

14403-14409 Tiara St Van Nuys, CA 91401 Just North of Sherman Oaks City Border

# NON-ENDORSEMENT AND DISCLAIMER NOTICE

## **Confidentiality and Disclaimer**

The information contained in the following Marketing Brochure is proprietary and strictly confidential. It is intended to be reviewed only by the party receiving it from Marcus & Millichap and should not be made available to any other person or entity without the written consent of Marcus & Millichap. This Marketing Brochure has been prepared to provide summary, unverified information to prospective purchasers, and to establish only a preliminary level of interest in the subject property. The information contained herein is not a substitute for a thorough due diligence investigation. Marcus & Millichap has not made any investigation, and makes no warranty or representation, with respect to the income or expenses for the subject property, the future projected financial performance of the property, the size and square footage of the property and improvements, the presence or absence of contaminating substances, PCB's or asbestos, the compliance with State and Federal regulations, the physical condition of the improvements thereon, or the financial condition or business prospects of any tenant, or any tenant's plans or intentions to continue its occupancy of the subject property. The information contained in this Marketing Brochure has been obtained from sources we believe to be reliable; however, Marcus & Millichap has not verified, and will not verify, any of the information contained herein, nor has Marcus & Millichap conducted any investigation regarding these matters and makes no warranty or representation whatsoever regarding the accuracy or completeness of the information provided. All potential buyers must take appropriate measures to verify all of the information set forth herein. Marcus & Millichap is a service mark of Marcus & Millichap Real Estate Investment Services, Inc. © 2018 Marcus & Millichap. All rights reserved.

#### **Non-Endorsement Notice**

Marcus & Millichap is not affiliated with, sponsored by, or endorsed by any commercial tenant or lessee identified in this marketing package. The presence of any corporation's logo or name is not intended to indicate or imply affiliation with, or sponsorship or endorsement by, said corporation of Marcus & Millichap, its affiliates or subsidiaries, or any agent, product, service, or commercial listing of Marcus & Millichap, and is solely included for the purpose of providing tenant lessee information about this listing to prospective customers.

ALL PROPERTY SHOWINGS ARE BY APPOINTMENT ONLY.
PLEASE CONSULT YOUR MARCUS & MILLICHAP AGENT FOR MORE DETAILS.

14403-14409 TIARA ST Van Nuys, CA ACT ID ZAA0121475



# **SECTION**

# **INVESTMENT OVERVIEW**

01

Offering Summary

Regional Map

Local Map

**Aerial Photo** 

# **FINANCIAL ANALYSIS**

02

Rent Roll Summary

Rent Roll Detail

Operating Statement

Notes

Pricing Detail

Acquisition Financing

# **MARKET COMPARABLES**

03

Sales Comparables

Rent Comparables

# **MARKET OVERVIEW**

04

Market Analysis

Demographic Analysis



Marcus & Millichap



# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

		VITAL DATA		
Price	\$5,500,000		CURRENT	PRO FORMA
Loan Amount	\$3,850,000	CAP Rate	4.74%	4.9%
Loan Type	Proposed New	GRM	14.88	14.50
Interest Rate / Amortization	4.3% / 30 Years	Net Operating Income	\$260,668	\$269,607
Price/Unit	\$687,500	Net Cash Flow After Debt Service	5.76% / \$95,118	6.31% / \$104,057
Price/SF	\$381.94	Total Return	5.76% / \$95,118	6.31% / \$104,057
Number of Units	8			
Rentable Square Feet	14,400			
Year Built	2019			
Lot Size	0.32 acre(s)			

UNIT MIX						
NUMBER OF UNITS	UNIT TYPE	APPROX. SQUARE FEET				
1	3 Bed / 3 Bath	1,800				
7	3 Bed / 3 Bath (Vacant)	1,800				
8	Total	14,400				



PROPOSED FINANCING	
First Trust Deed	
Loan Amount	\$3,850,000
Loan Type	Proposed New
Interest Rate	4.3%
Amortization	30 Years
Loan Term	5 Years
Loan to Value	70%
Debt Coverage Ratio	1.57

# **MAJOR EMPLOYERS**

EMPLOYER	# OF EMPLOYEES
Kaiser Permanente	3,000
Ols Hotels & Resorts	2,045
Los Angeles Unified School Dst	1,850
A M I Encn-Trzana Rgnal Med Ce	1,800
Homebridge Financial Services	1,700
VPH	1,600
Triton Media	1,500
Providence Tarzana Medical Ctr	1,300
Water & Power Dept	999
Penny Lane Centers	957
Prime Healthcare Services - Sh	900
Sepulveda Ambulatory Care	900

# **DEMOGRAPHICS**

	1-Miles	3-Miles	5-Miles
2018 Estimate Pop	45,738	290,886	709,124
2010 Census Pop	43,075	276,558	677,294
2018 Estimate HH	16,686	109,791	249,587
2010 Census HH	15,592	103,309	235,387
Median HH Income	\$51,662	\$57,811	\$58,918
Per Capita Income	\$29,592	\$35,212	\$32,943
Average HH Income	\$80,597	\$92,862	\$93,201

INVESTMENT OVERVIEW

## OFFERING SUMMARY

The LA Apartment Advisors are proud to present this beautiful, brand-new, 2019 construction property in the best pocket of Van Nuys, which is just north of the Sherman Oaks city border. The property is comprised of 8 identical townhouse units that are all huge, 1,800 square foot 3-bed / 3-bath units. On a lot of nearly one third of an acre, this well constructed building has a total square footage of 17,318 sq.ft., with each unit having a private 2-car garage and in unit washer/dryer.

This brand new construction property just received the certificate of occupancy this month. In order to prove the rental market, the seller has successfully leased out four of the eight identical units at \$3,850/month, with that tenant also paying for all their own utilities, including water, gas, and electric. The remaining vacant four units are also on the market for lease, but a buyer will be able to choose if they want the seller to accept new lease applications during escrow, or the buyer may choose that they want to handle the leasing process after close of escrow themselves in order to choose their own tenants and rents.

Using this already achieved \$3,850 rent as a benchmark for the rest of the identical four units, a buyer can expect to achieve total gross rental income of \$369,600 per year. Since the tenants can pay all of their own utilities, including water, the landlord utilities will be extremely low. The only utilities that the landlord would be responsible for would be the common area electric & water and trash.

Furthermore, due to the fact that this is a brand new building, all of the major parts of the building will be under warranty for years to come. That means if there happens to be any issues with the roof, plumbing, electrical, etc. in the near future, it will not cost a dollar to fix due to the warranties. However, the City has given it's stamp of approval so the odds are that there will be nothing to fix in this building for years to come.

This offering is ideal for the buyer who wants a pride of ownership, brand new construction property with very little maintenance or expenses, in a very dense rental pocket of Van Nuys / Sherman Oaks.

For more information on the below loan quote, please give Sharone a call at 818-212-2714 or email him at Sharone.Sabar@marcusmillichap.com

- Loan Amount \$3.850.000
- Down Payment \$1,650,000
- Interest Rate 4.30%
- Fixed Term 5 Years


## **INVESTMENT HIGHLIGHTS**

- Built in 2019. Brand New Construction
- All Units are Huge 3 Bed / 3 Bath Townhouses
- Prime Van Nuys, Just North of Sherman Oaks City Border
- Proven Rents with Four Units Leased at \$3,850
- Each Unit Has a Private 2-Car Garage and in Unit Washer/Dryer
- Extremely Low Maintenance and Expenses Very Easy Management








# 14403-14409 TIARA ST





# 14403-14409 TIARA ST LOCAL MAP Sherman Way Sherman Way Vanowen St. Vanowen St Victory Blvd Victory Blvd VALLEY GLEN 405 Oxnard St Oxnard St 14403-14409 TIARA ST Burbank Blvd Burbank Blvd WOODLEY Cy<sub>landler</sub> Blvd rbank Blvd Chandler Blvd [101] W Magnolia Blvd W Magnolia Blvd W Magnolia Blvd (101) VILLAGE Riverside Dr Riverside Dr. Ventura Blvd Ventura Fwy (101) Google














# FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

# **RENT ROLL SUMMARY**

As of January, 2020

					Current			Potential	
	# of	Ava Sa	Rental	Average	Average	Monthly	Average	Average	Monthly
Unit Type	Units	Feet	Range	Rent	Rent / SF	Income	Rent	Rent / SF	Income
3 Bed / 3 Bath	4	1,800	\$3,850 - \$3,850	\$3,850	\$2.14	\$15,400	\$3,950	\$2.19	\$15,800
3 Bed / 3 Bath (Vacant)	4	1,800	\$3,850 - \$3,850	\$3,850	\$2.14	\$15,400	\$3,950	\$2.19	\$15,800
Totals/Weighted Averages	8	2,165		\$3,850	\$1.78	\$30,800	\$3,950	\$1.82	\$31,600
Gross Annualized Rents				\$369,600			\$379,200		

Notes:





# **RENT ROLL DETAIL**

As of January,2020

		Square	Current Rent /	Current Rent / SF/	Potential Rent /	Potential Rent/ SF/
Unit	Unit Type	Feet	Month	Month	Month	Month
1	3 Bed / 3 Bath	1,800	\$3,850	\$2.14	\$3,950	\$2.19
2	3 Bed / 3 Bath	1,800	\$3,850	\$2.14	\$3,950	\$2.19
3	3 Bed / 3 Bath	1,800	\$3,850	\$2.14	\$3,950	\$2.19
4	3 Bed / 3 Bath	1,800	\$3,850	\$2.14	\$3,950	\$2.19
5	3 Bed / 3 Bath (Vacant)	1,800	\$3,850	\$2.14	\$3,950	\$2.19
6	3 Bed / 3 Bath (Vacant)	1,800	\$3,850	\$2.14	\$3,950	\$2.19
7	3 Bed / 3 Bath (Vacant)	1,800	\$3,850	\$2.14	\$3,950	\$2.19
8	3 Bed / 3 Bath (Vacant)	1,800	\$3,850	\$2.14	\$3,950	\$2.19
Total		Square Feet: 17,318	\$30,800	\$1.78	\$31,600	\$1.82

# **OPERATING STATEMENT**

Income	Current		Pro Forma	Notes	Per Unit	Per SF
Gross Current Rent	369,600		379,200		47,400	21.90
Physical Vacancy	(11,088)	3.0%	(11,376)	3.0%	(1,422)	(0.66)
Total Vacancy	(\$11,088)	3.0%	(\$11,376)	3.0%	(\$1,422)	(\$1)
Effective Gross Income	\$358,512		\$367,824		\$45,978	\$21.24

Expenses	Current		Pro Forma	Notes	Per Unit	Per SF
Real Estate Taxes	65,783		65,783	[1]	8,223	3.80
Insurance	3,841		3,841	[2]	480	0.22
Utilities	2,400		2,400	[3]	300	0.14
Trash Removal	4,200		4,200	[4]	525	0.24
Repairs & Maintenance	4,000		4,000	[5]	500	0.23
Landscaping	1,200		1,200	[6]	150	0.07
Pest Control	480		480	[7]	60	0.03
Operating Reserves	1,600		1,600	[8]	200	0.09
Management Fee	14,340	4.0%	14,713	4.0%	1,839	0.85
Total Expenses	\$97,844		\$98,217		\$12,277	\$5.67
Expenses as % of EGI	27.3%		26.7%			
Net Operating Income	\$260,668		\$269,607		\$33,701	\$15.57

Notes and assumptions to the above analysis are on the following page.

