Decreased amygdala–insula resting state connectivity in behaviorally and emotionally dysregulated youth
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**Abstract**

The Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) adopts a dimensional approach for examining pathophysiological processes underlying categorically defined psychiatric diagnoses. We used this framework to examine relationships among symptom dimensions, diagnostic categories, and resting state connectivity in behaviorally and emotionally dysregulated youth selected from the Longitudinal Assessment of Manic Symptoms study (n=42) and healthy control youth (n=18). Region of interest analyses examined relationships among resting state connectivity, symptom dimensions (behavioral and emotional dysregulation measured with the Parent General Behavior Inventory-10 Item Mania Scale [PGBI-10M]; dimensional severity measures of mania, depression, anxiety), and diagnostic categories (Bipolar Spectrum Disorders, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, Anxiety Disorders, and Disruptive Behavior Disorders). After adjusting for demographic variables, two dimensional measures showed significant inverse relationships with resting state connectivity, regardless of diagnosis: 1) PGBI-10M with amygdala–left posterior insula/bilateral putamen; and 2) depressive symptoms with amygdala–right posterior insula connectivity. Diagnostic categories showed no significant relationships with resting state connectivity. Resting state connectivity between amygdala and posterior insula decreased with increasing severity of behavioral and emotional dysregulation and depression; this suggests an intrinsic functional uncoupling of key neural regions supporting emotion processing and regulation. These findings support the RDoC dimensional approach for characterizing pathophysiologic processes that cut across different psychiatric disorders.

© 2014 Elsevier Ireland Ltd. All rights reserved.

**1. Introduction**

Psychiatric disorders in youth characterized by behavioral and emotional dysregulation (difficulty regulating the experience and expression of behaviors and emotions) include major depressive disorder (MDD), bipolar spectrum disorders (BPSD), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), anxiety disorders, and disruptive behavior disorders (DBD). These disorders pose diagnostic and treatment challenges due to high comorbidity and the lack of clear biological trait markers (Kowatch et al., 2005; Pavuluri et al., 2005; Arnold et al., 2011, 2012). NIMH Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) offer an alternative approach to identifying transdiagnostic pathophysiologic processes (Cuthbert and Insel, 2013) and biological...
disease markers (Bebko et al., 2014) through dimensions of behavioral and emotional dysregulation.

Neuroimaging techniques examining brain–behavior relationships are ideal for applying RDoC to study pediatric psychiatric disorders. One such technique, resting state functional connectivity (RSC), provides information about intrinsic connectivity in neural networks independent of specific cognitive contexts requiring sophisticated, and often challenging, cognitive tasks which may not represent daily activities. RSC may additionally be more ecologically valid, allowing observation of mind wandering, a commonly occurring activity in the daily lives of youth. In addition, by focusing on neural regions shown to be important in fMRI task-related analyses [such as the amygdala (Altshuler et al., 2005; Fonland et al., 2008), striatum (Deveney et al., 2013), prefrontal cortical (Pavuluri et al., 2008; Kalmar et al., 2009; Passarotti et al., 2010; Ladouceur et al., 2011) and anterior cingulate cortical (Gogtay et al., 2007; Kalmar et al., 2009) regions, and an insula-centered neural network supporting salience, interoception, and emotion perception (Rubia et al., 2009; Taylor et al., 2009; Kurth et al., 2010; Cauda et al., 2012; Cloutman et al., 2012)], RSC studies may increase our understanding of pathophysiologic processes in behaviorally and emotionally dysregulated youth.

The small number of RSC studies in youth with behavioral and emotional dysregulation across a variety of psychiatric disorders have used different methods and reported different patterns of abnormal RSC between the amygdala and key prefrontal cortical, anterior cingulate cortical, and insula regions supporting emotion regulation. Using Independent Component Analysis, increased RSC in a neural network comprising the amygdala, orbitofrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, and insula (Wu et al., 2013) in unmedicated, manic, BPDS versus healthy control (HC) youth was reported. In contrast, when using an amygdala seed region, no RSC differences between BPDS and HC (Dickstein et al., 2010) were shown. For youth with MDD, decreases in both amygdala–prefrontal cortical, and amygdala–striatal connectivity were reported relative to HC (Luking et al., 2011). In addition, differences were observed for MDD versus HC youth in RSC between right and left amygdala seed regions with prefrontal gyri (Pannekoek et al., 2014). Additional studies reported both increased (Davey et al., 2012) and decreased (Cao et al., 2006; Cullen et al., 2009; Sun et al., 2012; Pannekoek et al., 2014) RSC between prefrontal and anterior cingulate regions, as well as increased (Gabbay et al., 2013) and decreased (Bhuim et al., 2009; Davey et al., 2012) RSC between striatal and anterior cingulate cortical regions in youth with depression or ADHD versus HC. Together, these findings suggest inconsistent patterns of abnormal RSC in pediatric psychiatric disorders characterized by behavioral and emotional dysregulation.

