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## Introduction \& Approach

## LA Building \& Housing Stock Analysis

## Background and Summary of Project

The City of Los Angeles is home to over four million people and has roughly one million buildings, the majority of which are single-family homes. LA began working with the Building Electrification Institute (BEI) in 2020, as a partner through the Bloomberg American Cities Climate Challenge, to help the City identify opportunities to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from its building stock. As part of LA's sustainable City pLAn ("LA's Green New Deal"), the City is committed to achieving $100 \%$ carbon neutral buildings by 2050 and a $44 \%$ reduction in energy use intensity (EUI) by 2045.

BEl completed this Building and Housing Stock Analysis for LA to help identify key considerations and pathways to achieve the City's goals. This study focuses primarily on medium and large-sized buildings over 7,500 sq. ft. due to the City's policy priorities at the time. The analysis identifies common building types and assesses technical, ownership, decision-making, and social vulnerability indicators that can help inform potential program and policy design for these buildings. Additional analysis will be needed to identify strategies for smaller buildings.

## Building \& Housing Stock Analysis | Approach

To complete LA's Building \& Housing Stock Analysis, BEI and its technical consultants, Cadmus and Steven Winter Associates, completed a 4-step process.

## Building \& Housing Stock Analysis Analysis Process



[^0]
## Building \& Housing Stock Analysis | Approach

## Data Collection Details

To begin, several datasets were merged to consolidate publicly available information about buildings in LA. These datasets were:

- County tax assessor parcel dataset
- County tax assessor GIS dataset
- Citywide building footprint geometry
- EBEWE benchmarking \& reporting data
- US Census American Community Survey 1-Yr 2019 Data


## Parcels versus Buildings

The building inventory was created from County tax assessor data which is a parcel-level dataset, rather than a building-specific dataset. If multiple buildings are on one parcel (see example below), the data for these buildings are summarized into parcel totals. This analysis integrated GIS building footprint data with this parcel-level data. Throughout this report, "Building Count" will refer to the number of building footprints (rather than the number of parcels), excluding small structures under 300 sq. ft., to avoid counting unheated garages and sheds.


## Building \& Housing Stock Analysis

BEl assessed three types of indicators that can help LA understand the potential opportunities and impacts of future policies and programs in LA.

## Technical Indicators

## Help identify opportunities for

 upgrades due to technical elements such as building type, construction method, and/or energy usage. This includes:- Building characteristics: number of units, building height, vintage, size, occupancy type, building count, etc.
- Prioritized EBEWE energy usage trends


## Ownership \& Decision- <br> Making Indicators

## Help identify owners or decision-

 makers who may need different types of support to upgrade their buildings. This includes:- Buildings with specific decisionmaking structures, such as affordable housing, co-ops, and condos
- This analysis focuses on affordable housing due to interest and available data.


## Social Vulnerability Indicators

Help identify buildings that may need greater assistance and/or public investments to make upgrades. This includes:

- Buildings in low-income areas and/or serving low-income populations, including unsubsidized affordable housing
- Communities disproportionately impacted by the current and future effects of climate change


## Citywide Building Stock

 Analysis
## Citywide Building Stock

While most of the analysis focuses on medium and large-sized buildings over 7,500 sq. ft., BEI completed analysis of basic details about all buildings citywide.

## LA Citywide Totals

Total Parcel Count:<br>Total Building Count:<br>Total Built Square Footage:<br>Total Residential Unit Count:<br>765, 130 parcels<br>966,671 buildings<br>2.58B sq. ft.<br>1.43M units

City of LA Boundary


## Citywide Building Stock | Building Use

There are nearly one million buildings in LA, and over $90 \%$ are residential buildings. Non-residential buildings comprise $8.5 \%$ of buildings and $30 \%$ of square footage citywide.*

Building Use - All Buildings

| Building Use | Total Building Count | Tołal Square Footage** | Total Unit Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unknown | 1,898 | 18.5 M | --- |
| Commercial | 33,358 | 397.2 M | --- |
| Agricultural | 68 | 0.05 M | --- |
| Industrial | 20,945 | 245.3 M | --- |
| Institutional | 7,090 | 73.1 M | --- |
| Miscellaneous | 1,367 | 3.1 M | --- |
| Government Owned | 16,161 | 19.9 M | --- |
| Recreational | 1,247 | 13.5 M | --- |
| Residential | 874,467 | 1,723.6 M | 1,374,409 |
| Hotel \& Motel | 1,138 | 27.2 M | 31,790 |
| Mixed Use | 8,932 | 60.7 M | 24,686 |
| Grand Tołal | 966,671 | 2,582.4 M | 1,430,885 |

Citywide Buildings by Use
100\%


Data Sources: BEI building inventory, based on tax assessor data.

