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## Summary

This report highlights the sexual and reproductive health findings from the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey (Youth19). It is designed to be read with the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods report (Fleming, Peiris-John et al., 2020), which explains how the survey was conducted, who was included and how to interpret the results. The prevalence estimates for 2001, 2007, 2012 and 2019 are national estimates (i.e., the data reported has been calibrated to adjust for differences between the national population of students and those who took part in the surveys, as outlined in the Introduction and Methods report). We have highlighted in the text where there are significant differences between groups, however, when confidence intervals overlap, differences are within the margin of error and are not statistically signficant. While it may appear that there are differences between groups, if the confidence interval intervals overlap we will highlight that there are no substantial differences. The Introduction and Methods report and other Youth19 outputs are available at www.youth19.ac.nz.

Sexuality is normal part of life and young people should be equipped with the knowledge, skills and services that support them to make healthy informed decisions, now and in their future relationships. As part of Youth19, secondary school students answered questions about their sexual and reproductive health. Before answering the questions in this section, students were reminded that their answers were confidential and asked if they wanted to answer questions about their sexual health. Students who responded 'no' went straight to the next section, while those who agreed were asked questions about whether they had been sexually active and the use of contraception and condoms.

In summary, Youth19 sexual health data shows that:

- Sexual activity among youth has significantly reduced between 2001 and 2019
- Senior students are more likely to be sexually active than junior secondary school students
- Students are waiting longer to have sex compared to those in previous surveys
- Condom use has not improved significantly among students in 18 years; a smaller proportion of sexually active students always used condoms in 2019 (41\%) compared to 2001 (49\%)
- Contraception use has not improved for sexually active students; compared to 2001, 2007 and 2012, sexually active students in 2019 are less likely to always use contraception.

There are differences by ethnicity for sexual health:

- Fewer Māori students have ever had sex and fewer were sexually active in 2019, compared to previous surveys. Sexually active Māori students are less likely to use contraception consistently (42\%) compared to Pākehā and other European students (61\%)
- Fewer Pacific students have ever had sex and fewer were sexually active in 2019, compared to previous surveys. Sexually active Pacific students are less likely to use condoms (29\%) and contraception (30\%) consistently compared to Pākehā and other European students ( $44 \%$ and $61 \%$, respectively)
- Asian students are less likely to be sexually active and more likely to use condoms (52\%) compared to Pākehā and other European students (44\%)
- Pākehā and other European students are less likely to be sexually active in 2019 compared to 2012 but, among those who are sexually active, there has been no improvement in condom and contraception use since 2001.

The sexual and reproductive health of students is strongly influenced by healthcare access, discrimination and poverty:

- Sexually active students in low decile schools are significantly less likely to use condoms or contraception compared to those in medium and high decile schools.
- Concerningly, Family Planning and sexual health services are more accessible to students from high income areas, high decile schools and urban areas, and to female and senior secondary school students.
- Our latest healthcare access report shows that access to high-quality, private and confidential healthcare for students is poor (Peiris-John et al., 2020).
- Another of our recent reports, Negotiating multiple identities: Intersecting identities among Māori, Pacific Rainbow and Disabled young people (Roy et al., 2020), found that ethnic discrimination, ableism and homophobia were key barriers to healthcare access for Māori and Pacific students.
- Our analysis also shows that poverty is a significant factor in limiting access to healthcare. Students in communities that are poorer, low decile schools, and smaller towns are at significant disadvantage (Peiris-John et al., 2020).
- Finally, students who participated in the survey are calling for easier access to services, better sexuality education and non-judgmental families, communities and services.

These findings highlight some major changes in the sexual behaviour of secondary school students over the last 20 years, with declining sexual behaviour and students waiting longer to start having sex. They also highlight lost opportunities to provide quality sexual and reproductive healthcare for young people who
are sexually active. The data presented in this report shows that we have made little progress on these indicators of contraception and condom use for New Zealand's youth.
'Mainstream' models of sexual healthcare persistently underperform for young people, and new models and strategies that serve youth in convenient and appropriate ways are required. Māori face additional health inequities, despite having greater healthcare needs. Healthcare services must prioritise safe and culturally safe care if taitamariki Māori are to engage with sexual and reproductive health services. Pacific youth, youth with disabilities and Rainbow youth similarly require specific health services that acknowledge and address their unique needs. There are some strong examples of services providing youth-appropriate care that is culturally safe and responsive to young people's diverse and complex needs. Increased resourcing is required to improve the reach of these services.

Finally, the significant and persistent impacts of socioeconomic disadvantage, poverty and deprivation on the sexual and reproductive health of young people must be addressed. Lack of financial resources is a significant barrier to sexual and reproductive healthcare, particularly for students in low decile schools. These findings support the provision of school-based health services, particularly in lower decile schools, and free and accessible sexual healthcare. While the sexual and reproductive health sector work hard to provide quality services, this inequity in healthcare speaks to much broader issues of systematic underinvestment and undervaluing of sexual and reproductive health over many years. Ultimately, New Zealand's young people require quality care that supports them to talk to non-judgmental health professionals with confidence. Without such care, we will continue to underserve this population.