# **NOTES**

## Notes to Operating Statement

- [1] 1.196046% of the purchase price
- [2] Owner Provided current insurance payments
- [3] \$200 per month for common area electric. Tenants pay the rest of the utilities
- [4] \$350 per month
- [5] \$500 per unit per year
- [6] \$100 per month
- [7] \$40 per month
- [8] \$200 per unit per year

# **PRICING DETAIL**

Summary		
Price	\$5,500,000	
Down Payment	\$1,650,000	30%
Number of Units	8	
Price Per Unit	\$687,500	
Price Per SqFt	\$317.59	
Gross SqFt	17,318	
Lot Size	0.32 Acres	
Approx. Year Built	2019	

Returns	Current	Pro Forma
CAP Rate	4.74%	4.90%
GRM	14.88	14.50
Cash-on-Cash	5.76%	6.31%
Debt Coverage Ratio	1.57	1.63

Financing	1st Loan	
Loan Amount	\$3,850,000	
Loan Type	New	
Interest Rate	4.30%	
Amortization	30 Years	
Year Due	2024	


Loan information is subject to change. Contact your Marcus & Millichap Capital Corporation representative.

# Of Units	Unit Type	SqFt/Unit	Scheduled Rents	Market Rents
4	3 Bed / 3 Bath	1,800	\$3,850	\$3,950
4	3 Bed / 3 Bath (Vacant)	1,800	\$3,850	\$3,950

## Operating Data


Income		Current		Pro Forma
Gross Scheduled Rent		\$369,600		\$379,200
Less: Vacancy/Deductions	3.0%	\$11,088	3.0%	\$11,376
Total Effective Rental Income		\$358,512		\$367,824
Other Income		\$0		\$0
Effective Gross Income		\$358,512		\$367,824
Less: Expenses	27.3%	\$97,844	26.7%	\$98,217
Net Operating Income		\$260,668		\$269,607
Cash Flow		\$260,668		\$269,607
Debt Service		\$165,550		\$165,550
Net Cash Flow After Debt Service	5.76%	\$95,118	6.31%	\$104,057
Principal Reduction		\$0		\$0
Total Return	5.76%	\$95,118	6.31%	\$104,057

Expenses	Current	Pro Forma
Real Estate Taxes	\$65,783	\$65,783
Insurance	\$3,841	\$3,841
Utilities	\$2,400	\$2,400
Trash Removal	\$4,200	\$4,200
Repairs & Maintenance	\$4,000	\$4,000
Landscaping	\$1,200	\$1,200
Pest Control	\$480	\$480
Operating Reserves	\$1,600	\$1,600
Management Fee	\$14,340	\$14,713
Total Expenses	\$97,844	\$98,217
Expenses/Unit	\$12,231	\$12,277
Expenses/SF	\$5.65	\$5.67




# 14403-14409 TIARA ST





SALES COMPS AVG

# **Average Cap Rate**



SALES COMPARABLES ———— SALES COMPS AVG

# Average GRM 22.00 19.80 17.60 13.20 11.00 8.80 6.60 4.40

Bloomfield

Villas

5543

Case Ave

Eleven312@NOHO

4309 Dixie

Canyon Ave

11214

Morrison St

4374

Troost Ave

14403-14409

Tiara St

11565

Riverside

Dr

14224

Riverside

Dr

2.20


0.00

4464

Vista Del


Monte Ave

# **Average Price Per Square Foot**



#### SALES COMPS AVG

# **Average Price Per Unit**





		Units	Unit Type
Offering Price:	\$5,500,000	4	3 Bed 3 Bath
Price/Unit:	\$687,500	4	3 Bed 3 Bath (Vacant)
Price/SF:	\$381.94		
CAP Rate:	4.74%		
GRM:	14.88		
Total No. of Units:	8		
Year Built:	2019		

Underwriting Criteria				
Income	\$358,512	Expenses	\$97,844	
NOI	\$260,668	Vacancy	(\$11,088)	

## 11565 RIVERSIDE DR

11565 Riverside Dr, North Hollywood, CA, 91602



		Units	Unit Type
Close Of Escrow:	5/6/2019	4	2 Bdr 2 Bath
Sales Price:	\$2,800,000	3	2 Bdr 2.5 Bath
Price/Unit:	\$400,000		
Price/SF:	\$354.16		
CAP Rate:	3.29%		
GRM:	17.71		
Total No. of Units:	7		
Year Built:	2000		

Underwriting Criteria				
Income	\$158,100	Expenses	\$61,106	
NOI	\$92,251	Vacancy	\$4,743	

## NOTES

Marcus & Millichap listing.

## **14224 RIVERSIDE DR**

14224 Riverside Dr, Sherman Oaks, CA, 91423



		Units	Unit Type
Close Of Escrow:	7/28/2017	9	3 Bdr 2.5 Bath
Sales Price:	\$5,450,000		
Price/Unit:	\$605,556		
Price/SF:	\$311.84		
CAP Rate:	4.34%		
GRM:	14.42		
Total No. of Units:	9		
Year Built:	2001		

Underwriting Criteria				
Income	\$378,000	Expenses	\$122,610	
NOI	\$236,490	Vacancy	\$18,900	

## **NOTES**

Marcus & Millichap Listing.

**5543 CASE AVE** 5543 Case Ave, North Hollywood, CA, 91601



		Units	Unit Type
Close Of Escrow:	7/31/2018	9	1 Bdr 1 Bath
Sales Price:	\$6,850,000	9	2 Bdr 2 Bath
Price/Unit:	\$380,556		
Price/SF:	\$482.39		
CAP Rate:	4.47%		
GRM:	14.56		
Total No. of Units:	18		
Year Built:	2002		

Underwriting Criteria				
Income	\$470,442	Expenses	\$149,921	
NOI	\$306,408	Vacancy	\$14,113	

**BLOOMFIELD VILLAS** 5716 Whitsett Ave, North Hollywood, CA, 91607



		Units	Unit Type
Close Of Escrow:	1/19/2018	12	2 Bdr 2 Bath
Sales Price:	\$6,750,000	4	3 Bdr 3 Bath
Price/Unit:	\$421,875		
Price/SF:	\$345.80		
CAP Rate:	3.38%		
GRM:	17.84		
Total No. of Units:	16		
Year Built:	2003		

Underwriting Criteria				
Income	\$378,265	Expenses	\$138,968	
NOI	\$227,949	Vacancy	\$11,348	

# **ELEVEN312@NOHO**

11312 Huston St, North Hollywood, CA, 91601



		Units	Unit Type
Close Of Escrow:	1/26/2018	13	3 Bdr 2.5 Bath
Sales Price:	\$9,000,000	1	4 Bdr 2.5 Bath
Price/Unit:	\$642,857		
Price/SF:	\$423.53		
CAP Rate:	4.21%		
GRM:	16.58		
Total No. of Units:	14		
Year Built:	2011		

Underwriting Criteria					
Income	\$542,940	Expenses	\$177,380		
NOI	\$379,272	Vacancy	\$16,288		

## **NOTES**

Marcus & Millichap listing.



**4309 DIXIE CANYON AVE** 4309 Dixie Canyon Ave, Sherman Oaks, CA, 91423




		Units	Unit Type
Close Of Escrow:	1/8/2019	13	2 Bdr 2 Bath
Sales Price:	\$8,000,000	1	3 Bdr 3 Bath
Price/Unit:	\$500,000		
Price/SF:	\$452.28		
CAP Rate:	3.67%		
GRM:	17.86		
Total No. of Units:	16		
Year Built:	2003		

Underwriting Criteria				
Income	\$447,780	Expenses	\$140,587	
NOI	\$293,760	Vacancy	\$13,433	

# 11214 MORRISON ST

11214 Morrison St, North Hollywood, CA, 91601



			Units	Unit Type
	Close Of Escrow:	10/18/2017	4	2 Bdr 2 Bath
	Sales Price:	\$3,150,000	2	1 Bdr 1 Bath
	Price/Unit:	\$525,000		
Ī	Price/SF:	\$438.35		
_	CAP Rate:	3.93%		_
_	GRM:	17.92		
Ī	Total No. of Units:	6		
	Year Built:	2015		

Underwriting Criteria					
Income	\$175,800	Expenses	\$46,610		
NOI	\$123,646	Vacancy	\$5,544		

# **4374 TROOST AVE**

4374 Troost Ave, Studio City, CA, 91604



		Units	Unit Type
Close Of Escrow:	6/23/2017	6	2 Bdr 2.5 Bath
Sales Price:	\$5,100,000		
Price/Unit:	\$850,000		
Price/SF:	\$506.45		
CAP Rate:	3.93%		
GRM:	20.29		
Total No. of Units:	6		
Year Built:	2011		

Underwriting Criteria					
Income	\$251,400	Expenses	\$50,694		
NOI	\$200,706	Vacancy	\$7,542		

**4464 VISTA DEL MONTE AVE** 4464 Vista Del Monte Ave, Sherman Oaks, CA, 91403



		Units	Unit Type
Close Of Escrow:	8/15/2018	7	2 Bdr 2 Bath
Sales Price:	\$4,790,000	1	3 Bdr 3 Bath
Price/Unit:	\$598,750		
Price/SF:	\$407.69		
CAP Rate:	4.27%		
GRM:	16.61		
Total No. of Units:	8		
Year Built:	2018		

Underwriting Criteria				
Income	\$288,360	Expenses	\$74,965	
NOI	\$204,744	Vacancy	\$8,651	


## NOTES

Marcus & Millichap Listing.


# 14403-14409 TIARA ST



- 1 11945 Magnolia Blvd
- 2 15225 Dickens St
- 3 4212 Greenbush Ave
- 4 IMT Sherman Circle
- 5 4305 Laurel Canyon Blvd
- 6 4940 Paso Robles Ave
- 7 5244 Tilden Ave



# **AVERAGE RENT - MULTIFAMILY**







Unit Type	Units	SF	Rent	Rent/SF
3 Bed 3 Bath	4	1,800	\$3,850	\$2.14
3 Bed 3 Bath (Vacant)	4	1,800	\$3,850	\$2.14
Total/Avg.	8	1,800	\$3,850	\$2.14

**ALLEGRO** 11945 Magnolia Blvd, Valley Village, CA, 91607



Unit Type	Units	SF	Rent	Rent/SF
3 Bdr 2.5 Bath	1	1,588	\$3,619	\$2.28
Total/Avg.	1	1,588	\$3,619	\$2.28

**15225 DICKENS ST** 15225 Dickens St, Van Nuys, CA, 91403



Unit Type	Units	SF	Rent	Rent/SF
3 Bdr 2.5 Bath	1	2,100	\$3,695	\$1.76
Total/Avg.	1	2,100	\$3,695	\$1.76

YEAR BUILT: 2019 YEAR BUILT: 2010 YEAR BUILT: 2004

**4212 GREENBUSH AVE** 4212 Greenbush Ave, Sherman Oaks, CA, 91423



Unit Type	Units	SF	Rent	Rent/SF
3 Bdr 2.5 Bath	1	2,200	\$3,750	\$1.70
Total/Avg.	1	2,200	\$3,750	\$1.70

IMT SHERMAN CIRCLE 14500 Sherman Cir, Van Nuys, CA, 91405



Unit Type	Units	SF	Rent	Rent/SF
3 Bdr 3 Bath	1	1,911-840	\$3,948	\$2.87
Total/Avg.	1	1,376	\$3,948	\$2.87

**4305 LAUREL CANYON BLVD** 4305 Laurel Canyon Blvd, Studio City, CA, 91604



Unit Type	Units	SF	Rent	Rent/SF
3 Bdr 2 Bath	1	1,502	\$4,000	\$2.66
Total/Avg.	1	1,502	\$4,000	\$2.66

YEAR BUILT: 2007 OCCUPANCY: 94% | YEAR BUILT: 2015 YEAR BUILT: 2016

**4940 PASO ROBLES AVE** 4940 Paso Robles Ave, Encino, CA, 91316



Unit Type	Units	SF	Rent	Rent/SF
3 Bdr 3 Bath	1	1,716-1,736	\$3,992	\$2.31
Total/Avg.	1	1,726	\$3,992	\$2.31

**5244 TILDEN AVE** 5244 Tilden Ave, Sherman Oaks, CA, 91401



Unit Type	Units	SF	Rent	Rent/SF
3 Bdr 3.5 Bath	1	1,500	\$3,800	\$2.53
Total/Avg.	1	1,500	\$3,800	\$2.53

YEAR BUILT: 2014 YEAR BUILT: 2019



# **SAN FERNANDO VALLEY**

# **OVERVIEW**

Approximately 2.5 million people reside in the San Fernando Valley, which includes the submarkets of Northridge-Northwest San Fernando Valley, Van Nuys-Northeast San Fernando Valley, Woodland Hills, Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena and Sherman Oaks-North Hollywood-Encino. The area's population is expected to increase by 36,000 residents through 2023. Many people are attracted by the region's more affordable home prices.