## Citywide Building Stock | Non-Residential Buildings

## Commercial and industrial buildings represent most of the nonresidential buildings and square footage in LA, accounting for

 more than three-quarters of non-residential buildings and over $80 \%$ of the built square footage.Non-residential Building Types

| Building Use Type | Total Building Count | Total Square Footage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Unknown | 1,898 | 18.5 M |
| Commercial | 33,358 | 397.2 M |
| Agricultural | 68 | 0.05 M |
| Industrial | 20,945 | 245.3 M |
| Institutional | 7,090 | 73.1 M |
| Miscellaneous | 1,367 | 3.1 M |
| Government Owned | 16,161 | 19.9 M |
| Recreational | $\mathbf{1 , 2 4 7}$ | 13.5 M |
| Grand Total | $\mathbf{8 2 , 1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 0 . 8} \mathbf{~ M}$ |

Non-Residential Buildings by Use


## Citywide Building Stock | Residential Buildings

The majority of residential buildings are single family homes, although multifamily buildings with $5+$ units account for a substantial portion of built square footage and residential units.

## Residential Building Types

| Residential Building Type | Total Number of Buildings | Total Square Footage | Total Unit Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unknown Residential | 6 | 0.04 M | 50 |
| Single Family Residence | 671,779 | 1,045.7 M | 590,975 |
| Double, Duplex, or Two Units | 84,419 | 96.2 M | 91,819 |
| 3-4 Units | 55,420 | 89.7 M | 102,441 |
| 5+ Units or Apartments (Any Combination) | 56,927 | 488.7 M | 583,220 |
| Manufactured Homes | 5,426 | 1.2 M | 4,133 |
| Rooming/Boarding House | 490 | 1.9 M | 1,771 |
| Mixed Use* | 8,932 | 60.8 M | 24,686 |
| Hotel \& Motel** | 1,138 | 27.2 M | 31,790 |
| Total Residential \& Mixed Use | 884,537 | 1,811.5 M | 1,430,885 |

Residential Buildings by Housing Type


## Citywide Building Stock | Building Size

| Medium and large buildings (over 7,500 sq. ft.)* represent just $5 \%$ of total buildings, but accounts for $43 \%$ of building square footage and $35 \%$ of residential units. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buildings by Size Threshold |  |  |  |
| Size Threshold | Total Number of Buildings | Total Square Fee† | Tołal Unit Count |
| 7,500-10,000 sq. ft. | 10,795 | 73.3 M | 49,051 |
| 10,000-20,000 sq. ft. | 16,639 | 177.3 M | 109,601 |
| 20,000-50,000 sq. ft. | 13,677 | 265.4 M | 152,089 |
| 50,000-100,000 sq. ft. | 5,677 | 176.0 M | 74,237 |
| 100,000+ sq. ft. | 5.965 | 430.9 M | 111,059 |
| < 7,500 sq. ft. \& Single Family | 913,918 | 1,459.4 M | 934,848 |
| TOTAL | 966,671 | 2,582.4 M | 1,430,885 |

Citywide Buildings by Size


## Medium \& Large Buildings Analysis

## Medium \& Large Building Breakdown

## Medium \& Large Buildings Criteria

This analysis focused on medium and large buildings in LA, specifically buildings greater than 7,500 sq. ft. Building data was further cleaned and segmented to help inform potential policy and program design considerations.

Buildings were considered "medium and large buildings" if they met any of the following criteria:*

Buildings on parcels with any individual building $>7,500$ sq. ft.
OR
Buildings on parcels with $>20,000$ sq. ft . of total built square footage, even when individual buildings were $<7,500$ sq. ft .

OR
All buildings covered under LA's "Existing Buildings Energy \& Water Efficiency" (EBEWE) policy**

The dataset of medium and large buildings prioritized for this analysis account for $5 \%$ of total buildings, over $40 \%$ of the built square footage, and roughly one-third of residential units in LA.

Citywide Buildings by Analysis Criteria

*This process also screened out single family homes that are over $7,500 \mathrm{sq}$. ft., since these buildings are not the focus of the analysis.