More in-depth analyses exploring youth sexual healthcare access and quality are underway, and will be available via www.youth19.ac.nz.

## Findings

These findings are an overview of sexual and reproductive health issues for New Zealand secondary school students. Of note, this is not a comprehensive report, rather a brief, plain language overview to ensure that some sexual health data is available to the public. More detailed information, papers and reports will be available in future via our website. This report does not contain specific analyses highlighting the sexual behaviour and health of Rainbow participants. These findings will be included in a Rainbow report, where the nuances of sexual and reproductive health for these groups can be fully explored. Reports of findings for young people living with disabilities and a number of ethnic-specific analyses are also underway.

In this report, we present findings for the whole population (all students combined) for sexual activity (ever had sex and currently sexually active) and then for condom and contraception use. We then report these indicators for Māori, Pacific, Asian, and Pākehā and other European (Pākehā, for brevity) groups. We then describe healthcare access issues for sexual and reproductive health and share some qualitative youth quotes from the survey regarding the sexual health needs of students. Finally we highlight ways to support the sexual and reproductive health of young people in Aotearoa, with links to resources and further information.

## Survey design and delivery

We asked students whether they had ever had consensual sex: "Have you ever had sex? (by this we mean sexual intercourse). Only include sex that you wanted or consented to - this does not include sexual abuse or rape". They could choose to answer this question or skip it.

Overall, 21\% of secondary school students report they have ever had sex, with males more likely to report ever having sex than females. As would be expected, senior students were more likely to have had sex (e.g., 41\% of those aged 17 and over) compared to junior students (e.g., 6\% of those aged 13 and under). Students from low decile schools and high deprivation neighbourhoods were more likely to report having had sex compared to those from high decile schools and low deprivation neighbourhoods. Ever having sex has declined significantly between 2012 (26\%) and 2019
(21\%) and the age of initiating sexual activity has increased (i.e., students are older when they start having sex compared to 2001).
'Currently sexually active' refers to young people who report they had sex in the previous 3 months. Overall, 13\% of students report being sexually active, with no significant difference between males and females. Senior students were more likely to be currently sexually active (29\% of those aged 17 and over) compared to junior students (2\% of those aged 13 and under). Students in low decile schools were more likely to report being currently sexually active compared to those in high decile schools. There were no differences in being currently sexually active by neighbourhood deprivation. Students being sexually active in the previous 3 months has also significantly declined over time, from $21 \%$ in 2001 to $13 \%$ in 2019.

Table 1: Sexual activity, 2019

| Total | Ever had sex* |  | Currently sexually active ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
|  | 1,285 (7,215) | 20.7 [19.6-21.8] | $766(7,064)$ | 13.1 [11.8-14.4] |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 13 and under | $69(1,283)$ | 5.6 [4.7-6.4] | $25(1,269)$ | 2.0 [1.4-2.8] |
| 14 | $131(1,622)$ | 8.1 [6.2-9.9] | $58(1,610)$ | 3.4 [2.4-4.5] |
| 15 | $269(1,583)$ | 18.9 [17.0-20.7] | $159(1,555)$ | 11.3 [9.5-13.2] |
| 16 | $328(1,397)$ | 24.9 [21.9-27.9] | $201(1,353)$ | 15.4 [12.9-17.9] |
| 17 and over | $488(1,330)$ | 40.5 [37.5-43.4] | 323 (1,277) | 29.2 [26.1-32.2] |
| Sex^ |  |  |  |  |
| Male | $657(3,236)$ | 23.6 [21.8-25.4] | $324(3,105)$ | 13.8 [11.5-16.0] |
| Female | $628(3,979)$ | 17.9 [16.8-19.1] | $442(3,959)$ | 12.4 [11.4-13.5] |
| School year |  |  |  |  |
| Year 9 | $95(1,643)$ | 5.6 [4.8-6.3] | $34(1,627)$ | 2.2 [1.5-2.8] |
| Year 10 | $149(1,584)$ | 9.6 [7.7-11.6] | $78(1,570)$ | 4.7 [3.4-6.0] |
| Year 11 | $296(1,578)$ | 20.4 [17.9-23.0] | $176(1,549)$ | 11.9 [9.7-14.0] |
| Year 12 | $342(1,347)$ | 27.8 [24.7-30.9] | $212(1,299)$ | 17.9 [15.1-20.8] |
| Year 13 | $385(1,016)$ | 41.7 [38.4-45.0] | 253 (973) | 30.1 [26.9-33.4] |
| School decile** |  |  |  |  |
| Low | $324(1,338)$ | 27.5 [22.4-32.6] | $200(1,330)$ | 17.9 [13.6-22.2] |
| Medium | $562(3,017)$ | 21.8 [19.3-24.3] | $359(2,987)$ | 14.4 [12.5-16.3] |
| High | $394(2,819)$ | 17.0 [14.3-19.7] | $203(2,706)$ | 9.8 [7.2-12.4] |
| Neighbourhood deprivation\#\# |  |  |  |  |
| Low | $312(2,045)$ | 18.7 [16.3-21.1] | $185(1,988)$ | 12.6 [10.1-15.1] |
| Medium | $424(2,667)$ | 19.9 [17.5-22.3] | $249(2,612)$ | 11.6 [9.6-13.7] |
| High | 360 (1,782) | 23.0 [19.8-26.1] | $215(1,758)$ | 14.7 [12.1-17.4] |
| Urban / rural^^ |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | $752(4,917)$ | 19.1 [17.5-20.8] | $431(4,809)$ | 11.9 [10.2-13.7] |
| Small towns | 131 (520) | 24.9 [20.2-29.5] | 79 (510) | 15.0 [11.9-18.0] |
| Rural | $213(1,058)$ | 21.9 [19.3-24.5] | $139(1,040)$ | 14.6 [12.3-16.9] |