# **METRO HIGHLIGHTS**



#### **DIVERSE ECONOMY**

While the entertainment industry underpins the economy, other economic drivers include aerospace, insurance and healthcare.



#### **EDUCATED WORKFORCE**

Roughly 36 percent of San Fernando Valley residents who are age 25 and older hold a bachelor's degree and 13 percent also obtained a graduate or professional degree.



#### **GROWTH**

Population and household growth will increase faster than other large metros in Southern California, generating a demand for housing, and goods and services.



- Known for its entertainment industry, the Valley boasts more than 100 soundstages. Entertainment giants calling the Valley home include Walt Disney Co., Universal Studios, Warner Brothers, DreamWorks and Paramount Ranch.
- Aerospace giants Boeing and Northrop Grumman as well as 21st Century Insurance generate numerous well-paying jobs.
- Healthcare is also a major source of employment and providers here include Kaiser Permanente and Providence Health & Services. As a result of its large concentration of high salaries and successful companies, household incomes are above the national average.

# **DEMOGRAPHICS**









Sources: Marcus & Millichap Research Services; BLS; Bureau of Economic Analysis; Experian; Fortune; Moody's Analytics; U.S. Census Bureau



<sup>\*</sup> Forecast



# **LOS ANGELES COUNTY**


# Heightened Delivery Volume Impacts Primary Regions; Investor Demand Bolsters Asset Values

Vacancy holds below 4 percent for a sixth consecutive year. The volume of supply additions in Los Angeles County has remained historically elevated since the third quarter of last year, yet a notable shift in vacancy has not occurred. Instead, unit availability in the metro compressed slightly over the past 12 months ending in June as net absorption matched delivery volume. With the number of project completions slated to intensify during the next six months, demand for luxury units will be further tested. A strong rate of household formation during the second half coupled with near cyclically low Class A vacancy suggests the sizable wave of upcoming apartments should be well received. Additionally, diverse economic expansion and steady income growth should allow more households and individuals to afford these higher-priced rentals; however, concessions usage is likely to rise as nearly 50 properties enter lease-up.

Widespread deliveries equate to historic six-month span. The metro's apartment inventory will swell by 9,400 units during the second half of 2019, a figure that exceeds the number of units completed during each of the previous two years. Five submarkets' rental stocks will grow by at least 1,000 dwellings, led by Downtown Los Angeles and Hollywood, where 1,700 and 1,600 units will come online, respectively. Santa Monica/Marina del Rey, the San Fernando Valley and Mid-Wilshire round out the list, with Long Beach welcoming more than 700 apartments following two years of no supply additions. This upcoming influx of rentals has yet to generate oversupply concerns as construction began on 36 additional projects, totaling 8,700 units, over the past four quarters.



<sup>\*</sup> Cap rate trailing 12-month average through 2Q Sources: CoStar Group, Inc.; Real Capital Analytics




#### **Investment Trends**

- Cyclically low Class C vacancy throughout Los Angeles County is prompting local private investors and high-net-worth individuals to acquire pre-1980sbuilt apartments that provide steady NOI growth following moderate property upgrades. Robust demand for value-add opportunities has many buyers willing to pay more than \$300,000 per unit for available complexes, often acquiring these assets via 1031 exchanges.
- Most trades fall in the \$8 million to \$15 million range, providing investors with an average cap rate in the low-4 percent band. Buyers with higher yield thresholds target listings in the San Gabriel and San Fernando valleys, where first-year returns can reach the high-4 to mid-5 percent range.
- While an influx of new rentals places upward pressure on vacancy in Greater Downtown Los Angeles, the region witnessed a pronounced uptick in deal flow over the past year. Heightened transaction velocity in Hollywood supported the rise in closings. The submarket appeals to a diverse pool of buyers as it is home to an equal distribution of Class A, B and C listings requiring capital deployments beyond \$10 million.

### MARKET OVERVIEW

# Q

# LOS ANGELES COUNTY









\* Forecast

# 2Q19 - 12-Month Period

#### **EMPLOYMENT**



1.2% increase in total employment Y-O-Y

- Employment growth moderated over the past 12 months ending in June as organizations added 56,200 positions after expanding payrolls by 69,200 workers during the prior period. Amid recent hiring, metro unemployment fell 10 basis points to 4.5 percent.
- The number of education and health services positions grew by 21,700 over the past year, while the count of professional and tech services jobs elevated by 6,900.

#### CONSTRUCTION



10,680 units completed Y-O-Y

- Delivery volume doubled over the past four quarters, notably eclipsing the 5,300 units finalized during the prior yearlong span.
   Of the recently completed rentals, 60 percent were in Greater Downtown Los Angeles.
- At the end of June, construction was underway on 27,900 units with completion extending into 2021. The projects Ferrante in City West and Cumulus in West Adams each feature more than 1.000 rentals.

### VACANCY



basis point increase in vacancy Y-O-Y

- The county's vacancy rate fell to 3.6 percent in June following the absorption of 10,700 units over the past 12 months. During the prior year, unit availability compressed by 30 basis points.
- Demand for lower-cost rentals reduced Class C vacancy in the metro 40 basis points to 2.3 percent, while strong leasing velocity at luxury complexes slashed availability to 4.4 percent, equating to a year-overyear decline of 10 basis points.

# **RENTS**



3.8% increase in effective rents Y-O-Y

- The average effective rent reached \$2,259 per month in the second quarter after posting a 4.1 percent increase a year ago. Spanning the past 12 months, 10 percent of available units offered concessions.
- Rent growth was most exaggerated in the Class C sector, where the average rate climbed 4.1 percent to \$1,556 per month. Effective rates at Class A apartments were subdued, rising 1.7 percent following a 3.6 percent uptick during the previous 12-month span.

# **OVER THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP**



#### **Sales Trends**

# Class C Listings Generate Robust Buyer Competition as Renters Seek Lower-Cost Housing

- Transaction velocity rose by 2 percent over the past 12 months ending in June, yet sales volume decreased by \$500 million as Class C transactions accounted for nearly 60 percent of total deal flow.
- Amid the uptick in sales activity, the metro's average pricing rose 8.3 percent over the
  past year, reaching \$284,000 per unit. Increasing property values did not impact overall
  cap rates as the county's average first-year return held at 4.3 percent.



Outlook: Assets near recent and future light-rail extensions remain in high demand among buyers as more renters seek to avoid freeway commutes.

### **Submarket Trends**

Lowest Vacancy Rates	2Q19**			
● Submarket — (	Vacancy Rate	Y-O-Y BasisPoint Change	Effective Rent	Y-O-Y % Change
South Los Angeles	2.2%	30	\$1,649	2.0%
East Los Angeles	2.7%	-250	\$1,625	6.6%
Southeast Los Angeles	2.7%	-10	\$1,791	4.9%
Van Nuys/Northeast San Fernando Valley	2.7%	20	\$1,746	4.2%
Brentwood/Westwood/B everly Hills	2.8%	-50	\$3,405	4.2%
Northridge/Northwest San Fernando Valley	2.9%	10	\$1,962	5.1%
Palms/Mar Vista	3.2%	-30	\$2,685	3.6%
South Bay	3.5%	-50	\$2,619	2.7%
Burbank/Glendale/Pasad ena	3.6%	-20	\$2,406	3.4%
Long Beach	3.6%	-50	\$1,981	3.3%
Mid-Wilshire	3.6%	0	\$2,305	3.4%
Overall Metro	3.6%	-10	\$2,259	3.8%

<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes submarkets with more than 30,000 units of inventory

Pricing trend sources: CoStar Group, Inc.; Real Capital Analytics



<sup>\*\*</sup> Only submarkets with a rental stock of more than 20,000 units were included.



# LOS ANGELES METRO AREA: GREATER DOWNTOWN LOS ANGELES

#### **Investment Trends**

- Spanning the past two years ending in June, Greater Downtown's apartment inventory climbed by nearly 9,700 units, yet vacancy compressed by 60 basis points, signaling strong leasing activity at newly delivered properties. Concessions usage is aiding the absorption of luxury units so far this year, with more than 20 percent of rentals in Downtown Los Angeles and Hollywood offering incentives during the second quarter. Demand for high-end apartments will be further tested during the second half as more than 4.300 doors are finalized. This upcoming influx of supply, and the resulting short-term rise in vacancy, is not deterring project starts as construction began on 10 properties during the first half of 2019, including five in Hollywood.
- Transaction velocity rose over the past four quarters, aided by increased sales activity in Hollywood, where a variety of listings were available for acquisition. Here, Class B and C properties of various vintages provide investors with low-3 to 4 percent initial returns, with most complexes trading for more than \$10 million. Koreatown and East Hollywood represent additional locales for Class C deals, as pricing remains below \$300,000 per unit for most assets.
- Hollywood and Downtown Los Angeles provide institutional investors with select opportunities to acquire buildings constructed within the past five years, with pricing exceeding \$700,000 per unit.







2Q19 - 12-Month Period

### CONSTRUCTION

**1**) 6.4

6,490 units completed

- The number of units completed over the past 12 months ending in June doubled on a year-overyear basis, with at least eight properties comprising more than 300 units finalized. Deliveries were concentrated in Downtown Los Angeles, where nearly 4,400 apartments came online.
- The construction of 12,770 units is currently underway, with completions extending into the second guarter of 2021.

#### **VACANCY**

20 basis point increase in vacancy Y-O-Y

- The absorption of 3,300 units partially negated the impact of 6,500 new units, with regional vacancy rate rising to 4.2 percent.
- Vacancy was unchanged or rose in each of Greater Downtown Los Angeles' three submarkets, driven by rising Class A unit availability. Downtown Los Angeles registered the largest increase, 70 basis points, while vacancy in Mid-Wilshire held at 3.6 percent on net absorption of 1,200 units.

# RENTS



2.8% increase in effective rents Y-O-Y

- The region's average effective rate reached \$2,420 per month in June, after rising 4.4 percent during the previous 12-month span.
- Increased concessions usage in Downtown Los Angeles hindered overall rent growth over the past year. The submarket's average effective rate rose 0.6 percent to \$2,515 per month. Mid-Wilshire registered the most pronounced rent gain, 3.4 percent.

\* Forecast



# LOS ANGELES METRO AREA: WESTSIDE CITIES

### **Investment Trends**

- Consistent growth by high-profile tech, entertainment and financial firms continues to bolster the number of high-paying jobs in the Westside. Many of these positions are held by affluent millennials that historically rent and desire proximity to regional employment hubs. An increase in age cohort's population heightened demand for Class apartments, reducing the availability of these units in both Santa Monica/Marina del Rey and Brentwood/Westwood/Beverly Hills over the past 12 months. Demand for luxury rentals will be further tested in the next two quarters as 1,900 apartments are slated for finalization. This total includes more than 1,200 doors in Santa Monica/Marina del Rey. While the overall influx of supply additions during the second half will have a short-term impact on regional vacancy, unit availability should not exceed the low-3 percent band, supporting consistent rent growth in the metro's highest-priced rental market.
- In-county buyers are targeting smaller
  Class B and C properties that feature a
  high concentration of either studios, onebedroom or two-bedroom units.
  Throughout the Westside, these complexes
  are trading for \$8 million to \$15 million,
  providing investors with 3 to low-4 percent
  first-year cap rates. Class C assets priced
  around \$300,000 per unit remain available
  in Culver City and West Hollywood, while
  pricing for Class B properties exceeds
  \$500,000 per unit throughout the region.