## Medium \& Large Buildings Analysis:

## Technical Indicators

## Medium \& Large Buildings | Technical Indicators

## Technical Indicators

- Building Use
- Non-residential
- Residential
- Building Size
- Building Occupancy Types
- Building Vintage


## Medium \& Large Buildings | Building Use

Over half of medium and large buildings are non-residential
buildings, which is a much higher proportion than citywide.
Medium and Large Buildings by Use

| Building Use Type | Total Building <br> Count | Total Square <br> Footage | Residential Units |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unknown | 369 | 16.4 M | --- |
| Commercial | 9,791 | 328.3 M | --- |
| Hotel \& Motel | 606 | 25.7 M | 27,811 |
| Agricultural | 3 | 0.03 M | --- |
| Industrial | 10,858 | 217.3 M | --- |
| Institutional | 3,824 | 64.5 M | --- |
| Miscellaneous | 245 | 2.9 M | --- |
| Government Owned | 1,780 | 18.5 M | --- |
| Recreational | 546 | 12.3 M | --- |
| Residential | 23,040 | 392.7 M | 449,363 |
| Mixed Use | 1,691 | 44.3 M | 18,863 |
| Total | 52,753 | $1,122.9 \mathrm{M}$ | 496,037 |

Medium \& Large Buildings by Use


- Commercial - Industrial ■ Government Owned - Mixed Use

Agricultura - Miscellaneous - Residential

## Medium \& Large Buildings | Non-Residential Buildings

Commercial and industrial buildings account for most nonresidential medium and large buildings, making up over $75 \%$ of the buildings and nearly $80 \%$ of the built square footage. $90 \%$100\%

Non-residential Medium \& Large Buildings

| Building Use Type | Total Building <br> Count | Total Square <br> Footage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Unknown | 369 | 16.4 M |
| Commercial | 9,791 | 328.3 M |
| Agricultural | 3 | 0.03 M |
| Industrial | 10,858 | 217.3 M |
| Institutional | 3,824 | 64.5 M |
| Miscellaneous | 245 | 2.9 M |
| Government Owned Property | $\mathbf{1 , 7 8 0}$ | 18.5 M |
| Recreational | 546 | 12.3 M |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 , 4 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 4 . 5} \mathbf{~ M}$ |

Non-Residential Medium \& Large Buildings


## Medium \& Large Buildings <br> Residential Buildings

| More than 25,000 medium and large residential buildings account for nearly 500,000 residential units in LA. Roughly $45 \%$ of these units are in very large buildings that have 50 units or more. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Units in Medium \& Large Buildings |  |  |  |
| Unit Thresholds | Tołal Number of Buildings | Total Square Footage | Total Residential Units |
| < 5 Units (or unknown) | 2,065 | 56.1 M | 304 |
| 5 to 19 residential units | 10,186 | 80.0 M | 100,579 |
| 20 to 49 residential units | 8,203 | 139.4 M | 173,035 |
| 50+ residential units | 5,157 | 190.1 M | 221,178 |
| Total Residential \& Mixed Use | 25,611 | 475.6 M | 495,096 |

## Residential Medium \& Large Buildings by Unit Count



## Medium \& Large Buildings | Building Size

| A majority of medium and large buildings (52\%) are 7,500-20,000 sq. ft. However, buildings over 20,000 sq. ft . account for most of the built square footage and residential units. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ $90 \%$ |
| Medium \& Large Buildings by Building Size Threshold |  |  |  | $70 \%$ <br> 60\% |
| Size Threshold | Total Number of Buildings | Tołal Square Footage | Total Unit Count |  |
| 7,500-10k sq. ft. | 10,795 | 73.3 M | 49,051 | 50\% |
| 10k - 20 k sq. ft. | 16,639 | 177.3 M | 109,601 |  |
| 20k - 50k sq. ft. | 13,677 | 265.4 M | 152,089 |  |
| 50k - 100ksq. ft. | 5,677 | 176.0 M | 74,237 |  |
| $100 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{sq}$. ft. | 5,965 | 430.9 M | 111,059 | 20\% |
| Total | 52,753 | 1,123 M | 496,037 | 10\% |