[^0]Table 2: Sexual activity trends

|  | 2001 |  | 2007 |  | 2012 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | $n(N)$ | \% [95\% CI] | $n(N)$ | \% [95\% CI] | $n(N)$ | \% [95\% CI] |
| Ever had sex* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 2,847 (9,053) | 31.5 [30.3-32.6] | 2,931 (8,064) | 37.6 [36.0-39.2] | 2,008 (8,236) | 26.0 [24.8-27.1] | 1,180 (6,907) | 20.6 [19.5-21.8] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1,342 (4,128) | 32.3 [30.4-34.2] | 1,610 (4,282) | 38.7 [36.4-41.0] | $925(3,725)$ | 25.8 [23.8-27.9] | $603(3,101)$ | 23.5 [21.7-25.3] |
| Female | 1,505 (4,925) | 30.6 [28.9-32.3] | 1,321 (3,782) | 36.6 [34.2-39.0] | 1,083 (4,511) | 26.1 [24.7-27.5] | $577(3,806)$ | 17.9 [16.7-19.0] |
| Currently sexually active |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,901 (8,945) | 21.2 [20.3-22.2] | 2,017 (7,715) | 27.4 [26.2-28.7] | 1,546 (8,234) | 20.1 [19.1-21.0] | $700(6,758)$ | 13.0 [11.8-14.3] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | $843(4,080)$ | 20.3 [18.9-21.7] | 1,056 (4,059) | 26.9 [25.2-28.7] | $678(3,720)$ | 19.0 [17.2-20.8] | $291(2,971)$ | 13.7 [11.4-15.9] |
| Female | 1,058 (4,865) | 22.2 [20.5-23.8] | $961(3,656)$ | 27.9 [25.9-29.8] | $868(4,514)$ | 21.1 [19.9-22.4] | $409(3,787)$ | 12.4 [11.4-13.5] |

[^1]
## Sexual and reproductive health of secondary school students

We asked students who reported that they had ever had sex, about condom and contraception use: "How often do you or your partner(s) use condoms to protect against sexually transmitted infections when having sex?" and "How often do you, or your partner(s) use contraception (by this, we mean protection against pregnancy)?". They could choose to answer these questions or skip them.

Overall, $41 \%$ of students who have ever had sex report always using condoms to protect themselves or their sexual partners from sexually transmitted infections. More males than females report using condoms with their sexual partners, with no substantial differences by age (i.e., confidence intervals overlap). Students in low decile schools were significantly less likely to use condoms (25\%) compared to those in medium (46\%)
and high decile schools (43\%). There were no significant differences by neighbourhood deprivation. Fewer sexually active students in 2019 reported always using condoms (41\%) compared to 2001 (49\%).

Overall, $52 \%$ of sexually active students report that they or their partner always use contraception to prevent pregnancy. There was no difference by sex, but those aged 17 and over were more likely to always use contraception compared to younger secondary school students. Students in low decile schools were significantly less likely to use contraception (33\%) compared to those in medium decile (54\%) and high decile schools (60\%). Fewer sexually active students in 2019 reported always using contraception compared to those in previous waves of the survey.

