preston back then, 1984. My first, cherished, long gestated novel had been published by Spiral Collective #3 – and this person who I didn’t know, wasn’t part of that women’s collective, but who had at least the credentials of being a Coaster (I’d delivered mail to Prestons during my career as a postie) had offered me $10.00 for the film rights after she’d read the book. She did it with totally good will, thinking maybe I was an innocent ‘bushie’. And – this is totally part of her character – she could be protector/mentor of such.

FAST FORWARD to 1987. Gaylene had been commissioned to make a programme for Thames TV for part of a series on six women writers (the only thing I remember about any other writer was that Barbara Taylor Bradford put in an extremely large account for her make-up. I didn’t put in an account for anything).

Anyway – Gaylene, and her baby, and the nanny; and Leon Narbey and Alun Bollinger (and his son), and the lighting guy, and the gofer all arrived in Big O. They had rented a place here, for the week. My mother, Mary Miller, was staying with me. I’ve been a TV director: I was intrigued by Gaylene’s directorial style. For Kai Purakau, she laid out what she wanted for her script – but she was quite open to suggestions from her crew. And for things that just – happened. She had a very easy, laid-back, collegial style which – no surprises eh! – also happened to turn into a definite “this way” on occasion. Leon was very attuned to her directorial style, and added suggestions that I found truly intriguing (‘facets of light, faces and shadows’). I knew, from my experience, that you garner the images & the sounds and then – everything happens in the editing booth. I missed, from ‘Te Kaipurakau’ (I gave it that title), my mother reading quotations from letters I’d received. But – otherwise (excepting me rowing a dinghy round for no point whatsoever) enjoyed the finished film. Gaylene & crew were abstemious – until the last night. Some locals came in to join the party. Gaylene had brought a crate plus of Lindauer. When I saw that loaded into my octagon, I went out and tucked a couple of bottles of Stolichnaya into my deep freeze – tee dee tee dah. We had plenty of good food – but then people got stuck into the Lindauer. And I, evilly, brought in the Stolly.

Take a glass of bubbles, chase it with the syrupy shot of Stolly. You get drunk really fast. Gaylene was breastfeeding Chelsie still (I think). She was abstemious. Leon was poured into the car next earlier morning plaintively asking “Where are we? Where am I going?” Gaylene drove them all away. My mother sat in my big armchair, looking green. She decided to go back to sleep in my bed for a few hours, before heading over the hill to CHCH.

I knew about mixing champagne & vodka shots. I went out whitebaiting the 7am tide. Nahaku noa na

Keri Hulme
War Stories Our Mothers Never Told Us is a documentary and oral history that presents consecutive portraits of seven New Zealand women: Pamela Quill, Flo Small, Tui Preston (Gaylene Preston’s mother), Jean Andrews, Rita Graham, Neva Clarke McKenna, and Mabel Waititi.

In War Stories, Preston’s static camera transforms the role of traditional portraiture and lifts normal women – albeit with extraordinary stories – from the ranks of the everyday; they achieve momentary celebrity as contemporary versions of, antidotes to, the patriarchal regime of war heroes. Seated alone against a blank black backdrop, each woman directly addresses the unseen interviewer (Judith Fyfe) and the audience to describe, with startling candour and humour, how World War II affected her life. The well-lit frontal composition focuses full attention on each woman’s face and hands as privileged means of expression, and contemplative long takes are periodically interrupted by black-and-white family photographs, letters or documents that help illustrate their stories.

We watch as Pamela and Flo recall the exhilaration and passion of young love followed by tragic loss, grief, and social isolation. Tui’s story segues to that of her husband Ed revealed in Home by Christmas. Her untold story of a secret love affair not only testifies to the loneliness and separation she faced in her husband’s absence, but also offers a glimpse of the lure of a sexual freedom hitherto unavailable to women. In her interview, Rita confirms the war was a ‘period of secrecy.’
and confesses that her husband’s status as a conscientious objector was viewed as a dirty secret, a source of fear and shame for the family. Others, such as Jean, Neva, and Mabel, recount stories of female empowerment in the face of adversity and hardship: Jean shelters young American soldiers in her home in Paekakariki; Neva enlists and serves overseas in Egypt and Italy; and Mabel drives a school bus and manages the family transport business. Newsreel clips from the National Film Unit’s *Weekly Review*, complemented by an officious male voice-over, create a jarring juxtaposition to the women’s intimate stories, which detail the personal and domestic toll the war has taken.

*War Stories Our Mothers Never Told Us* was named best film at the 1995 New Zealand Film Awards.
In Home by Christmas, Gaylene Preston creates a film memoir culled from 15 hours of recorded interviews with her father, in which he recounts his memories of World War II. In a memorable performance that relies on both improvisation and recollection, Tony Barry – in the central role of Ed Preston – retells Ed’s story before a camera that meticulously records the subtlest nuances of facial expression and gesture. Periodic close-ups recall moments from Carl Dreyer’s silent classic The Passion of Joan of Arc (1928), in which the unadorned face of actress Renée Jeanne Falconetti serves as a mirror to the soul. The story Barry tells is framed as a flashback, beginning in 1940 in the West Coast town of Greymouth. Young and impulsive, Ed Preston (Martin Henderson) joins his mates as they all enlist in the New Zealand Army on their way home from rugby practice. When he tells his wife Tui (played by the director’s daughter Chelsie Preston-Crayford), who is pregnant with their first child, she is understandably distressed. Ed cavalierly dismisses her concerns, envisaging 12 months away and ‘a free holiday.’ With the lure of an army rehabilitation loan firmly in his sights, he reassures Tui that he’ll be ‘home by Christmas.’

Flashbacks allow Preston to explore the intersection of history and memory. Shot in 16mm, with split frame lenses, they trace the imagined life of Tui after her husband’s departure. She weathers years of loneliness, grief, and uncertainty after receiving notification that Ed is listed as missing in action. She finally succumbs when she falls in love with a handsome young photographer who awakens in her the first inklings of romantic love, offering her the warm companionship and sense of security she had lost when Ed left. While the story at home unfolds in heightened prosmatic colours, black-and-white archive images illustrate Barry’s ambling narration, which chronicles an ordinary soldier’s tortuous and, by turns, tedious trajectory through battlefields in the Egyptian desert, incarceration in an Italian prisoner-of-war camp and final escape into neutral Switzerland. The bittersweet reunion of Ed and Tui at the Christchurch train station and their long journey home with their young son marks the end of the wartime era – and the audience is left to imagine the difficult period of readjustment into family life that lay ahead for them both.

Wiles
BREAD AND ROSES (1993)

Conceived as a suffrage tribute to the women of New Zealand, *Bread and Roses* is based on the autobiography of social activist and feminist, Sonja Davies (1923–2005). The film was originally made as a four-part television series, but it also received a cinema release. It traces Davies' personal and professional evolution, focusing on those formative moments that shaped the political awareness of the emergent activist.

Davies takes her title ‘Bread and Roses’ from the poem by James Oppenheim. Penned to celebrate the nascent American women’s rights movement, the slogan was adopted by striking textile mill workers in Lawrence, Massachusetts, in 1912, who walked out in protest against pay cuts brought about by the reduction in maximum working hours for women and minors. Many of the striking women workers reportedly carried banners proclaiming, ‘We want bread and roses too!’

With a screenplay written by Gaylene Preston and the late Graeme Tetley, this adaptation of *Bread and Roses* focuses not only on Davies’ struggles, but also reflects the social and political history of New Zealand at the time. It moves swiftly through Davies’ early years – her troubled childhood (born out of wedlock, Davies spent the first seven years of her life with her doting grandmother, until moving in with her mother and a stepfather with whom she was never reconciled), an early marriage and divorce, her nursing training, illegitimate child, and long battle with tuberculosis – and the film chronicles the events that led to her political activism. Davies first came to public attention in 1955 as a ringleader of a protest sit-in on a rural railway line threatened with closure. The film ends at the beginning of her political life, with her election onto the Nelson Hospital Board in 1956.

FROM EDITOR PAUL SUTORIUS

I first worked with Gaylene on *Ruby and Rata* and found out very quickly what an amazingly talented person she was. Not only talented, but also extraordinarily generous. An example of this was when I asked her if I could rearrange the rough cut of the film as I thought it might work better my way. She allowed me about four days of editing time (no computers then!) to do so. When we looked at it we decided that no, it wasn’t better, so I changed it back, except for the first scene. Since then we have worked on numerous films together and every time it just gets more and more enriching. The heart that she brings to her films and the performances that she allows her actors to give is amazing. She brings out the best in me too, I believe, and she also allows me to enter her loving family for which I am forever grateful.
This interview first appeared in online journal
Reprinted with permission.

This interview was conducted with Gaylene Preston at
her home in Wellington, which also serves as her
production studio. As Preston led me back towards
the kitchen, obviously the central gathering place
in her modest home, I was struck immediately by
the array of black and white family photos lining
the walls of the hall corridor, showcasing family
members who have become vivid, memorable
characters familiar to film audiences around the
world. The bedroom had been converted into an
editing suite, the front living room into an office
where papers and documents lay unopened. I had
cought Preston in transit; she had just returned
home from the 2010 Cannes Film Festival, where
her recently released film Home by Christmas
(2010) had been screened as part of a selection of
Antipodean films. While abroad, she had learned of
the unexpected death of her long-time friend and
collaborator, respected Māori filmmaker Merata
Mita, with whom she had worked on the landmark
documentary Pātū (1983). Preston was en route
to the Sydney International Film Festival the next
morning. At Sydney’s State Cinema,
to the Sydney International Film Festival the next
this same Festival, her documentary
Home by Christmas was voted third most popular film in the
Audience Award category. Fifteen years earlier at
this same Festival, her documentary War Stories
Our Mothers Never Told Us (1995) – which in many
respects can be considered a companion work to
Home by Christmas – had not only been voted the
Most Popular Film, but also Best Documentary.

ABROAD AND AT HOME

Can you describe how your interest in filmmaking
evolved; where and when you first decided to
become a filmmaker; your early experiences as a
woman forging a film career within New Zealand?
I’ll summarise for you. I studied painting at Ilam
School of Fine Arts, University of Canterbury …

Do you continue your painting at all today?
No, I do a bit of drawing occasionally. I studied for
three years. I did not graduate. In fact, I would be
one of the better known ‘failures’ from the Art
School. I took the first job available in Christchurch
in 1969 – early 1969 – and I got a job at Calvary
Psychiatric Day Hospital. I found myself in a very
progressive environment at that little day hospital.
I was a nursing assistant and because I had a
background in drama and the visual arts, and it was
the open minded place it was – nine months later
when I had to fill in ‘Occupation’ on my passport, I
wrote ‘Art Therapist,’ a term I thought I had made
up, but when I got to Europe I found that it was a
very well established discipline.