## Medium \& Large Buildings by Size Threshold



Data Source: BEI building inventory, based on tax assessor data

## Medium \& Large Buildings

## Buildings by Size and Location

Medium \& Large Buildings by Building Size Threshold

| Size Threshold | Total Building <br> Count | Total Square <br> Footage | Total Unit <br> Count |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7,500 - 10k sq. ft. | 10,795 | $73,313,614$ | 49,051 |
| 10k-20k sq. ft. | 16,639 | $177,343,599$ | 109,601 |
| 20k - 50k sq. ft. | 13,677 | $265,383,627$ | 152,089 |
| 50k - 100ksq. ft. | 5,677 | $176,028,127$ | 74,237 |
| 100k+ sq. ft. | 5,965 | $430,880,171$ | 111,059 |
| Total | 52,753 | $\mathbf{1 , 1 2 2 , 9 4 9 , 1 3 8}$ | 496,037 | Data Source: BEI building inventory, based on tax assessor data



## Medium \& Large Buildings

## Building Occupancy Types

| Building Occupancy Type* | \# of Bldgs | Total sq. ft. |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Assembly \& Restaurants (A-1 <br> thru A-5) | 2,973 | 41.9 M |
| Business \& Offices (B) | 4,783 | 190.8 M |
| Mercantile/Retail (M, M/B) | 4,722 | 103.0 M |
| Hotel (R-1) | 606 | 25.7 M |
| Residential (R-2, R-3) | 23,026 | 391.9 M |
| Mixed Use (M/R) | 827 | 27.2 M |
| Factory/Industrial (F, F-1, F-2) | 7,121 | 127.1 M |
| Storage (S-1, S-2) | 5,254 | 152.0 M |
| Hazardous (H-2) | 673 | 712 M |
| Utility (U) | 377 | $6,154.1 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Institutional (I-2, I-4) | 754 | 27.2 M |
| Education (E) | 1,172 | 12.0 M |
| Uncategorized (N/A) | 465 | 17.2 M |

*Building occupancy types are pulled from the California Building Code.

Building
lectrification
nstitute

Data Source: BEI building inventory, based on tax assessor data


Wilmington \& San Pedro

## Medium \& Large Buildings | Vintage

## Medium \& Large Buildings by Year Built and Size Threshold



## Medium \& Large Buildings <br> Vintage

## Medium \& Large Building Square Footage by Year Built and Size Threshold



## Medium \& Large Buildings | Vintage

## Medium \& Large Buildings by Year Built and Occupancy Type

| 1600 | Year Built <br> Classification | Total Building Count <br> (\% of total) |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1400 | 2000 \& Later | 3,278 | $6 \%$ |
|  | $1979-1999$ | 10,072 | $19 \%$ |
| $1000-1978$ | $1920-1929$ | 26,239 | $50 \%$ |
|  | $1930-1944$ | 3,264 | $6 \%$ |
|  | Pre-1920 | Unknown | 4,900 |

1964 Peak:
1,589 buildings constructed

Residential Buildings
1920s building boom:
4,900 buildings constructed (9\%)

After 1978
(buildings more likely to have sufficient electrical panel capacity): 13,350 buildings ( $25.3 \%$ )

Utility (U)

- Storage (S-1, S-2)

Residential (R-2, R-3)
Hotel (R-1)

- Mixed Use (M/R)
- Mercantile (M, M/B)
- Institutional (1-2, 1-4)
- Hazardous (H-2)
- Factory/Industrial (F, F-1, F-2)
- Education (E)
- Business \& Offices (B)
- Assembly (A)

After 2014
(buildings constructed with sufficient electrical panel capacity): 698 buildings (1.3\%)


Source: BEI building inventory, based on tax assessor data

## Medium \& Large Buildings | Vintage

## Medium \& Large Building Square Footage by Year Built and Occupancy Type

${ }_{30}$

|  | Year Built Classification | Total Square Footage (\% of total) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 2000 \& Later | 155.2 M | 14\% |
|  | 1979-1999 | 283.6 M | 25\% |
|  | 1945-1978 | 465.7 M | 41\% |
| 20 | 1930-1944 | 37.4 M | 3\% |
|  | 1920-1929 | 86.5 M | 8\% |
|  | Pre-1920 | 82.3 M | 7\% |
| 15 | Unknown | 12.3 M | 1\% |



- Utility (U)

Storage (S-1, S-2)
Residential ( $\mathrm{R}-2, \mathrm{R}-3$ )
Hotel (R-1)

- Mixed Use (M/R)
- Mercantile $($ E) B)
$\square$ Institutional (I-2, I-4)
5 Hazardous (H-2)
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## Medium \& Large Building Vintage

| Year Built <br> Classification | Total Building Count <br> (\% of total) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2000 \& Later | 3,278 | $6 \%$ |
| $1979-1999$ | 10,072 | $19 \%$ |
| $1945-1978$ | 26,239 | $50 \%$ |
| $1930-1944$ | 3,264 | $6 \%$ |
| $1920-1929$ | 4,900 | $9 \%$ |
| Pre-1920 | 4,681 | $9 \%$ |
| Unknown | 319 | $0.6 \%$ |

## $75 \%$ of buildings in analysis were built before 1978.

These buildings likely have older mechanical and electrical systems.