While the variable nature of these findings may reflect differences in mood state, different analytic techniques, or both, it remains unclear whether there are more consistent patterns of abnormal amygdala-centered RSC associated with specific symptom dimensions across different diagnostic categories. A few studies examined relationships between RSC and symptom dimensions in youth with BPDS or MDD (Luking et al., 2011; Ford et al., 2013; Gabbay et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2013) but may not represent the wider range of pediatric psychiatric disorders. Furthermore, these studies provided mixed findings of both positive and inverse relationships between mania and depression severity and RSC in networks linking amygdala, striatum, prefrontal, anterior cingulate, and other cortical regions (Luking et al., 2011; Gabbay et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2013).

In summary, prior studies provide inconsistent reports of RSC among amygdala (Dickstein et al., 2010; Luking et al., 2011), striatal (Bhuim et al., 2009; Davey et al., 2012; Gabbay et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2013), prefrontal cortical (Cao et al., 2006; Cullen et al., 2009; Dickstein et al., 2010; Davey et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2013), anterior cingulate cortical (Bhuim et al., 2009; Cullen et al., 2009; Davey et al., 2012; Gaffrey et al., 2012; Gabbay et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2013), and insula (Wu et al., 2013) regions in behaviorally and emotionally dysregulated youth. In the present study, we aimed to elucidate for the first time the nature and extent of relationships between pathological dimensions and RSC versus relationships between diagnostic categories and RSC in a clinical cohort of youth with behavioral and emotional dysregulation. Given the central role of the amygdala in emotion processing (Ochsner and Gross, 2005; Phillips et al., 2008) and the inconsistencies shown in the literature, we used an amygdala seed region. We recruited a subset of youth selected from the Longitudinal Assessment of Manic Symptoms (LAMS) study (Horwitz et al., 2010a), a longitudinal, multisite study of youth seeking treatment for behavioral and emotional dysregulation. The LAMS study was designed to assess relationships among the longitudinal course of symptoms, clinical, and functional outcomes in these youth (Section 2). In addition to using DSM-IV classifications of psychiatric disorders and commonly-used dimensional symptom measures of emotional dysregulation in youth (rating scales of mania, depression, and anxiety), LAMS also uses the Parent General Behavior Inventory-10 Item Mania Scale (PGBI-10M), a parental report of manic-like behaviors associated with difficulty regulating mood and energy (Section 2) (Youngstrom et al., 2005; Youngstrom et al., 2008).

**Primary Hypothesis-**Dimensional: As suggested by previous reports of altered RSC in behaviorally and emotionally dysregulated youth implementing dimensional approaches (Luking et al., 2011; Ford et al., 2013; Gabbay et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2013), we hypothesized that, across all behaviorally and emotionally dysregulated LAMS youth, irrespective of diagnosis, RSC between amygdala, striatum, prefrontal cortices, anterior cingulate cortices, and insula would be significantly associated with dimensional measures of behavioral and emotional dysregulation (PGBI-10M score, mania, depression, and anxiety).

**Secondary Hypothesis-**Categorical: Based on previous findings of differential patterns of RSC among the above regions between youth with and without specific diagnoses (Dickstein et al., 2010; Luking et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2013), current diagnostic categories in LAMS youth would be differentiated by patterns of amygdala RSC.

In addition, we recruited a comparison group of HC to examine the extent to which significant relationships between RSC and symptom dimensions, or diagnostic categories, represented abnormal RSC in LAMS youth versus HC.

2. Methods

2.1. Description of the Longitudinal Assessment of Manic Symptoms (LAMS) study

LAMS is a longitudinal NIMH-supported study of children and adolescents seeking treatment for behavioral and emotional dysregulation diagnoses such as BPDS, other mood disorders, ADHD, anxiety disorders, and disruptive disorders. Because behavioral and/or emotional dysregulation symptoms similar to manic-like behaviors are common to these disorders, the study name includes reference to “manic symptoms”.