Anyway, when I got to Cambridge in the UK, the
first job that I got was as an assistant librarian at
Fulbourn Psychiatric Hospital and I sort of found
my community there. Part of the assistant librarian’s
job was to direct the hospital Christmas pantomime
every year. Using that as a platform, over three years,
with a small multidisciplinary group, I managed to
establish drama therapy across the hospital, and
we also managed to lobby to get an art therapist
position set up.

So we were doing improvisational drama
therapy, and we could see how useful it was for
institutionalised patients. They thought they
were getting ready to perform a play. A play
institutionalises the performance. You have to learn
your lines, and you have to learn your moves, and
you repeat them over and over. The problem these
patients had was that every day was the same as
the day before, and every week was the same as the
week before, so we were trying to de-institutionalise
them. All over Britain hospitals were being closed
down. These institutionalised patients were on
the brink of being shut out and shunted off to
bed sitters without real support. Anything that
could be done to help them reclaim their sense
of spontaneity was crucial. Anyway, I had a bunch
of mates – I was in a small experimental theatre
group in Cambridge called Whole Earth. We were a
theatre group that was political. We were interested
in forging a fusion of acid rock and Brecht, and we
were constantly rehearsing; we did very few actual
performances.

Anyway my Whole Earth mates, they came out to
the hospital, and one of them had an 8mm camera,
so she said, ‘Oh yeah, we’ll make them a film. A film
is great. Every take is fresh.’ And then my friend
who was shooting the film eloped, and I got left
with a little pile of film cans on the dining room
table when I came in one night. I had to solve the
problem of making a film out of the material we
had shot so far, so that we could screen it to the
patient group. And I didn’t even know that you cut
it up – you know – you could take the bad bits out
and cellotape together the best bits. So I made this
silent film. Then we needed to make a sound track.
I borrowed a tape recorder that was probably about
as big as this half of the table. It was a big green
thing. I thought it was fantastic because it had two
tracks. Two separate tracks! And if you pushed the
tape recorder and projector buttons at around about
the same time, you could play the film, and it nearly
achieved a weird sync. So my first films were made
as silent movies with a sound track added, because
once I made that film I then moved to London, and
I started working in drama with West Indian kids
at Brixton College of Further Education. I started
making films with deaf kids. It was fantastic for
them to be able to actually say a line of dialogue
because you can record each word one at a time
and then cut it together and make it a sentence. So
anyway, my interest in film grew out of doing that
community therapy based work.

Were you at all interested at that time about what
was going on in the film world? It must have been a
very vibrant time in Europe with people working in
the post-New Wave period.

It was – it was amazing. I was part of the London
Women’s Film Group. We met every Wednesday
in the Women’s House in Earl Street, and there
were women in that film group who had jobs in the
industry. They just seemed to me to be really upset
most of the time. I gradually realised that if I stayed
in the UK, then chipping away at the edifice was
pretty well what I was going to get to be doing. Most
of the people that I was involved with in London
outside my actual job were people who were
campaigning for sexual politics and progressive
politics, often within the large monolithic unions
because, you know, the left was very hidebound in
those days in Britain. I picked up my ears when I
got messages from home because there seemed
to be more possibilities. I heard about this bus that
was going around doing concerts for kids using film
and performance. So, though I was living happily
in Britain, I started to get a bit homesick. Around this
time, my friends in London took me to see This is
New Zealand – the now quite famous three-screen
installation by the NZ National Film Unit. The way that they took me to see it was unusual. They said, ‘Right, we’re taking you on a magical mystery tour for your birthday, so what time are you home from work?’ And I said, ‘Oh, I’ll be home at 4 o’clock.’ ‘Great!’ And I walked in at about half past four, and suddenly they grabbed me, blindfolded me, shoved me into the car with a joint in my hand, and off we went. We drove for 20 minutes. They guided me across big wide streets blindfolded, and they’re not worrying too much about me because we are now late. We are having to nearly run with me, still with the blindfold on, and we walk into what I think is a big ferry building. It sounds like a big building. Then they take the blindfold off, and I am standing with my nose just about one inch in front of these two black vinyl doors, and on the doors is a big sign white-on-black that says, ‘This is New Zealand.’ And I pushed open the doors and I walked into the dark. It did the opposite. So did you ever cross paths with Campion? Only at parties. See, as I arrived in New Zealand, Jane left.

So, you were like ships crossing in the night, and she never really came back to live. Well, she is back here occasionally – down south, but there has not been a conversation there. I would have liked there to have been, I like conversations with other directors, but we have never really been in the same place at the same time. It would seem to me that New Zealand offers a kind of safe haven in many ways for voices like yours, so that you’re not just completely subsumed or overwhelmed by everything else that’s going on around you. Yeah, because you need a bit of quiet to hear the inner voice. I think if you were summing up my films you could say that they are quiet – they don’t easily fit a sort of political filmmaker profile. I think that they’ve got really strong politics in them, but they’ve also got… there’s an interest in fantasy and whimsy that sits around the ideas. I think I’ve got a gentle voice, and the film room is noisy… Did you become, when you came back to New Zealand, less prone to identify with movements like the feminist movement or other film movements, or did you still feel connected to certain aesthetic traditions? It seems like in Britain you had this period where you were identified with certain movements and you enjoyed that collective spirit – did you find yourself more isolated here? No, I found myself very involved, because here was a new industry emerging and there was this maverick urgency. But there was also a very sophisticated discussion going on, particularly among those of us who were making documentaries. There was a bunch of people in Wellington during 1978/79/80, they came and went, but there was a core group who were having an intense conversation about what film was for, and what change could be achieved using film – which side was the camera on and what were the ethics of tax payer funded filmmaking. That’s how Patu! happened. During the Springbok Tour of 1981. That was an extraordinary piece of collective filmmaking – Patu! We all went out, we stole film stock, we shot extremely dangerous situations, we… Were you part of the cinematic crew on Patu!? My credit on Patu! is ‘middle New Zealand coordinator’ – it’s an in-joke. Basically the collective stood alongside Merata, and we made sure that all of the censors didn’t think that we went in one direction – it all went to Merata to make a feature film. One film for the cinema, because we saw that as the liberating space, not television which was pretty locked up here. There was a real feeling that independent filmmakers – it flowed out of Pacific Films to a degree – that the independents were the filmmakers of the people; we reflected local concerns and I felt like I was part of a small community of activist filmmakers, progressive thinking people, who were politically sophisticated – many of them Māori – most of them gone now. Unlike the UK, the politics in New Zealand suited me because it’s little. There’s not enough of us to form factions. Actually, the 1981 Springbok Tour protests were a really good example of that. On one end of the spectrum you had the churches on the street in any protest, there are two or three that aren’t there but support the cause, so it was an overwhelming phenomenon. Did the Government stop it? No. So we stopped protesting and picked up cameras and stood with the anti-racist movement often in the middle of the line, staring down the police batons rolling film we had begged, borrowed, or stolen.

I didn’t make Patu! – Merata did – but I certainly played a part, along with Martyn Sanderson, Waka Attewell and Vanguard Films and others, recording that extraordinary moment from a very different point of view from the other footage that was shot during the tour. We didn’t shoot from on top of buildings; we shot down among the protesters, and all of that footage was put in one place, which was with the independent un-vetted voice of a Māori woman filmmaker who was able to go away – pretty well into hiding – and make her film with total creative control. We decided that, and we stuck to it. That remains an extraordinary thing to me, because filmmakers get very attached to their footage. Especially if they have the raw stock!
I agree. Do you find that that spirit, that kind of community spirit and political spirit, is still alive today in New Zealand?

I think among documentary filmmakers most certainly.

Obviously what you’re pointing to is a strong tradition of women activists and filmmakers who have enjoyed long, very prolific and successful careers. Marata, of course, serving as the mother figure, in many respects, of that particular documentary tradition.

You see, that tradition of documentary filmmaking is deeply rooted in independent work. If you look at the films of Barry Barclay, if you look at the way Vanguard Films have just carried on making their movies, that’s the old guard. And I have played my part one way or another. I was making films that reflected my concerns. In All The Way Up There (1976), about a disabled 12-year-old who climbs Mt Ruapehu with Graham Dingle, the centre of that movie is the interview with Bruce Burgess whose disability is quite extreme aphasia, which has a huge impact on his speech. It takes him a while to say what he has to say, and we had to subtitle it.

The commissioning editor at TVNZ said, ‘Whatever you do, don’t interview him. Go away and get some good wallpaper footage, and then put a commentary over it.’

But making a film where the audience are brought to that interview and feel able to sit comfortably and wait to hear what he has to say, no matter how laborious, that’s the reason for making that film. It’s all about changing attitudes.

I feel like Titleless Wonders (2001) continues in that spirit, where again you’re focusing on women who have been invisible, whose scars, whose experiences have not been spoken about publicly.

That’s an overriding interest. When I came back from the UK, I felt that our kids, our teenagers, were extremely invisible. I came back to New Zealand and felt like everybody was asleep; that there was this big wave of unemployment about to hit, and nobody was prepared for it, least of all the kids who were going to be the ones that wore it, which was exactly what happened. Learning Fast (1980) was a way of revealing that process. That took two years to make. So I suppose by choosing the less obvious political subjects, but choosing to focus on the personal political, that’s where my work sits, always has, you know, all of it. Political filmmaking for me is to make consciousness-raising films that screen in prime time or at the movies. They’re actually masquerading, if you like, as mainstream populist movies. All of my work’s been around that idea. So today we’ve got Home by Christmas having done a million bucks at the movies, and actually, it’s an anti-war film, masquerading as a nice old codger entertaining you. But actually, it’s got a very clear — I mean I’m reflecting my father’s opinion — but it’s got a very clear anti-war theme.

I was thinking of how you grew up — obviously you went abroad, and this had a great deal to do with shaping you professionally and personally. But really, you came from a typical Kiwi family with typical Kiwi parents. Did they play any role in forming you as an artist? Did they give you that impetus to speak your mind or to have a unique personal vision? It seems like a lot of your films are generated from within this family.