# 2Q19 - 12-Month Period

#### CONSTRUCTION

(

800 units completed Y-O-Y

- Supply additions rose moderately over the past four quarters following a 12-month span where 650 units were finalized. The recent uptick in completions was supported by the delivery of 480 rentals in Santa Monica/Marina del Rey.
- The development pipeline consists of 4,600 units currently under construction. At least 1,000 apartments are being built in each of the region's three submarkets, with deliveries planned into 2021.

#### **VACANCY**

# 40 basis point decrease in vacancy Y-O-Y

- Vacancy compressed for a third consecutive 12-month period, reaching 3.2 percent in June on net absorption of 1,280 units.
- Unit availability fell in each of the region's three submarkets led by Brentwood/Westwood/Beverly Hills, where vacancy dipped 50 basis points to 2.8 percent. Leasing velocity was strongest in Santa Monica/Marina del Rey, as 650 apartments were absorbed over the past year, lowering vacancy to 3.7 percent.

### **RENTS**



3.3% increase in effective rents Y-O-Y

- Consistent rate growth lifted the region's average effective rent to \$3,218 per month in June, a figure that eclipses the metro average by nearly \$1,000 per month.
- Home to the tightest vacancy in the region,
  Brentwood/Westwood/Beverly
  Hills recorded a 4.2 percent uptick in rent over the past year to \$3,405 per month.

<sup>\*</sup> Forecast



# LOS ANGELES METRO AREA: SAN FERNANDO VALLEY/TRI-CITIES MARKET

#### **Investment Trends**

- South Bay/Long Beach represents a locale of revitalization, as older industrial properties in El Segundo are being converted into creative office space and redevelopment efforts in Downtown Long Beach are significant. These regional upgrades are bringing tech and entertainment companies priced out of Silicon Beach into the market, equating to an uptick in higher-paying job creation. A percentage of individuals filling these new positions are opting to live locally to avoid lengthy commutes above-average rental elsewhere, which has increased demand for available apartments. As of June, vacancy in both South Bay and Long Beach sat in the mid-3 percent band. These rates are unlikely to shift during the second half, as supply additions are minimal in South Bay and the 735 units delivered in Downtown Long Beach are well received following a recent dearth of completions.
- Mid-size and larger complexes with an equal mix of one- and two-bedroom units accounted for the majority of deal flow over the past 12 months ending in June. In Long Beach and San Pedro, these Class B and C properties trade for \$10 million to \$20 million-plus, providing private investors and 1031-exchange buyers with mid-3 to mid-4 percent initial returns. Similar properties in beach communities can trade at sub-3 percent minimum returns, with pricing often above \$500,000 per unit.







2Q19 - 12-Month Period

#### CONSTRUCTION

1

 $1,140 \quad \text{units completed} \\ \text{Y-O-Y}$ 

- Supported by the finalization of 1,500 units in Tri-Cities, supply additions rose by nearly 600 apartments over the past four quarters ending in June.
- The region's development pipeline consists of 5,330 apartments currently under construction. Woodland Hills represents an epicenter for building activity as more than 2,400 rentals are ongoing, with projects featuring an average of 300 units.

#### VACANCY

# no in vacancy

- After falling 20 basis points during the prior yearlong span, vacancy held steady during the past 12 months, sitting at 3.3 percent in June.
- On absorption of roughly 1,500 units, vacancy in Burbank/Glendale/Pasadena fell 20 basis points to 3.6 percent. The Sherman Oaks/North Hollywood/Encino submarket was the only section of the San Fernando Valley to record a decline in vacancy.

# RENTS



3.7% increase in effective rents Y-O-Y

- Unchanged vacancy and growing earnings allowed for a slight uptick in year-over-year rent growth, pushing the region's average effective rate to \$2,131 per month during the second quarter.
- The most pronounced rent gain was noted in Northridge/Northwest San Fernando Valley, where the average effective rate rose 5.1 percent to \$1.962 per month amid sub-3 percent vacancy.


<sup>\*</sup> Forecast



# LOS ANGELES METRO AREA: SOUTH BAY/LONG BEACH

#### **Investment Trends**

- South Bay/Long Beach represents a locale of revitalization, as older industrial properties in El Segundo are being converted into creative office space and redevelopment efforts in Downtown Long Beach are significant. These regional upgrades are bringing tech and entertainment companies priced out of Silicon Beach into the market, equating to an uptick in higher-paying job creation. A percentage of individuals filling these new positions are opting to live locally to avoid lengthy commutes and above-average rental rates elsewhere, which has increased demand for available apartments. As of June, vacancy in both South Bay and Long Beach sat in the mid-3 percent band. These rates are unlikely to shift during the second half, as supply additions are minimal in South Bay and the 735 units delivered in Downtown Long Beach are well received following a recent dearth of completions.
- Mid-size and larger complexes with an equal mix of one- and two-bedroom units accounted for the majority of deal flow over the past 12 months ending in June. In Long Beach and San Pedro, these Class B and C properties trade for \$10 million to \$20 million-plus, providing private investors and 1031-exchange buyers with mid-3 to mid-4 percent initial returns. Similar properties in beach communities can trade at sub-3 percent minimum returns, with pricing often above \$500,000 per unit.







# 1Q19 – 12-Month Period

#### CONSTRUCTION

 $1,140 \quad \text{units completed} \\ \text{Y-O-Y}$ 

- Delivery volume over the past 12 months ending in June rose by 1,000 units on a year-over-year basis, driven by the finalization of 600 apartments during the second quarter of 2019.
- Entering the second half, construction is underway on 2,600 units with deliveries extending into early 2021. Approximately 60 percent of these rentals are underway in Long Beach, where ongoing projects comprise an average of 160 apartments.

#### **VACANCY**

50 basis point increase in vacancy Y-O-Y

- On net absorption of nearly 1,600 units over the past four quarters, vacancy compressed to 3.6 percent in June. During the prior yearlong period, unit availability rose 20 basis points.
- Vacancy declined by 50 basis points in both Long Beach and the South Bay submarkets over the past year, falling to 3.6 percent and 3.5 percent, respectively. Class C availability is notably limited in Long Beach, entering the second half at 1.5 percent following a 190-basis-point decline.

#### RENTS



3.1% increase in effective rents Y-O-Y

- The average effective rent reached \$2,282 per month in June, with less than 10 percent of units offering concessions as of the second quarter of 2019. In the prior 12-month period, the average monthly rate rose 4.1 percent.
- Rent growth was most pronounced in Long Beach, where the average effective rate rose 3.3 percent to \$1,981 per month.

<sup>\*</sup> Forecast



### **Capital Markets**

- Fed takes action to sustain economic momentum. The combination of trade disputes between the U.S. and China together with slowing European growth converged to weigh on the global economic outlook, sparking action by the Federal Reserve. To combat the restraints on growth, largely driven by uncertainty surrounding international trade, the Federal Reserve cut its overnight rate by 25 basis points and halted its balance sheet reductions, known as quantitative tightening. Though this action runs the risk of lifting inflation pressure, the Fed has communicated its willingness to let the economy "run hot." Many believed the Fed action would offer a boost to domestic markets until the trade war was escalated immediately after the Fed announcement. This new bout of uncertainty pushed the 10-year Treasury yield below 2 percent for the first time since 2016. Though domestic growth has moderated, the nation's economic foundation remains solid, headlined by the tight labor market and muted inflationary pressure. Fed officials will continue to focus on economic risks spilling over from the trade war with China, potentially calling for additional rate reductions this year.
- Abundant liquidity balances conservative underwriting. Debt financing for apartment assets remains strong, supported by a variety of lenders. However, Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, two mainstay apartment capital sources, will step to the sidelines in the second half of the year as they reach their lending caps on market rate assets. The Government Sponsored Enterprises will remain active lenders for "uncapped business," including environmentally sustainable and affordable housing properties. A wide range of local, regional and national banks; pension funds; insurance companies and CMBS sources will remain active. All have responded to the falling interest rate climate by reducing mortgage rates, but lender spreads have widened as the 10-year Treasury rate has fallen. Given the downward pressure on interest rates, lender caution has risen, particularly for construction loans. Though lending is still available for these types of projects, investors may need to blend mezzanine debt with other capital sources until they prove out their concepts and substantially fill units. For stabilized existing assets in most major markets, financing remains plentiful.






\* Trailing 12 months through 2Q19 Includes sales \$2.5 million and greater Sources: CoStar Group, Inc.; Real Capital Analytics

# **2019 PRICING QUADRANT**

# **Yield Range Offers Compelling Options for Investors; Most Metros Demonstrate Strong Appreciation**





10-Year Annualized Appreciation\*

<sup>\* 2008-2018</sup> Average annualized appreciations in price per unit Sources: Marcus & Millichap Research Services; CoStar Group, Inc.; Real Capital Analytics



#### 2019 PRICING QUADRANT

# **Pricing and Valuation Trends Summary**

Ten-year appreciation favors high-growth markets. Benchmarked from the end of 2008 as the U.S. economy began its rapid tumble into recession, appreciation has generally been strongest in tech, growth and Texas markets. Because Texas experienced a much softer downturn, assets there had to recover less lost value during the growth cycle. Interestingly, markets like Denver, Nashville, Orlando and Baltimore generated stronger-than-average value gains that reflect substantive economic and employment growth. Several Midwestern markets, which were trading at cycle highs in late 2008, faced significant value loss during the recession and only recently surpassed their prices of 10 years ago.

Capital pursues yield to smaller metros. Although Midwestern markets have taken longer to generate appreciation relative to the near-peak pricing achieved in late 2008, they have offered investors particularly high yields. Comparatively, the Bay Area and Seattle provide low yields but have higher-than-average appreciation. The most favored primary markets, New York City, Southern California and Washington, D.C., have generated lower-than-average appreciation over the last 10 years. This reflects the flight to safety in late 2008 that kept pricing in these markets stronger than many others.

# **Average Price per Unit Range**

(Alphabetical order within each segment)

\$50,000 - \$74,999	\$75,000 - \$99,999	\$100,000 - \$149,999	\$150,000 - \$199,999	\$200,000 - \$299,999	
Cincinnati	Kansas City	Atlanta	Chicago	Los Angeles	Boston
Cleveland	Las Vegas	Austin	Denver	New York City	Orange County
Columbus	Louisville	Baltimore	Fort Lauderdale	Oakland	San Francisco
Detroit	Milwaukee	Charlotte	Miami-Dade	San Diego	San Jose
Indianapolis	Pittsburgh	Dallas/ Fort Worth	N.HFairfield County	Seattle- Tacoma	
St. Louis		Houston	Northern New Jersey		
		Minneapo- lis-St. Paul	Orlando		
		Nashville	Philadelphia		
		Phoenix	Portland		
		Raleigh	Washington, D.C.		
		Riverside- San Bernardino	West Palm Beach		
		Sacramento			
		Salt Lake City			
		San Antonio			
		Tampa-St. Petersburg			

2008-2018 Average annualized appreciations in price per unit Sources: Marcus & Millichap Research Services; CoStar Group, Inc.; Real Capital Analytics



#### 2019 NATIONAL MULTIFAMILY INDEX

# Midwest Metro Rises to Claim First Place; Coastal Markets Fill Remainder of Top Rungs

Reshuffling changes leader. Minneapolis-St. Paul climbed two spots to head this year's Index as sustained apartment demand kept vacancy persistently tight, allowing steady rent growth. It is the only Midwest market to break into the top 20. San Diego also inched up two notches on solid rent growth to claim second place. High housing prices and the lowest vacancy rate among major U.S. markets advanced New York City (#3) four steps, while an escalation in the vacancy rate slid Los Angeles (#4) down two places. A surge in new inventory this year will increase vacancy in Seattle-Tacoma (#5), pushing last year's Index leader down four rungs to round out the first five markets. Orlando (#6) is the only new entrant into the top 10, with Riverside-San Bernardino (#7), Boston (#8), Oakland (#9), and Portland (#10) changing places to round out the rest of the spots.

