October 8, 2020

The Honorable Lindsey Graham  The Honorable Dianne Feinstein
Chairman  Ranking Member
U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary  U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary
224 Dirksen Senate Office Building  331 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510  Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Chairman Graham and Ranking Member Feinstein,

The 50 undersigned organizations strongly urge you to oppose the nomination of Amy Coney Barrett to the Supreme Court given the indisputable adverse impact her decisions would have on immigrant communities. From instituting multiple iterations of asylum bans targeting Muslim-majority and African countries, to forcibly separating families at the border, and allowing for dangerous and unsanitary conditions to proliferate in detention centers, leading to the deadliest year on record for migrants in detention,¹ this administration has pushed forward an unrelenting agenda of hatred and xenophobia against immigrant communities since inauguration. Given her abysmal record against immigrants,² it is clear that Barrett would uphold the Trump administration’s anti-immigrant policies should she be on the Court.

Through her rulings in the Seventh Circuit Court of Appeals, Barrett has made her hostility toward immigrants evident. In her dissent in *Cook County v. Wolf*, which led the court to temporarily bar the administration from implementing the public charge rule, Barrett wrote that the administration’s interpretation of the relevant law was not “unreasonable,” while the majority countered that her argument failed to take into account the immigrants who would bear the brunt of the rule.³ Separately, Barrett agreed with the Board of Immigration Appeals in denying asylum to two different Salvadorans seeking asylum and relief under the Convention Against Torture in *Alvarenga-Flores v. Sessions* and *Herrera-Garcia v. Barr*, ruling that their persecution claims were “speculative” and not “credible” despite the traumatic nature of what the asylum seekers claimed.⁴

Additional rulings against immigrants that raise significant concerns about the effects that Barrett’s position on the Court would have, include Barrett’s deciding vote in *Lopez Ramos v. Barr*, which led to the immediate deportation of a Mexican immigrant who had been a lawful permanent resident for three decades and who was denied the opportunity to argue in court that his deportation violated his rights as the son of a naturalized citizen.⁵ Additionally, Barrett wrote

---

⁵ Ibid.
an opinion in *Yafai v. Pompeo*, dismissing the case of a U.S. citizen who claimed that his due process rights were violated when a consular official denied his spouse a visa based on unsubstantiated and contradicted allegations of wrongdoing.  

These rulings shed light on the positions on immigration that Barrett would take should she be confirmed.

Should Barrett be confirmed to serve on the Court, the future of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA), Temporary Protected Status (TPS), and the Flores settlement will be in certain jeopardy. This summer, the Supreme Court ruled in favor of over 600,000 DACA recipients, protecting them from deportation and finding that the administration’s attempt to terminate the program was unlawful. Subsequently, Chad Wolf, who is unlawfully serving as the Acting Secretary of DHS, issued a memo ending the program as we know it by rejecting new applications and curbing protections for existing recipients from two years to only one.  

Challenges to these moves could reach the Supreme Court, especially since both a GAO report and a federal judge in Maryland found that Wolf was illegitimately appointed to his position. Another DACA case, *Texas v. Nielsen*, which concerns the constitutionality of the program itself, could reach the Supreme Court as well.

On TPS, the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals sided with the Trump administration to end the program, threatening more than 300,000 people with deportation. The case could be brought before the Supreme Court for a final decision. If the case reaches a court with Barrett on the bench, the administration could end the program, upending the lives of TPS holders and their families, including 270,000 American citizen children.  

The future of the *Flores* Settlement, which sets important, baseline standards of care for children in detention is also at stake. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit heard arguments on May 19th, 2020 in the government's appeal of the court’s decision to block the implementation of a rule that...
undercuts the *Flores* settlement. Once it renders its decision, the Supreme Court could ultimately be asked to weigh in. From her record so far, we have more than enough reason to believe that Barrett would not hesitate to side with the administration in its attempts to dismantle existing protections for immigrants and enable the implementation of hateful policies that target and threaten the well-being of immigrants.

A vote in favor of Amy Coney Barrett’s nomination to the Supreme Court is a vote against immigrant communities and a vote against marginalized communities across the country. Barrett, adding to the conservative majority on the Supreme Court, would further enable the court to erode the rights and protections of the most vulnerable among us. We implore you to consider the long-term consequences on these communities as a result of Barrett’s position on the Court and urge you to vote no on her nomination.

Sincerely,

Adhikaar
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