The Parent General Behavior Inventory-10 Item Mania Scale (PGBI-10M; Supplementary material) was used to screen potential LAMS study participants: 6–12 year old children recruited at their first visit to nine mental health clinics associated with four universities (Finding et al., 2010). The PGBI-10M is a parent-rated scale that assesses the child’s positive mood and energy dysregulation over the last 6 months and discriminates between BPDS and other comorbidities, such as ADHD (Youngstrom et al., 2005; Youngstrom et al., 2008). High PGBI-10M scores (≥12) were common (present in 43% of these youth), regardless of diagnosis, and associated with worse overall functioning and higher rates of a variety of psychiatric disorders, including BPDS, ADHD, disruptive behavior disorders, other mood and anxiety disorders, in the initial screening of the LAMS cohort (Finding et al., 2010; Horwitz et al., 2010b). We invited children scoring ≥12 on the PGBI-10M, as well as a demographically matched sample of children who were also seeking mental health care but did not have severe behavioral or emotional dysregulation (scoring <11), to participate in the study. Independent of diagnosis, high PGBI-10M scores (≥12) were associated with worse overall functioning (assessed by the Children’s Global Assessment Scale (Shaffer et al., 1983)), higher rates of psychiatric diagnoses (Finding et al., 2010; Horwitz et al., 2010a), and greater prefrontal cortical activity to reward (Bebko et al., 2014). Refer to Horwitz et al. (2010b) for more information on the background and study design of LAMS.
2.2. Participants

A total of 121 youth (9.89–17.71 years; Table 1) were selected from the LAMS1 cohort of 707 youth to participate in the neuroimaging component of the second phase of LAMS (LAMS2); Case Western Reserve University (CWRU; n = 32); Cincinnati Children’s Hospital (CCH; n = 46); and University of Pittsburgh Medical Center/Western Psychiatric Institute and Clinic (UPMC; n = 43). For comparison, 32 age and sex ratio-matched HC were newly recruited via advertisement (8.03–16.92 years; CWRU, n = 13; CCH, n = 6; UPMC, n = 13; Table S1). See Supplementary material for study inclusion/exclusion criteria. Written informed consent and assent were obtained from parents/guardians and youth prior to study participation.

Difficulties with resting state acquisition resulted in unusable resting state data from CCH. Of the remaining 75 LAMS youth and 26 HC from CWRU and UPMC, 33 LAMS youth (Maximum displacement in translation or rotation: M = 8.19, S.D. = 11.63, Range = 5.19–29.61) and 8 HC (Maximum displacement in translation or rotation: M = 4.34, S.D. = 2.23, Range = 2.52–9.53) were excluded due to data loss and excessive motion (> 2 mm maximum displacement in translation or rotation, as in previous resting state studies (Cao et al., 2006; Fair et al., 2010)), leaving 42 LAMS youth (Age: M = 14.32, S.D. = 2.32, Range = 8.95–17.21; 24 males; n = 28; Table 1) and 18 HC (Age: M = 13.56, S.D. = 2.37, Range = 8.03–15.29; 9 males; Table S1).

Eighteen of the 42 LAMS youth were taking at least one psychotropic medication (Table 1). Of those, 10 were taking one class, six were taking two classes, and two were taking three classes, of psychotropic medications. Prescribed medication(s) could be taken before and on the day of the scan given ethical problems with restricting medication use for research participation.

Neural circuitry underlying emotion processing (Perlman et al., 2013), emotion regulation (Bertocci et al., 2014), and reward processing (Bebko et al., 2014) in LAMS participants is reported elsewhere.

2.3. Symptom assessment

LAMS youth and their parents/guardians completed several symptom assessment measures. To assess behavioral and emotional dysregulation, parent/guardians completed the PGBI-10M (Supplementary material) at study entry, baseline, and 6-monthly intervals throughout LAMS1 and LAMS2. PGBI-10M scores showed stability over the three assessment points (1 year) closest to scan day (Bebko et al., 2014). SCARED-10M score closest to scan day (days between obtaining score and scan: M = 18.48, S.D. = 39.07, Range = 87 days prior to scan and 91 days after scan) was used as the most recent PGBI-10M measure.

