The anatomy of exhumed river-channel belts: Bedform to belt-scale river kinematics of the Ruby Ranch Member, Cretaceous Cedar Mountain Formation, Utah, USA
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ABSTRACT

Many published interpretations of ancient fluvial systems have relied on observations of extensive outcrops of thick successions. This paper, in contrast, demonstrates that a regional understanding of palaeoriver kinematics, depositional setting and sedimentation rates can be interpreted from local sedimentological measurements of bedform and barform strata. Dune and bar strata, channel planform geometry and bed topography are measured within exhumed fluvial strata exposed as ridges in the Ruby Ranch Member of the Cretaceous Cedar Mountain Formation, Utah, USA. The ridges are composed of lithified stacked channel belts, representing at least five or six re-occupations of a single-strand channel. Lateral sections reveal well-preserved barforms constructed of subaqueous dune cross-sets. The topography of palaeobarforms is preserved along the top surface of the outcrops. Comparisons of the channel-belt centreline to local palaeotransport directions indicate that channel planform geometry was preserved through the re-occupations, rather than being obscured by lateral migration. Rapid avulsions preserved the state of the active channel bed and its individual bars at the time of abandonment. Inferred minimum sedimentation durations for the preserved elements, inferred from cross-set thickness distributions and assumed bedform migration rates, vary within a belt from one to ten days. Using only these local sedimentological measurements, the depositional setting is interpreted as a fluvial megafan, given the similarity in river kinematics. This paper provides a systematic methodology for the future synthesis of vertical and planview data, including the drone-equipped 2020 Mars Rover mission, to exhumed fluvial and deltaic strata.

Keywords Bar, channel belt, fluvial sedimentology, preservation, sinuous ridge.

INTRODUCTION

Fluvial channel belts are the record of bedform and barform migration and accumulation. Lateral migration, aggradation and degradation of an ancient river is recorded by the accumulations and bounding surfaces associated with these bedforms and bars, which in turn make up...
the channel belts (Van De Lagewag et al., 2013). Therefore, in order to determine the kinematics of ancient rivers, that is, how they migrated, aggraded and avulsed, it is necessary to understand the accumulation and preservation of the bedform and barform strata within the associated channel belts (e.g. Reesink et al., 2015; Durkin et al., 2018; Paola et al., 2018; Chamberlin & Hajek, 2019). Furthermore, variables such as water and sediment discharge of an ancient fluvial system can only be determined if hydraulic geometries can be accurately estimated from channel belts (Wright & Parker, 2003; Parker et al., 2007; Hayden et al., 2019).

This paper examines an exhumed complex of fluvial deposits in the Ruby Ranch Member of the Cretaceous Cedar Mountain Formation, Utah, USA (Fig. 1). The goal is to use local sedimentological measurements of dune and bar strata to infer the regional kinematics and depositional settings of the formative rivers. Here, new methodologies are developed for extracting river-channel kinematics from channel-belt deposits. The measurements presented here cover the channel belts across a range of scales, from local stacks of cross-sets to entire outcrops, in order to interpret the ancient river systems of the Ruby Ranch Member. The datasets analyzed in this paper include aerial images collected from a drone, field maps, vertical and lateral sections, and modern river analogues. The spread of palaeotransport directions along ridgertops is compared to modern rivers to understand the degree of lateral migration recorded by the belts. Timescales related to the vertical aggradation of channel belts are constrained using climb angles inferred from cross-set thickness distributions. Preserved bar topography is identified by cross-set bounding surfaces that conform to the modern topography, and is relevant for understanding avulsions and channel abandonment.

**Background**

**Ruby Ranch Member, Cedar Mountain Formation**

The rivers that deposited the Ruby Ranch Member of the Lower Cretaceous Cedar Mountain Formation drained the uplifted Sevier thrust belt, in what is now modern-day western Utah, north-eastward towards the Mowry Sea and its successor, the Western Interior Seaway (Currie, 1998, 2002). Ultimately, foreland-basin subsidence led to the burial of the Cedar Mountain Formation by late Cretaceous coastal and marine deposits of the Naturita Formation (formerly the Dakota Sandstone; Young, 1960; Carpenter, 2014) and the Mancos Shale (Currie, 1998, 2002). A regional unconformity separates the base of the Cedar Mountain Formation from the top of the upper Jurassic Morrison Formation (Peterson & Ryder, 1975; Kowallis et al., 1986). The Ruby Ranch Member has been interpreted as consisting of channel sandstones and conglomerates, and overbank mudstones and palaeosols (Stokes, 1961; Currie, 1998; Garrison et al., 2007; Nuse, 2015; Ludvigson et al., 2015; Hayden et al., 2019).

The Cedar Mountain Formation is an important source of palaeontological, climatic and tectonic information (Heller & Paola, 1989; Currie, 1998, 2002; Kirkland et al., 1999; Ludvigson et al., 2010; Ludvigson et al., 2015; Joeckel et al., 2017, 2019). Recent work regarding the Ruby Ranch Member of the Cedar Mountain Formation has focused on the geomorphology of exhumed channel deposits, which are more resistant than the surrounding floodplain material, resulting in the preferential erosion of floodplain strata and preservation of the channel deposits that form ridges (Williams et al., 2007, 2009; Hayden et al., 2019). These ridges are as tall as 35 m and 60 to 90 m wide on average, and expose channel belts in three dimensions (Fig. 1). Recent interest in these landforms and other exhumed channel belts (e.g. Hayden et al., 2019; in Oman, Maizels, 1987, 1990; Maizels & McBean, 1990; in Egypt, Zaki et al., 2018) has partially been driven by high-resolution images of morphologically similar ‘fluvial sinuous ridges’ on Mars (e.g. Burr et al., 2009; Davis et al., 2016; Cardenas et al., 2018; Hughes et al., 2019). Hayden et al. (2019) have provided an important comparison between field-based and remote-sensing-based palaeohydraulic reconstructions for the exhumed channel belts of the Ruby Ranch Member, but the sedimentological analysis herein provides additional information for palaeoenvironmental analysis.

