### Digital Technologies: Economic Growth and the Future of Work

Dublin, January 10<sup>th</sup> 2019

John Van Reenen



**Massachusetts Institute of Technology** 

MIT Economics

### **OUTLINE OF TALK**

What are the new digital technologies?

Productivity

Jobs and skills

Labor Share & Superstar Firms

**Policy implications** 

### **INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTIONS**

- First Industrial Revolution: 1760-1840
- Second Industrial Revolution: 1870-1914
- Third Industrial Revolution: 1996-2004; Digital
- Fourth Industrial Revolution: ???















www.shutterstock.com · 110678570

#### DIGITAL INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION POWERED BY MOORE'S LAW

Moore's Law – The number of transistors on integrated circuit chips (1971-2016) Our World



Moore's law describes the empirical regularity that the number of transistors on integrated circuits doubles approximately every two years. This advancement is important as other aspects of technological progress - such as processing speed or the price of electronic products - are strongly linked to Moore's law.



Data source: Wikipedia (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Transistor\_count) The data visualization is available at OurWorldinData.org. There you find more visualizations and research on this topic.

Licensed under CC-BY-SA by the author Max Roser.

# Stable 35% p.a growth in semiconductor productivity required 18x growth in # researchers

Figure 4: Data on Moore's Law



Note: The effective number of researchers is measured by deflating the nominal semiconductor R&D expenditures of key firms by the average wage of high-skilled workers. The R&D data includes research by Intel, Fairchild, National Semiconductor, Texas Instruments, Motorola, and more than two dozen other semiconductor firms and equipment manufacturers; see Table 1 for more details.

Source: Bloom, Jones, Van Reenen & Webb (2017)

### Digital

 $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$ L  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$ n  $\cap$ Ω n  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{O}$  $\mathbf{0}$ n  $\mathbf{O}$ n N  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$ N  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$ Ω  $\mathbf{0}$ C n N n  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{0}$ C  $\mathbf{0}$  $\mathbf{O}$ Ω Ω  $\mathbf{0}$ Λ Ω  $\mathbf{0}$ 

### **INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTIONS**

- First Industrial Revolution: 1760-1840
- Second Industrial Revolution: 1870-1914
- Third Industrial Revolution: 1996-2004; Digital
- Fourth Industrial Revolution: ???



















\$23,440

\$5,600

### \$18,200

### Who is Stoker? (I FOR ONE WELCOME OUR NEW COMPUTER OVERLORDS)

maomis

\$1,000

#### **Demonstration of Watson Cancer Care Solution**

### IBM Watson Oncology Advisor



IBM Confidential: References to potential future products are subject to the Important Disclaimer provided earlier in the presentation

### **OUTLINE OF TALK**

What are the new digital technologies?

Productivity

Jobs and skills

Labor Share & Superstar Firms

Policy implications

#### Growth Rate of GDP per capita, G7 Countries



**Note:** Annual average over decade; G7 = Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, UK and US

**Source:** OECD (2018) <u>http://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?DataSetCode=PDB\_LV#</u>

#### US ("Frontier") Productivity Growth weak in last decade



**Note:** Total Factor Productivity (TFP); Annual average growth over different periods

Source: Fernald (2016)

# Large Literature looking at impact of adopting digital technologies at <u>firm</u> level

- Case Studies
  - Fascinating, but hard to generalize
- Statistical evidence
  - Look at firm performance (productivity, profitability, growth, etc.) before and after introduction of technology
  - Control for other factors that could generate spurious correlation (industry, area, other investments, etc.)
  - Always issue that purely experimental variation is rare
- My Summary of findings
  - On average **positive** effect on firm performance
  - But impact is highly <u>variable</u>; e.g. organizations can spend huge amounts on ICT for zero benefit

## theguardian

"Abandoned NHS IT system has cost £10 billion"

Sept 17, 2014

The bill for abortive plan, described as 'the biggest IT failure ever seen', was originally estimated to be  $\pounds$ 6.4bn

An abandoned  $\underline{NHS}$  patient record system has so far cost the taxpayer nearly £10bn



### When does technology successfully raise firm performance?

- Key to getting most out of new technologies is also having other "complementary" organizational factors
  - Early work by Bresnahan, Brynjolfsson & Hitt (2002) on US; Caroli & Van Reenen (2001) on EU
- Management is critical
  - Firm organization
  - Skills
- True at macro as well as micro level (e.g. Historian Paul David on electricity and computers)
  - Impacts takes time

# Economic Evidence on management is limited

"No potential driving factor of productivity has seen a higher ratio of speculation to empirical study". Chad Syverson (2011, Journal of Economic Literature)



#### WORLD MANAGEMENT SURVEY (WMS); BLOOM & VAN REENEN (2007)

#### 1) Developing management questions

Scorecard for 18 monitoring (e.g. lean), targets & people (e.g. pay, promotions, retention and hiring). ≈45 minute phone interview of manufacturing plant managers

2) Obtaining unbiased comparable responses ("Double-blind")

- Interviewers do not know the company's performance
- Managers are not informed (in advance) they are scored

#### 3) Getting firms to participate in the interview

- Official Endorsement: Bundesbank, Bank of England, RBI, etc.
- Run by 200 MBA types (loud, assertive & business experience)

#### <u>World Management Survey (~12,000 firms, ~20k managers</u> in 4 major waves: 2004, 2006, 2009, 2014; 34 countries)



http://worldmanagementsurvey.org/



Medium sized manufacturing firms(50-5,000 workers, median≈250) Now extended to Hospitals, Retail, Schools, etc.