Both parents and children completed the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children Mania Rating Scale (K-MRS) (Axelton et al., 2003) to assess hypomanic symptoms and Depression Rating Scale (K-DRS) (Kaufman et al., 1997) to assess depressive symptom, both with good psychometric properties (Axelton et al., 2003; Kaufman et al., 1997) respectively, on scan day (Table 1). If parent and child responses differed on a question, interviewers used clinical judgment with all available information to make final decisions on the summary scores for that question. The Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (SCARED) assessed anxiety symptoms over the last 6 months (Birmaher et al., 1997) from scan day (Table 1).

2.4. Diagnostic categories

Unmodified DSM-IV diagnoses were established by administering children and parents/guardians the K-SADS-PL-W (Kaufman et al., 1997). We defined BPDS as a diagnosis of bipolar I disorder, bipolar II disorder, or bipolar disorder not otherwise specified and DBD as a diagnosis of conduct disorder, disruptive behavior disorders not otherwise specified, or oppositional defiant disorder. BPDS was documented as a current diagnosis even if it was noted as “in partial/full remission”. As confirmed by a licensed child psychiatrist or psychologist, the LAMS youth had a variety of current primary and secondary DSM-IV diagnoses: no primary or secondary diagnoses (n = 8), ADHD (n = 17), BPDS (n = 12), depression (n = 12), DBD (n = 10), and anxiety disorders (n = 2). See Supplementary material for further breakdowns of the diagnoses. HC did not meet DSM-IV criteria for any current diagnoses.

2.5. Neuroimaging data analysis

We collected resting state data using a 1) 3T Philips Achieva X-series MRI scanner at CCH, 2) 3T Siemens Verio MRI scanner at CWRU, and 3) 3T Siemens Trio MRI scanner at UPMC. An axial 3D magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MP-RAGE) sequence (192 axial slices 1 mm thick; flip angle = 9°; field of view = 256 mm × 192 mm; TR = 2300 ms; TE = 3.93 ms; matrix = 256 × 192); acquired T1-weighted volumetric anatomical images covering the whole brain. A reverse interleaved gradient echo

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic information</th>
<th>Total LAMS sample</th>
<th>Included participants</th>
<th>Excluded participants</th>
<th>Statistic comparing included versus excluded participants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>13.65(2.05,9.89–17.17)</td>
<td>14.32(2.32,8.99–17.71)</td>
<td>13.30(1.81,9.99–17.07)</td>
<td>t = −2.45, p = 0.017*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQ</td>
<td>101.28(16.44,70–140)</td>
<td>97.31(16.96,70–137)</td>
<td>103.39(15.86,71–140)</td>
<td>t = −1.96, p = 0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SES (maternal education)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>χ² = 1.96, p = 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS diploma or less</td>
<td>0.5(39/121)</td>
<td>0.5(13/42)</td>
<td>0.5(18/79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some post-HS</td>
<td>0.5(27/121)</td>
<td>0.5(9/42)</td>
<td>0.5(18/79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate’s degree</td>
<td>0.5(32/121)</td>
<td>0.5(14/42)</td>
<td>0.5(18/79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree or higher</td>
<td>0.5(23/121)</td>
<td>0.5(6/42)</td>
<td>0.5(17/79)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (males)</td>
<td>0.5(75/121)</td>
<td>0.5(24/42)</td>
<td>0.5(51/79)</td>
<td>χ² = 0.64, p = 0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGBI-10M</td>
<td>0.5(5.07,6.12/0–24)</td>
<td>0.5(4.18,4.33/0–18)</td>
<td>0.5(6.91,6.72/0–24)</td>
<td>t = −2.72, p = 0.008**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-DRS</td>
<td>0.5(3.68,4.51/0–24)</td>
<td>0.5(3.86,5.29/0–24)</td>
<td>0.5(3.38,4.07/0–20)</td>
<td>t = −0.32, p = 0.751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-MRS</td>
<td>0.5(4.22,6.44/0–28)</td>
<td>0.5(3.07,6.10/0–24)</td>
<td>0.5(4.83,6.57/0–28)</td>
<td>t = −1.44, p = 0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCARED</td>
<td>0.5(11.37,10.94/0–53)</td>
<td>0.5(10.93,11.01/0–46)</td>
<td>0.5(11.60,10.97/0–53)</td>
<td>t = −0.32, p = 0.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current medication use</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressant</td>
<td>0.5(18/121)</td>
<td>0.5(3/42)</td>
<td>0.5(15/79)</td>
<td>χ² = 3.04, p = 0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotic</td>
<td>0.5(25/121)</td>
<td>0.5(7/42)</td>
<td>0.5(18/79)</td>
<td>χ² = 0.63, p = 0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepine</td>
<td>0.5(2/121)</td>
<td>0.5(1/42)</td>
<td>0.5(1/79)</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mood Stabilizer</td>
<td>0.5(10/121)</td>
<td>0.5(4/42)</td>
<td>0.5(6/79)</td>
<td>0.737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-stimulant*</td>
<td>0.5(9/121)</td>
<td>0.5(2/42)</td>
<td>0.5(7/79)</td>
<td>0.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulant</td>
<td>0.5(45/121)</td>
<td>0.5(11/42)</td>
<td>0.5(34/79)</td>
<td>χ² = 3.33, p = 0.068</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GED = general education development test; HS = high school; IQ = intelligence quotient Wechsler Intelligence test; K-DRS = Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children Present Episode Depression Rating Scale; K-MRS = Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children Mania Rating Scale; M = mean; N = sample size; P = probability value; PGBI-10M = Parent General Behavior Inventory 10 Item Mania Scale; SCARED = Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (child rating); S.D. = standard deviation; SES = socio-economic status; t-r-test statistical value; χ² = chi-squared test statistical value.