## $9 \%$ of buildings are a century old or older.

$\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ of buildings were built after 1978 and may be more likely to have higher electrical panel capacity.

Note the entire parcel is highlighted on these maps, not building footprints, for enhanced visibility


## Energy Analysis

## Extrapolating Benchmarking Data to

Estimate Broader Energy Use

## Energy Analysis | Methodology

## Estimating Energy Usage of Building Stock

Building-level energy usage data is difficult to attain. In LA, a subset of building energy data is available from buildings that have reported through the City's Existing Buildings Energy \& Water Efficiency (EBEWE) ordinance. The BEI team used data available from EBEWE-compliant buildings to estimate energy usage across the building stock by type and size threshold.


## Energy Analysis | Building Size Thresholds

## Building Size Thresholds for Energy Analysis

BEI developed the following building size thresholds for the citywide energy use analysis.

## Building Size Thresholds for Energy Analysis

And Percentage of Citywide Building Stock

| Threshold | Total Building Count |  | Square Footage |  | Residential Units |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $>7,500$ sq. ft . | 52,753 | $5 \%$ | $1,123 \mathrm{M}$ | $43 \%$ | 496,037 | $35 \%$ |
| $>10,000$ sq. ft. | 41,958 | $4 \%$ | $1,050 \mathrm{M}$ | $42 \%$ | 446,986 | $31 \%$ |
| $>20,000$ sq. ft. | 25,319 | $3 \%$ | 872 M | $34 \%$ | 337,385 | $24 \%$ |
| $>50,000$ sq. ft. | 11,642 | $1 \%$ | 607 M | $23 \%$ | 185,296 | $13 \%$ |
| $>100,000$ sq. ft. | 5,965 | $1 \%$ | 431 M | $16 \%$ | 111,059 | $8 \%$ |

*Analysis simplified to buildings $>7,500$ sq. ft. and buildings $<7,500 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. In this analysis, buildings that are $>7,500$ sq. ft. include some single family homes and exclude some EBEWE buildings

## Energy Analysis Citywide Extrapolation

## Projected Energy Usage by Size Threshold

EBEWE data is used to estimate the total energy use of buildings over certain sizes citywide. The average energy use intensity per square foot (EUI) by building type from cleaned EBEWE data was applied to the total square footage in each size threshold to project the total estimated energy use, electricity use, and gas use for all buildings over a given size.

## Projected Energy Use by Size Threshold

| Threshold | Total Building Count |  | Square Footage* |  | Est. Energy Usage (Billion BTU) |  | Est. Electricity Usage (Billion BTU) |  | Est. Gas Usage (Billion BTU) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| >7,500 sq. ft. | 52,753 | 5\% | 1,123 M | 43\% | 51,525 | $37 \%$ | 35,456 | 52\% | 16,069 | 22\% |
| >10,000 sq. ft. | 41,958 | 4\% | $1,050 \mathrm{M}$ | 42\% | 48,341 | 35\% | 33,506 | 49\% | 14,834 | 21\% |
| >20,000 sq. ft. | 25,319 | $3 \%$ | 872 M | 34\% | 40,675 | $29 \%$ | 28,548 | 28\% | 12,127 | 12\% |
| >50,000 sq. ft. | 11,642 | 1\% | 607 M | 23\% | 28,415 | 20\% | 20,446 | 19\% | 7,968 | 8\% |
| >100,000 sq. ft. | 5,965 | 1\% | 431 M | 16\% | 20,215 | 14\% | 14,682 | 13\% | 5,532 | 5\% |

Applying a policy to:
Buildings $>7,500$ sq. ft . would affect $37 \%$ of total building energy use, $52 \%$ of electricity use, and $22 \%$ of gas use in LA

Buildings $>100,000$ sq. ft. would affect $14 \%$ of total building energy use, $13 \%$ of electricity use, and $5 \%$ of gas use in LA

## Energy Analysis | Citywide Comparison

## Takeaways

- Buildings over 7,500 sq. ft. account for just $5 \%$ of buildings in LA, but almost $40 \%$ of citywide building energy use.
- Very large buildings over 100,000 sq. ft. account for just $1 \%$ of buildings citywide, but $14 \%$ of building energy use.
- Developing policies for buildings over 7,500 sq. ft . will address a substantial portion of GHG emissions, but would still need to be paired with additional policies for smaller buildings in order to meet LA's Green New Deal goals.