**Dune, bar and channel belt strata**

The dip direction of a dune cross-stratum records the orientation of the formative dune lee face, and reflects the local direction of dune migration (Allen, 1970; Rubin & Hunter, 1982). This relationship, however, is complicated in trough cross-strata created by dunes with sinuous crestlines (McKee & Weir, 1953; Slingerland & Williams, 1979; DeCelles et al., 1983; Rubin, 1987). The local dip direction of a set of trough
cross-stratification may represent the mean migration direction of the associated dune plus or minus as much as 90° (Dott, 1973; Almeida et al., 2016). In plan-view exposures, the net migration direction can be determined reliably, as well as the orientation of the bar surface the dune migrated on (Dott, 1973; Almeida et al., 2016). In channel deposits, larger dipping strata composed of smaller dune cross-sets, called compound strata, represent the accretion surfaces of barforms built by superimposed dunes (Allen, 1983; Haszeldine, 1983; Edwards et al., 1983; Miall, 1985, 1988; Almeida et al., 2016; Reesink, 2018).

Barforms are either fixed in position by channel shape or are free to migrate downstream,
although these represent end members of a continuum (Miall, 1977; Seminara & Tubino, 1989; Ikeda, 1989; Hooke & Yorke, 2011). Point bars fixed to the inner bank of a channel bend grow into the channel (Ikeda et al., 1981) and record lateral river migration. Point bars have been identified in the rock record based on lateral accretion surfaces dipping towards a range of orientations relative to the local dip directions of dune cross-strata (e.g. Edwards et al., 1983; Wu et al., 2015; Almeida et al., 2016). Free bars are able to migrate downstream, although they may be attached to banks, and preserve a wider array of relationships between local dune migration direction and the bar surface dip direction (e.g. Allen, 1983; Almeida et al., 2016). Free bars and point bars commonly coexist in channels and in mixed-case forms (Fig. 2) (Kinoshita & Miwa, 1974; Whitting & Dietrich, 1993; Hooke & Yorke, 2011). Strata representing both point bars and free bars may therefore be observed in the Ruby Ranch Member.

In net-depositional settings, aggradation of the riverbed is coupled with aggradation of the channel levées and proximal floodplain, and occurs more rapidly than in the distal floodplain (Pizzuto, 1987). Over time, the channel becomes elevated relative to the floodplain, and the difference between the two elevations defines the channel’s superelevation. Past studies have shown that a superelevation of 60% of the flow depth is linked to a threshold for river avulsion (Mohrig et al., 2000), the process by which flow abandons a channel in favour of a lower topographic pathway (Heller & Paola, 1996; Mohrig et al., 2000; Hajek & Edmonds, 2014; Chadwick, 2020). Studies of both modern and ancient avulsive rivers suggest that rivers tend to return to previously abandoned channels that became attractors to flow following the aggradation of the adjacent floodplain (Heller & Paola, 1996;
Modern-analogue rivers

Two modern rivers representing end-member braided and meandering planforms, the North Loup River (Nebraska, USA) and Trinity River (Texas, USA), are used for comparisons in this study. The North Loup River is a sand-bed braided river that has been used before as a modern analogue to ancient fluvial strata (Mohrig et al., 2000; Mahon & McElroy, 2018). The North Loup River represents a reasonable analogue to understand bar and bedform processes occurring in the formative channels of the Ruby Ranch Member, because widths and depths are similar. The eastern and western ridges are 63 m and 90 m wide on average. The North Loup River is 111 m wide in the studied reach, and ca 1.0 to 1.5 m deep (Mohrig & Smith, 1996; Hayden et al., 2019). This study also uses analyzed bedforms and bars in the meandering Trinity River (Mason & Mohrig, 2018; Mason, 2018; Mason & Mohrig, 2019a, 2019b). The Trinity River has a similar width to the ancient rivers described here (122 m on average), but is deeper. The similar widths are significant for the aspects of this study focusing on the steering of dunes by bars.

METHODS

Field measurements

Several datasets were acquired at two adjacent ridges in the Ruby Ranch Member that appear to have once been continuous (Fig. 1A and B). The ridges have good and accessible sidewall and planview exposures. None of the normal faults mapped in the area appear to intersect these ridges (Sable, 1956; Hayden et al., 2019). Aerial photosurveys, collected with a DJI Phantom 2 Vision Plus drone (DJI, Shenzhen, China), imaged the top and side surfaces of both ridges with >75% along-path and side overlap in photographs (Fig. 1C to D). Flights were conducted at 15 to 20 m above ground level. Ground control point locations were determined using an Archer Field PC (Juniper Systems, Logan, UT, USA) with an external GPS antenna, producing horizontal position data with <0.3 m RMS accuracy. Orthomosaics were generated with 5 cm spatial resolution using Agisoft Photoscan Pro (www.agisoft.com), and cover an area of 213 000 m² over the eastern and western ridges (Fig. 1C and D). These datasets were used to map the locations of bounding surfaces of cross-sets and major erosional surfaces. Dip directions of cross-strata identified on the photomosaics were measured in the field using compasses. Each set was classified as either being composed of sandstone or conglomerate.

Around the perimeters of each ridge, 59 vertical sections were measured covering the entirety of the available vertical exposure of the ridge-top fluvial strata, resulting in 276 total metres of section. An additional 31 two-dimensional lateral sections ranging from 1 to 10 m wide were collected around the perimeters of both ridges in order to characterize the smaller, cross-set scale architectural elements of the channel belts. Architectural variability in the transport direction at the scale of a few metres was recorded, including changes in set thickness and the dips of bounding surfaces. Across all of these surveys, the thicknesses of 362 sets of cross-strata were measured, and grain size was measured for 75 of those sets in the field using a SciOptic translucent grain-size chart. Using a geographic information system (GIS), field mapping results were merged with the remote sensing measurements. Ridge-scale bounding surfaces were digitized as lines, and 1071 sets of planform-exposed trough cross-strata and 107 exposures of large-scale dipping strata were digitized as polygons.

Transport anomaly

To test how well the ridge outcrop centrelines represent original channel centrelines, a new metric is developed and named here as the transport anomaly, \( \theta_{TA} \). It is defined for both modern rivers and the exhumed channel belts.