#### **Average Management Scores by Country**



**Note:** Unweighted average management scores; # interviews in right column (total = 15,489); all waves pooled (2004-2014)

#### Management also varies heavily within countries



Firm level average management scores, 1 (worst practice) to 5 (best practice)

# "Americans do I.T. better" (Bloom, Sadun and Van Reenen, AER, 2012)

- Use management data + IT data (ONS & Harte-Hanks)
- What happens to establishment productivity after changes in IT investment?
- Firms with better people management, don't just spend more on IT, but enjoy bigger productivity boost from each € of IT spent
  - Well managed firms get **double** the productivity boost from IT compared to poorly managed
  - Accounted for half of the faster productivity growth in US compared to Europe in decade since mid 1990s
- Similar findings on more recent data (e.g. Pelligrino & Zingales, 2018; Schmitz & Schivardi, 2018)

### **OUTLINE OF TALK**

What are the new digital technologies?

Productivity

Jobs and skills

Labor Share & Superstar Firms

Policy implications



### Will new technology make our working lives better?



### Or is it "Robo-calypse Now?"



### Déjà vu all over again...

### AUTOMATION IN BRITAIN STIRS UNREST IN LABOR

Workers See 'Robot Revolution' Depriving Them of Jobs





21/14799.41

Vicky in The London Dally Mirror

### Not Running out of Jobs – U.S. Added 19.4 Million Jobs Between Jan 2010 – Sep 2018

— All Employees: Total Nonfarm Payrolls



Shaded areas indicate U.S. recessions

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

myf.red/g/l85E

### **Is Automation Labor Displacing?**

Four countervailing forces against the employment-reducing effect of automation

- **1. Uber effects**
- 2. Walmart effects
- 3. Business-to-Business effects
- 4. Creation of new work / new tasks

### 'Uber' Effects – Produce a Cheaper, Better Product, and Employment May Rise,



### **'Uber' Effects – Produce a Cheaper, Better Product, and Employment May Rise,**



### Walmart Effects – A Fall In the Cost of Necessities Frees Income for Luxuries



### Business-to-Business Effects – There's Been a Lot of Productivity Growth in Steel!



### **Business-to-Business Effects –**



### New technology destroys old tasks, but creates new tasks

- Acemoglu and Restrepo (2017,2018)
  - Automation technologies can reduce overall labor demand.
  - But "reinstatement effect" generated by new tasks counterbalances automation
- No trend in unemployment in long-run (but hours worked have fallen)
- A bigger problem than the number of jobs is the <u>quality</u> of jobs. Wages and other aspects of the desirability of work

### Biased Technical Change $\rightarrow$ Shrinking Middle: The 'Barbell' Labor Market ("Job Polarization")



Source: US data Autor (2018)

### New Jobs are Not Primarily STEM! (US 2012 – 2000)

Change in Relative Employment for Cognitive Occupations, 2000-2012

100 x Change in Employment Share



### Many Growing Occupations Combine Interpersonal with Technical Skills

Teachers (K-12) Managers (All) Nurses Health Therapists Physicians **College Instructors** Lawyers & Judges **Pharmacists Dental Hygienists** Dentists

0

All Other Managerial or Professional Occupations

.2

.4

.6

Health Technicians Accounting And Finance Economists & Survey Researchers Social Workers, Counselors & Clergy Other Business Support Physicians' Assistants Legal Assistants & Paralegals Social Scientists And Urban Planners Arts & Entertainment, Athletes Marketing, Advertising & Pr Writers, Editors & Reporters

#### Source: Deming (2018)

-.2

### 66 You need to start understanding me Siri 99

66 You need to start understanding me Siri 99

I'll make a note of that.

66 Yeah you better make a note of that 99

Got it:

Of that

### **OUTLINE OF TALK**

What are the new digital technologies?

Productivity

Jobs and skills

Labor Share & Superstars

**Policy implications** 

### Workers getting smaller share of Economic pie: Falling Labor Share of Corporate Value added



Declining Labor Share for the Largest Countries

Karabarbounis and Neiman, 2014

### Why has labor share fallen?

# **'Superstar Firms' hypothesis (Autor, Dorn, Katz, Patterson & Van Reenen, 2017, 2019)**

- Large firms tend to have lower labor shares
- Rising prevalence of "winner take most" competition
- Small set of large firms capture increasing share of market, aggregate labor share falls due to reallocation

### **OUTLINE OF TALK**

What are the new digital technologies?

Productivity

Jobs and skills

Labor Share & Superstar Firms

**Policy implications** 

### **Some Implications for business leaders & policy-makers**

- New technologies create **challenges** and **opportunities** but making the most of these opportunities not automatic
  - To make most of new technologies requires complementary changes in organizational & management
- How to improving management?
  - Optimistic story: it's within the power of business leaders to improve management (multinationals example)
  - Government policies: Information provision (esp. for SMEs); Education/training; Ownership/governance; Competition.
- Policy moving in **wrong** direction in many countries right now
  - Strong anti-globalization and populism
  - Retreat to protectionism in US; Brexit pushes up trade and mobility costs