Citywide Energy Use by Size Threshold


## Ownership \& DecisionMaking Indicators

## Ownership \& Decision-Making Indicators

## Ownership \& Decision-Making Indicators

Based on policy relevance and data availability, this analysis focuses on affordable housing for ownership and decision-making indicators.

- Affordable Housing Analysis
- Key Definitions
- Affordable Multifamily Units
- Subsidized Affordable Units
- Unsubsidized Affordable Units
- Affordable Housing Funding Sources


## Affordable Housing | Key Definitions

Understanding the various types of affordable housing is key to identifying how to best support low- and moderateincome residents under future building-level policies and programs. Definitions are provided below for the housing sectors referenced in this analysis, as well as some considerations that should be supplemented with local market research and stakeholder engagement.

Subsidized (or regulated) Affordable Housing: Building owner receives tax credits, grants, and/or loans in exchange for restrictions on rents; often also includes restrictions on allowable income levels for residents.

- Considerations: Buildings have strictly set capital improvement timelines, financing cycles, and potentially several sources of regulations specific to funding sources

Unsubsidized (or unregulated) Affordable Housing: Housing that is currently priced below market rate and/or is affordable to existing residents but is not subject to regulations restricting rents or incomes. This may be referred to as naturally occurring affordable housing, or NOAH.

- This category is often difficult to identify and define. This analysis relies on research developed by Elevate Energy that identifies unsubsidized affordable housing as housing located in census tracts where the average income is less than $80 \%$ Area Median Income (AMI).
- Considerations: This may house low-income and other disadvantaged populations but does not have the same rent restrictions as subsidized housing. Tenant protections and resources need to be carefully designed.
Market Rate Housing: The remaining housing is considered market rate housing. This housing may already be unaffordable to tenants and similar protections may be needed for vulnerable tenants in market rate housing, particularly in gentrifying areas.


## Affordable Housing | Multifamily Units

BEI analyzed data provided by Elevate Energy to understand the breakdown of affordability designations for multifamily housing in LA.

## Multifamily Unit Totals by Affordability Designation

| Affordability Designation | Number of Units |
| :--- | :---: |
| Market Rate | $269,088(39 \%)$ |
| Unsubsidized Affordable Housing | $344,985(50 \%)$ |
| Subsidized Affordable Housing | $75,896(11 \%)$ |

Takeaways: $50 \%$ of residential units in multifamily buildings are in unsubsidized affordable buildings, while only $11 \%$ of units are in subsidized affordable buildings. LA's Rent Stabilization Ordinance limits rent increases for tenants in pre1978 buildings, but rents can be raised to market rate when a tenant moves out.
This means that the vast majority of units in LA's multifamily buildings have limited to no affordability protections.

## Multifamily Affordability Designation



[^1] Homes First.

## Affordable Housing Subsidized Units

Neighborhoods with Highest Subsidized Unit Count*

|  | Neighborhood | Subsidized Units |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 1. | Downtown | $\mathbf{8 , 0 1 3}$ |
| 2. | Westlake | $\mathbf{6 , 6 8 1}$ |
| 3. | Hollywood | $\mathbf{2 , 6 2 0}$ |
| 4. | Koreatown | $\mathbf{2 , 2 7 7}$ |
| 5. | East Hollywood | 2,045 |
| 6. | Boyle Heights | 1,972 |
| 7. | Pico-Union | 1,538 |
| 8. | Panorama City | 1,429 |
| 9. | Pacoima | 1,318 |
| 10. | Reseda | 1,269 |

## Total Subsidized Units: 71,981

[^2]

## Affordable Housing Subsidized Units

Subsidized units align with low-income populations but do not house all concentrations of low-
income communities.
Low-Income Population*

*Low-income map is by census block group, while subsidized unit map is by census tracts.


## Affordable Housing | Unsubsidized Units

BEI used analysis from Elevate Energy to identify unsubsidized affordable housing in LA.

Unsubsidized affordable housing is defined in this analysis as housing located in census tracts where the average income is less than 80\% Area Median Income (AMI).