Yeah, which is interesting, isn’t it? I grew up in a participatory culture. First of all in Greytown and then in Napier, and in both of those places, like most little towns in the 50’s in New Zealand… you know, everybody would say, ‘Oh, we made our own fun. We entertained ourselves.’ So if you could sing or dance or were the slightest bit precocious, you kind of got pushed on stage. So that meant I was in the Sunday School concert at the age of three and from then on I was an entertainer. My mother, who was very shy, was quite appalled at this, but supported me. And as far as the arts are concerned, my generation got fast-tracked — we got an art based, play based education — big time. When we walked into our primary schools, we were handed a big fat paint brush into our chubby little mits and confronted with big easels and paint pots, and there was a sandpit and this scary lady who played the piano, and we all had to learn to folk dance and sing harmonies. A whole generation of us, who were working class kids got put through to university — educated far beyond our parents’ wildest dreams — for free. I’m a part of that, and through that time I found not a single New Zealand story on our bookcase at home.

But all this education became a source of conflict eventually, because I got interested in a much larger world and wanted to leave home to go to art school. I was kicking over the traces wearing black tights and big jerseys and white eyeliner and white lipstick — that wasn’t what I was supposed to be educated for. I was meant to be wearing a white cardigan and teaching piano part-time while working in a bank, waiting to get married. Well, that was not going to happen. We, my generation of women, have lived way beyond our parents’ expectations. When I made my first independent film, All the Way Up There, my father and mother came to a screening. At the next family gathering my father took me aside — he’d realised that I was going to be a filmmaker without steady work, standing outside the institutions — he worked it out, which is quite clever for a milkman from Napier. Anyway, he said, ‘Look love, I’m retiring next year. I’m 75 and I’ll be retiring, and that milk run of mine, that’s the best milk run in Napier; you can do it in three hours a day and then you’ve got the rest of the day for yourself. Why don’t you have the milk run? You would have steady money coming in every week. You could see how he had thought about it. So I make my first movie, and my father offers me his milk run. So there is how it was supported — how the arts were supported.

HOME BY CHRISTMAS: RECLAIMING THE COMMUNAL MEMORY
As we are on the subject of support for the arts, could I ask how you acquired funding for Home by Christmas?

With great difficulty over a very long period of time. I don’t think that there’s any such thing as an easy film to fund, I don’t think that there ever was, but it is especially not the case now. I produced, directed and wrote Home by Christmas. I didn’t produce it alone; I have two producing partners — Nigel Hutchison, who came on board after a year or so, and Sue Rogers, who stepped up to the plate a year or two after that. I’ll just go through the process of how it got made because you can’t really separate out financing as a separate issue from the rest of the evolution of the film — well, I can’t because I was involved with it all.

A friend of mine, Nigel Hutchison said to me, ‘You have to come and be our artist in residence for a week’ — his place was a completely isolated little cottage on a peninsula in the Marlborough Sounds. So I went there to have a think and clear my head after having made Perfect Strangers. That was when I realised that the war story that my father had told me was still sitting there in my computer, a series of interviews that had been transcribed, with scenes that I had worked on over the years. And I thought, well, that would be a good project for me. I like to just follow my nose you know, follow what interests me. Choosing a project means you’re going to live with it for a long time. So anyway, I started — I could see how making a work that was based on oral history, like closely based on oral history that included dramatic interventions, would be a really interesting form for me to explore as a filmmaker. So then I wrote a script, by taking the transcriptions and cutting them down. I wrote some of his story into imagined dramatic scenes, and sometimes I let him just tell the story. I decided to do that because the way he told the story was about as interesting to me as what he was describing. And also, it’s what he doesn’t tell that really has huge reverberations. So I always knew that the interview needed to sit in the middle of the story. You’ve got choices at that point, you can say, ‘Well, I’ll dramatise the whole thing,’
but I never wanted to do that. I wanted to have the interview in the centre. So I wrote a script and put that into the Film Commission, and they gave me some development money. In that development proposal I mentioned that I felt that it was not going to be possible just to develop the script in the usual way by writing and rewriting and getting assessments, because I had to know whether a central reconstructed interview was going to work. So with this tiny amount of development money, I cast Tony Barry to play my father. Tony is an actor whose work was not unknown to me, but I didn’t know him particularly well. Anyway, it was my sister who said, ‘Tony’s well worth looking at – he’s awfully like Dad.’ So I went over to Sydney and gave Tony the script; he loved it. The next task was to get Tony over here to see if we could pull off a reconstructed interview, because if you don’t believe that interviewee in Home by Christmas, you haven’t got a film.

That’s right, but you never doubt it – I never did.

How did you work with him to ensure that he would have that authenticity?

I thought I would just muck around with my PD150 – my little Sony camera. Alun Bollinger had read the script and really liked it, so the idea was that Alun and I would just muck around here in this house with Tony being Ed [Preston’s father]. And then it somehow grew. Some people round the corner had some Thomson Viper cameras, and once they knew Alun was coming to town, they wanted him to try out these new-fangled cameras; to run those cameras you kind of needed a crew, and the next thing, we had a crew.

Did you shoot the interviews here in the house? Yeah. We came to shoot the interviews here in the house because I could control the location. If anything we shot worked, it could supposedly be in the final film. I could do subsequent shoots in the same location – my home. If we had been developing, financing, shooting in the way that you normally do, we wouldn’t have been shooting in my home. It’s not very convenient when you’re trying to work, to do it at home – to have your house full of film crew. However, it worked really well. I stepped in to work with Tony one-to-one because we certainly didn’t have money to pay for another actor to come in and play me. If I had been making the film the usual way I would have cast an actor to be me, a younger actor. When I interviewed my father I was 40, and my father was 80. When I interviewed Tony in the film he was 67, and I was 60.

I must say, in the film, it doesn’t really come across that way.

No, no, it doesn’t do it. Mind you, we did our best to make sure it didn’t. But that decision made the difference with the interview because I could make Tony really talk to me, I could catch his eye and direct him as he was going – the way a good documentary interviewer does. So a lot of the decisions that were made, were made because it was a muck about, where we were just trying to find out how we could make this thing work. Actually, I’m sure that if I had had another actor it would have just been too hard. It would have been just about impossible.

Did he work from the transcripts of the tapes that your father did? Obviously you cut and edited the tapes to make a kind of scripted transcript. Yes.

But did he work verbatim from that transcript or did he improvise at all along the way?

We both improvised, but it’s a strange kind of improvisation because you’re not allowed to make it up; you have to improvise using what you’ve heard. I wouldn’t let him learn the words. It’s a very interesting medium; once you take an interview out of a tape into a transcript, then edit the transcript and then go back to editing the original sound tape, it’s amazing how much you’ve edited out from the sound tape – just in little wee ways of saying, ‘the’ instead of ‘a’ or ‘you, knowing just changing things slightly. It was very interesting going back and doing a new sound edit and those sound edited tapes were what was used for Tony. So he was given those to listen to as he biked around Sydney. One of the hardest things was to get them onto a cassette tape because he had a Walkman; he’s the last person alive with a Walkman! Fortunately he was really busy and he didn’t learn any lines. I had told him not to learn them. But actors will always want to learn the lines because that’s what actors do. They learn the words.

It’s hard to believe when you’re watching him on film that he’s actually speaking to some degree a script, or speaking from the recorded transcript. It’s so natural that you never get a sense of that at all.

Well, that’s what we had to achieve. We had to work really hard to get to a really relaxed place where we could achieve that. When I’m making a documentary I’m always trying to get the person to get off the tape recorder version in their memory – the tape recorder memory is not pure memory, it’s a retelling of how the story is usually told. There is remembering what happened, then there is remembering how to tell the story. I prefer the former. It makes more compelling cinema storytelling for one thing, but also, it’s more pure and immediate. Human memory is quite complex. The soldiers they would go out into battle, something horrendous would happen, they would end up back in the bar, having a beer or two, and their only chance of being able to talk about the horrendous stuff was to find a way to tell it that had a punchline, that made everybody laugh. So the well-told war story always has a horribly funny punchline. It’s a performance – right? But when I make a documentary, I’m wanting to get past mere performance of storytelling into something more immediate, truthful, compelling. I’m always digging to try and punch a hole in the tape recording of how the story is usually told, to pass through that layer of memory into moments that might suddenly illuminate the pure memory. I’ve probably spent years of my life thinking very specifically about interview and very specifically about interview on film and what it really ought to be. Because if you want to make a film it’s got to be cinematic, and the great pieces of cinema have brilliant performances in the centre of them, and what is a brilliant performance? From an actor, a brilliant performance is where there doesn’t seem to be any glass between the performance and the screen – immediacy is everything.

Actually I’ve ended up with a very specific niche interest that comes from long exploration of what memory is. Once you do any work in oral history, you know that what this person says happened and what that person says happening is so mutually exclusive sometimes – you couldn’t believe that they were in the same room at the same time, but they’re both speaking their truth. What is interesting about oral history is you get all the truths, and you add three and four and five and six people, and you start to get a story net. You start to see how the situation might have been in the round. I mean, there’s no doubt it’s a very different story of a battle if you’re stuck out in the back sending messages to the colonel, or if you’re digging a hole and burying dead people under fire. And because of the way that the old soldiers came together around the bar at the RSA upon their return, they homogenised their versions to all sort of fit a story that was convenient to tell in the 50’s, and of course, stories are always quite quickly made convenient to tell, but it’s a strange kind of editing of the reality of the thing over time. So I was always just trying to dig to get beyond that and into different more original territory.

It’s interesting what you’ve just said about different perspectives; it seems like your mother’s version of her ‘war story’ in War Stories Our Mothers Never Told Us (1995) is a very different recounting. Totally – couldn’t be more different, could it – my point entirely Tui [Preston’s mother] – she’s a completely different kind of storyteller to my father anyway. I couldn’t have chosen two more different storytellers really. My father is very matter of fact. Stories are both the story of going down to the dairy. My father might say, ‘Oh well, you know, we had to take this dairy. It was on a hill, and the Germans had it. We wanted it, so we had to take this dairy, and we did. And after it exploded, and we’d all settled down a bit, I said to Dinghy Taff …’ You know, I mean, it’s all like that. Unembellished. My mother is a far more conflicted personality. She might tell it this way. ‘Well, I knew that nice girls didn’t go down to the dairy. It just wasn’t done in those days, and I knew that I shouldn’t go down to the dairy, but this one day, well one thing led to another, and I went to the dairy. And I knew I shouldn’t have, but then of course, well …’ See, completely different – totally different. One very elliptical storytelling full of internal disharmony and indecision, and one terribly matter-of-fact about awfully big things. I think my father’s ‘war story’ was a very sly war story – political in terms of the statement he had to make about war in general and very clear in terms of the particulars of his war, told in a very generous ‘one of the boy’s way’. My father’s war story is not the one that gets told in the official versions.
I don't think that your mother's got told either until you told it or until you let her have the opportunity to tell it – both very unique.