* p < .05.
** p < .01.
planar imaging (EPI) sequence (38 axial slices 3.1 mm thick; flip angle = 90°; field of view = 205 mm; TR = 2000 ms; TE = 28 ms; matrix = 64 x 64) acquired T2-weighted BOLD images covering the whole cerebrum and most of the cerebellum. Participants were instructed to remain still while viewing a fixation cross during a 6-min resting state image acquisition.

We conducted a seed-based resting state functional connectivity analysis using predominantly Statistically Parametric Mapping software (SPM8; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) related tools. See Supplementary material for our analytic approach, which was inspired by a rsfMRI study of amygdala connectivity (Kim et al., 2011) and previously employed (Chase et al., 2013).

2.6. Creation of a single anatomically-defined bilateral target region ROI mask

Based on previous studies (Altschuler et al., 2005; Ochsner and Gross, 2005; Gogtay et al., 2007; Fondu et al., 2008; Pavuluri et al., 2008; Kalamar et al., 2009; Passarotti et al., 2010; Ladouceur et al., 2011; Deveney et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013), we used the WFU PickAtlas (Maldjian et al., 2003) to create a single ROI mask including the amygdala, and, extending into posterior insula RSC (bilateral spheres centered on MNI coordinates –9, 9, –8 and 9, 9, –8; radius = 8 mm (Postuma and Dagher, 2006; Di Martino et al., 2008)), prefrontal cortical regions: medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC; BA10), orbitofrontal cortex (OFC; BA11), ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (VLPFC; BA47), dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC; BA24/32), and insula. Using one large ROI mask avoided problems associated with conducting multiple statistical tests over the entire brain.

2.7. Identifying resting state connectivity

We determined significant clusters of RSC between a) the average time course across all voxels within the bilateral amygdala and b) the time course of each voxel within the entire a priori, anatomically-defined bilateral (noncontiguous) ROI mask (P < 0.005 voxelwise, P < 0.05 corrected with AlphaSim, a Monte Carlo simulation cluster forming threshold to correct for multiple comparisons (Ward, 2002)) with scan site as a covariate of no interest. We saved these significant clusters of RSC for use in multiple regression analyses testing primary and secondary hypotheses.