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_{TA-CHANNEL} &= \theta_{CL-CHANNEL} - \theta_D-CHANNEL \\
\theta_{TA-RIDGE} &= \theta_{CL-RIDGE} - \theta_D-RIDGE
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \theta_D \) is the 0 to 359° orientation of a transport or palaeotransport measurement from an active dune (\( \theta_D-CHANNEL \); Eq. 1a) or cross-set (\( \theta_D-RIDGE \); Eq. 1b), and \( \theta_{CL} \) is the orientation of the centreline nearest to the location where \( \theta_D \) was measured (Fig. 3). Values of \( \theta_{TA} \) may be positive or negative, and are calculated using the Circular Statistics
Toolbox available for MATLAB (www.mathworks.com), which measures the shortest angular distance, positive or negative, between the two directions such that no measurement exceeds $180^\circ$ or is less than $-180^\circ$ (Berens, 2009).

By measuring $\theta_{D,\text{CHANNEL}}$ from dunes in modern rivers and $\theta_{TA,\text{RIDGE}}$ from planform-exposed cross-sets in the Ruby Ranch Member, hundreds of measurements of $\theta_{TA}$ (Eq. 1a and 1b) between the ancient and modern systems were compared to test whether the transport anomalies for the outcrop are distinct from transport anomalies observed in a modern river system. To perform this comparison, Ruby Ranch Member ridge centreline trends and palaeotransports are required, as well as modern river centrelines and instantaneous transport directions collected from dune crest orientations. The braided North Loup River and the meandering Trinity River are used as the modern analogues.

This test assesses how well the centrelines of the ancient rivers are preserved in the exhumed channel belts and represent ridge geometry (Fig. 4A and B). For example, if the mean and standard deviation ($\sigma$) of $\theta_{TA,\text{RIDGE}}$ (Eq. 1b) approximately equal those of $\theta_{TA,\text{CHANNEL}}$ (Eq. 1a), then the transport anomaly of the ancient deposit is no greater than the variability in a modern river, and is consistent with channel-belt planform preserving the formative channel planform (Fig. 4A). If lateral migration and reworking has greatly widened the channel belt and reduced its overall sinuosity from that of the formative channels, $\sigma$ should be greater in the ancient deposit, as well as a more random distribution of $\theta_{TA,\text{RIDGE}}$ (Fig. 4B). An example of the latter case comes from point bar strata of the Cretaceous Ferron Sandstone, Utah, USA, in Wu et al., (2015, fig. 13; Wu et al., 2016, fig. 8), which present a general north-west-curving palaeotransport trend along a north-east trending exposure, making that study location a high palaeotransport anomaly zone. Furthermore, the deviation angle in Wu et al. (2016) is calculated relative to an interpreted channel-form, not the exhumed channel-belt shape. Wang & Bhattacharya (2017, fig. 10A) show an even clearer example linked to point bar growth. Examples of this kind of lateral amalgamation have been documented in both the ancient (Cretaceous McMurray Formation, Alberta, Canada) and the modern (Mississippi River, Missouri and Arkansas, USA) by Durkin et al. (2018).

In a third scenario where erosion patterns have not exhumed the belt evenly from all directions, the characteristics of both of the two aforementioned scenarios would not be observed.

Points defining the centrelines of rivers were calculated using the series of points selected to define enclosing channel banks. For each point on one bank or ridge edge, the distance to the nearest point on the opposite bank or ridge edge is calculated and taken as a local width measurement, and a centreline point is placed at the location exactly between the two points. The sequence of points spanning the length of the ridges or a river reach was smoothed using a spline method in the MATLAB curve fitting toolbox. Centrelines are ultimately defined as points spaced $1 \text{ m}$ apart along the smoothed line.

To measure local transport directions in the analogue rivers, the brink lines of modern dunes

Fig. 3. (A) Diagram defining the components of the transport anomaly, $\theta_{TA}$, for a modern river channel. A measurement of transport direction, $\theta_D$, is made from the orientation of a dune crest (short black arrow; $091^\circ$). The centreline point closest to the measurement of $\theta_D$ is starred. The orientation of the starred centreline point, $\theta_{CL}$, is defined as the azimuth direction of the ray originating at the adjacent upstream point and passing through the adjacent downstream point (grey arrow; $124^\circ$). (B) The transport anomaly, $\theta_{TA}$, is defined as $\theta_{CL} - \theta_{D}$. It may be positive or negative, and is bound between $-180^\circ$ and $+180^\circ$. In this scenario, $\theta_{TA} = 124^\circ - 091^\circ = +33^\circ$. © 2020 The Authors. Sedimentology © 2020 International Association of Sedimentologists, Sedimentology
on the North Loup River bed were mapped using the orthorectified drone photomosaic that shows subaqueous bars and dunes, collected by Swanson et al. (2018). The orientation of each dune was estimated by a best-fit line to a series of mapped brink points. The normal to each brink line, in turn, was taken as the local transport direction for that dune, \( \theta_{D,\text{CHANNEL}} : \theta_{D,\text{CHANNEL}} \) was then tied to a point located at the average \( X-Y \) coordinate of all \( X-Y \) coordinates defining that particular dune brink line. The same process was applied to bedforms over the 32 km reach of the Trinity River imaged using sonar profiles of dunes on the channel bed (dataset from Mason, 2018), as well as dunes frozen on subaerially exposed point bar surfaces formed during the previous bankfull flood imaged in a 2015 lidar (light detection and ranging) survey (Mason & Mohrig, 2018, 2019b). The widths of these channels are comparable to the widths of the ridges, and the braided and meandering end-members are useful in interpreting the Ruby Ranch Member, because the dominance of free bars in the former versus point bars in the latter route flow in different ways (Dietrich & Smith, 1984; Ashworth, 1996). In the Ruby Ranch Member, values for \( \theta_{D,\text{CHANNEL}} \) are taken from field measurements of planform trough cross-strata across the top surfaces of the two ridges and assigned associated \( X-Y \) coordinates at the centre of the corresponding mapped set.