But the reason I told them … the reason I thought they were important was because in New Zealand, because we have such huge budgetary restrictions – you know, we're a small territory – so the amount of movies where we are able to put our version of the war or our version of any damn historical thing up there is actually really limited. So the reason that I wanted to tell Home by Christmas was to put something into the film catalogue that reflected an ordinary soldier's version of the war. It wasn't the English version or the American version – that's our version. It's not the Australian version; it's our version – that one. And not only that, but it's from a man who truly, truly is an anti-hero: he's otherwise invisible. It's a story of a man who went away in a flush of excitement, got over there, didn't like it and unashamedly tells you about all the things he didn't like. And even when he escapes, he does it by accident, not because he's trying to get back to his army to fight on, but actually because he could. He took the opportunity. Why? Because he wanted to get the hell out of it and go home to his wife and kid. So, there's no heroism in my father's story as he tells it – none. You can't find nobility in there. The only nobility you have is of an ordinary man doing what he could to try and avoid being a part of this terrible thing he had got himself into. Now that's usually described as being a coward, but the way that he could do. I think you can probably understand the way that he could do. It was like he hadn't told me anything about the war – it never happened, or something.

I don't think that your mother's got told either until you told it or until you let her have the opportunity to tell it – both very unique.

So, the red, white and blue seems to come up quite a bit, was that intentional on your part?

Well, that wasn't the case in my head at all, but the way we decided to treat the archive was that we would slightly colourise aspects, just like you have a black and white photograph, and then you hand tint it. So the archive – each shot is treated as its own postcard. We didn't match grade the archive footge to be the same through the film. It's actually very different – each shot. And of course you are either adding red or you're adding blue – adding yellow is a bit harder. If you add yellow you just get brown, so that's how the red, white and blue …

WAR STORIES AND HOME BY CHRISTMAS: GAYLENE'S ‘WAR AND PEACE’

I see and, of course, you use your own home photos too. All those photographs, were they passed to you by your mother and father?

Mmmmm well see, probably the making of those films – let's talk about War Stories and Home by Christmas together for a minute. When I was little – growing up, the house had a bay window along the side, and I could get down between the couch and the window, and there was a chocolate box and in the chocolate box were all these black and white photos, plus negatives, and I wasn't allowed to touch those photos, you know, sticky fingers. But I would hide in that secret spot and look at those photos. I remember one particular day, there was music on the radio, and the fire was burning, and my nana sitting by the fire and I was behind the couch looking at these photos, but I couldn't ask anybody anything about who was in them because I wasn't supposed to have them. So I must have spent a bit of time trying to make connections with them, making up stories – you know – in my imagination how little kids do that? It's a very vivid memory.

I did the same thing.

Did you? Maybe it was common? So you see it all comes from there, combined with – I had really bad eyesight. I don't know why I put that in the past tense because my eyesight is still not good, but I was really into drawing and colouring in, which I would do on the floor and not get noticed. I looked it when my mother's friends from next door came over for morning tea, which they did quite regularly. They were in and out of one another's houses, those women. And every now and then, they would talk about the war – with me under the table – ears flapping, but they would forget I was there. So I would hear – I can't remember specific stories, but I'd hear very specific pain shared, you know what it's like with little kids. They pick up the feeling without understanding the details. So from an early age I knew that my mother's time during the war was difficult and that my father's was not. Then as I grew up I came to realise that in the other households of school friends of mine, it was the other way around. Their dad was usually the one that had the problem. Whereas, my father wasn't at all like that. He was just this anchor in the household, he held it together for everybody. So making a documentary about women's experiences in World War II was lind of obvious to me, and I was always really interested in the rugby club aspect of my father's trip away – the rollicking yarn aspect. And of course my mother was the sort of person who had her own internal pain anyway – brought up with an alcoholic father, so she was always going to be a bit easy to rattle emotionally.

Was her story that she revealed on the film, was it a surprise for you or did you suspect as much?

Well, I was digging – I had interviewed my father before that, before he died.

So her concerns weren't the same as his … He's being protective of her – he knows he's dying and no matter how far I want to dig, there's going to be a beginning, a middle and an end, and it's going to be dictated by him and that's pretty well how it worked – very generous, very warm, very clear – right, that was him in every aspect of his life. So it wasn't until after he died that Tui told her story in War Stories Our Mothers Never Told Us.

And in fact, the title is significant; pointing to the fact that she hadn't ever told you?

Well, I think I must have got it by osmosis, but certainly her sitting there telling wasn't something that happened until there was a camera on it. My father died in 1992. Ruby and Rata (1990) had just left the cinemas, and I interviewed Ed over Christmas–New Year 1991, and Tui looked after Chelsea who was three. It was during this period, Tui said – that song [‘As Time Goes By’] from Casablanca came on the radio – and she said, ‘Oh, that was a very special song for me during the war’. And I thought, oh, that's interesting. She said, ‘Yes, oh, with your father and I'. And I thought, that's funny. Casablanca didn't come out till 1943. I understood that she was telling me something truthful. I had recorded 10 tapes with my father – that's 15 hours of tape. My father then goes into remission and refuses to mention it ever again. So there's no way that I'd ever be able to film him. I mean we went off to the Sydney Film Festival screening of Ruby and Rata, and I had three or four days with my father, just him and me in Sydney. It was like he hadn't told me anything about the war – it never happened, off the radar – in only the way that he could do. I think you can probably understand how he did it, with lots of jokes and evasions.

Do you suspect that he knew about your mother's liaison during the war or …

I have no idea. She said that they never talked about it. But I don't know, they could have both known.

There are places where you can't go.
Especially a daughter who is also a filmmaker! In any case, everybody tells their version. They're not lying; they're just telling you their version. So I asked Judith Fyfe who, with Hugo Manson, had set up the New Zealand Oral History Centre ten years earlier. I said to Judith, 'Could I pay you a pathetic amount of money, and would you go and interview my mother because I think that there's a story there?'

And she said, 'No, certainly not, that's exactly what we don’t do.' Anyway, I got talking to her about stories of women and World War II and things that I thought were important gaps that were emerging in the communal history and that we should get cracking before they all left us. Judith did go out and interview Tui, and I said, 'What did she say, what did she say?' ‘You’ll have to listen to the tape, and you’ve got to get her permission to listen to it.’ ‘Fair enough!’ And you know what? I never did. I never listened.

Really!

And then two years later Judith and I got cracking, and we raised money to make an oral history of women in World War II. We worked with wonderful interviewers like Alison Parr and Jane Tolerton and Susan Folkes – brilliant interviewers and others. And we trained up Queenie Rikiana Hyland with other Māori interviewers and said, ‘Go and interview your aunts.’ We are not talking to only service women. We want the stories from ordinary women – just go and interview your aunty! ‘You were alive during World War II. What happened to you?’ We had money to do 20 interviews, and we got 23 out of that, and we raised another lot. I think in the end we’d got 60 or 70 interviews – and I think we got 12 of that, and we raised another lot. I think in the end we had money to do 20 interviews, and we got 23 out of that, and we raised another lot. I think in the end we’d got 60 or 70 interviews – and I think we got 12 of that, and we raised another lot.

Anyway, impetus was building. The Museum [National Museum and Art Gallery in Wellington] wanted to use some of our interviews for an exhibition. We had Geoff Walker of Penguin Books wanting to make a book. Could I get a film made? No. We kept going to the Film Commission and getting booked out the door and told it wasn’t cinema, it was television, and I mean you can forgive them for that I suppose – it’s seven old ladies talking about the war with a bit of archival footage thrown in. Anyway, I had some film stock that I had bought off Kodak on a special deal; so after two years of trying, I just got a camera and did it. Got started the traditional way that most New Zealand documentaries get made, by picking up a camera and just shooting. Well it was Alun who shot them. With that footage from the first five subjects it was possible to raise some money for a film because it was clear they were amazing interviews and that they would work as cinema. When they asked what would make it cinema, I would say, ‘Because a filmmaker's going to make it and it's going to have a Dolby stereo sound track.’ Quite arrogant. But I held to the idea that in New Zealand it is cinema that remains the liberating social space.

New Zealand television has been such a tragedy in that it has not been consistent. There hasn’t been any consistent public service space in the television area, and every time there’s a new Government they completely rejig all television. It’s been a very expensive missed opportunity, culturally speaking, for the New Zealand audience. So, therefore, with a completely commercialised television network and a teeny, tiny amount of New Zealand films being made every year, the audience is so starved that if you actually give them a film that reflects any kind of truth in terms of the world they inhabit, they’re so grateful they gallop into the theatres.

Well, I guess that they are inundated from global products being circulated.

More so than most places. If you actually give the New Zealand audience something that they can really click with, it’s like they’re having a glass of water in the middle of a desert, they are hugely grateful, and they never forget it. I think whenever a film reflects in an entertaining engaging way to a New Zealand audience a part of itself that has been hitherto under the radar, they go in numbers. That accounts for Boy [Taika Waititi, 2010]; you know, Boy is reflecting a very energetic aesthetic and sensibility of a generation that hasn’t had its say. And I just wish that we could find a way in New Zealand to pull ourselves together and actually understand how important this is because, you know, we’re really still talking about memory, aren’t we?

Yes.

Because we have personal memories and how those personal memories get expressed is a part of our communal oral history. There’s the way we choose to tell it, and there’s the way that we actually remember it. Our films are a flagship, which becomes how we choose to tell it. We need stronger funding of local storytelling on film. Having said that, when I look at my generation of filmmakers across the world, and if I then decided to look at only women filmmakers who are my peers, there are very few of them who have managed to keep going, so it’s hard the world over. It’s very difficult to make a work that is self-generated and not commissioned. Most of my films start between my left ear and my right ear – in my head – and get made independently without creative interference. If you like my work, or if you don’t like my work, whatever you think, it’s mine. There are so few filmmakers who have managed to keep on going. I’d say if we did decide to make a list, we’d find that at least half of the list would be French filmmakers, wouldn’t they?