2.8. Statistical approach

Similar to our previous statistical approach for examining dimensions versus diagnostic categories (Bebko et al., 2014), we performed a voxelwise multiple regression: the analysis in SPM8 was performed at which dimensions (primary hypothesis) and categorical (secondary hypothesis) variables were significantly associated with RSC in the above clusters after accounting for other variables of no interest: demographic (age, IQ, sex), scan site, signal:noise ratio (SNR) closest to the scan site (Supplementary material), and medication status (taking versus not taking psychotropic medication). This analysis involved two steps. First, to avoid model overfitting and to balance Type I and II errors, we examined the univariate relationship between each variable (i.e., variables of interest and other variables) and amygdala-target region RSC identified above (P < 0.05 voxelwise, P < 0.05 clusterwise significance threshold). Second, we created one final regression model that contained only those variables showing significant relationships with amygdala-target region RSC in step one. When a significant relationship with a dimensional measure was identified, we conducted additional HC comparisons to examine the extent to which the relationships between the dimensional measure and RSC represented abnormal RSC. For this analysis we first identified the 18 highest and 18 lowest scoring LAMS youth on the relevant dimensional measure, and then compared amygdala-target region RSC in these two LAMS subgroups with the 18 HC (voxelwise threshold of P < 0.013, corrected for the three pairwise between group tests; P < 0.05, corrected threshold). All three groups were matched on sex, age, and IQ. This analysis determined whether RSC patterns were specifically associated with dimensional constructs (i.e., if either LAMS subgroup differed significantly from HC in this RSC pattern), or were more generally associated with psychopathology (i.e., if both LAMS samples differed from HC in this RSC pattern).

To test our secondary hypothesis, we conducted similar analyses: identified diagnostic categories were followed-up with analyses comparing LAMS youth with and without the relevant diagnosis, relative to HC (matched on age, IQ, and sex ratio). Here, the n for the group comparisons was determined by the number of LAMS youth with the relevant diagnosis (i.e., 12 LAMS youth had a primary or comorbid BPSD diagnosis, so the n for the LAMS youth without the relevant diagnosis and for the HC was 12).

2.9. Accounting for the potential effect of site

We used two strategies to reduce inter-scan site variability. First, we used a Biomedical Informatics Research Network (BIRN) phantom at each site to monitor SNR monthly and ensure scanner signal stability over time as recommended (http://www.nbirn.net) (Table S2). SNR across all scan sites (CCH: 86; CWRU: 86; FCRU: 10.75; CWRU: M = 170.74, S.D. = 8.87; UPMC: M = 166.45, S.D. = 11.43) did not significantly differ overall, (F(2,50) = 2.51, P > 0.05) or between the two scan sites with usable data (t(38) = 1.31, P > 0.05). Second, when statically appropriate, we used scan site and SNR as covariates in analyses (Sections 2.7 and 2.8).

3. Results

In LAMS youth, right putamen extending into posterior insula (1060 voxels), right BA47 extending into posterior insula (2 clusters: 19 voxels, 45 voxels), bilateral OFC/dACC (Left: BA 11/24, 130 voxels; Right: BA 11/32,34 voxels), and right dACC (BA24, 116 voxels) showed significant RSC with the amygdala (P < 0.005,corrected P < 0.05; Fig. 1A; Table 2), controlling for scan site.

3.1. Primary hypothesis (dimensional)

Initial univariate analyses revealed that two dimensional variables showed significant inverse relationships (P < 0.05, corrected) with RSC: PGBI-10M with amygdala–left posterior insula and putamen (210 voxels); and K-DRS with amygdala–right posterior insula (150 voxels). Univariate analyses also revealed a significant inverse relationship (P < 0.05, corrected) between sex and amygdala–right posterior insula RSC (72 voxels).

We created one final regression model containing the two significant dimensional measures (K-DRS, PGBI-10M) and sex. The two inverse relationships between dimensional measures and resting connectivity observed in univariate analyses remained significant in the final regression model (both P < 0.05, voxelwise, P < 0.05, corrected within the RSC mask): PGBI-10M with amygdala–left posterior insula putamen (163 voxels; Pearson r = –0.52, P < 0.001; Spearman r = –0.57; P < 0.001 on extracted amygdala–left posterior insula putamen beta values; Fig. 1B and C; Table 3); and K-DRS with amygdala–right posterior insula (74 voxels; Pearson r = –0.44, P = 0.004; Spearman r = –0.39, P = 0.010; Fig. 1D and E; Table 3). Sex was not associated with RSC within this regression model. See Supplementary material for regression fit statistics.

LAMS youth with the lowest PGBI-10M scores showed significantly greater amygdala–bilateral posterior insula (Left 46 voxels; Right 98 voxels)/left putamen (50 voxels) RSC relative to LAMS youth with highest PGBI-10M scores (voxelwise P < 0.01; P < 0.05, corrected; Fig. 2; Table S3). The 18 highest and lowest KDRS scoring LAMS youth and the 18 HC did not differ significantly from each other on RSC (Table S4).