Table 3: Condom and contraception use, 2019

| Total | Always uses condoms |  | Always uses contraception |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
|  | $486(1,208)$ | 40.5 [37.0-44.1] | $614(1,247)$ | 51.5 [48.8-54.3] |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 13 and under | 21 (56) | 40.7 [27.2-54.2] | 22 (60) | 41.7 [31.0-52.4] |
| 14 | 46 (121) | 39.2 [29.9-48.6] | 49 (123) | 40.8 [29.6-52.0] |
| 15 | 113 (249) | 48.9 [40.3-57.6] | 113 (262) | 46.2 [38.7-53.8] |
| 16 | 133 (309) | 42.7 [38.1-47.3] | 164 (322) | 48.3 [42.8-53.8] |
| 17 and over | 173 (473) | 36.4 [31.6-41.1] | 266 (480) | 58.0 [54.3-61.6] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 278 (613) | 44.9 [39.4-50.4] | 304 (632) | 50.6 [45.1-56.1] |
| Female | 208 (595) | 35.0 [31.0-38.9] | 310 (615) | 52.7 [48.9-56.6] |
| School year |  |  |  |  |
| Year 9 | 29 (81) | 38.7 [28.9-48.4] | 27 (83) | 36.1 [28.0-44.2] |
| Year 10 | 51 (134) | 36.5 [28.3-44.7] | 52 (143) | 36.0 [27.1-44.9] |
| Year 11 | 114 (275) | 44.0 [36.8-51.2] | 124 (287) | 44.1 [38.3-50.0] |
| Year 12 | 143 (326) | 40.9 [35.5-46.4] | 190 (337) | 51.6 [47.2-56.0] |
| Year 13 | 141 (376) | 38.4 [33.1-43.8] | 213 (380) | 61.0 [56.7-65.3] |
| School decile* |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 73 (292) | 25.0 [20.1-29.8] | 102 (311) | 33.1 [28.8-37.3] |
| Medium | 229 (533) | 45.8 [40.1-51.4] | 280 (545) | 54.3 [49.5-59.2] |
| High | 181 (378) | 42.6 [36.0-49.3] | 230 (386) | 59.5 [56.0-62.9] |
| Neighbourhood deprivation* |  |  |  |  |
| Low | $312(2,045)$ | 18.7 [16.3-21.1] | $185(1,988)$ | 12.6 [10.1-15.1] |
| Medium | $424(2,667)$ | 19.9 [17.5-22.3] | $249(2,612)$ | 11.6 [9.6-13.7] |
| High | $360(1,782)$ | 23.0 [19.8-26.1] | $215(1,758)$ | 14.7 [12.1-17.4] |
| Urban / rural^ |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | $752(4,917)$ | 19.1 [17.5-20.8] | $431(4,809)$ | 11.9 [10.2-13.7] |
| Small towns | 131 (520) | 24.9 [20.2-29.5] | 79 (510) | 15.0 [11.9-18.0] |
| Rural | $213(1,058)$ | 21.9 [19.3-24.5] | $139(1,040)$ | 14.6 [12.3-16.9] |

[^2]Table 4: Condom and contraception use, 2019

|  | 2001 |  | 2007 |  | 2012 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | $n(N)$ | \% [95\% CI] | $n(N)$ | \% [95\% CI] |
| Always uses condoms* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $898(1,844)$ | 48.6 [46.4-50.9] | $903(1,995)$ | 45.3 [42.9-47.7] | $683(1,505)$ | 45.8 [43.4-48.3] | $465(1,118)$ | 40.9 [37.3-44.5] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 453 (814) | 55.8 [52.7-59.0] | $532(1,042)$ | 51.0 [47.9-54.1] | 337 (649) | 52.4 [49.0-55.8] | 264 (566) | 45.4 [39.9-50.9] |
| Female | $445(1,030)$ | 42.2 [39.2-45.3] | 371 (953) | 40.1 [36.6-43.7] | 346 (856) | 40.1 [36.5-43.7] | 201 (552) | 35.1 [31.2-39.1] |
| Always uses contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,099 (1,846) | 61.8 [59.6-64.1] | 1,126 (1,871) | 62.1 [59.7-64.5] | $870(1,495)$ | 59.5 [56.8-62.2] | $584(1,151)$ | 51.7 [49.0-54.5] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 486 (800) | 63.0 [59.6-66.5] | 567 (955) | 61.4 [57.8-65.0] | 359 (644) | 56.4 [52.4-60.5] | 287 (582) | 50.9 [45.4-56.4] |
| Female | $613(1,046)$ | 60.8 [57.6-64.1] | 559 (916) | 62.8 [59.2-66.4] | 511 (851) | 62.2 [58.6-65.8] | 297 (569) | 52.8 [48.9-56.7] |

[^3]
## Sexual and reproductive health of rangatahi Māori

For Māori students, overall, 31\% have 'ever had sex', with no substantial differences between males and females or by neighbourhood deprivation. Ever having sex has declined significantly between 2001 (50\%) and 2019 (31\%), and the age of initiating sexual activity has increased (i.e., students were older on average when they started having sex in 2019, compared to 2001).
'Currently sexually active' refers to rangatahi Māori who report that they had sex in the previous 3 months. Overall, $20 \%$ of Māori students report being currently sexually active, with no substantial differences between males and females or by neighbourhood deprivation. Students being sexually active has also significantly
declined over time, from 28\% of Māori students in 2012 to 20\% in 2019.