RESULTS

Vertical sections

The vertical sections measured along the perimeters of each ridge were composed of over 99% cross-stratified sandstones and conglomerates. The top surfaces of mudstones in the vertical sections are scoured into by erosional surfaces that extend across ridges. Mudstone units vary in thickness over short distances because they were eroded by overlying channel elements, attaining a maximum thickness of 0.6 m. These persistent erosional surfaces commonly define and separate individual channel-belts (Figs 5A, 5B, 6A and 6B; Friend et al., 1979). Any given vertical section exposes one to four stacked storeys which locally vary in thickness from 0.10 m to 8.60 m, with a mean of 3.10 m ± 0.22 m (the
calculated standard error of the mean), median of 2.80 m ± 0.27 m (the calculated standard error of the median) and σ of 2.03 m ± 0.15 m (the calculated standard error of the standard deviation; n = 89; Fig. 6C). These storey-bounding surfaces are also exposed along the top surfaces of the ridges. Five of these surfaces have been mapped across the western ridge, and four have been mapped across the eastern ridge (Fig. 5C). These storey-bounding surfaces are also exposed along the top surfaces of the ridges. Five of these surfaces have been mapped across the western ridge, and four have been mapped across the eastern ridge (Fig. 5C).

Sedimentary structures and architecture

The most common sedimentary structures preserved in planview and vertical exposures of the Ruby Ranch Member ridges are trough cross-sets (Fig. 7) with median grain sizes ranging from upper-fine sand to medium pebbles (Fig. 8A to C). The mean thickness of these sets is 0.12 m ± 0.005 m, with a standard deviation of 0.09 m ± 0.003 m, and a coefficient of variation of 0.79 ± 0.04 (c_v = σ/mean, with propagated errors; n = 350). Along the top ridge surfaces where these structures are exposed and mapped in planview (Fig. 9), the dominant dip direction of these sets was identified as representative of the associated bedform’s migration direction. The polygons outlining these planform exposed sets (n = 1071) sum to a total area of 5019 m². Of the 1071 sets mapped in planform, 269 were identified as conglomerate, representing 25.1% of sets and 26.5% (1330 m²) of total set area. The remaining 802 sets were identified as sandstone, representing 74.9% of sets and 73.5% (3689 m²) of total set area. Larger scale thicker compound cross-sets (n = 12), with a mean of 1.28 m ± 0.05 m and a σ of 0.19 m ± 0.04 m measured at preserved rollovers (topsets), are also exposed in planview (Fig. 10A to D). There was no overlap in thickness between the two structures. The locations of planview measurements of both types of sedimentary structures are shown in Fig. 11. The summed planform exposure area of these sets (n = 103) is 520 m², or covering 10.3% of the planform area of trough cross-sets. Within individual channel belt storeys, shingled trough cross-sets record transport up and down larger-scale topography (Fig. 12A to D).

Four arrangements of cross-beds, types A, B, C and D, were observed (Figs 13 and 14). Types A, B and C appear in sandstones, and Type D appears only in pebble conglomerates. Type A feature a thick basal set of compound strata scoured along its top by an upstream-dipping surface, and overlain by a thinner coset composed of smaller cross-beds with a mean thickness and standard deviation of 0.12 m ± 0.01 m.
and 0.07 m ± 0.01 m (Figs 13, 14A and 14B). The upstream dips of the scour surface range from 5° to 13° (mean = 7°, n = 6). In this case, the orientation of small cross-beds is roughly parallel to the dip direction of the larger cross-beds.

Type B feature a thick basal set of compound cross-sets that change both dip and thickness in the downstream direction (Figs 13, 14C and 14D). Individual cross-beds thicken by as much as 300% over the course of 1.5 m in the downstream direction (0.08 to 0.23 m, 0.07 to 0.23 m and 0.06 to 0.19 m). Correspondingly, the bounding surfaces separating these cross-beds shallow downstream from as steep as 26° to as shallow as 5°, and the upper bounding surface transitions from being markedly erosional to conformable. Similar to type A, the smaller cross-beds roughly parallel the dip direction of the larger cross-beds. The mean thickness and standard deviation of these sets at shallowly dipping sections was 0.13 m ± 0.01 m and 0.08 m ± 0.01 m.

Type C is also composed of compound strata, but in these cases the dip directions of the smaller foresets were roughly transverse to the dip direction of the larger cross-beds (Figs 13 and 10D). Type C sets were mostly identified in plan-view exposures, so set thickness measurements were not made.
Type D feature no compound cross-stratification, and bounding surfaces were sub-horizontal or showed local variable curvature associated with trough geometry (Figs 13, 14E and 14F). Type D strata have a ca 90° scatter of transport directions, apparent by the juxtaposition of trough and dip-normal exposures (Slingerland & Williams, 1979). The mean thickness and σ of type D sets was 0.19 m ± 0.02 m and 14.7 m ± 0.02 m, respectively, and sections contain up to ten stacked sets.

Transport anomalies
Maps of transport anomalies (θTA) for the Ruby Ranch Member channel belts and North Loup River are presented in Fig. 15A to C. The associated θTA histograms and statistical moments for these systems and the Trinity River are presented in Fig. 16A to D. All datasets have mean values ranging between −12° and +6°, and standard deviations ranging from 25° to 35°. In the North Loup River, anomalies were driven by flow routing around bars (Fig. 17B). Measurements approach the reach mean when assembled over a downstream distance of about three bar lengths, or half the reach length, indicating adequate sampling (Figs 15C and 17A to C). In the Trinity River, as expected for meandering rivers, both the magnitude of the mean and standard deviation of the transport anomalies are the smallest (Fig. 16D). Transport anomalies that are observed are located along point bar surfaces (Dietrich & Smith, 1984). In the Ruby Ranch Member, areas with concentrated high anomalies were found to be located at ridge bends with concentrations of transport-normal-dipping accretion surfaces (Fig. 15A to 15B).

DISCUSSION
This discussion begins with interpretations of sedimentary structures deposited by the ancient Ruby Ranch Member rivers. The avulsions, lateral migration and aggradation of these rivers (their kinematics) are then inferred through analysis of these sedimentary structures. A regional depositional setting is then interpreted based on the kinematics of the rivers.