Yes, they would. From your generation, Claire Denis comes to mind …

Well, yes, and Agnès Varda, although she is older than me, but you know they are the models. My heroes.
My association with Gaylene Preston began in 1990 when I first approached her hoping to write an MA thesis on her life and work. Gaylene was ‘apalled’, apparently, and did her best to dissuade me. When she finally had an opportunity to express how she really felt, in the foreword to my book, Reframing Women: A History of New Zealand Film, Gaylene explained:

This involved not returning her phone calls, then when she did get through arguing, correcting everything she said, and basically making sure she knew I was on the wrong track, entirely misguided and possibly quite stupid etc. When none of that worked I sent her o ff entirely misguided and possibly quite stupid etc. When none of that worked I sent her o ff. She gave her ‘something to fight for’. It gave her work shared resonated too. As Gaylene says, feminism gave her ‘something to fight for’. It gave her work energy and a bite and what she terms a ‘decent sense of outrage’ about the marginalising of so many interesting stories.

Before leaving for England, Gaylene had undertaken a diploma in painting at the Canterbury School of Fine Arts – and her art from that period displays her talent, particularly a watercolour self-portrait that is eerily reminiscent of the most beautiful of the self-portraits of Rita Angus, someone she admired immensely. Her film Lovely Rita (2007) reflects this admiration, and her comments provide insight into what motivates her work. ‘There’s usually a sense of outrage behind every film I make and that extends to Rita Angus too. I’m outraged that her work hasn’t, until recently, had the same acknowledgement as Colin McCahon and Toss Woollaston’ (Shepard 2009, 228).

On her return to New Zealand in 1977, Gaylene felt alone in a ruggedly macho culture.

For the first year or so I felt like an outsider looking in. Just being a woman without a partner, without children, living a single life and having been away for seven years isolated me. I was the expatriate. And it was paradoxical because I then found myself working with a group of men, none of who had been involved with the personal politics or the rethinking I’d gone through. However, it was because I’d thought it all through that I was able to stand my own ground. (Shepard 2009, 217)

It is staggering to hear her talk about the sexism endemic to the film culture at that time. Her first job was as an art director at Pacific Films, where in her words she was ‘affectionately’ given the nickname Bruce. However, when she was invited to manage the art department of the 1975 film version of Roger Hall’s play Middle Age Spread, directed by John Reid, she felt deeply isolated on set.

I mean, they’d tell jokes about dead nuns’ cutns. Over six weeks the imagery in the swearing really got to me. I didn’t like it at all but that was the style of the film crews in those days. I’m talking about a time when you’d go to a party and someone might come up and say, ‘Wanna fuck?’ That’s how it was then. (Shepard 2009, 219)

At this time, Gaylene also visited the Hawke’s Bay community of Waimarama, where she met Geoff Murphy and his wife Pat Robins, who would later become drama director, actor Bruno Lawrence and production manager Veronica Lawrence, actor Martyn Sanderson, sculptor Liz Earth, and members of the Crayford family. Cinematographer Alun Bollinger had already relocated to Reefton, but she met him at Pakiri filming an explosion test for Dagg Day Afternoon (1977) directed by Geoff Murphy and John Clarke. These people became her friends, and some of them members of her creative teams. Alun Bollinger went on to shoot many of her films – including Mr Wrong, War Stories, Perfect Strangers and Home by Christmas – and Jonathan Crayford was her film composer for several years. In 1982 Gaylene observed Geoff Murphy making his second feature Utu (1983) in a fly-on-the-wall documentary Making Utu (1982), and Geoff co-wrote the script of Mr Wrong with Gaylene.

Years after Gaylene’s first visit, Pat Robins directed a drama – Instincts (1986) – about that period. It reflected the gender politics and division of household labour and also the women’s experience of ‘free love’ which Pat said was ‘bloody nice for the blokes’ but difficult for the women. And all of us...
women sat around trying to come to terms with this one and feeling vaguely guilty as if we were failing in some way.”

Gaylene poured some of her feelings of alienation and feminist indignation into the character of Meg – who is single, financially independent, drives a second-hand Jaguar and unsettles the male characters in Mr Wrong. Her drama Married (1992), an under-recognised gem based on author Sue McCauley’s screenplay, about a working-class New Zealand filmmakers. ‘The sort of audacious, brilliantly visualised, male characters in Mr Wrong, her producer Robin Laring feeling desperate. They finally decided to approach the Film Commission one last time and submit what, according to Gaylene was ‘the film that had been turned down the most and the one that was definitely not internationally bankable or aiming for multiplexes. This was the film about seven old ladies talking about the war.’ (Shepard 2009, 243)

Thank goodness they were successful because War Stories is one of her best works. It went on to an international cinema release and was much loved by audiences both in New Zealand and overseas, where it received special recognition at Film Festivals in Sydney, Venice, Sundance and Toronto. It combines a feminist analysis of the untold stories of ordinary Kiwi women and their experience of war, with gripping stories of danger and survival.

In War Stories, Gaylene refined her approach to the interview format, allowing the individual to tell the story in what appears to be real time, but was in fact seamlessly edited by Paul Sutorius. Each woman is featured against a simple, black background enabling the viewer to concentrate on the story, to scan and appreciate the softly lit, wonderfully lined and richly expressive, beautiful faces. This film revolutionised the presentation of ‘talking heads’ and has influenced documentary makers throughout New Zealand – including Anne Goldson, who invited Gaylene to co-produce Punitive Damage (1995) with Rita McVeigh, who adopted the style for her interviews with female flight attendants in Coffee, Tea or Me? (2002). More recently, Paula McTaggart has replicated the format for her interviews with people who witnessed the 1967 Strongman Mine explosion and she has commissioned Gaylene to direct the dramatic content for her film Journey Into Darkness – The Strongman Tragedy.

All of the seven women featured in War Stories were stars, but the riveting story belonged to Gaylene’s mother, Tui Preston. ‘Ed and I have more and more fun as time passed. Most people probably grow up with the opposite situation, to have more and more fun as time passed.’ Gaylene’s sister – Sydney composer Jan Preston – wrote the score. The content is multi-layered too. Ed’s story was recorded before his death and before Tui’s revelations in War Stories, but because Gaylene didn’t know about her mother’s affair when she interviewed her father she doesn’t ask and he doesn’t tell – giving the story added pathos, because the viewer knows more than what appears in the father’s narrative strand. The tension builds as the audience awaits his homecoming wondering what will happen.

One of the strengths of Home by Christmas was that Gaylene persuaded her father to talk honestly about the war – and the resulting material is incredibly moving. As film critic Graeme Tuckett says, Tony Barry’s performance ‘put the lump in your throat and the smoke in your eye.’ The film has beautiful aesthetics. The cinematography by Alun Bollinger is visually romantic and the production artistically recreates the period in intimate detail.

Punitive Damage is one of her best works. It went on to an international cinema release and was much loved by audiences both in New Zealand and overseas, where it received special recognition at Film Festivals in Sydney, Venice, Sundance and Toronto. It combines a feminist analysis of the untold stories of ordinary Kiwi women and their experience of war, with gripping stories of danger and survival.

Gaylene questioned her father about the war. Actor Tony Barry is disarmingly understated and convincing as the down-to-earth Ed Preston and Gaylene plays herself as interviewer. To complete the family involvement, Gaylene’s sister – Sydney composer Jan Preston – wrote the score. The content is multi-layered too. Ed’s story was recorded before his death and before Tui’s revelations in War Stories, but because Gaylene didn’t know about her mother’s affair when she interviewed her father she doesn’t ask and he doesn’t tell – giving the story added pathos, because the viewer knows more than what appears in the father’s narrative strand. The tension builds as the audience awaits his homecoming wondering what will happen.

One of the strengths of Home by Christmas was that Gaylene persuaded her father to talk honestly about the war – and the resulting material is incredibly moving. As film critic Graeme Tuckett says, Tony Barry’s performance ‘put the lump in your throat and the smoke in your eye.’ The film has beautiful aesthetics. The cinematography by Alun Bollinger is visually romantic and the production artistically recreates the period in intimate detail.

Chelse as Tui is a lurid vision, poised and pensive, a great young acting talent on the way up. The enduring legacy of this film is, I believe, the
personal reflective quality of the director/daughter's enquiry and that it was so obviously made with love and respect.

To survive as a creative artist anywhere in the world requires enormous dedication. Over the years Gaylene Preston has demonstrated a consistent level of commitment to her art and produced a solid body of work. So where did her energy and self-belief come from? Gaylene thinks it began in childhood, inherent in her self-confessed contrary personality. 'My second name is Mary and I always related to the nursery rhyme, “Mary, Mary, quite contrary’ The family always said I was just contrary’ (Shepard 2009, 227). She remembers her mother saying, ‘... you can’t just do what you like, and I would think to myself that might be true for you but not for me. Even as a small child I can remember thinking that way’ (Shepard 2009, 226). She also credits her father for offering her unconditional, steady, unwavering love. ’He was the epitome of total approval. He was the “love you whatever you’re doing” sort of dad’ (Shepard 2009, 226). Having a fair degree of stubborn bloody-mindedness’ helped as well.

Artists also need mentors, but initially Gaylene felt ‘mentor-less,’ as she was unaware of the female pioneers in New Zealand film. They were still ‘hidden from history.’ So Gaylene looked outside the film world to other inspirational women.

Princess Te Puea, Sonja Davies and Patricia Grace – Princess Te Puea because she was a person who seized every opportunity to further the greater good of her people; Sonja Davies for doing the same in the trade union movement, and Patricia Grace for writing deeply political works that are complex and uncompromising ... Anne Salmond is another inspiration because she is truly bicultural. In her early books Amia and Eruera, she put equal weight on written history and oral history – both Maori and Pakeha – and that was quite revolutionary. (Shepard 2009, 209)

When I asked filmmaker Ramai Hayward whether she thought her success was a result of serendipity – being in the right place at the right time – or ambition, she thought it was both. You need to be persistent in searching for opportunities and then you have to make the most of them. The same is true for Gaylene Preston.