Overall, $37 \%$ of sexually active rangatahi Māori report always using condoms to protect themselves or their sexual partners from sexually transmitted infections. There were no substantial differences on this indicator between males and females or by neighbourhood deprivation (i.e., confidence intervals overlap).

Overall, $42 \%$ of sexually active rangatahi Māori report that they or their partner always use contraception to prevent pregnancy, with no substantial differences between males and females or by neighbourhood deprivation (i.e., confidence intervals ovlerap).

Table 5: Rangatahi Māori sexual activity, 2019*

|  | Ever had sex |  | Currently sexually active |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
| Total | $405(1,351)$ | 31.4 [27.5-35.3] | $243(1,326)$ | 20.0 [16.7-23.3] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 207 (613) | 33.8 [28.1-39.5] | 116 (593) | 20.9 [15.8-25.9] |
| Female | 198 (738) | 28.7 [25.1-32.4] | 127 (733) | 19.1 [15.9-22.4] |
| Neighbourhood deprivation ${ }^{\#}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 44 (189) | 26.6 [19.4-33.7] | 26 (184) | 19.3 [13.0-25.5] |
| Medium | 110 (378) | 33.4 [28.6-38.2] | 62 (368) | 17.2 [12.8-21.6] |
| High | 165 (540) | 30.2 [23.8-36.5] | 105 (535) | 21.6 [15.6-27.7] |

[^4]Table 6: Rangatahi Māori sexual activity trends*

|  | 2001 |  | 2007 |  | 2012 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n(N)$ | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
| Ever had sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,046 (2,198) | 49.7 [47.1-52.2] | $827(1,484)$ | 56.4 [53.3-59.6] | $583(1,637)$ | 37.7 [34.4-40.9] | $306(1,063)$ | 31.2 [27.2-35.3] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | $501(1,015)$ | 51.3 [48.2-54.5] | 426 (748) | 57.9 [53.2-62.5] | 279 (766) | 38.5 [33.9-43.1] | 158 (489) | 33.6 [27.7-39.5] |
| Female | $545(1,183)$ | 47.9 [44.5-51.3] | 401 (736) | 55.2 [51.3-59.2] | 304 (871) | 36.8 [32.9-40.8] | 148 (574) | 28.6 [24.9-32.3] |
| Currently sexually active |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $713(2,135)$ | 35.0 [33.0-37.0] | $587(1,394)$ | 42.7 [39.6-45.7] | 432 (1,630) | 27.8 [25.2-30.4] | $182(1,040)$ | 19.9 [16.5-23.3] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 326 (987) | 34.6 [32.0-37.2] | 294 (695) | 43.0 [38.7-47.2] | 198 (763) | 26.8 [23.5-30.2] | 88 (470) | 20.7 [15.5-25.9] |
| Female | $387(1,148)$ | 35.4 [32.5-38.2] | 293 (699) | 42.4 [38.3-46.6] | 234 (867) | 28.8 [25.4-32.3] | 94 (570) | 19.1 [15.7-22.4] |

* Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
When comparing survey years: 1) students from kura kaupapa Māori are not included in 2019 results, as previous years did not include kura kaupapa Māori students. 2) The data reported has been calibrated to adjust for differences between the national population of students and those who took part in surveys.
See the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods report for details (available at www.youth19.ac.nz).

Table 7: Rangatahi Māori condom and contraception use, 2019*

| Total | Always uses condoms |  | Always uses contraception |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
|  | 124 (366) | 36.6 [30.9-42.2] | 152 (386) | 42.0 [36.9-47.0] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 75 (188) | 40.1 [32.9-47.4] | 83 (197) | 41.5 [32.3-50.7] |
| Female | 49 (178) | 32.0 [24.4-39.6] | 69 (189) | 42.5 [35.3-49.7] |
| Neighbourhood deprivation ${ }^{\text {\# }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 15 (39) | 45.1 [32.5-57.6] | 20 (42) | 53.2 [37.5-68.8] |
| Medium | 44 (103) | 38.7 [29.3-48.0] | 54 (105) | 43.6 [32.9-54.2] |
| High | 42 (148) | 29.1 [23.3-34.9] | 56 (159) | 38.7 [31.4-46.0] |