Based on this analysis, unsubsidized units represent $50 \%$ of all multifamily units in LA. These units are concentrated in southeast LA in and around Downtown, as well as throughout the San Fernando Valley.

GIS data source: Based on Elevate Energy's analysis for EEFA report: Affordable Homes First (utilizes 2017 ACS data). Map created by BEI.


## Subsidized Affordable Housing

Different funding sources for affordable housing have distinct regulations and financing cycles, which can be helpful to understand when designing building-level programs or policies.

The primary funding sources for subsidized affordable housing in LA include:*

- Public Housing Authority (PHA): Manages publicly owned and operated housing, as well as rental assistance programs. In LA this is the Housing Authority of City of LA (HACLA).
- Low-income housing tax credits (LIHTC): Provides federal income tax credits to private investors as an incentive to develop income-restricted units. Often supplemented with other funding sources, and may exist in mixed income buildings.
- Other: This could include California Housing Finance Agency (CalHFA, state run housing) or US Dept of Housing \& Urban Development (HUD, federal funding for affordable housing).

Subsidized Multifamily Units by Funding
Source


Of the nearly $\mathbf{7 2 , 0 0 0}$ subsidized units in LA's multifamily buildings, more than half are funded through LIHTC.

# Social Vulnerability Indicators 

## Social Vulnerability Indicators

## Social Vulnerability Indicators

Indictors of social vulnerability can help identify communities and residents who may be more positively or negatively impacted by potential policies and programs.

- Low-Income Population
- Race Distribution
- Disadvantaged Communities (DACs)
- Historically Redlined Areas


## Low-Income Population

The low-income population is concentrated in census tracts in Downtown, University Park, Central Alameda, and Watts.

In census tracts with highest concentration of lowincome individuals (43-87.1\%):

- There are 29,052 buildings. $61 \%$ of these buildings are 1-4 unit homes.
- There are 3,756 medium and large buildings (buildings $>7,500$ sq. ft.).

Note: "Low-income" is defined as below the federal poverty line, using the following thresholds:

| Federal Poverty Line (2019) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Individual | $\$ 13,011$ |
| 2 People | $\$ 16,521$ |
| 3 People | $\$ 20,335$ |

Source: Federal Poverty Line


## Low-Income Population

Medium and large buildings tend to be located predominantly in low-income areas of the city, including near industrial corridors and commercial districts.

## Low-Income Population



Medium \& Large Buildings by Occupancy Type


Wilmington


## Racial Breakdown of LA

## Total Population: 4 million

- Nearly half (48\%) of LA's population are of Hispanic or Latino origin. The predominant country of origin is Mexico, representing $32 \%$ of LA's population.
- Less than one-third (29\%) of LA's population is white (not Hispanic or Latino).
- The remainder of the population is Asian (11\%), Black or African American (8\%), multiracial (3\%), or other races (1\%).


## LA Population by Race



[^3]Hispanic and Latino categories may include people who identify as other races, including white.

## Race | Black or African American

Black or African American people represent $8 \%$ of LA's population, heavily concentrated in South Los Angeles.

Low-Income Population*

*Note this low-income map is by census block group, while subsidized unit map is by census tracts.

Institute
Source: 2018 ACS 5-Yr Survey, BEI building


## Race | Asian \& Pacific Islander

LA's Asian population represents $11 \%$ of the City's population, clustered in the northwest Valley, Koreatown, Chinatown, and other areas.

Low-Income Population*

*Note this low-income map is by census block group, while subsidized unit map is by census tracts.

D $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Building } \\ & \text { Electrifica } \\ & \text { Institute }\end{aligned}$
Source: 2018 ACS 5-Yr Survey, BEI building


## Race | Asian \& Pacific Islander

As with many of the race identifiers used in the Census, the Asian population represents a wide diversity of communities, including significant Filipino and Korean communities.

## Asian \& Pacific Islander Ethnicities

 \& Percentage of Asian Population in LA

Source: 2018 ACS 5-Yr Survey, BEI building

Wilmington

Asian \& Pacific Islander Population by Census Tract NHSPAPI


Santa
Monica
(see cutout)

## Race | Hispanic or Latino

Almost half of LA's population (48\%) identifies as Hispanic or Latino, the majority from Mexico. Note this Census category may include people of several races.

## Low-Income Population*


*Note this low-income map is by census block group, while subsidized unit map is by census tracts

Source: 2018 ACS 5-Yr Survey, BEI building
ation
inventory. Map created by BEI. Note larger range of top quartile.