Dune, bar, belt and overbank strata
A distinction is drawn between cross-sets on either side of the break in scale shown in Fig. 7. Photograph of dune cross-strata exposed in planview along upper ridge surfaces. Blue arrow shows the mean dip directions of cross-strata. This 3D outcrop shows the relationship between planform-exposed cross-strata and vertically exposed cross-strata. Boots are 30 cm long.
The anatomy of exhumed river-channel belts

population of dip direction versus centreline trend anomalies for the bar strata feature a larger spread of values and modes situated far from zero (compare Fig. 16A to D against Fig. 16E and F). The range of values, particularly the prevalence of palaeoflow-normal values, suggests that the formative bar types included point bars with primarily cross-stream accreting surfaces (Fig. 10D), and free bars which can feature cross-stream-dipping, downstream-dipping and upstream-dipping accretion surfaces (Smith, 1982; Skelly et al., 2003; Hartley et al., 2015; Almeida et al., 2016). Point bar structures are also interpreted from ridge-scale observations, where clusters of bar surfaces dip towards the convex sides of ridge bends (Fig. 11A and B; note that the western-most point bar strata define a convex-north bend, Fig. 1C). Free bars, discussed below, can be observed on a much smaller scale, and are represented by bar accretion surfaces not associated with a point bar (Fig. 11A and B).

Together, these dune-scale and bar-scale cross-strata are interpreted as channel belts formed during episodes of active sediment transport in channels ca 1.28 m deep, based on bar thickness (Mohrig et al., 2000). The mudstones associated with ridge-scale erosional surfaces are interpreted to represent sedimentation during periods of channel abandonment, which indicates a system that experienced multiple avulsions and channel re-occupations (Mohrig et al., 2000; Jones & Hajek, 2007; Cuevas Martínez et al., 2010). The observed mudstone layers are laterally discontinuous, which the authors interpret as due to local scour associated with re-occupation that created the erosional surface. Thus, the ridges represent channel-belt complexes composed of stacked, individual channel belts and the stratigraphic continuity between the two ridges suggests that they once formed a continuous deposit.

The four cross-stratal types A through to D observed in the Ruby Ranch Member ridges document the interaction of the ancient dunes and bars in the formative river channels (Figs 13 and 14). Type A architectures are characteristic of free bars, and possess a bar-scale bounding surface separating bar lee strata below from deposits of the bar-stoss surface above (Fig. 14A and B). As such, this bounding surface preserves the characteristic dip of the stoss side of the bar form. The bar-lee strata may be compound in that they are composed of dune cross-sets, or bar slip faces, which may nonetheless be...
influenced by superimposed dunes and ripples (Reesink & Bridge, 2011; Reesink, 2018). Theory (Paola & Borgman, 1991) and a recent morphodynamic bedform model (Swanson et al., 2019) show that set stacking can occur even under conditions of net bypass or erosion because of variability in dune scour depths.

Type B architectures highlight change in compound dune strata due to migration of free bars (Figs 13, 14C and 14D). The steepest 26° cross-strata represent bar-lee construction most perpendicular to the average transport direction observed. The observed shallowing of bounding-surface dips and thickening of sets in the downstream direction records the planform deformation of the bar crest over time, where steep downstream-accreting surfaces gradually become more laterally accreting. As is evident from the compound nature of these sets, this bar growth is driven by dune accretion in front of the bar. At the two locations where A and B type architectures are adjacent (Fig. 14A to D), the transition of the stoss scour surface to the conformable bounding surface of a bar cross-stratum represents the delivery of sediment mined from the bar stoss up and over the crest of the bar, and onto the bar lee. Taken together, these two architectures preserve the processes associated with bar migration via the mining and delivery of sediment by a surface veneer of smaller dunes compound to a larger free bar. One lateral section shows the stacking of stoss strata on lee strata, recording the aggradation and migration of a bar (Fig. 14G and H).

The type C compound strata define bar growth at an oblique angle to the net transport direction, and define the lateral migration of a bank-attached bar form (Fig. 10D). The coarser, non-compound type D architectures are interpreted as thalweg deposits (Fig. 14E and F). Together, these four architectural types describe the construction of channel-bottom topography within individual channel belts via the migration and growth of dunes (both on bars and in the thalweg), free bars and point bars.

Channel-bed topography

Preserved bar form topography is interpreted to record the moment of channel abandonment (Fig. 12A to D). Two lines of evidence support this. First, in both cross-section and map view, the compound relationship between dune and bar strata informs us that entire bar forms are
preserved, complete with bar rollovers that represent the tops of bars (topset, Figs 12, 13, 14 and 19). Second, the stoss-positioned dune sets are restricted to a surface veneer composing less than the upper 25% of the bar, with the remainder composed of steeply dipping bar-scale strata. If erosion of ridge surfaces commonly broke through the surface veneer of stoss dune sets, then large bar scale strata would constitute a greater percentage of sedimentary structures exposed on ridge surfaces. Given that sandstone trough cross-sets, which are interpreted as superimposed on bars, constitute nearly 75% of all mapped sets, the authors would interpret a less well-preserved channel bed to expose up to 75% bar strata. Instead, dunes occupy an order of magnitude more surface area of the outcrop. The preservation of the river-bottom topography at the time of avulsion is interpreted to be the consequence of a relatively rapid channel

Fig. 10. Examples of larger-scale accretion strata. Red arrows show the dip direction of the strata in each panel. Boots in each panel are 30 cm long. (A) A lack of exposed bounding surfaces on this topographic surface suggests that the topography itself represents a bounding surface. (B) Beneath the arrow, erosion exposes internal stratification parallel to the surface. (C) A 3D outcrop of larger-scale dipping strata composed of smaller-scale stratification exposed by erosion. (D) Compound cross-strata with a larger-scale accretion surface (red arrow) dipping obliquely to a smaller-scale dune set (blue arrow). A few dune cross-strata are mapped in black lines.
Fig. 11. Planform maps outlining the top surfaces of the western (A) and eastern (B) ridges. Two locations with clusters of similarly dipping bar accretion surfaces following ridge curvature are interpreted to represent point bars. The north-east-accreting point bar structure of the western ridge corresponds with a larger-scale ridge curvature beyond the extent of the study area (Fig. 1C). Bar accretion surfaces not clearly associated with a point bar are interpreted as free-bar accretion surfaces.
Fig. 11 Continued.
abandonment coupled with minimal erosion of the channel belt by the subsequent channel re-occupation.