* Ethnicity is categorised using the $N Z$ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
\# NZ Deprivation Index 2018: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).
Table 8: Rangatahi Māori condom and contraception use trends*

|  | 2001 |  | 2007 |  | 2012 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} n \\ (N) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ {[95 \% \mathrm{Cl}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n} \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ {[95 \% \mathrm{CI}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ (N) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ {[95 \% \mathrm{Cl}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ (N) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ {[95 \% \mathrm{CI}]} \end{gathered}$ |
| Always uses condoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 319 (692) | 46.0 [42.1-50.0] | 209 (580) | 36.0 [32.4-39.6] | 182 (417) | 43.5 [38.0-49.0] | 104 (281) | 37.1 [31.2-43.0] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 169 (315) | 53.3 [47.2-59.4] | 124 (290) | 42.3 [37.8-46.8] | 95 (186) | 51.6 [43.5-59.7] | 62 (145) | 40.7 [33.1-48.3] |
| Female | 150 (377) | 38.5 [33.9-43.1] | 85 (290) | 30.6 [25.2-36.0] | 87 (231) | 35.9 [28.5-43.2] | 42 (136) | 32.5 [24.7-40.4] |
| Always uses contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 352 (691) | 50.6 [45.6-55.7] | 278 (534) | 52.0 [47.0-56.9] | 204 (419) | 47.8 [41.9-53.7] | 123 (296) | 42.3 [37.1-47.5] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 171 (308) | 55.5 [48.7-62.2] | 132 (256) | 51.7 [46.5-56.9] | 95 (188) | 49.4 [40.8-58.1] | 67 (152) | 41.8 [32.3-51.3] |
| Female | 181 (383) | 45.8 [40.0-51.6] | 146 (278) | 52.2 [44.2-60.2] | 109 (231) | 46.3 [38.3-54.2] | 56 (144) | 42.9 [35.6-50.3] |

[^5]
## Sexual and reproductive health of Pacific youth

For Pacific students, overall, 22\% have 'ever had sex', with males more likely to report having had sex compared to females. There was no difference on this indicator by neighbourhood deprivation (i.e., confidence intervals overlap). Ever having sex declined significantly between 2012 (29\%) and 2019 (22\%), particularly among females. The age of initiating sexual activity has increased (i.e., students were older on average when they started having sex in 2019, compared to 2001).
'Currently sexually active' refers to Pacific youth who report that they had sex in the previous 3 months. Overall, 12\% of students report being sexually active, with males more likely to report being sexually active compared to females. There was no difference on this indicator by neighbourhood deprivation (i.e., confidence intervals overlap). Being currently sexually active
has declined significantly between 2001 (20\%) and 2019 (12\%).

Overall, 29\% of sexually active Pacific youth report always using condoms to protect themselves or their sexual partners from sexually transmitted infections. There were no substantial differences on this indicator between males and females or by neighbourhood deprivation (i.e., confidence intervals overlap). Condom use among sexually active Pacific males decreased between 2001 (54\%) and 2019 (29\%).

Overall, 30\% of sexually active Pacific youth report that they or their partner always use contraception to prevent pregnancy, with no substantial differences between males and females or by neighbourhood deprivation (i.e., confidence intervals overlap). Contraception use among sexually active Pacific males decreased between 2001 (52\%) and 2019 (28\%).

Table 9: Pacific youth sexual activity, 2019*

| Total | Ever had sex |  | Currently sexually active |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
|  | 159 (832) | 22.0 [18.6-25.4] | 89 (815) | 12.0 [9.4-14.7] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 92 (326) | 30.9 [27.8-34.0] | 42 (310) | 16.2 [11.9-20.5] |
| Female | 67 (506) | 14.6 [12.5-16.8] | 47 (505) | 8.7 [6.2-11.1] |
| Neighbourhood deprivation* |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 12 (67) | 17.1 [11.9-22.2] | 9 (65) | 14.2 [10.1-18.3] |
| Medium | 43 (201) | 24.9 [17.5-32.3] | 22 (195) | 13.7 [6.5-20.9] |
| High | 82 (482) | 21.4 [16.2-26.6] | 43 (475) | 10.4 [6.4-14.4] |

[^6]Table 10: Pacific youth sexual activity trends*

*Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
When comparing survey years: 1) students from kura kaupapa Māori are not included in 2019 results, as previous years did not include kura kaupapa Māori
students. 2) The data reported has been calibrated to adjust for differences between the national population of students and those who took part in surveys. See
the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods report for details (available at www.youth19.ac.nz).

Table 11: Pacific youth condom and contraception use 2019*

| Total | Always uses condoms |  | Always uses contraception |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
|  | 40 (143) | 28.6 [21.1-36.0] | 50 (155) | 30.4 [22.4-38.4] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 23 (80) | 28.3 [18.6-38.1] | 24 (89) | 27.6 [17.6-37.6] |
| Female | 17 (63) | 29.0 [17.6-40.4] | 26 (66) | 35.3 [28.3-42.4] |
| Neighbourhood deprivation* |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 4 (12) | 31.5 [10.9-52.1] | 3 (12) | 26.6 [8.1-45.1] |
| Medium | 11 (41) | 32.5 [15.2-49.8] | 13 (41) | 34.9 [16.9-52.9] |
| High | 20 (72) | 26.7 [18.4-35.0] | 26 (80) | 28.5 [19.6-37.5] |