## Race | White

The White population in LA makes up $29 \%$ of the City, with concentrations in the west Valley, Studio City, Santa Monica Mountains, and elsewhere. This population tends to correlate with higher-income areas.

*Note this low-income map is by census block group, while subsidized unit map is by census tracts.

Source: 2018 ACS 5-Yr Survey, BEI building Electrification
inventory. Map created by BEI. Note larger range of top quartile.


## Disadvantaged Communities (DAC)

Disadvantaged Communities (DACs) are the top $25 \%$ census tracts with high CalEnviroScreen scores.

Roughly $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ of census tracts within the City are considered DACs. $53 \%$ of buildings $>20,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. are within DAC tracts.

## CalEnviroScreen Criteria

| Pollution Burden | Population Characteristics |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ozone Concentrations PM2.5 Concentrations - Diesel PM Emissions Drinking Water Quality Pesticide Use Toxic Releases from Facilities Traffic Density | 乞 Cardiovascular Disease Low Birth-Weight Births Asthma Emergency Department Visits |
| Cleanup Sites Groundwater Threats Hazardous Waste Impaired Water Bodies Solid Waste Sites and Facilities | Educational Attainment Linguistic Isolation L Poverty O. Unemployment Housing Burdened Low Income Households |

Source: CalEnviroScreen SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities (Updated June 2017). Defined under SB535, directing 25\% of cap-and-trade profits go to disadvantaged communities.
Designation of Disadvantaged Communities CaIEPA report


## Historically Redlined Areas

This map depicts "residential security" maps (also known as "redlining" maps) created by the federal Home Owners' Loan Corporation.

These maps were used to disinvest in communities of color, which were deemed as "declining" or "hazardous" areas. The grades given were described as follows:

- A (Green): "Best"
- B (Blue): "Still desirable"
- C (Yellow): "Definitely Declining"
- D (Red): "Hazardous"

Although these maps are from the 1930s, private lenders continued to use the maps through the 1980s, and the impacts are still clearly felt today.

Distribution chart: Prejudice and Pollution article
GIS data source: Mapping Inequality, University of Richmond. Map created by BEl


## Historically Redlined Areas

Similarities still exist between historically redlined communities and DAC communities today, and a correlation still exists between high CalEnviroScreen scores (see below), including disparities in pollution burden, lower income, lower property value, and increased health impacts.

## LA Redlining Grade Distribution Compared to Today's CalEnviroScreen Scores



Historic Redlined Areas


Distribution chart: Prejudice and Pollution article
Building
institute
Institute
GIS data source: Mapping Inequality, University of Richmond. Map created by BEI.


## Summary of Findings

## Summary of Findings

## LA's building stock is large and varied, requiring a mix of approaches to increase energy efficiency and lower GHG emissions.

- There are nearly one million buildings in LA, including residential, commercial, industrial, institutional, government-owned, and many other types of buildings. The vast majority of these buildings are small residential buildings.
- Most buildings in LA are less than 7,500 sq. ft., however medium and large buildings (over 7,500 sq. ft.) comprise a significant portion of the city's built square footage (43\%) and building energy use (37\%). Medium and large buildings also have a much more varied range of uses than smaller buildings, which tend to be residential.
- Developing policies to reduce energy use and GHG emissions from medium and large buildings will help LA achieve its goals, however new policies and programs will also be required in smaller buildings in order to meet pLAn climate goals.
- Subsidized affordable housing accounts for only a small portion (10\%) of units in multifamily buildings (5+ units), while unsubsidized affordable housing accounts for the majority (55\%) of these units. The high proportion of unsubsidized affordable units in LA may pose challenges to continued affordability.
- A significant portion of LA is designated as Disadvantaged Communities (DAC), or communities that experience the highest pollution burden, poverty, and social vulnerability concerns in California, and these significantly overlap with historically redlined areas. These communities must be thoughtfully engaged and supported when considering any new policies and programs to address building energy use and emissions. There are also numerous state funding opportunities available specifically to support these communities.



[^0]:    Following the completion of this analysis, LA will share findings with key community stakeholders to support development of new programs, policies, and strategies to equitably reduce emissions across different building segments.

[^1]:    Chart source: Based on Elevate Energy's analysis for EEFA report: Affordable

[^2]:    *Note: Neighborhoods with the most subsidized affordable housing align with most populous neighborhoods in LA, and the top four match those with the highest unit counts.

[^3]:    *Note Hispanic or Latino origin is captured separately from race on the US census. Therefore, the