3.2. Secondary hypothesis (categorical)

Univariate analyses revealed no significant differences in amygdala–target region RSC between diagnostic categories. Thus, no multivariate regression analyses were conducted.

4. Discussion

This study aimed to identify relationships between intrinsic (resting state) connectivity in neural regions supporting emotion processing/regulation and measures of behavioral and emotional dysregulation in LAMS youth presenting with a variety of psychiatric diagnoses. Dimensional and categorical relationships were tested. Supporting our dimension-focused primary hypothesis, we found significant inverse relationships between 1) amygdala–left posterior insula and putamen RSC and PGBI-10M score, and 2) amygdala–right posterior insula RSC and depression symptom severity. Importantly, these findings remained significant even when co-variying for demographic variables that showed
Fig. 1. Entire bilateral ROI mask resting state analysis in LAMS youth (n=42) displayed in neurological convention. (A) Amygdala resting state functional connectivity (orange). (B) Amygdala–left insula/putamen connectivity associated with PGBI-10M (teal) (r = –0.44). (C) Overlap between amygdala resting state connectivity displayed in (A) and (B). (D) Amygdala–right insula connectivity associated with K-DRS (purple) (r = 0.52). (E) Overlap between amygdala resting state connectivity displayed in (A) and (D). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
significant relationships with RSC. In contrast, we did not find support for our secondary diagnostic category-focused hypothesis.

Overall, LAMS youth with behavioral and emotional dysregulation showed RSC between bilateral amygdala and several a priori regions supporting emotion regulation (Phillips et al., 2008): bilateral putamen, right VLPFC, bilateral OFC/dACC, and bilateral posterior insula. Altered connectivity among these regions has previously been reported in BPSD and depressed youth during resting state (Wu et al., 2013) and in BPSD youth during implicit emotion processing (Wang et al., 2012), suggesting that altered connectivity may underlie behavioral and emotional dysregulation both at rest and during task performance. Our key findings of significant inverse relationships resulted from decreased positive RSC with increasing severity of two dimensional measures. These findings suggest intrinsic functional uncoupling between amygdala and posterior insula in more behaviorally and emotionally

Table 2
Amygdala resting state connectivity in 42 LAMS youth. Region of interest analyses using voxelwise $P < 0.005$ and $P < 0.05$, AlphaSim corrected. Table rows represent the peak voxel within the specified region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>MNI coordinates</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>Test statistic (d.f.)</th>
<th>$P_{uncorrected}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right putamen</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>−7</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>$t(40) = 14.03$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left putamen/insula</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>−24</td>
<td>−7</td>
<td>−8</td>
<td>$t(40) = 13.95$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right VLPFC/insula</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>$t(40) = 10.96$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right OFC/dACC</td>
<td>11, 32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−14</td>
<td>$t(40) = 5.84$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left OFC/dACC</td>
<td>11, 24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>$t(40) = 5.34$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right dACC</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$t(40) = 5.30$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BA = Brodmann area; dACC = dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; d.f. = degrees of freedom; k = cluster size in voxels; MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates; OFC = orbitofrontal cortex; $P_{uncorrected}$ = uncorrected voxelwise probability value; $t$ = t-test statistical value; VLPFC = ventrolateral prefrontal cortex.

*** $p < .001$. 

Fig. 1. (continued)
dysregulated, as well as in more depressed, youth. Roles of the amygdala and putamen in emotion processing (Altshuler et al., 2005; Ochsner and Gross, 2005; Phillips et al., 2008), and that of the insula in the experience of, and response to, emotion are well-established (Dupont et al., 2003; Cauda et al., 2011). Studies also indicate divergent patterns of connectivity and related functions of anterior and posterior insula subregions (Dupont et al., 2003; Cauda et al., 2012). While the anterior insula has strong connectivity with orbitofrontal and ventrolateral prefrontal cortices (Dupont et al., 2003; Cauda et al., 2011), and is implicated in salience and emotion perception (Dolan, 2002; Dupont et al., 2003) and control of emotional and goal directed behavior (Cloutman et al., 2012), the posterior insula has connectivity with sensorimotor, temporal, and posterior cingulate cortices, and is implicated in interoceptive and emotional processing (Dupont et al., 2003; Cauda et al., 2011, 2012), and visceral experiences (Dupont et al., 2003). The above patterns of intrinsic functional uncoupling among amygdala, putamen, and posterior insula that are common across different diagnoses in LAMS youth may therefore represent pathophysiological processes predisposing youth to impaired integration of external emotional and interoceptive information (Dupont et al., 2003; Cauda et al., 2011, 2012), that in turn may result in behavioral and emotional dysregulation.