[^7]Table 12: Pacific youth condom and contraception use trends*

|  | 2001 |  | 2007 |  | 2012 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ {[95 \% \mathrm{Cl}]} \end{gathered}$ | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
| Always uses condoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 51 (120) | 41.2 [33.0-49.4] | 63 (204) | 30.4 [26.1-34.7] | 75 (217) | 36.2 [31.1-41.3] | 40 (140) | 28.6 [21.2-36.1] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 33 (59) | 54.2 [43.1-65.3] | 43 (117) | 38.5 [30.4-46.7] | 41 (109) | 38.2 [32.0-44.4] | 23 (78) | 28.5 [18.7-38.2] |
| Female | 18 (61) | 27.2 [16.9-37.5] | 20 (87) | 22.0 [16.1-27.9] | 34 (108) | 33.5 [24.3-42.7] | 17 (62) | 29.0 [17.6-40.5] |
| Always uses contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 49 (118) | 41.6 [33.4-49.8] | 73 (188) | 35.9 [29.6-42.1] | 91 (215) | 42.9 [34.0-51.7] | 50 (151) | 30.5 [22.5-38.5] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 30 (58) | 51.8 [40.9-62.8] | 51 (109) | 47.6 [37.7-57.5] | 42 (105) | 40.2 [28.9-51.6] | 24 (86) | 27.7 [17.8-37.7] |
| Female | 19 (60) | 29.9 [21.1-38.8] | 22 (79) | 23.5 [14.5-32.5] | 49 (110) | 46.4 [35.4-57.4] | 26 (65) | 35.2 [28.2-42.3] |

*Ethnicity is categorised Using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
When comparing survey years: 1) students from kura kaupapa Māori are not included in 2019 results, as previous years did not include kura kaupapa Māori
students. 2) The data reported has been calibrated to adjust for differences between the national population of students and those who took part in surveys. See
the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods report for details (available at www.youth19.ac.nz).

## Sexual and reproductive health of Asian youth

For Asian students, overall, 12\% have 'ever had sex', with no substantial differences between males and females. Ever having sex has declined significantly between 2001 (19\%) and 2019 (12\%).
'Currently sexually active' refers to Asian youth who report that they had sex in the previous 3 months. Overall, 5\% of students report being sexually active, with no substantial difference between males and females. Students living in medium deprivation neighbourhoods were less likely to be currently sexually active (3\%) than those living in high deprivation neighbourhoods (9\%). Students being sexually active has also declined significantly between 2001 (11\%) and 2019 (5\%).

Overall, 52\% of sexually active Asian students always use condoms to protect themselves or their sexual partners from sexually transmitted infections. There were no substantial differences on this indicator between males and females or by deprivation (i.e, confidence intervals overlap). There were no substantial changes in condom use between 2001 and 2019.

Overall, 46\% of sexually active Asian students report that they or their partner always use contraception to prevent pregnancy, with no substantial differences between males and females or by deprivation. There were no changes in contraception use between 2001 and 2019.

Table 13: Asian youth sexual activity, 2019*

|  | Ever had sex |  | Currently sexually active |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
| Total | 153 (1,690) | 11.7 [9.5-13.8] | $70(1,661)$ | 5.3 [4.1-6.5] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 75 (782) | 12.3[9.7-14.9] | 24(758) | 5.5 [3.3-7.7] |
| Female | 78 (908) | 11.0 [7.5-14.5] | 46 (903) | 5.1 [3.6-6.7] |
| Neighbourhood deprivation* |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 42 (416) | 13.5 [9.6-17.4] | 15 (404) | 4.6 [1.9-7.2] |
| Medium | 59 (787) | 8.9 [5.6-12.2] | 26 (774) | 3.3 [1.6-5.0] |
| High | 36 (356) | 14.3 [10.2-18.4] | 21 (354) | 9.3 [5.7-12.9] |

[^8]Table 14: Asian youth sexual activity trends*

*Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
When comparing survey years: 1) students from kura kaupapa Māori are not included in 2019 results, as previous years did not include kura kaupapa Māori
students. 2) The data reported has been calibrated to adjust for differences between the national population of students and those who took part in surveys. See
the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods report for details (available at www.youth19.ac.nz).