**Channel-planform geometry**

The near zero means and the high kurtosis of the Ruby Ranch Member Formation palaeotransport anomaly measurements, coupled with the similarity of the standard deviations measured in the ancient and the modern, are interpreted to indicate that the channel-belt-complex geometry preserves the formative river centreline in a reliable way (Fig. 16A to D). Regions of the channel belts showing concentrations of high transport anomaly measurements are associated with point bar lateral accretion surfaces (Figs 1C, 11A, 11B, 16A and 16B), supporting the hypothesis that lateral point bar migration is a cause of high anomaly measurements (Fig. 4B). However, these regions do not represent a majority of the ridge area.

The studied ridges are composed of several vertically stacked channel belts. The preservation of the formative river channel centrelines through multiple re-occupations of the channel is expected in fluvial settings with high rates of vertical aggradation within the channel relative to lateral migration rates (Gibling, 2006; Jerolmack & Mohrig, 2007). As a result, there is a general lack of centreline distortion, even though the ridge represents a complex of stacked channel belts.

**Channel-belt thickness**

Because avulsions are likely to occur when a channel bed has aggraded to a sufficient level of superelevation, the thickness of a preserved channel belt, on average, is posited to equal palaeo-channel depth plus an aggradational component. The thickness of a free bar set from top-set to bottomset is assumed to be a measure of
local channel depth (Mohrig et al., 2000). Bar measurements reported in Fig. 8A suggest an overall, mean channel depth of 1.28 ± 0.05 m. The mean belt thickness of 3.10 m ± 0.22 m (Fig. 6C) is then composed of an aggradational component consisting of 1.82 m ± 0.20 m. This indicates that, on average, a channel belt accumulated a thickness of 1.53 ± 0.22 times its original depth before avulsing, creating a channel belt with a total thickness of 2.42 ± 0.19 times its flow depth.

River-bed kinematics

Dune accumulation on bars and in the thalweg

Analysis of Paola & Borgman (1991) shows that bedforms with gamma-distributed heights create a predictable exponential distribution of set thicknesses in cases of no net aggradation. Bridge & Best (1997) and Jerolmack & Mohrig (2005) emphasize the importance of bed aggradation as a control on the distribution of set thickness, showing that increased aggradation rates decrease the relative control of variable scour depth on set thickness. Jerolmack & Mohrig (2005) showed that the coefficient of variation ($c_v$) of set thicknesses decreases from a value of 0.88 in the case of no aggradation, to values approaching the $c_v$ of the formative bedform heights with significant bed aggradation. Coupled with this change in $c_v$ is a gradual shift from the predicted exponential distribution of set thicknesses, to a gamma distribution mirroring the distribution of the formative bedforms. Significantly, this analysis has been shown to be general enough to apply to ancient fluvial (Jerolmack & Mohrig, 2005) and aeolian strata (Swanson et al., 2019; Cardenas et al., 2019). Therefore, the reporting and analysis of set thickness distributions should be considered a significant part of any quantitative reconstruction of clastic sedimentary systems where there is an interest in understanding the kinematics and transport within the ancient system.

When taken together, all measured dune set thicknesses ($n = 350$) have a $c_v$ of 0.79 ± 0.04 (Fig. 8A). This value implies set production by variable scour under conditions of minimal bed aggradation ($c_v = 0.88 ± 0.03$ for bypass case in Bridge, 1997). The scour-dominated case also creates laterally discontinuous sets (Jerolmack & Mohrig, 2005; Ganti et al., 2013; Cardenas et al., 2019). This scour dominance appears to be at odds with the well-preserved bars described above (Fig. 14C and D). To understand the construction of the channel belt, measurements must be locally standardized to account for variability in bedform height at the reach scale, which is not necessarily representative of local variability (Reesink et al., 2015). Assembling all measurements into a single calculation without considering local architecture can result in inaccurate interpretations.

Set-thickness analysis performed separately for bar-lee sets, bar-stoss sets and thalweg sets yields a different result than the bulk description. The first step in analyzing data from each sub-environment was to divide each set thickness by the mean set thickness for its local
Fig. 14. Cross-sectional view of Type A to D strata (Fig. 13), with superimposed interpretations. Sets of dune and bar strata are marked by thick black lines and dune cross-strata by thinner black lines. Surfaces separating dune and bar strata are marked by red lines. (A) and (B) Type A strata from the stoss side of a bar, with an interpreted transition to the lee side. Flow was from left to right. (C) and (D) Cross-sectional view of preserved strata from the lee (Type B) and stoss (Type A) sides of a bar form. Flow was from right to left. (E) and (F) Cross-sectional view of Type D strata featuring a ±90° spread in transport direction, conglomerates and a lack of bar architecture. This type of architecture is interpreted as a thalweg environment due to the coarser grains driven by higher velocity flow, and a larger spread in transport driven by changes in steering due to bar growth. (G) and (H) Cross-sectional view showing the internal structure of a barform with Type A strata overlying Type B strata. Flow was from left to right. From bottom to top, the transition from stoss to lee architecture to lee architecture, all within the same barform, records the forward migration and aggradation of the barform.

coset. These dimensionless values of set thickness were then collected for every bar-lee, bar-stoss and thalweg deposit. Standardized cumulative distribution functions (CDFs) are shown in Fig. 18. Coefficients of variation for the standardized distributions are 0.29 ± 0.04 for lee sets, 0.47 ± 0.07 for stoss sets and 0.67 ± 0.10 for thalweg sets. Although $c_v$ values as low as 0.29 were not examined by Jerolmack & Mohrig (2005), interpolation of fig. 4B from that study leads to a ratio of aggradation rate ($r$) to migration rate ($c$) for lee sets of $ca \times 10^{-1}$ (climb angle from 5 to $6^\circ$). Stoss sets have $r/c$ of $ca \times 10^{-1.5}$ (climb angle from 1 to $2^\circ$) and thalweg sets have $r/c$ of $ca \times 10^{-2.5}$ (climb angle from 0.1 to 0.2$^\circ$). The lee sides of downstream-migrating barforms, where the most sediment accumulation is expected (Reesink et al., 2015), have the highest ratio of aggradation rate to migration rate. This significant aggradation is supported by a Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistical test comparing the measurements to fitted exponential and gamma curves (Fig. 18A to C). For lee sets, the exponential curve is rejected at a significance level of 0.05 ($P < 0.001$) and the gamma curve is not ($P = 0.46$). This is consistent with the observed stacking and downstream thickening of sets in lee-type architectures (Fig. 14C and D). Even though thalweg sets are rejected as being exponentially distributed ($P = 0.02$) and not rejected as gamma distributed ($P = 0.17$), the two fitted curves are more similar than in the lee and stoss cases.