Some might say Gaylene landed on her feet with her first film The Animals and the Lawnmower (1972) issuing from her work as an art therapist at a psychiatric institution in England, or again when her sister recommended her to John O'Shea at Pacific Films and her first job as an art director rapidly evolved into a directing opportunity for Offshore. But Gaylene has talent and tenacity and John O'Shea recognised her ability immediately. He liked the way she interviewed a group of Toheroa diggers at the beach, which gave Toheroamania (a short film for Shoreline) colour and character. Her first documentary All the Way Up There (1978) was the result of creative teamwork, the intuitive editing of Dell King, the camerawork of Warwick Attewell – and she had a great subject in Bruce Burgess, a man with cerebral palsy who had a dream to climb a mountain with the help of mountaineer Graeme Dingle. The film sold to Encyclopaedia Britannica for $22,000, a vast windfall for an apprentice film director. This knack of finding and interviewing interesting people, and giving them a voice would become a signature of many of Gaylene's documentaries. She has an easy friendly manner and enjoys getting alongside people and having a yarn. She could relate to the school leavers in Learning Fast (1980), interviewed whitebaiters at Okarito in Kai Purakau (1987) about writer Keri Hulme; engaged with dairy owners Dennis and Norm who kept a protective eye on the elderly poet Hone Tuwhare, the subject of No Other Lips (1996), and managed to create a safe environment for breast cancer survivors to talk about their experiences in Tidess Wonders (2001).

At the conclusion to my MA thesis in 1991 and again nearly 20 years later in Her Life's Work (Shepard 2009), Gaylene described her filmmaking choices and life direction in terms of zigzaging from one genre and one project to another. In 2009, she remarked ruefully that zigging and zagging ‘can also mean up and down’ and underpinning everything was always the fear that she might have made her last film. ‘It's the film-maker’s paranoia.’ At that time, though, she had established a film studio in her own home and was enjoying a fantastically productive year with three films – The Time of Our Lives (2007), Lovely Rita (2008) and Home by Christmas (2010) – in various stages of production and post production. She was also thinking about a film on peace.

Recently, I caught up with Gaylene and asked for an update. She began by thinking she’d had a bit of a zag, but really it has just been the necessary incubation time between projects, something familiar to all artists. She spent much of 2010 touring Home by Christmas, which is one of the top fifteen highest grossing New Zealand films ever at the local box office and received five-star reviews from every major New Zealand film reviewer, a response that genuinely delights her. For Anzac Day 2011, Gaylene edited a 30-minute silent movie on women in wartime, selected from footage stored at the National Archives. The film was projected on the walls of the Auckland Museum and, as she remarked, ‘Once you remove the out-dated, ridiculous commentary – “the women are doing their bit for the war effort and needing their cups of chatterwater” – you get silent images of extreme pregnancy and gravitas.‘

Currently, Gaylene is writing the foreword for a book about her friend and screenwriter the late Graeme Tetley and working on the dramatised sequences for Strongman. She says she is happy to be working on other people's projects because there is satisfaction in completing the companion films on her parents – two projects she had always wanted to make – and because it takes time in the film game to develop a new project. Her peace film is in development. The beat goes on.

ENDNOTES
5. Tuckett, Graeme. 2010. ‘Stunning Achievement.’ The Dominion Post, 1 May.
6. Home by Christmas was selected for Film Festivals in Australia and was third most popular film at the Sydney Film Festival, received rave reviews at the London Film Festival, was a Finalist in the History Makers award, New York, and has been selected for screening on the Knowledge channel in Canada.

REFERENCES
I. ‘HETEROSEX’ POLITICS WITH BOXING GLOVES

In this paper I will constructively interrogate Gaylene Preston’s career as both a highly New Zealand-centred narrative filmmaker and as a documentarian of note and striking style. As a product of the University of Canterbury’s School of Fine Arts, the Preston style is unsurprising but the content is more so, in a spirit of humanistic feminism.

In both her first fiction feature film Mr Wrong (1985) and the more recent Perfect Strangers (2003), Preston seems compelled to explore the often masochistic nature of female romanticism—sexual desire. In both films we see variants of what I term ‘heterosexual politics with boxing gloves’—an aesthetic of agonistic gender combat viewed from a female perspective that can be simultaneously suspicious of, yet also attracted to, dangerous males, and one which thus, finally, enacts a saga of angstlust (pleasure in fear) are potently limned with noir humour. While presumably gesturing towards a post-patriarchal cinema (which dissects patriarchal seduction ploys by males), both movies play Hitchcockian games (deploying what film theorist Laura Mulvey calls ‘aesthetics of the uncanny’ [Mulvey 2006, 97]).

Almost in the spirit of the master’s films Rope (1948) and The Angel’s Love (1955), Perfect Strangers, in particular, is constructed as a jeu d’esprit with a comic thriller, female neo-Gothic undertone (signalled by its extended resurrection fantasy), and it works ultimately as a satire structured around intertextual echoing and the reprise of motifs from such New Zealand films as Vincent Ward’s Vigil (1984), Alison Maclean’s Kitchen Sink (1989), Roger Donaldson’s Sleeping Dogs (1977), Jane Campion’s The Piano (1992), and even Preston’s own Mr Wrong (adapted from an Elizabeth Jane Howard story and exploring fear and sexual violence against women). In Mr Wrong, Meg (Heather Bolton), in buying a stereotypically potent ‘masculine’ car (a Jaguar) becomes unwittingly ensnared in a Gothic thriller when the ghost of the car’s previous owner, a woman who had been murdered in that very vehicle, returns to take revenge upon her misogynistic assailant. Eventually removing Meg from danger, the vengeful spirit destroys her killer by locking him in the car and sending him to a fiery death over a cliff. This exercise in justified ‘womanist’ vengeance and retribution perfectly captured the zeitgeist of early 1980s New Zealand feminist art movements (also typified by the Spiral Collectives, which published Keri Hulme’s phenomenal novel The Bone People in 1984). In these two female Kiwi Gothic social fables, Preston captures various dimensions of the female struggle against male-imposed limitations and valuations regarding authentic female being. In keeping with her stringent existential realism, Preston is not only exposing and deconstructing the patriarchal unconscious, but also the tap-roots of erotic subservience and female neediness—as such, finally, Mr Wrong and Perfect Strangers are not didactic works of propagandist feminist cinema.

Paying homage to talented others is a very positive and dominant trope of Preston’s oeuvre, and it is the expression of a constructively feminist aesthetic as a voyeur feminine (as someone who visually explores the lives of real and imagined others). I am here effectively revisiting author Tania Modleski’s concept of female spectatorship in a more embracing way, emphasizing Preston’s visual pleasure in creating specular images but devoid of the negative connotations routinely connected to the discourse of voyeurism (Modleski 2005, 5). Preston celebrates the South Island’s stunning coastal landscape in her documentary about novelist Keri Hulme Kai Purakau (1987) and in her richly visual feature Perfect Strangers. In constructing her careful montages in these films, Preston’s aesthetic provides a specular examination of the integration of human beings into these New Zealand land and seascapes, which themselves offer a liberating expansiveness in such a ‘small, closed, reticent society’ (Preston 1992, 72).

I believe Preston’s real strength as a filmmaker lies in her arts documentaries (capped most recently by the sympathetic portrait of Rita Angus in Lovely Rita [2007]), which act as intimate and crucial artefacts of Aotearoa’s cultural salvage. The unifying modus operandi in all these films, whether imaginative or historical, is Preston’s own quite idiosyncratic version of what I call ‘bricolage cinematics,’ in which intimate access is given to the personal world of the subject Preston has chosen to explore and celebrate. Her affirmative vision is what gives her work heart and real appeal, and her remarkable ‘faction’ (fact–fiction) feature Home by Christmas (2010) adds weight to this assessment.

II. SPECULARITY IN LANDSCAPE

In June 2002, the production team assembled at Punakaiki, on the West Coast, to start work on Gaylene Preston’s erotic thriller, Perfect Strangers. It was Preston’s first fiction film set on her home turf of Westland. Her formative years were spent in 1950s Westport and Greymouth—locales used for some of the film’s sequences—and most of the film was shot in the coastal strip between these towns. Casting Sam Neill as the male lead was appropriate, for not only were he and Preston at Canterbury University together in the 1960s, but Perfect Strangers is also a clear homage to the setting of The Piano (where Neill played the bullying English patriarch Alisdair Stewart, who boards up his home with his wife Ada McGrath [Holly Hunter] trapped inside and later cuts off one of her fingers in a furious outburst of outrageous sexual possessiveness). However, neither Preston’s Meg (Heather Bolton) in Mr Wrong, nor Campion’s Ada were self-victimising women. For an examination of this collision with a predatory and dysfunctionally violent male, Preston developed Melanie (Rachael Blake), the female lead in Perfect Strangers.

Billed as ‘A Chilling Romance,’ Perfect Strangers marked something of a return to Preston’s quasi-Hitchcockian feminist melodrama, Mr Wrong, and is imbued with an air of Hitchcock’s The Trouble with Harry. In Perfect Strangers, Preston explores the disturbing psychic undercurrents of ‘heterosex’ passion in a ‘cinema of the mind’ style (Tredell 2002). One could argue that Preston’s peculiar forte follows Jane Campion’s intense investigations into female masochism (for example, Sweetie [1989], The Piano, and Portrait of a Lady [1996]), and the
tortured quests of ‘women who knew too little’ about men (Modleski 2005). In Mr Wrong ‘a woman [Meg] gets much more than she bargains for when she buys a second-hand Jaguar car’ (Shelton 2005, 75), but in Perfect Strangers Melanie finds herself in trouble when, drunk, she succumbs to the charms of a tall, handsome stranger, who then whisks her away to his remote island home. In this, the plot of Perfect Strangers seems to resonate with the notion of definable ‘South Island Gothic’ starring a ‘phallic’ female in modern New Zealand art and cinema and, as journalist Philip Matthews has rightly noted, the dark Southern Man trope fits with Preston’s use of ‘The West Coast’s wild weather [as] a Gothic effect’, in which ‘Neill is the charming stranger who abducts a woman on his boat and takes her back to his lair’ (1987, 5). It is almost as if Preston has created this psychodrama to reprise the woman putting a man in a cold bath in Alison Maclean’s incredible short Kitchen Sink, and re-working Maclean’s resurrection fantasy. Perfect Strangers is a clear instance of a bridging style of cinema. It reprises elements of both The Piano and Sleeping Dogs (where, escaping a failed marriage and avoiding repressive government and violent resistance, Smith [Sam Neill] becomes a man alone and sets up home on an island) and references those great classics of the macabre and stylish, Hitchcock’s Rope and Kubrick’s The Shining (1980). Preston’s corpse in the freezer playfully blends David Kentley’s (Dick Hogan) dead body in the old chest and Jack Torrance (Jack Nicholson) frozen stiff in the topiary maze of the Overlook Hotel. The way Preston resurrects the Southern Gothic Man in Perfect Strangers plays with notions of cross-referentiality and trans-dimensionality, inviting cineastes to recall elements of Kitchen Sink, James Whale’s Frankensteinstein (1931), and the shore-washing sequence of Hulme’s Booker Prize winner the bone people.

