

## Whitebark pine mapping in the Northern Region of the US Forest Service

## Steve Brown – USDA Forest Service Joe Fortier – MT Natural Heritage Program



## **Whitebark Pine Single-Species Mapping**

- Process Developed by RSAC, 2013
  - Flathead National Forest
- Re-Applied by MTNHP
  - Helena Lewis and Clark
  - Custer Gallatin
  - Bitterroot Lolo
  - Idaho Panhandle & Kootenai





## **Field Data Collection**

### • USFS

- 2012 Flathead NF
- 2017 Beaverhead-Deerlodge NF
- Montana Natural Heritage Program
  - 2013 Custer Gallatin NF
  - 2014 Helena Lewis and Clark NF
  - 2015 Bitterroot Lolo NF
  - 2016 Idaho Panhandle and Kootenai NF





Region One, U.S. Forest Service





- Objective 1 Model Whitebark Pine potential range
- Objective 2 Identify areas of historical forest disturbance and recovery to direct potential WBP restoration projects
- Objective 3 Generate WBP occurrence maps
  - Presence
  - Relative % of canopy cover



## **Objective 1- Model Whitebark Pine potential range:**

- Predictive habitat distribution model
  - Presence/Absence locations compared against topographic and climatic independent variables
  - Random Forest binary classification in R





#### **Dependent Predictor:**

#### **Presence/Absence locations**

- MTNHP field inventory 2013-2016
- High resolution image photointerpretation
- Vmap
- Montana Gap Analysis

Independent Predictor Variable	Source
Topography	
Elevation (DEM)	US Geological Survey
Slope (radians)	Transformed DEM
Aspect (radians)	Ш
Hillshade	н
Potential Annual Incoming Heatload	McCune and Keon (2002)
Euclidian Distance from Ridgeline	Jenness <i>et al.</i> (2013)
Euclidian Distance from Valley Bottom	Housman <i>et al.</i> (2012)
Modeled Probability of Valley Bottom	п
Height Above DEM Derived Drainage	п
Climate	
Average Annual Maximum Temperature	PRISM climate group; Daly et al. (2007)
Average Annual Minimum Temperature	п
Average Annual Precipitation	п
Mean Snow Depth March 1 (2004-2014)	National Operational Hydrologic Remote Sensing Center (2004)
Mean Snow Depth April 1 (2004-2014)	п
Mean Snow Depth May 1 (2004-2014)	п
Mean Snow Depth June 1 (2004-2014)	п
Mean Snow Depth July 1 (2004-2014)	п



## Whitebark Pine Potential Habitat Mapping

#### **Example:** Custer Gallatin National Forest

#### Training Data

- 4,307 presence locations
- 3,404 absence locations

#### Modeled Potential Habitat results

- 801,044 acres of WBP suitable habitat
- 23% of Custer Gallatin forested area
- Model accuracy -- 93%





## **Post Disturbance Restoration Suitability**

## **Objective 2 – Identify areas of historical forest disturbance and recovery:**

- Spectral differencing between 27 year Landsat time-series stack
  - Date and extent of disturbance
  - Severity of disturbance
  - Post disturbance rate of recovery
  - Date of stand recovery (return to forest)
- Products can inform WBP restoration projects
  - ex. Recent stand clearing disturbance with recovery potential within WBP suitable habitat = candidate for reintroduction





## **Post Disturbance Restoration Suitability**

#### **Example:** Custer Gallatin National Forest







### **Objective 3 – Generate Whitebark Pine Occurrence Maps:**

#### Whitebark Pine presence

- 30 meter resolution
- Landsat spectral imagery
- 1991 pre-blister rust die off
- 2013-2015 post die off

#### Whitebark Pine Relative % of Canopy Cover

- 10 meter resolution
- 2013 NAIP CIR aerial photography







#### Mapping WBP occurrence pre- and post- blister rust die off





- **Training data:** Field work, Vmap, GAP, Landfire, Photointerpretation
- Inputs: Landsat imagery, spectral transformations, Slope, Elevation, Precipitation
- **Classification Algorithm:** Random Forest in R



#### Mapping WBP occurrence pre- and post- blister rust die off





- Classification accuracies range from 87% to 95%
- Die off rates ranged from 15% to 61% loss of WBP due to blister rust or fire within the different National Forests.



#### **Example:** Custer Gallatin National Forest

- 732,621 ha of WBP in 1991
- 340,647 ha of WBP in 2015
- 53% mortality rate
  - 44,200 ha within fire boundaries
  - 350,000 ha likely due to blister rust



Northern Region, Regional Office



#### **Modeling WBP relative percent of canopy cover**



- **Training data:** Field based stand assessments (30% set aside for independent validation)
- Inputs: NAIP CIR, spectral transformations, slope, elevation, aspect, heatload, precipitation
- **Regression Algorithm:** Random Forest in R



# **Example:** Custer Gallatin National Forest Custer National Forest Gallatin National Forest Relative % of Whitebark Pin-Contem may holderted historial Geographics current map policy. Sources, National Geograp



- 95% of all model predicted WBP canopy cover calls were within ±17 points from the field assessed value
- 31,000 ha PIAL dominant stands (>60% cc)





SteveBrown@fs.fed.us

(406) 329-3514

Region One, U.S. Forest Service