Biggest movers shake up Index. Neighboring Florida metros Orlando (#6) and Tampa-St. Petersburg (#12) registered the largest advances in this year's NMI, leaping 11 and nine places, respectively. In both markets, robust job growth will expand the population base, generating strong demand for apartments, cutting vacancy and producing substantial rent gains. An escalation in employment and in-migration also propelled Las Vegas (#27) up six notches. The most significant declines in the Index were posted in Northern New Jersey, Denver, Cincinnati and St. Louis. Northern New Jersey (#24) stumbled eight notches as a slowdown in employment and a rise in deliveries widened the gap between supply and demand. Another year of elevated completions will push vacancy above the national average in Denver (#21) this year, lowering the metro seven steps. Cincinnati (#40) and St. Louis (#46) each moved down six rungs due to above-average vacancy and slower rent growth. Midwestern markets dominate the last five spots in the Index with St. Louis sliding into the bottom rung.

# **Index Methodology**

The NMI ranks 46 major markets on a collection of 12-month, forward-looking economic indicators and supply-and-demand variables. Markets are ranked based on their cumulative weighted-average scores for various indicators, including projected job growth, vacancy, construction, housing affordability and rents. Weighing both the forecasts and incremental change over the next year, the Index is designed to show relative supply-and-demand conditions at the market level.

Users of the Index are cautioned to keep several important points in mind. First, the NMI is not designed to predict the performance of individual investments. A carefully chosen property in a bottom-ranked market could easily outperform a poor choice in a higher-ranked market. Second, the NMI is a snapshot of a one-year horizon. A market encountering difficulties in the near term may provide excellent long-term prospects, and vice versa. Third, a market's ranking may fall from one year to the next even if its fundamentals are improving. The NMI is an ordinal Index, and differences in rankings should be carefully interpreted. A top-ranked market is not necessarily twice as good as the second-ranked market, nor is it 10 times better than the 10th-ranked market.


Market Name	Rank 2019	Rank 2018	"I8- Cha	-19
	2019	2018		nge 2
Minneapolis-St. Paul San Diego	2	4	1	2
New York City	3	7	1	4
Los Angeles	4	2	4	-2
Seattle-Tacoma	5	١	1	-4
Orlando	6	17	7	П
Riverside-San Bernardino	7	9	1	2
Boston	8	6	4	-2
Oakland	9	10	1	1
Portland	10	5	4	-5
Sacramento	Ш	8	4	-3
Tampa-St. Petersburg	12	21	4	9
Phoenix	13	13	•	0
San Jose	14	12	4	-2
San Francisco	15	II	*	-4
Orange County	16	19	4	3
Fort Lauderdale	17	22	4	5
Atlanta	18	15	*	-3
Salt Lake City	19	24	4	5
Raleigh	20	18	4	-2
Denver	21	14	*	-7
Miami-Dade	22	20	4	-2
Columbus	23	26	1	3
Northern New Jersey	24	16	4	-8
Philadelphia	25	23	4	-2
Charlotte	26	27	1	ı
Las Vegas	27	33	1	6
Chicago	28	25	4	-3
Washington, D.C.	29	32	4	3
Houston	30	29	4	-1
Dallas/Fort Worth Detroit	3I 32	30 28	7	-I -4
Indianapolis	33	36	1	3
Austin	34	31	4	-3
Milwaukee	35	38	1	3
West Palm Beach	36	41	1	5
Nashville	37	35	4	-2
San Antonio	38	37	4	-1
New Haven-Fairfield	39	44	1	5
Cincinnati	40	34	4	-6
Pittsburgh	41	42	1	-1
Kansas City	42	46	1	4
Cleveland	43	39	4	-4
Baltimore	44	45	4	1
Louisville	45	43	4	-2
St. Louis	46	40	4	-6

#### U.S. ECONOMY

# Tight Labor Market, Waning Confidence Challenge Economic Momentum; Climate Remains Favorable

Exceptionally low unemployment levels invigorate household formation. Accelerated job creation in 2018 drove the unemployment rate of young adults between 20 to 34 years old to a 48-year low of 4.5 percent. With two-thirds of this age group living in rentals, they are a dominant force supporting apartment demand, and the strong job market has empowered more of them to move out on their own. Record-high consumer confidence in 2018 reinforced these positive dynamics, inspiring young adults to form new households. These trends should carry into 2019, though confidence has begun to ease back from peak levels and total job additions will likely taper. Labor force shortages will weigh on companies' ability to fill positions, creating an increasingly competitive hiring climate that pushes wage growth above 3 percent for the first time in more than 10 years. Increased compensation and rising disposable income will sustain rising retail sales and apartment tenants' ability to absorb escalating rents. However, wage gains will also place upward pressure on inflation, causing the Federal Reserve to tap the brakes on the economy by raising rates.

Rising interest rates weigh on home sales, favor rental demand. Inflation remained in the 2 to 3 percent range through much of last year, but increasing wage growth and the potential inflationary impact of tariffs have elevated caution at the Federal Reserve. The Fed exerted upward pressure on interest rates through quantitative tightening and by raising the overnight rate, resulting in a substantive 90-basis-point increase in mortgage rates in 2018. Higher loan rates converged with rising home prices, a shortage of entry-level homes for sale and changing lifestyle preferences to reduce home sales activity by 4 percent. The monthly payment on a median-priced home increased by \$175 last year to nearly \$1,700 per month, dramatically widening the disparity between a mortgage payment and the average monthly rent. This widening payment gap, together with tighter underwriting, has restrained young adults' migration into homeownership, reducing the under-35 homeownership rate to 37 percent, down from the peak of 43 percent in 2007. This confluence of factors will likely carry into 2019, sustaining young adults' preference for rental housing.






<sup>\*</sup> Estimate

<sup>\*\*</sup> Forecast

#### **U.S. ECONOMY**

#### 2019 National Economic Outlook

- Economic growth to ease as benefits of tax stimulus fade. Though consumption and corporate investment will support economic growth in 2019, trade imbalances and a likely weaker housing market will weigh on momentum. Job creation, facing an ultra-tight labor market, will slacken to the 2 million range, but wage growth should push above 3 percent.
- International trade and capital flows complicate outlook. Trade tensions with China, the strengthening U.S. dollar and floundering European economies could pose economic risks in 2019. Raising tariffs could accelerate inflation and weigh on consumption, resulting in slower economic growth. More significantly, a strengthening U.S. dollar could hamper foreign investment in the U.S. and disrupt international debt markets, increasing financial market stress.
- Federal Reserve closely monitoring inflation. Rising wages and tariffs are leading the way toward higher inflation risk, but the Federal Reserve has maintained a cautious stance, increasing short-term interest rates to ward off the trend. Long-term interest rates, however, have remained range-bound near 3 percent as stock market volatility and low international interest rates restrain upward movement. A yield-curve inversion, when short-term rates rise above long-term rates, is a commonly perceived sign of an upcoming recession, and a potential inversion could weigh on confidence levels.





<sup>\*</sup> Estimate

<sup>\*\*</sup> Forecast

#### U.S. APARTMENT OVERVIEW

# **Economy Delivers Elevated Apartment Demand; Aggressive Building Nudges Top-Tier Vacancy Higher**

Housing market remains tight as household formation accelerates. Steady job creation and exceptionally low unemployment will boost household formation in 2019, supporting a third consecutive year of national sub-5 percent vacancy levels. Much of the new demand will center on apartments that serve to the traditional workforce: Class B and C properties. Although new apartment completions will reach their highest level in more than 25 years with the delivery of more than 315,000 units, the new inventory largely caters to more affluent renters. As a result, Class A vacancy is expected to rise to 5.8 percent while Class B apartment vacancy remains relatively stable at 4.7 percent. The most affordable segment of the market, Class C apartments, faces strong demand and vacancy for this segment is expected to tighten to 3.9 percent, its lowest year-end level in 19 years. These trends will support consistent rent gains averaging 3.7 percent in 2019, led by momentum in secondary and tertiary markets.

Smaller metros step to forefront. While primary markets such as Boston, Los Angeles, the Bay Area and New York City are expected to see the largest dollar rent increases, smaller metros are generating faster increases on a percentage basis. Metros across the Southeast and Midwest in particular are generating outsize employment growth and housing demand. For the seventh consecutive year, secondary markets will lead in percentage rent growth, followed closely by tertiary markets. This reflects the concentration of new supply additions in primary markets, which is raising competition for renters and suppressing rent gains. Another important factor has been the migration of millennials to more affordable smaller cities. Many tech firms and other industries have pursued the millennial labor force to these smaller metros, boosting local job creation. In addition to having higher-than-average job growth, cities such as Orlando, Phoenix, Indianapolis and Salt Lake City are expected to generate outsize rent gains. Many investors, in pursuit of higher yields, have already expanded their search for assets in these metros, increasingly the market liquidity and boosting values.





<sup>\*</sup> Estimate


Sources: CoStar Group, Inc.; Real Capital Analytics


<sup>\*\*</sup> Forecast

#### U.S. APARTMENT OVERVIEW

### **2019 National Apartment Outlook**

- Tax reform boosts rental demand. The new tax law is having a substantive impact on rental demand as several tax benefits of homeownership have been altered. The doubling of the standard deduction to \$12,000 for singles and \$24,000 for couples means fewer homeowners will benefit from itemizing mortgage interest deductions. In addition, a \$10,000 cap on state and local taxes will reduce homeowners' ability to deduct property taxes. These changes will weigh on first-time homebuyers in high-tax states the most, keeping young adults in the rental pool longer.
- Suburbs invigorated by changing lifestyles. A surge in new inventory and much higher rents in the urban core are diverting more renters to the suburbs. As a result, vacancy in suburban submarkets nationwide remain below the rate in downtown submarkets for the third consecutive year. Millennials, now entering their late 30s, are starting to form families. As this trend plays out, the lower rents of suburban areas and the generally higher-quality schools have begun to win out over the urban lifestyle.
- Potential housing shortage despite record development. Elevated completions in 2019 will bring the total apartment additions since 2012 above 2.1 million units, a net inventory gain of approximately 13 percent over eight years. Despite this cycle's delivery of the most apartments since the 1980s, vacancy is forecast to remain at just 4.6 percent in 2019. With rising labor and materials costs, tighter lending, and a shortage of skilled construction labor available, the pace of construction should begin to ebb in 2020.





Sources: CoStar Group, Inc.: Real Capital Analytics

<sup>\*</sup> Estimate

<sup>\*\*</sup> Forecast

#### U.S. CAPITAL MARKETS

# Fed Balances International Headwinds With Domestic Optimism; **Elevated Liquidity Supports Active Market**


Fed walking a tightrope. The Federal Reserve has been battling the inflationary pressure created by wage gains and increased trade protectionism with raises of short-term interest rates and quantitative tightening. The efforts, however, have run into the stubbornly low 10-year Treasury that has not responded to the Fed's prodding. Slowing international economic growth and the exceptionally low bond yields offered by most other high-credit countries have drawn international investors to the higher yields and safety of U.S. Treasurys. International buying activity together with other factors such as stock market volatility have held U.S. long-term rates down. This combination of events has placed the Fed in an awkward position and their decision to raise rates in December has placed additional upward pressure on short-term yields. Should short-term interest rates rise above long-term rates, a yield curve inversion forms, and this is a commonly known sign of an impending recession. The inverted yield curve will weigh on confidence levels and could potentially erode consumption and stall the growth cycle. The typical onset time of a recession following an inversion is about one year, but there have been two false positives in which a recession did not follow an inversion.