Preston is part of a generation whose sensibilities came to fruition in the aftermath of the vigorous New Zealand cultural nationalism of the 1940s. Two artistic collaborators during that era, crime writer and theatrical producer Ngāio Marsh (1895–1982) and composer Douglas Lilburn (1911–2001), reflected on the links between New Zealanders and their spacious and often forbidding landscape. In Died in the Wool (1945), one of Marsh’s characters – Arthur Rubrick – reflects on the absurdity of humans talking of settling the South Island’s Mackenzie Country plateau and ‘bringing in a new country’ . ‘...All we do is to move over the surface of a few hills. There is nothing new about them. Primal, yes, and almost unblotted by such new things as men and sheep. Essentially back among the earlier inexorable ages’ (Marsh 1945, 104–105). The Lilburn character, Cliff Johns – a musical farmhand with compositional ambitions – rejects the writing of classical music which, incongruously, includes ‘the introduction of native bird song and Maori hakas into an ersatz symphony’ Responding to this, Marsh’s detective – Roderick Allyn – adds, ‘There is no forcing the growth of an art, is there, and, happily, no denying it when the moment is ripe’ (Marsh 1945, 159). Lilburn said as much about the work of writers Monte Holcroft, Allen Curnow and Frank Sargeson: ‘What I find most stimulating about all this activity is that it hasn’t happened as a result of groups of people self-consciously setting out to produce a national literature’ (Lilburn 1946, cited in Norman 2006, 92) .

This excursion on Marsh and Lilburn frames a context for the role landscape plays in Gaylene Preston’s work – in Perfect Strangers, in her documentaries about Keri Hulme, Hone Tuwhare (No Other Lips 1995) and Rita Angus, and in Home by Christmas. Preston is a practitioner of a ‘mindscapes’ aesthetic, which expresses a luscious, lyrical and Lilburnesque tone in her evocations of the South Island landscape, especially on the coastal fringe of the turbulent and moody Tasman Sea (the North Westland locale of Preston’s upbringing). Indeed, the visual ambience of both Perfect Strangers and Kai Purakau recalls poet Alistair Campbell’s tribute to Lilburn pacing ‘an empty beach, creating in his head / bare harmonies of sand and wave’ (Campbell, cited in Norman 2006, 180). In her arts documentaries Preston respects her subjects by avoiding an ego-intrusive linear ‘master’ narrative overlay. We see this to great effect in Kai Purakau (1987), which is a very self-effacing film text that ‘stars’ Keri Hulme and her Okarito environs, achieved with extremely skilful montage editing that allows the film experience to build organically and develop fluidly from minor set-piece to set-piece. Preston’s key directorial technique is one of linear collage scenic construction, which creates an almost painterly flow of imagery. Kai Purakau starts with a mix of bird sounds, lush romantic West Coast light and scenery (seascape–soundscape), low-key music and a voice-over by Hulme herself, all recalling Lilburn’s concept of ‘our as yet inarticulate paradise’ (Norman 2006, 264) and presenting a perfect line-up between evocative mimetic film imagery and a description of her environment by Hulme, the refurbisher and refashioner of myths and stories, who casts ancient legends and narratives in modern form. This seques to a shot of the kotuku (white heron) emblematic of the Okarito Lagoon and a whakatauki (proverb) which precedes Hulme’s incisive commentary on Papatuanuku (the earth mother) and an explanation of whenua (land) and the land’s chanting. This is all fertile background to a montage of iconic Okarito images, flowing into shots of whitebaiting, then to Hulme’s lucid exposition of Maori metaphysics (lineage and the inter-relationship of humans and ‘departmental gods’). This sequence presents Preston’s artful marriage of form and content, as her expressive medium visually enacts Hulme’s references to being a ‘writer of fluid fantasies,’ who lives on a watery liminal tithe and habitat.’ The succeeding narrative segment deals with Hulme’s move to Okarito and building her home, with crisp inserts of Hulme’s neighbours and friends, before the camera pans slowly in upon the carved kaitaki (guardian) figure at Hulme’s front door. The next major interlude shows Hulme driving to collect mail at the Whataroa Post Office, before returning home in sharp late afternoon light to Okarito village. Then, successively, the sights and sounds of a perfect West Coast evening fill the screen as the sky moves into a gorgeous pink sunset to the sound of cicadas and that of the sea; and an image of Hulme’s home framed by the snow-clad Southern Alps, and the squawk of a Kiwi in the enveloping darkness. The film then ‘rises out’ with images of whitebaiters, a potent Keri Kaa waiata (song) and the camera exploring Hulme’s octagonal living room, where Hulme talks about her ambitions and philosophies, while the sense of intimate dialogue is embroidered with imagery of her interior (a trademark hat, walking sticks and her telephone jingling out a local party-line ringing code). Against fluid shots of trees, beach and sky Hulme discusses her long-awaited second novel Bait and her philosophy on bridging the two major cultures of New Zealand, set against the backdrop of the road into Okarito and arresting images of surf breaking on Okarito beach. Viewers are finally treated to a calming dimunendo of Jonathan Crayford’s music with a view of the sea and waves cutting to an intimate framing of Hulme talking, followed by a final panographic shot of Hulme walking ‘her’ beach, with Abt Head in view, declaring that she is but ‘a singer’ of the land and its awesome rhythms. The fabulous evocative cinematography of Leon
observation that the ‘romantic love’ fantasy is regressive, ‘with its demand for perfect union and its tendency to construct the loved person as an idealised fantasy figure: the necessary condition for the ‘perfect union’ being the denial of otherness and autonomy’ (Wood 1989, 22).

In this very painterly film Preston makes symbolic use of the Westland light and rain and recycles the embattled female which she had proffered in Mr Wrong and which resurfaced with Holly Hunter’s Ada McGrath at the mercy of Sam Neill’s psychotic Stewart in The Piano, the Campion classic of female masochism and dark desire. Preston has crafted in Perfect Strangers a truly strange, vertiginous cinema experience – but one that, to a degree, shares with Hitchcock’s Vertigo an onerous quality (that is, being driven by the logic of dream and fantasy) yet which lacks the ‘otherworldliness’ that film critic David Sterritt suggests defines Vertigo’s ‘most insinuating quality’ (Sterritt 1993, 92). Preston’s text is firmly anchored in the quotidian world of Kiwi life and speech codes (only oddly qualified by the fisherman Neill’s impeccable Anglicophic vowels and syntax, even as he utters his often banal greeting card clichés). And yet the film also expresses a debilitating deathly romanticism, anchored in a kindred spirit to Edgar Allan Poe’s disturbing texts about the power of vampiric women (in such stories as ‘The Fall of the House of Usher’, ‘Berencie’ and ‘Ligeia’). Furthermore, Preston’s symptomatic, controlling Man (Sam Neill) recalls Scottie Ferguson (James Stewart) of Vertigo. He has his Hitchcockian moment of fetishescent fantasy when he declares to Melanie, ‘I will always remember you like this,’ as she emerges from the bathroom wearing the negligee, dress and pearls he left out for her (having just burned her wet clothes to prepare her for her new identity in his fantasy). A brilliant hand-held pull-in shot replicates his slightly unsteady but obsessive focus. Melanie emerges, like Judy (Kim Novak) in Vertigo, visible for herself and reflected in a mirror, freezing the desired, unalterable (thus unreal) person in the crazy beloved’s phantasmatics. The man is, like Ferguson in Vertigo, a dangerous romantic obsessive. ‘I know everything about you because I love you’, he says like a practised seducer, before rejecting Melanie’s clear offer of a one-night stand and demanding commitment and the prospect of marriage, before insisting, ‘You have to love me’, yelling, ‘Never laugh at me’, calling her unkind,’ and then striking Melanie, in puritan recoil from her evident ease with her own sexuality. The man subscribes to the Madonna/Whore dichotomy, and Melanie can only tame him into a perfect fantasy lover by killing him off and psychically resurrecting him without his ego–libido. In stabbing this arrogant, controlling and short-tempered male, Melanie demonstrates that Perfect Strangers is not finally about ‘the male gaze’ but, more interestingly, about female agency and praxis, as she enacts a necessary ‘phallic woman’ role as one who literally penetrates the ‘male Other’ just as he is about to unlock the door of the hut he was minding (his lair). Melanie transforms herself from an object of what Freud termed schaulust (scopophilia), or the sadistic component of the male gaze, by becoming an actant and seizing control of her destiny. However, as Melanie stitches up her Frankensteinian suitor’s abdomen, he recites pseudo-Blakean low Romantic verse:

What is true love? Who could tell?
What causes such a magic spell, and
Fills the veins with liquid fire
And fills the heart with warm desire? (Perfect Strangers)

It is intriguing that Melanie finds herself whispering, ‘Don’t die; please don’t die,’ as they clasp hands and thunder rumbles in a Southern Gothic mode. ‘I’m so sorry,’ whispers The Man, and Melanie sniffles as he continues, ‘I am bright with the wonder of you.’ Despite the dark waiting, I endure, I am bright with the wonder of you’ (Perfect Strangers). The death romanticism inscribed here is qualified when in a fantaisised moment he tells Melanie, ‘You needed rescuing.’ Yet Melanie is reborn as a rural ‘Woman Alone’, without the extraneous pearls that had defined her sassy urbanity, and now, full of Kiwi-girl pluck, attempts a rescue. She dumps the man in a wheelbarrow and tries to pilot the boat back to the mainland, before a fierce Tasman storm obstructs their passage and The Man dies. We see Melanie, bereft and hugging him, as the angry waters pour in. That the whole episode seems teasingly fantastic is reiterated when both figures are washed up on the same stretch of shore in a mythic reunion. The film exudes elements of respectfully parodic intertextuality (for instance, where the man, buried in the sand, recalls the image of Jack Torrance frozen in the snow in Kubrick’s The Shining). With his death Melanie undergoes a psychotic break, tries to talk to the man, and then freezes his corpse like a practised mortician. She mordantly calls him ‘my beautiful thing’ (suggestive of a feminist counter-objectification of the male) and then kisses the freezer that is preserving him, saying ‘I love you forever’! However, her new-found passion soon gives