A non-trivial amount of climb is recorded by stoss sets, given the $c_v$ of 0.47 ± 0.07, rejection of an exponential fit ($P = 0.01$) and non-rejection of a gamma fit ($P = 0.90$). This indicates some degree of upstream accumulation driven by the stoss-side aggradation of dune sets, likely during the final flood (Lunt & Bridge, 2004). Using ground-penetrating radar cross-sections, Skelly et al. (2003) also interpreted upstream accretion in modern bars of the Niobrara River, Nebraska, USA, which represent the growth and deformation of bars as they migrate.

Constraints on the time recorded by individual channel belts

How is time distributed through Ruby Ranch Member channel belts? Backing out sedimentation rates from these strata would provide information on the kinematics of the formative rivers, as well as how local controls might dictate the construction of the rock record (Sadler, 1981; Sadler & Jerolmack, 2015; Paola et al., 2018). The distribution of cross-set thicknesses, in conjunction with assumed bedform migration rates, can provide some sense of the minimum amount of time associated with aggradation of each channel belt. This analysis is performed for the two major channel belt components observed here, bar and thalweg accumulations.

Given that the accumulation of dune sets at the bar lee is the process through which these bars migrated (Fig. 14C and D), it follows that:

$$r_{lee}/c_{lee} = s_{bar}/m_{bar}$$

(2)

where $r_{lee}$ is the aggradation rate of the bed, $c_{lee}$ is the migration rate of dunes, $r_{lee}/c_{lee}$ of bar lee sets is calculated in the prior section as $10^{-1}$, and $s_{bar}/m_{bar}$ is the bar thickness over the equivalent migration distance (Fig. 19). Solving for $m_{bar}$, the only unknown, yields $12.8 \pm 0.5$ m of bar migration, with uncertainty based on the number of measurements. Downstream-migrating bars migrate $ca$ 10 m per day in the North Loup and other rivers (Meade, 1985; Mohrig & Smith, 1996). Assuming that 10 m per day is a comparable rate to the ancient Ruby Ranch Member fluvial system, which is a reasonable, order of magnitude assumption given the similar flow depths, channel widths and the
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Fig. 15. Transport anomaly maps of the western ridge of the Ruby Ranch Member (A), the eastern ridge (B) and the North Loup River (C). X and Y coordinates are relative to a different local datum in each map, shown in the bottom left corner of each panel. Circles show the location of palaeo-transport or modern transport direction measurements. The colour at each point represents the palaeotransport or transport anomaly (Fig. 3A and B). Colours are stretched to each individual panel. Grey lines represent ridge outlines and the banks of the North Loup River. Black arrows in panels (A) and (B) point to regions recording point bar accretion, and are associated with relatively high anomaly values, particularly in the western ridge (Figs 1C and 11).
The anatomy of exhumed river-channel belts

distribution of dune heights in the North Loup relative to the measured cross-set thicknesses herein (Mohrig & Smith, 1996), the observed lee architectures are a record of only $ca \ 1.28 \pm 0.05$ days of sedimentation. This suggests that the bar strata and associated compound dune strata do not record the gradual aggradation of the channel bed leading up to avulsion, but rather record the higher frequency modification of the channel bed via bar migration. Instead, it is hypothesized that the aggradation of the channel bed is recorded in thalweg strata. To test this hypothesis, Eq. 2 is redefined in terms of the thalweg sets and the average thickness of the aggradational component of the channel belts, with a slower aggradation rate predicted. Assuming steady construction at North Loup dune migration rates ($ca \ 60 \text{ m per day}$, Mohrig & Smith, 1996), only 9.7 days $\pm 1.1$ days are required to accumulate the thalweg strata reported here. While indeed longer-term accumulations than the bar strata,
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these sets do not record slow channel aggradation over avulsion timescales. For most rivers, occupation may last anywhere from years to thousands of years (Stouthamer & Berendsen, 2001; Slingerland & Smith, 2004). It is unlikely that these channels were only occupied for 9.7 days. Instead, these strata may only represent the aggradation and scour filling that occurred during the final episode of sedimentation that preceded avulsion and channel abandonment. This episode is likely to coincide with the final flood prior to avulsion. This result suggests that the channel was in a state of net bypass for most of its occupation, or close enough to it that subsequent scouring during floods removed any slowly accumulated strata. Had channel abandonment not prevented it, the flood-associated aggradation recorded by each channel belt would likely have been reworked to a lower, possibly pre-flood elevation (Fig. 20). The complete reworking of the channel bed during flood stage has been observed in modern net-depositional rivers (Nittrouer et al., 2011) and in experiments (Leary & Ganti, 2020). This also suggests that floodplain deposits might more completely record successive episodes of flood-stage deposition than channel belts, because presumably an episode of floodplain deposition is not immediately followed by reworking.