Conservative underwriting balances abundant capital. Debt financing for apartment assets remains widely available, with sourcing led by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in addition to a wide array of local, regional and national banks and insurance companies. Loan-to-value (LTV) ratios have tightened, with maximum leverage typically in the 55 to 75 percent range depending on the borrower, asset and location. Lenders have been reluctant to lend on future revenue growth through value-add efforts, resulting in increased use of short-term mezzanine debt and bridge loans to cover the span until improvements deliver the planned returns. Construction lending has also tightened as developers deliver record numbers of new units into the market. Higher borrowing costs and questions about the durability of the growth cycle have widened bid/ask spreads. Rising capital costs and increased downpayments are eroding buyer yields, while sellers continue to seek premium pricing based on ongoing robust property performance.

# 10-Year Treasury vs. 2-Year Treasury **Yield Spread Tightens**



# Foreign IO-Year Treasury Rates\*




<sup>\*</sup> Through Dec. 18

#### **U.S. CAPITAL MARKETS**

# **2019 Capital Markets Outlook**

- Investors wary of interest rate surge. While the 10-Year Treasury has traded in a relatively tight range near 3 percent recently, on two occasions it has rapidly surged and stalled investor activity. The 90-basis-point jump in late 2016 and the 80-basis-point surge in late 2017 both strained liquidity, widened bid/ask spreads and stalled transactions as investors recalibrated their underwriting. Given the volatility of financial markets, investors must remain prepared for a rapidly changing climate.
- Lenders remain nimble in dynamic climate. Most lenders, particularly Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, have adapted to the more fluid financial climate. When Treasury rates increased in the third quarter, many lenders tightened their spreads to cushion volatility. Lenders remain cautious and they have adopted tighter underwriting standards, but they are also aggressively competing to place capital and apartment assets are a favored investment class.
- Tightened yield spreads erode positive leverage. Multifamily cap rates have remained relatively stable on a macro level, with yields in primary markets flattening while secondary and tertiary market cap rates have continued to trickle lower. Rising interest rates, however, have tightened the spread between cap rates and lending rates, reducing investors' ability to generate positive leverage. Though this trend could put some upward pressure on yields, elevated capital flows into apartments will likely mitigate the upward pressure.

# 2018\*\* Apartment Lender Composition By Percent of Total Dollar Volume





— 10-Year Treasurv Rate

— Apartment Cap Rate



<sup>\*</sup> Through Dec. 18

<sup>\*\*</sup> Estimate

 <sup>★</sup> Year-end estimate for cap rate; 10-year Treasury rate through Dec. 18


#### **U.S. INVESTMENT OUTLOOK**

# Investors Consider Portfolio Strategies to Mitigate Risk, Boost Returns; Buyers Adapt to Tighter Yield Spreads

Market diversification a key portfolio strategy in maturing cycle. The economic expansion will remain supportive of the apartment investment market in 2019, though buyers' and sellers' expectations will likely need to adjust to a rising interest rate climate and the possibility of downside economic risk. Stock market volatility and prospects of a flattening yield curve will weigh on sentiment and induce elevated caution, but the underlying performance of apartments remain positive. Strong demand drivers supporting long-term yield models will counterbalance much of the market volatility, encouraging investors to look beyond any short-term turbulence. While the bid/ask gap could widen for transactions in primary locations where the spread between interest rates and cap rates is narrowest, capital could pursue yields to suburban locations as well as secondary and tertiary markets. The spread in average cap rates between primary to secondary markets has tightened to approximately 80 basis points, with an additional 80-bassis-point yield difference between secondary and tertiary markets. The yield premium offered by smaller metros, together with the market diversification it brings, should offer investors more durable yields on a portfolio basis.

Influx of non-traditional capital could invigorate transaction activity. Sales of apartment assets have remained relatively stable at elevated levels for four years, and the trend should carry into 2019 as new capital enters commercial real estate. Tax reform, particularly the ability to defer and reduce capital gains from other investment types by placing the gains into an opportunity fund, has the potential to draw new capital into real estate. In addition to the initial opportunity fund investments into properties located in opportunity zones, a domino effect could ensue as the sellers of that property seek to reinvest into other property types through 1031 exchanges. This influx of new capital could offset a natural slowing of sales generally experienced in a maturing growth cycle. Another tax rule change that could affect investor behavior is tied to the new depreciation rules. Investors may apply accelerated depreciation to the personal property of new acquisitions identified by using a cost-segregation study. In doing this, investors can fully expense property such as HVAC systems, furnishings and security systems in acquired properties, thereby boosting the cash flow in the early years of ownership.







<sup>\*</sup> Through 3Q

#### **U.S. INVESTMENT OUTLOOK**

#### **2019 Investment Outlook**

- Pursuit of yield drives capital beyond the core. As multifamily yields have compressed, an increasing portion of "mobile capital" acquiring assets priced over \$15 million has migrated to secondary and tertiary markets. Whereas in 2010 nearly 60 percent of the dollar volume was focused in primary markets, in 2018 the share of capital inverted with 60 percent of the capital flowing to secondary and tertiary markets. This trend will likely be sustained in 2019.
- Portfolio diversity increasingly important to private investors. A range of localized risks such as natural disasters, metro-level economic downturns, and the rise of state or metro-level policy decisions such as rent control have inspired investors to more carefully consider geographic diversification. Following the spate of recent hurricanes across Texas and the Southeast as well as the recent Proposition 10 vote in California, interstate buyer activity has accelerated.
- Increased investor caution may elevate expectation gap. Stock market volatility, rising interest rates, trade tensions and the implications of a flattening yield curve will weigh on buyer sentiment and inspire increasingly cautious underwriting. Sellers, focusing on positive performance metrics, may price assets more aggressively and the resulting expectation gap could weigh on transaction timelines.







<sup>\*</sup> Through 3Q

<sup>\*\*</sup> Trailing 12 months through 3Q

# **Supply/Demand Profile**

# **Housing Demand Growth Outpacing New Supply**








Sources: Marcus & Millichap Research Services; Moody's Analytics; RealPage, Inc.; U.S. Census

# HISTORICAL HOMEOWNERSHIP TREND

# **Decline in Homeownership Underpins Lowering Apartment Vacancy**


Eight-Year Change 2010-2018



Homeownership Change by Market 2010 to 2018 (Third Quarter Comparison)

Boston

U.S. — 230-Basis-Point Change



Sources: Marcus & Millichap Research Services; U.S. Census

# HISTORICAL HOMEOWNERSHIP TREND

# **Top 10 Markets by Homeownership**

Eight-Year Change 2010-2018

Lowest Homeownership	2018 3Q	2010 3Q-2018 3Q Apt. Vacancy Basis-Point Change
Los Angeles-Long Beach- Anaheim	47.3%	-180
New York-Newark-Jersey City	48.8%	-140
Austin	54.0%	-80
San Francisco-Oakland	54.1%	-40
San Jose	54.4%	-20
Orlando	55.4%	-430
Miami-Fort Lauderdale- West Palm Beach	57.0%	-100
Las Vegas	57.2%	-410
San Diego	59.3%	-200
Seattle-Tacoma	61.3%	-120
U.S.	64.4%	-220

Highest Homeownership	2018 3Q	2010 3Q-2018 3Q Apt. Vacancy Basis-Point Change
Detroit	74.2%	-430
New Haven-Milford	70.4%	0
Cleveland	69.5%	-130
Pittsburgh	69.5%	-150
Sacramento	69.5%	-230
Minneapolis-St. Paul	68.9%	-190
Philadelphia	68.5%	-140
St. Louis	68.3%	-120
Nashville	68.1%	-60
Phoenix	67.4%	-510