III. VERTIGO REVISITED?

A ‘PHANTOM OF DELIGHT’

As mentioned above, Perfect Strangers is a kind of quirky homage to the filmmaking talent of Alfred Hitchcock, Stanley Kubrick, Vincent Ward and Jane Campion. The occasional visual echoes of Ward’s Vigil and Campion’s The Piano, in terms of weather and landscape, are not surprising, especially given that Alun Bollinger was cinematographer on those celebrated shoots, as well as being the camera operator for Mr Wrong and cinematographer for Perfect Strangers. Reprising some of the visual and textual tropes of Vigil and The Piano, and also of Kubrick’s The Shining and Hitchcock’s Vertigo (1958) in particular, accomplishes for Perfect Strangers both homage and a kind of complex feminist deconstruction of an androcentric field. Costume designer Helen Bollinger wittily defined the key theme of Perfect Strangers as, ‘The only decent man is a dead man!’ Preston, puerically defending her ‘chilling romance’, rejoined, ‘It’s about a woman who falls in love with a man about thirty seconds after she’s killed him and put him in the freezer!’ It is, of course, more a film about the need to deploy fantasy in building real human relationships,” but it shares Mr Wrong’s focus on a terrorised woman who discovers her own powers of survival, and who can kill threatening men. It is also a macabre black comedy, which imperfectly explores a masochistic, self-punishing female sensibility and recalls film critic Robin Wood’s Narbey (and Tim Rose) augments the impact of Kai Purakaunui. This documentary’s significance as a filmic cultural object lies in the way in which it provides a revelatory glimpse into Keri Hulme’s life, environs, values and artistic practice for a ‘glocal’ (that is, global and local) audience. It at once obliquely, but definitively, severs the unhelpful myths and stereotypes which have surrounded Hulme, precisely because Preston has constructed a ‘film vision’ which allows the embattled author the space to ‘shine’ on her own account – to radiate her luminous intelligence and senility without the aggressive and unhelpful mediation of the literary commentariat. This is no mean achievement of positive mediated agency and personal sovereignty, and Preston is to be saluted for making such an outstandingly enlightening short film.

"How causes such a magic spell, and
Fills the veins with liquid fire
And fills the heart with warm desire? (Perfect Strangers)

It is intriguing that Melanie finds herself whispering, ‘Don’t die; please don’t die,’ as they clasp hands and thunder rumbles in a Southern Gothic mode. ‘I’m so sorry,’ whispers The Man, and Melanie sniffles as he continues, ‘I am bright with the wonder of you.’ Despite the dark waiting, I endure, I am bright with the wonder of you’ (Perfect Strangers). The death romanticism inscribed here is qualified when in a fantaisised moment he tells Melanie, ‘You needed rescuing.’ Yet Melanie is reborn as a rural ‘Woman Alone’, without the extraneous pearls that had defined her sassy urbanity, and now, full of Kiwi-girl pluck, attempts a rescue. She dumps the man in a wheelbarrow and tries to pilot the boat back to the mainland, before a fierce Tasman storm obstructs their passage and The Man dies. We see Melanie, bereft and hugging him, as the angry waters pour in. That the whole episode seems teasingly fantastic is reiterated when both figures are washed up on the same stretch of shore in a mythic reunion. The film exudes elements of respectfully parodic intertextuality (for instance, where the man, buried in the sand, recalls the image of Jack Torrance frozen in the snow in Kubrick’s The Shining). With his death Melanie undergoes a psychotic break, tries to talk to the man, and then freezes his corpse like a practised mortician. She mordantly calls him ‘my beautiful thing’ (suggestive of a feminist counter-objectification of the male) and then kisses the freezer that is preserving him, saying ‘I love you forever’! However, her new-found passion soon gives
the man up and dispose of his body – and Melanie tells the hapless Bill that if he will let her stay on his island she will love him forever. The song that ends the film (‘Not the girl you think you are’ by Neil Finn) offers tantalising clues about what Preston is really communicating about sexual politics, for as Preston has said, ‘I always preferred a story to reality’ (Preston 1992, 163).

In the end we have a clear rejection of what Camille Paglia once called ‘the received black-and-white view of male ogres and female martyrs’ (Paglia 1994, 43). While not exculpating men for their scurrilous behaviour, the function of feminist art ‘is to awaken women to their equal responsibility in dispute and confrontation’ (Paglia 1994, 43) and, I would add, to accept their responsibility for the pursuit of intrigue and danger in relationships. (Paglia termed the latter ‘the appetite mind in free movement’ [Paglia 1994, xiii]). Melanie demonstrated agency in offering herself to The Man and, as such, was a sexual seductress (a Paglian–Amazonian vamp, a tough-cookie adventurer in the raw realm of sexual desire). We can draw no simple conclusions about the kind of revisionist feminism which Preston has created in Perfect Strangers; suffice to say that it has moved on from the more victimological discourse of Mr Wrong, and that in constructing her own Kiwi aesthetics of the erotic–romantic ‘uncanny’ in the figure of Melanie, Preston gifts to us a Wordsworthian ‘phantom of delight’:

A creature not too bright or good
For human nature’s daily food,
For transient sorrows, simple wiles,
Praise, blame, love, kisses, tears and smiles.

And now I [the film-goer] see with eye serene
The very pulse of the machine;
A Being breathing thoughtful breath,
A Traveller between life and death:
The reason firm, the temperate will,
Endurance, foresight, strength and skill;
A perfect Woman, nobly plan’d
To warn, to comfort, and command;
And yet a Spirit still, and bright
With something of angelic light. (Wordsworth 1807/1969, 243–244)

I hope that, within this very tight compass, I have demonstrated that Gaylene Preston is unquestionably New Zealand’s finest practitioner and purveyor of a benignly voyeuristic bricolage cinema in her dual roles as a documentarian and feature film artist.

ENDNOTES
2. My approach may also have affinities with Patricia MacCormack’s approach to cinema spectatorship in Cinesexuality (2008), in which MacCormack frames cinematic pleasure beyond the realm of fixed gender framing, encouraging all spectators to challenge traditional notions of what elicits ‘desiring’ subjectivity. The classic statement is in Laura Mulvey’s 1975 article ‘Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema’. Screen, 16:3, 6–18.
4. One of the film partners for Perfect Strangers was Huntywheels Films, a production company owned by Jack Cassels, Sam Neill and John Clarke.
6. The latter reference is one we can be sure about, given Preston’s production of the documentary portrait of New Zealand writer Keri Hulme, Kai Purakau.
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Participants: Aimee Gruar, Jan Ramsden, Ruth Bly, Viv Walker
1999 PUNITIVE DAMAGE
Documentary
78 minutes, 35mm, colour, Dolby stereo
The true story of a death in Timor and the power of a mother's grief
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Principal Actors Pamela Quill, Flo Small, Tui Preston, Jean Andrews, Rita Graham, Neva Clarke McKenna, Mabel Waititi

1996 NO OTHER LIPS
Documentary
46 minutes, Beta SP
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Made as a four-part television miniseries and a telefeature
Preston Laing Productions in association with Dorothee Pinfold. Made for Television New Zealand and supported by the Suffrage Centennial Year Trust, Beyond Distribution and New Zealand On Air
Executive producer Dorothee Pinfold
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Principal Actors Genevieve Picot, Mick Rose, Donna Akersten, Raymond Hawthorne, Tina Regtien, Erik Thomson, Theresa Healy

1992 MARRIED
Television play
50 minutes, 16mm, Eastman colour
Saturday with suburban parents Trish and Kevin, disrupted by goings-on down at the pub
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Gaylene Preston Productions for Scrubs & Co
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**Photography**: Alun Bollinger, Murray Milne, John Toon
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**Short film**
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**Sound**: Kit Rollings
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1980 LEARNING FAST

**Documentary**
49 minutes, 16mm, Eastman colour negative
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**Photography**: Alun Bollinger, Ian Paul
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1978 ALL THE WAY UP THERE

**Documentary**
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When Gaylene Preston came back from England in 1977 she brought three short films she had made, and ‘...a sort of stubbornness: this is my country and I’m going to contribute to it’ (Woods 2005). Her filmography reflects this, and her choices to resist the lure of ‘career’ (which ‘shuts you up, makes you conform’) (Woods 2005), to prioritise family – above all, her daughter Chelse – and her communities. These communities include those where she has lived – on the West Coast of the South Island–Te Wai Pounamu, in Hawke’s Bay and in Wellington – friends and the filmmaking community – many of whom work with her again and again – where she insists on being part of the conversations, competes vigorously, and is a legendary supporter of emerging filmmakers.

The filmography also supports Gaylene’s significant place within the global community of feminist auteurs, based on her contributions to feminist counter-cinema (Mr. Wong, Perfect Strangers), women’s history (Lovely Rita, Titless Wonders, War Stories Our Mothers Never Told Us, Bread & Roses), and the work of other women filmmakers (Patu!, Punitive Damage, Coffee, Tea or Me?, Lands of Our Fathers). Her use of many archival sources reinforces this position. Gaylene has often incorporated historical footage from the New Zealand Film Archive, the National Archives and elsewhere within her work, and reframed it in conjunction with interviews made by or for her (Home by Christmas, Earthquake!, Survivor Stories, Wahine Requiem and others). She has done the same with poems, books, letters and still images (No Other Lips, Lovely Rita), and with a film (UtU). In Getting To Our Place she examined a key archival institution, Te Papa Tongarewa, the Museum of New Zealand. She has worked consistently with New Zealand musicians. Finally, Gaylene’s own published writing, her websites, and her extensive personal archive held at the New Zealand Film Archive provide a means to contextualise the filmography. Scholars and filmmakers in the next generations can use this to learn more about how Gaylene’s ‘sort of stubbornness’ works, and to inform and inspire their own contributions.

**Endnote**

1 ‘...the idea of the auteur as a node, an agent participating in a poetics of exchange through cinematic labour of all kinds. Auteurism is redefined through giving due consideration to the full extent of the work of the feminist auteur: foregrounding (feminized) labor excluded from the auteurial focus on filmmaking, such as curation, distribution, archiving, and programming. Engaged with the virtual feminist cinemateque, this labor always extends in a feminist gesture collaboratively, collectively, and connectively beyond the auteur’s oeuvre’ (Colompar and Mayer 2009, 8).
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