Our findings of decreased amygdala-posterior RSC in LAMS youth and subsequent relationships with clinical variables have some support from the literature. Negative relationships between amygdala–posterior insula, dACC, and prefrontal RSC in BPSD

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>MNI coordinates (x, y, z)</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Test statistic (d.f.)</th>
<th>Puncorrected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-DRS Right Insula</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>(36, -13, 10)</td>
<td>r(38) = -0.44</td>
<td>0.003**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGBI-10M Left Insula</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>(-30, -28, 10)</td>
<td>r(38) = -0.52</td>
<td>0.001***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Clastrum</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Putamen</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BA = Brodmann area; d.f. = degrees of freedom; k = cluster size in voxels; K-DRS = Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia for School-Age Children Present Episode Depression Rating Scale; MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates; Puncorrected = uncorrected voxelwise probability value; PGBI-10M = Parent General Behavior Inventory 10 Item Mania scale, r = Pearson’s correlation coefficient.

** p < .01.

*** p < .001.

---

**Fig. 2.** Comparison of amygdala resting state connectivity in 18 LAMS youth with low PGBI-10M scores and 18 LAMS with high PGBI-10M scores displayed in neurological convention.
The significance of left and right laterality RSC abnormalities associated with PGBI-10M and K-DRS, respectively, is unclear. Previous research highlights putative roles of the left forebrain in approach-related and affiliative emotions and the right forebrain in arousal and withdrawal-related emotion processing (Craig, 2005; Harmon-Jones et al., 2010). Greater functional decoupling between the amygdala and left posterior insula associated with greater PGBI-10M scores thus may represent a neural mechanism underlying the approach nature of some abnormal behaviors, such as difficulty regulating positive mood and energy, measured by this scale. In contrast, greater functional decoupling between amygdala and right posterior insula associated with greater K-DRS score may underlie the withdrawal nature of depressive symptoms measured by the K-DRS.

Limitations include data loss from data acquisition difficulties and from excessive motion (> 2 mm), especially in younger children with more severe pathology. We chose this conservative movement threshold because seed-based resting state approaches are sensitive to small head motion (Van Dijk et al., 2012). Many participants were taking psychotropic medication (n = 18); of those, eight were taking more than one class of medication. Power was insufficient to examine the influence of multiple psychotropic medications on RSC; thus, the impact of specific or multiple medication use on the brain, brain development, emotion regulation, and cognitive function is unknown. Univariate analyses, however, showed no significant effect of medication status (taking versus not taking psychotropics) on RSC. LAMS youth were scanned at multiple sites; however, advantages of multi-site neuroimaging, including increased statistical power and a large, diverse population, likely outweighed potential limitations. Furthermore, we implemented several measures to minimize inter-scanner differences. The mean PGBI-10M score was low (4.16), although the range (0–18) captured both low and high levels of behavioral and emotional dysregulation in LAMS youth. Further studies should replicate our findings and examine youth with higher PGBI-10M scores. PGBI-10M scores were not collected for HC, so we could not compare this dimensional measure between LAMS youth and HC. Finally, measures of pubertal status were not collected, precluding examination of the relationship between pubertal change and RSC.

The LAMS cohort provided a unique opportunity to examine the extent to which intrinsic neural connectivity either reflected dimensions of psychopathology or was associated with specific diagnostic categories in a large cohort of behaviorally and emotionally dysregulated youth. We found significant relationships between RSC and dimensional measures of behavioral and emotional dysregulation. Findings support using a dimensional approach, such as RDoC, to study neural mechanisms underlying psychopathology and utilizing RSC as a measure of intrinsic connectivity among neural regions. Ultimately, by combining dimensional and diagnostic categorical approaches, future research may identify objective biomarkers to help identify and treat youth with, or at risk for, psychopathology characterized by behavioral and emotional dysregulation.
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