Sources: Marcus & Millichap Research Services; U.S. Census

# DEMOGRAPHICS

# **Created on October 2019**

■ 2023 Projection           Total Population         46,224         293,201         714,788           ■ 2018 Estimate         704 Population         45,738         290,886         709,124           ■ 2010 Census         704 Population         43,075         276,558         677,294           ■ 2000 Census         704 Population         42,933         271,072         657,882           ■ Daytime Population         2018 Estimate         47,938         315,962         665,867           HOUSEHOLDS         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           ■ 2023 Projection         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           ■ 2018 Estimate         17,064         111,950         255,062           ■ 2018 Estimate         16,686         109,791         249,587           Total Households         16,686         109,791         249,587           ■ 2010 Census         17 total Households         15,592         103,309         235,387           ■ 2000 Census         15,306         101,977         231,586           Growth 2015-2020         2,27%         1,97%         2,19%           HOUSING UNITS         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           ■ Occupied Units         3 Miles	POPULATION	1 Miles	3 Miles	5 Miles
■ 2018 Estimate  Total Population	<ul><li>2023 Projection</li></ul>			
Total Population 45,738 290,886 709,124  • 2010 Census  Total Population 43,075 276,558 677,294  • 2000 Census  Total Population 42,933 271,072 657,882  • Daytime Population 42,933 315,962 665,867  HOUSEHOLDS 1 Miles 3 Miles 5 Miles  • 2023 Projection  Total Households 17,064 111,950 255,062  • 2018 Estimate  Total Households 16,686 109,791 249,587  Average (Mean) Household Size 2.73 2.62 2.81  • 2010 Census  Total Households 15,592 103,309 235,387  • 2000 Census  Total Households 15,306 101,977 231,586  Growth 2015-2020 2.27% 1.97% 2.19%  HOUSING UNITS 1 Miles 3 Miles 5 Miles  • Occupied Units  2023 Projection 17,064 111,950 255,062  2018 Estimate 17,219 112,969 256,862  Owner Occupied 4,448 37,086 96,009  Renter Occupied 4,448 37,086 96,009  Renter Occupied 12,238 72,705 153,578  Vacant 533 3,178 7,275  • Persons In Units  2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units 16,686 109,791 249,587  1 Person Units 28,20% 29,91% 27,33%  2 Person Units 28,20% 29,91% 27,33%  4 Person Units 16,47% 15,82% 15,54%  4 Person Units 16,47% 15,82% 15,54%  4 Person Units 14,16% 13,18% 13,75%  5 Person Units 14,16% 13,18% 13,75%  5 Person Units 7,76% 6,81% 7,85%	Total Population	46,224	293,201	714,788
* 2010 Census  Total Population	<ul><li>2018 Estimate</li></ul>			
Total Population         43,075         276,558         677,294           * 2000 Census         Total Population         42,933         271,072         657,882           * Daytime Population         2018 Estimate         47,938         315,962         665,867           HOUSEHOLDS         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           * 2023 Projection         17,064         111,950         255,062           * 2018 Estimate         17,064         111,950         255,062           * 2018 Estimate         16,686         109,791         249,587           Average (Mean) Households         16,686         109,791         249,587           * 2010 Census         15,592         103,309         235,387           * 2000 Census         15,592         103,309         235,387           * 2000 Census         15,306         101,977         231,586           Growth 2015-2020         2,27%         1,97%         2,19%           HOUSING UNITS         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           * Occupied Units         17,064         111,950         255,062           2018 Estimate         17,219         112,969         256,862           Owner Occupied         4,448         37,086	Total Population	45,738	290,886	709,124
* 2000 Census  Total Population  2018 Estimate  47,938  * Daytime Population  2018 Estimate  47,938  * Miles  * Miles  * 2023 Projection  Total Households  * 17,064  * 111,950  255,062  * 2018 Estimate  Total Households  16,686  109,791  249,587  Average (Mean) Household Size  * 2010 Census  Total Households  15,592  * 103,309  235,387  * 2000 Census  Total Households  15,306  101,977  231,586  Growth 2015-2020  2,27%  1,97%  2,19%  HOUSING UNITS  1 Miles  * Occupied Units  2023 Projection  17,064  111,950  255,062  2018 Estimate  17,219  112,969  256,862  Owner Occupied  4,448  37,086  96,009  Renter Occupied  4,448  37,086  96,009  Renter Occupied  12,238  72,705  * Persons In Units  2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units  1 Reson Units  2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units  1 Person Units  28,20%  29,91%  27,33%  2 Person Units  16,47%  15,82%  15,54%  4 Person Units  14,16%  13,18%  13,75%  5 Person Units  7,76%  6,81%  7,85%	■ 2010 Census			
Total Population 42,933 271,072 657,882  * Daytime Population 2018 Estimate 47,938 315,962 665,867  *HOUSEHOLDS 1 Miles 3 Miles 5 Miles  * 2023 Projection  Total Households 17,064 111,950 255,062  * 2018 Estimate  Total Households 16,686 109,791 249,587  Average (Mean) Household Size 2.73 2.62 2.81  * 2010 Census  Total Households 15,592 103,309 235,387  * 2000 Census  Total Households 15,306 101,977 231,586  Growth 2015-2020 2.27% 1.97% 2.19%  * HOUSING UNITS 1 Miles 3 Miles 5 Miles  * Occupied Units  2023 Projection 17,064 111,950 255,062  2018 Estimate 17,219 112,969 256,862  Owner Occupied 4,448 37,086 96,009  Renter Occupied 12,238 72,705 153,578  Vacant 533 3,178 7,275  * Persons In Units  2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units 16,686 109,791 249,587  1 Person Units 28,20% 29,91% 27,33%  2 Person Units 16,47% 15,82% 15,54%  4 Person Units 14,16% 13,18% 13,75%  5 Person Units 14,16% 13,18% 13,75%  5 Person Units 17,66% 6,81% 7,85%	Total Population	43,075	276,558	677,294
* Daytime Population         2018 Estimate       47,938       315,962       665,867         HOUSEHOLDS       1 Miles       3 Miles       5 Miles         * 2023 Projection       17,064       111,950       255,062         * 2018 Estimate       16,686       109,791       249,587         Average (Mean) Household Size       2.73       2.62       2.81         * 2010 Census       15,592       103,309       235,387         * 2000 Census       15,306       101,977       231,586         Growth 2015-2020       2.27%       1.97%       2.19%         HOUSING UNITS       1 Miles       3 Miles       5 Miles         * Occupied Units       17,064       111,950       255,062         2018 Estimate       17,219       112,969       256,862         2018 Estimate       17,219       112,969       256,862         Owner Occupied       4,448       37,086       96,009         Renter Occupied       12,238       72,705       153,578         Vacant       533       3,178       7,275         * Persons In Units       16,686       109,791       249,587         1 Person Units       26,81%       28,28%       27,244%	■ 2000 Census			
2018 Estimate         47,938         315,962         665,867           HOUSEHOLDS         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           • 2023 Projection         17,064         111,950         255,062           • 2018 Estimate         16,686         109,791         249,587           Average (Mean) Household Size         2.73         2.62         2.81           • 2010 Census         15,592         103,309         235,387           • 2000 Census         15,306         101,977         231,586           Growth 2015-2020         2.27%         1.97%         2.19%           HOUSING UNITS         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           • Occupied Units         17,064         111,950         255,062           2018 Estimate         17,219         112,969         256,862           Owner Occupied         4,448         37,086         96,009           Renter Occupied         12,238         72,705         153,578           Vacant         533         3,178         7,275           • Persons In Units         28,20%         29,91%         27,33%           2 Person Units         28,20%         29,91%         27,33%           2 Person Units         16,47%	Total Population	42,933	271,072	657,882
## HOUSEHOLDS  * 2023 Projection  Total Households  * 2018 Estimate  Total Households  * 16,686  * 109,791  * 249,587  Average (Mean) Household Size  * 2010 Census  Total Households  * 15,592  * 103,309  * 235,387  * 2000 Census  Total Households  * 15,306  * 101,977  * 231,586  Growth 2015-2020  * 2.27%  * 1.97%  * 2.19%  ## HOUSING UNITS  * Occupied Units  2023 Projection  17,064  111,950  255,062  2018 Estimate  17,219  112,969  256,862  Owner Occupied  4,448  37,086  96,009  Renter Occupied  4,448  37,086  96,009  Renter Occupied  12,238  72,705  * 153,578  Vacant  533  3,178  7,275  * Persons In Units  2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units  16,686  109,791  249,587  1 Person Units  2 Person Units  2 Person Units  2 Person Units  1 16,47%  1 15,82%  1 5,54%  4 Person Units  1 7,76%  6,81%  7,85%	<ul> <li>Daytime Population</li> </ul>			
* 2023 Projection         Total Households       17,064       111,950       255,062         * 2018 Estimate         Total Households       16,686       109,791       249,587         Average (Mean) Household Size       2.73       2.62       2.81         * 2010 Census       15,592       103,309       235,387         * 2000 Census       15,306       101,977       231,586         Growth 2015-2020       2.27%       1.97%       2.19%         HOUSING UNITS       1 Miles       3 Miles       5 Miles         * Occupied Units       2023 Projection       17,064       111,950       255,062         2018 Estimate       17,219       112,969       256,862         Owner Occupied       4,448       37,086       96,009         Renter Occupied       12,238       72,705       153,578         Vacant       533       3,178       7,275         * Persons In Units       28,20%       29,91%       27,33%         2 Person Units       16,686       109,791       249,587         1 Person Units       26,81%       28,28%       27,24%         3 Person Units       16,47%       15,82%       15,54%         4 Person Units	2018 Estimate	47,938	315,962	665,867
Total Households 17,064 111,950 255,062  2018 Estimate Total Households 16,686 109,791 249,587 Average (Mean) Household Size 2.73 2.62 2.81  2010 Census Total Households 15,592 103,309 235,387  2000 Census Total Households 15,306 101,977 231,586 Growth 2015-2020 2.27% 1.97% 2.19%  HOUSING UNITS 1 Miles 3 Miles 5 Miles  Occupied Units 2023 Projection 17,064 111,950 255,062 2018 Estimate 17,219 112,969 256,862 Owner Occupied 4,448 37,086 96,009 Renter Occupied 12,238 72,705 153,578 Vacant 533 3,178 7,275  Persons In Units 2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units 16,686 109,791 249,587 1 Person Units 28,20% 29,91% 27,33% 2 Person Units 26,81% 28,28% 27,24% 3 Person Units 16,47% 15,82% 15,54% 4 Person Units 14,16% 13,18% 13,75% 5 Person Units 14,16% 13,18% 13,75%	HOUSEHOLDS	1 Miles	3 Miles	5 Miles
** 2018 Estimate           Total Households         16,686         109,791         249,587           Average (Mean) Household Size         2.73         2.62         2.81           ** 2010 Census         15,592         103,309         235,387           ** 2000 Census         15,306         101,977         231,586           Growth 2015-2020         2.27%         1.97%         2.19%           HOUSING UNITS         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           ** Occupied Units         2023 Projection         17,064         111,950         255,062           2018 Estimate         17,219         112,969         256,862           Owner Occupied         4,448         37,086         96,009           Renter Occupied         4,448         37,086         96,009           Renter Occupied         12,238         72,705         153,578           Vacant         533         3,178         7,275           * Persons In Units         2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units         16,686         109,791         249,587           1 Person Units         26,81%         28,28%         27,24%           3 Person Units         16,47%         15,82%         15,54%           4 Person Units	<ul> <li>2023 Projection</li> </ul>			
Total Households         16,686         109,791         249,587           Average (Mean) Household Size         2.73         2.62         2.81           * 2010 Census         15,592         103,309         235,387           * 2000 Census         15,306         101,977         231,586           Growth 2015-2020         2.27%         1.97%         2.19%           HOUSING UNITS         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           * Occupied Units         2023 Projection         17,064         111,950         255,062           2018 Estimate         17,219         112,969         256,862           Owner Occupied         4,448         37,086         96,009           Renter Occupied         12,238         72,705         153,578           Vacant         533         3,178         7,275           * Persons In Units         2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units         16,686         109,791         249,587           1 Person Units         28,20%         29,91%         27,33%           2 Person Units         26,81%         28,28%         27,24%           3 Person Units         16,47%         15,82%         15,54%           4 Person Units         14,16%         13,18% <t< td=""><td>Total Households</td><td>17,064</td><td>111,950</td><td>255,062</td></t<>	Total Households	17,064	111,950	255,062
Average (Mean) Household Size 2.73 2.62 2.81  2010 Census Total Households 15,592 103,309 235,387  2000 Census Total Households 15,306 101,977 231,586 Growth 2015-2020 2.27% 1.97% 2.19%  HOUSING UNITS 1 Miles 3 Miles 5 Miles  Occupied Units 2023 Projection 17,064 111,950 255,062 2018 Estimate 17,219 112,969 256,862 Owner Occupied 4,448 37,086 96,009 Renter Occupied 12,238 72,705 153,578 Vacant 533 3,178 7,275  Persons In Units 2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units 16,686 109,791 249,587 1 Person Units 28.20% 29.91% 27.33% 2 Person Units 26.81% 28.28% 27.24% 3 Person Units 16.47% 15.82% 15.54% 4 Person Units 14.16% 13.18% 13.75% 5 Person Units 7.76% 6.81% 7.85%	2018 Estimate			
• 2010 Census         Total Households       15,592       103,309       235,387         • 2000 Census         Total Households       15,306       101,977       231,586         Growth 2015-2020       2.27%       1.97%       2.19%         HOUSING UNITS       1 Miles       3 Miles       5 Miles         • Occupied Units       2023 Projection       17,064       111,950       255,062         2018 Estimate       17,219       112,969       256,862         Owner Occupied       4,448       37,086       96,009         Renter Occupied       12,238       72,705       153,578         Vacant       533       3,178       7,275         • Persons In Units       2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units       16,686       109,791       249,587         1 Person Units       28,20%       29,91%       27,33%         2 Person Units       26,81%       28,28%       27,24%         3 Person Units       16,47%       15,82%       15,54%         4 Person Units       14,16%       13,18%       13,75%         5 Person Units       7,76%       6,81%       7,85%	Total Households	16,686	109,791	249,587
Total Households         15,592         103,309         235,387           • 2000 Census           Total Households         15,306         101,977         231,586           Growth 2015-2020         2.27%         1.97%         2.19%           HOUSING UNITS         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           • Occupied Units         2023 Projection         17,064         111,950         255,062           2018 Estimate         17,219         112,969         256,862           Owner Occupied         4,448         37,086         96,009           Renter Occupied         12,238         72,705         153,578           Vacant         533         3,178         7,275           • Persons In Units         2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units         16,686         109,791         249,587           1 Person Units         28.20%         29.91%         27.33%           2 Person Units         26.81%         28.28%         27.24%           3 Person Units         16.47%         15.82%         15.54%           4 Person Units         14.16%         13.18%         13.75%           5 Person Units         7.76%         6.81%         7.85%	Average (Mean) Household Size	2.73	2.62	2.81
• 2000 Census         Total Households       15,306       101,977       231,586         Growth 2015-2020       2.27%       1.97%       2.19%         HOUSING UNITS       1 Miles       3 Miles       5 Miles         • Occupied Units       2023 Projection       17,064       111,950       255,062         2018 Estimate       17,219       112,969       256,862         Owner Occupied       4,448       37,086       96,009         Renter Occupied       12,238       72,705       153,578         Vacant       533       3,178       7,275         • Persons In Units       2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units       16,686       109,791       249,587         1 Person Units       28.20%       29.91%       27.33%         2 Person Units       26.81%       28.28%       27.24%         3 Person Units       16.47%       15.82%       15.54%         4 Person Units       14.16%       13.18%       13.75%         5 Person Units       7.76%       6.81%       7.85%	■ 2010 Census			
Total Households 15,306 101,977 231,586 Growth 2015-2020 2.27% 1.97% 2.19% HOUSING UNITS 1 Miles 3 Miles 5 Miles  Occupied Units 2023 Projection 17,064 111,950 255,062 2018 Estimate 17,219 112,969 256,862 Owner Occupied 4,448 37,086 96,009 Renter Occupied 12,238 72,705 153,578 Vacant 533 3,178 7,275  Persons In Units 2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units 16,686 109,791 249,587 1 Person Units 28,20% 29,91% 27,33% 2 Person Units 26,81% 28,28% 27,24% 3 Person Units 16,47% 15,82% 15,54% 4 Person Units 14,16% 13,18% 13,75% 5 Person Units 7,76% 6,81% 7,85%	Total Households	15,592	103,309	235,387
Growth 2015-2020         2.27%         1.97%         2.19%           HOUSING UNITS         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           • Occupied Units           2023 Projection         17,064         111,950         255,062           2018 Estimate         17,219         112,969         256,862           Owner Occupied         4,448         37,086         96,009           Renter Occupied         12,238         72,705         153,578           Vacant         533         3,178         7,275           • Persons In Units         2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units         16,686         109,791         249,587           1 Person Units         28.20%         29,91%         27.33%           2 Person Units         26.81%         28.28%         27.24%           3 Person Units         16.47%         15.82%         15.54%           4 Person Units         14.16%         13.18%         13.75%           5 Person Units         7.76%         6.81%         7.85%	■ 2000 Census			
HOUSING UNITS         1 Miles         3 Miles         5 Miles           • Occupied Units         17,064         111,950         255,062           2018 Estimate         17,219         112,969         256,862           Owner Occupied         4,448         37,086         96,009           Renter Occupied         12,238         72,705         153,578           Vacant         533         3,178         7,275           • Persons In Units         2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units         16,686         109,791         249,587           1 Person Units         28.20%         29.91%         27.33%           2 Person Units         26.81%         28.28%         27.24%           3 Person Units         16.47%         15.82%         15.54%           4 Person Units         14.16%         13.18%         13.75%           5 Person Units         7.76%         6.81%         7.85%	Total Households	15,306	101,977	231,586
Occupied Units           2023 Projection         17,064         111,950         255,062           2018 Estimate         17,219         112,969         256,862           Owner Occupied         4,448         37,086         96,009           Renter Occupied         12,238         72,705         153,578           Vacant         533         3,178         7,275           Persons In Units         2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units         16,686         109,791         249,587           1 Person Units         28.20%         29.91%         27.33%           2 Person Units         26.81%         28.28%         27.24%           3 Person Units         16.47%         15.82%         15.54%           4 Person Units         14.16%         13.18%         13.75%           5 Person Units         7.76%         6.81%         7.85%	Growth 2015-2020	2.27%	1.97%	2.19%
2023 Projection       17,064       111,950       255,062         2018 Estimate       17,219       112,969       256,862         Owner Occupied       4,448       37,086       96,009         Renter Occupied       12,238       72,705       153,578         Vacant       533       3,178       7,275         Persons In Units         2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units       16,686       109,791       249,587         1 Person Units       28.20%       29.91%       27.33%         2 Person Units       26.81%       28.28%       27.24%         3 Person Units       16.47%       15.82%       15.54%         4 Person Units       14.16%       13.18%       13.75%         5 Person Units       7.76%       6.81%       7.85%	HOUSING UNITS	1 Miles	3 Miles	5 Miles
2018 Estimate       17,219       112,969       256,862         Owner Occupied       4,448       37,086       96,009         Renter Occupied       12,238       72,705       153,578         Vacant       533       3,178       7,275         Persons In Units         2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units       16,686       109,791       249,587         1 Person Units       28.20%       29.91%       27.33%         2 Person Units       26.81%       28.28%       27.24%         3 Person Units       16.47%       15.82%       15.54%         4 Person Units       14.16%       13.18%       13.75%         5 Person Units       7.76%       6.81%       7.85%	Occupied Units			
Owner Occupied         4,448         37,086         96,009           Renter Occupied         12,238         72,705         153,578           Vacant         533         3,178         7,275           • Persons In Units           2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units         16,686         109,791         249,587           1 Person Units         28.20%         29.91%         27.33%           2 Person Units         26.81%         28.28%         27.24%           3 Person Units         16.47%         15.82%         15.54%           4 Person Units         14.16%         13.18%         13.75%           5 Person Units         7.76%         6.81%         7.85%	2023 Projection	17,064	111,950	255,062
Renter Occupied       12,238       72,705       153,578         Vacant       533       3,178       7,275         • Persons In Units         2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units       16,686       109,791       249,587         1 Person Units       28.20%       29.91%       27.33%         2 Person Units       26.81%       28.28%       27.24%         3 Person Units       16.47%       15.82%       15.54%         4 Person Units       14.16%       13.18%       13.75%         5 Person Units       7.76%       6.81%       7.85%	2018 Estimate	17,219	112,969	256,862
Vacant       533       3,178       7,275         • Persons In Units       2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units       16,686       109,791       249,587         1 Person Units       28.20%       29.91%       27.33%         2 Person Units       26.81%       28.28%       27.24%         3 Person Units       16.47%       15.82%       15.54%         4 Person Units       14.16%       13.18%       13.75%         5 Person Units       7.76%       6.81%       7.85%	Owner Occupied	4,448	37,086	96,009
Persons In Units         2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units       16,686       109,791       249,587         1 Person Units       28.20%       29.91%       27.33%         2 Person Units       26.81%       28.28%       27.24%         3 Person Units       16.47%       15.82%       15.54%         4 Person Units       14.16%       13.18%       13.75%         5 Person Units       7.76%       6.81%       7.85%	Renter Occupied	12,238	72,705	153,578
2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units       16,686       109,791       249,587         1 Person Units       28.20%       29.91%       27.33%         2 Person Units       26.81%       28.28%       27.24%         3 Person Units       16.47%       15.82%       15.54%         4 Person Units       14.16%       13.18%       13.75%         5 Person Units       7.76%       6.81%       7.85%	Vacant	533	3,178	7,275
1 Person Units       28.20%       29.91%       27.33%         2 Person Units       26.81%       28.28%       27.24%         3 Person Units       16.47%       15.82%       15.54%         4 Person Units       14.16%       13.18%       13.75%         5 Person Units       7.76%       6.81%       7.85%	<ul><li>Persons In Units</li></ul>			
2 Person Units       26.81%       28.28%       27.24%         3 Person Units       16.47%       15.82%       15.54%         4 Person Units       14.16%       13.18%       13.75%         5 Person Units       7.76%       6.81%       7.85%	2018 Estimate Total Occupied Units	16,686	109,791	249,587
3 Person Units     16.47%     15.82%     15.54%       4 Person Units     14.16%     13.18%     13.75%       5 Person Units     7.76%     6.81%     7.85%	1 Person Units	28.20%	29.91%	27.33%
4 Person Units 14.16% 13.18% 13.75% 5 Person Units 7.76% 6.81% 7.85%	2 Person Units	26.81%	28.28%	27.24%
5 Person Units 7.76% 6.81% 7.85%	3 Person Units	16.47%	15.82%	15.54%
	4 Person Units	14.16%	13.18%	13.75%
6+ Person Units 6.61% 6.00% 8.28%	5 Person Units	7.76%	6.81%	7.85%
	6+ Person Units	6.61%	6.00%	8.28%