### Channel versus floodplain accumulation

On average, a formative river of the Ruby Ranch Member constructed a channel belt that was

---

**Fig. 17.** (A) Drone photomosaic of the North Loup River near Taylor, Nebraska, USA (Swanson et al., 2018). Brighter tan colours within the channel are subaqueous and represent higher portions of downstream-migrating bars beneath shallow water. Darker reaches of the channel represent deeper water. Mixed white and black areas with no crestlines mapped are subaerially exposed bar tops that are not currently undergoing fluvial transport. The location of panel (B) is shown by the black box. (B) Enlargement showing dune crestlines (short red lines) interpreted as perpendicular to dune transport direction. Black dashed arrows show general trends in local transport directions due to the steering of flow around bars. (C) Window length versus the mean transport anomaly within the window. As the window length approaches that of the approximately three barforms or about half the reach, the sampled mean approaches the mean of the entire reach, indicating that the total variability has been adequately sampled. Changes in curvature of this line are observed near multiples of mean bar length, supporting topographic steering as the source of the transport anomaly.
2.42 ± 0.19 times thicker than its characteristic flow depth before avulsing. This thickness to depth ratio is somewhat larger than the value of 1.84 measured for the ancient Guadalope–Matarranya fluvial system in Spain (Mohrig et al., 2000, table 2). Without preserved levee deposits, it is unclear whether the increased relative belt thickness recorded by the Ruby Ranch Member is connected to an increase in incision depth or channel superelevation. However, the preservation of mudstones between vertically stacked channel belts, as well as preserved bar rollovers suggest that the standardized incision depths for the Ruby Ranch Member were comparable to the Guadalope–Matarranya system (Mohrig et al., 2000). Assuming that the threshold superelevation trigger for avulsion proposed by Mohrig et al. (2000) is suitably general, the increased bed aggradation for Ruby Ranch channel belts is hypothesized to have required increased Ruby Ridge floodplain aggradation compared to the Guadalope–Matarranya system. That is, in order for the channel bed to reach the threshold superelevation to avulse, more channel bed aggradation was required during the final depositional episode to catch up with levees and floodplain that steadily aggraded during each bankfull event (Fig. 20). This scenario is consistent with the interpreted reworking of the channel bed between bankfull events, where any associated accumulation and scouring are reworked or filled such that there is not enough net channel bed change to avoid scouring by the following flood. This is contrasted by steady, gradual levee and floodplain aggradation, assuming that these overbank environments are less likely to be reworked between floods.

Large-scale depositional setting

The interpreted kinematics of the Ruby Ranch Member rivers are consistent with the kinematics reported for megafan channels. Channels in Andean megafans are highly unstable and mobile, and avulse on the scale of years, limiting any significant lateral migration (e.g. Horton & DeCelles, 2001; Chakraborty et al., 2010). Broader channel belts can develop on megafans, but these are generally limited to meandering rivers confined within lobe-cutting incised valleys that prevent avulsions (Assine et al., 2014). The authors therefore interpret the Ruby Ranch Member of the Cedar Mountain Formation to represent the accumulations of an early

![Graph](image_url)
Fig. 19. A diagram explaining the calculation of accumulation time (Eq. 2). The relative rates of aggradation to migration are compared to deposit thickness, $s$, and an assumed dune migration rate divided by the equivalent distance, $m$.

Fig. 20. A diagram merging the observations of the usually bypass-state channel bed and the relatively high amount of channel-bed aggradation preceding avulsion, when compared to other fluvial strata (e.g. Mohrig et al., 2000). (A) At $t = 1$, the distance between the lowest part of the floodplain and the channel bed (red line) during low flow is defined. (B) At $t = 2$, the channel is in flood stage. The floodplain has aggraded less than the channel bed. Avulsion does not occur. Original channel bed shown by a red dashed line. (C) At $t = 3$, post-flood channel-bed reworking fills scours and removes accumulations such that there is zero net change in bed elevation.
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Cretaceous megafan or megafans draining the Sevier orogenic belt. Given the importance of floodplain aggradation in stacking these channel belts, the Ruby Ranch Member likely represents a medial fan setting (Owen et al., 2015). This contribution is useful in that a regional interpretation of depositional setting can be made using only local sedimentology, possibly even in cores, without the dependence on observing fanscale changes in facies which may not be exposed well enough in all formations (e.g. Owen et al., 2015).

CONCLUSIONS

Remnant, erosion-resistant ridges of the Ruby Ranch Member of the Cretaceous Cedar Mountain Formation are channel-belt complexes, composed of five or six stacked channel belts. Each channel belt is composed of bar and dune strata that exhibit a variety of compound relationships indicating the role of the latter in the accumulation of the former. In simple terms, bars created topography, which forced dune sedimentation in the space in front of or next to a bar, which then drove further bar migration.

Free-bar migration rates are estimated from thickness distributions of compound dune crossstrata. Free bars represent only about one day of accumulation, yet they comprise on average 41% of a channel belt’s total thickness. Accumulations of dunes in the thalweg represent the rest of the belt and the aggradation of the channel bed, and are distinct from bar strata. Thalweg cross-set-thickness distributions are used to estimate the duration of bed aggradation at only about 10 days. These 10 days are interpreted to represent the final bankfull episode preceding avulsion, rather than the duration of the entire occupation of the channel. Prior bankfull accumulations that did not lead to avulsion were reworked to lower elevations by subsequent non-flood flows. Therefore, these channels primarily functioned as conduits for bypassing sediment, and most of the total time recorded by these channel belts is represented by their basal erosional surfaces.

Two aspects of the formative river systems are preserved particularly well, and record frequent and rapid avulsions, and a minor amount of total lateral migration. First, free bars are preserved completely, from stoss to lee, and are observed in both vertical sections and as topography on ridge tops. This observation is significant on its own; if this palaeobar topography can be detected by using remote sensing, future analysis could use it to better constrain flow depths of ancient rivers from fluvial channel belts exposed at the surface of Mars. Second, the planform geometry of the ridge and channel-belt complex represents the planform geometry of the formative rivers well, despite multiple reoccupations. Frequent, rapid avulsions and limited lateral migration are consistent with megafan channels, thus a megafan is interpreted herein as the depositional setting of these channel-belt complexes. This provides a way to interpret regional depositional setting by using the local sedimentology, rather than requiring regional exposure showing predicted facies changes.

Significantly, this synthesis of vertical and planform channel belt measurements provides a baseline for future studies facilitated by high resolution, drone-derived planform datasets. The workflow presented here may be particularly useful for the upcoming 2020 Mars Rover mission to Jezero crater, Perseverance, which will examine exhumed fluvial and deltaic strata (Goudge et al., 2018) using rover-mounted cameras and the first helicopter drone on Mars, Ingenuity.
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