HOUSEHOLDS BY INCOME	1 Miles	3 Miles	5 Miles	
2018 Estimate				
\$200,000 or More	5.83%	8.30%	8.14%	
\$150,000 - \$199,000	5.45%	6.07%	5.75%	
\$100,000 - \$149,000	10.57%	12.94%	13.76%	
\$75,000 - \$99,999	11.75%	11.50%	11.79%	
\$50,000 - \$74,999	17.79%	16.57%	16.62%	
\$35,000 - \$49,999	14.71%	13.11%	12.47%	
\$25,000 - \$34,999	10.83%	9.55%	9.48%	
\$15,000 - \$24,999	11.45%	10.79%	10.54%	
Under \$15,000	11.63%	11.18%	11.43%	
Average Household Income	\$80,597	\$92,862	\$93,201	
Median Household Income	\$51,662	\$57,811	\$58,918	
Per Capita Income	\$29,592	\$35,212	\$32,943	
POPULATION PROFILE	1 Miles	3 Miles	5 Miles	
Population By Age				
2018 Estimate Total Population	45,738	290,886	709,124	
Under 20	24.30%	22.67%	23.74%	
20 to 34 Years	25.50%	24.37%	24.43%	
35 to 39 Years	8.21%	7.94%	7.76%	
40 to 49 Years	14.85%	14.40%	14.16%	
50 to 64 Years	17.41%	18.18%	17.83%	
Age 65+	9.74%	12.44%	12.07%	
Median Age	35.12	36.81	36.13	
Population 25+ by Education Level				
2018 Estimate Population Age 25+	31,522	206,368	493,280	
Elementary (0-8)	11.80%	8.86%	10.36%	
Some High School (9-11)	8.42%	7.57%	8.61%	
High School Graduate (12)	19.67%	19.30%	20.25%	
Some College (13-15)	22.17%	20.34%	19.28%	
Associate Degree Only	6.55%	6.94%	6.55%	
Bachelors Degree Only	20.40%	24.01%	22.19%	
Graduate Degree	7.28%	10.59%	9.96%	
Population by Gender				
2018 Estimate Total Population	45,738	290,886	709,124	
Male Population	50.65%	49.43%	49.80%	
Female Population	49.35%	50.57%	50.20%	

Source: © 2018 Experian





#### **Population**

In 2018, the population in your selected geography is 45,738. The population has changed by 6.53% since 2000. It is estimated that the population in your area will be 46,224.00 five years from now, which represents a change of 1.06% from the current year. The current population is 50.65% male and 49.35% female. The median age of the population in your area is 35.12, compare this to the US average which is 37.95. The population density in your area is 14,556.12 people per square mile.



#### Race and Ethnicity

The current year racial makeup of your selected area is as follows: 58.66% White, 5.00% Black, 0.10% Native American and 5.85% Asian/Pacific Islander. Compare these to US averages which are: 70.20% White, 12.89% Black, 0.19% Native American and 5.59% Asian/Pacific Islander. People of Hispanic origin are counted independently of race.

People of Hispanic origin make up 53.63% of the current year population in your selected area. Compare this to the US average of 18.01%.



#### Households

There are currently 16,686 households in your selected geography. The number of households has changed by 9.02% since 2000. It is estimated that the number of households in your area will be 17,064 five years from now, which represents a change of 2.27% from the current year. The average household size in your area is 2.73 persons.



# Housing

The median housing value in your area was \$580,851 in 2018, compare this to the US average of \$201,842. In 2000, there were 4,418 owner occupied housing units in your area and there were 10,889 renter occupied housing units in your area. The median rent at the time was \$609.



#### Income

In 2018, the median household income for your selected geography is \$51,662, compare this to the US average which is currently \$58,754. The median household income for your area has changed by 52.11% since 2000. It is estimated that the median household income in your area will be \$59,313 five years from now, which represents a change of 14.81% from the current year.

The current year per capita income in your area is \$29,592, compare this to the US average, which is \$32,356. The current year average household income in your area is \$80,597, compare this to the US average which is \$84,609.



# **Employment**

In 2018, there are 17,985 employees in your selected area, this is also known as the daytime population. The 2000 Census revealed that 57.57% of employees are employed in white-collar occupations in this geography, and 42.73% are employed in blue-collar occupations. In 2018, unemployment in this area is 5.37%. In 2000, the average time traveled to work was 35.00 minutes.

Source: © 2018 Experian