Table 15: Asian youth condom and contraception use, 2019*

|  | Always uses condoms |  | Always uses contraception |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
| Total | 76 (145) | 51.7 [44.6-58.9] | 70 (146) | 46.4 [35.6-57.2] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 41 (71) | 55.2 [45.1-65.3] | 30 (71) | 43.4 [28.9-57.9] |
| Female | 35 (74) | 47.5 [36.2-58.8] | 40 (75) | 50.0 [31.8-68.1] |
| Neighbourhood deprivation\# |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 25 (40) | 56.7 [41.4-71.9] | 22 (41) | 55.2 [42.4-67.9] |
| Medium | 33 (56) | 61.2 [50.2-72.2] | 25 (57) | 38.3 [22.8-53.8] |
| High | 14 (34) | 42.6 [26.0-59.2] | 16 (34) | 47.7 [28.5-67.0] |

* Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
\# NZ Deprivation Index 2018: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).
Table 16: Asian youth condom and contraception use trends*

|  | 2001 |  | 2007 |  | 2012 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{N})$ | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
| Always uses condoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 28 (57) | 44.9 [31.8-58.1] | 55 (98) | 56.7 [47.9-65.6] | 40 (86) | 50.9 [39.2-62.5] | 76 (145) | 51.8 [44.6-59.0] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 12 (22) | 49.2 [28.6-69.8] | 28 (49) | 57.9 [44.3-71.4] | 21 (40) | 57.9 [40.9-74.9] | 41 (71) | 55.3 [45.2-65.4] |
| Female | 16 (35) | 41.8 [23.5-60.1] | 27 (49) | 55.7 [43.3-68.1] | 19 (46) | 43.6 [28.8-58.3] | 35 (74) | 47.5 [36.2-58.9] |
| Always uses contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 33 (60) | 50.7 [40.4-61.0] | 52 (92) | 58.2 [49.6-66.8] | 41 (81) | 55.4 [45.6-65.3] | 70 (146) | 46.4 [35.6-57.2] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 15 (23) | 61.4 [45.0-77.8] | 24 (47) | 52.3 [40.8-63.8] | 19 (37) | 57.5 [42.6-72.4] | 30 (71) | 43.5 [29.0-58.0] |
| Female | 18 (37) | 42.5 [30.3-54.7] | 28 (45) | 63.9 [52.2-75.7] | 22 (44) | 53.3 [38.1-68.5] | 40 (75) | 49.9 [31.7-68.0] |

[^9]When comparing survey years: 1) students from kura kaupapa Māori are not included in 2019 results, as previous years did not include kura kaupapa Māori students. 2) The data reported has been calibrated to adjust for differences between the national population of students and those who took part in surveys. See the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods report for details (available at www.youth19.ac.nz).

## Sexual and reproductive health of Pākehā and other European youth

For Pākehā students, overall, 19\% have 'ever had sex', with males more frequently reporting ever having sex. Ever having sex has declined significantly between 2001 (28\%) and 2019 (19\%).
'Currently sexually active' refers to Pākehā youth who report that they had sex in the previous 3 months. Overall, $13 \%$ of students report being sexually active, with no substantial differences between males and females or by neighbourhood deprivation. Students being sexually active has also declined significantly over time, from 19\% in 2001 to $13 \%$ in 2019.

Overall, $44 \%$ of sexually active Pākehā youth report always using condoms to
protect themselves or their sexual partners from sexually transmitted infections. Males more frequently report using condoms with their sexual partner/s compared to females. There were no substantial differences in condom use by neighbourhood deprivation or between 2001 and 2019.

Overall, $61 \%$ of sexually active Pākehā youth report that they or their partner always use contraception to prevent pregnancy, with no substantial differences between males and females or by neighbourhood deprivation. There was a decrease in contraception use among sexually active Pākehā youth over time, from 70\% in both 2001 and 2007, to $69 \%$ in 2012, and $61 \%$ in 2019.

Table 17: Pākehā and other European youth sexual activity 2019*

|  | Ever had sex |  | Currently sexually active |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
| Total | $524(2,983)$ | 18.8 [17.0-20.7] | $336(2,907)$ | 12.5 [10.7-14.2] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | $256(1,338)$ | 21.7 [18.6-24.8] | $127(1,271)$ | 12.8 [10.2-15.4] |
| Female | $268(1,645)$ | 16.2 [14.8-17.6] | $209(1,636)$ | 12.2 [10.6-13.7] |
| Neighbourhood deprivation\# |  |  |  |  |
| Low | $205(1,275)$ | 18.4 [15.7-21.1] | $132(1,239)$ | 12.8 [10.3-15.4] |
| Medium | $194(1,157)$ | 18.0 [14.2-21.7] | $126(1,132)$ | 11.3 [9.0-13.6] |
| High | 68 (319) | 21.3 [18.3-24.4] | 40 (310) | 13.3 [10.0-16.5] |

[^10]Table 18: Pākehā and other European youth sexual activity trends*

|  | 2001 |  | 2007 |  | 2012 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} n \\ \text { (N) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ {[95 \% \mathrm{Cl}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ (\mathrm{~N}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ {[95 \% \mathrm{Cl}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ {[95 \% \mathrm{Cl}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n \\ (N) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ {[95 \% \mathrm{CI}]} \end{gathered}$ |
| Ever had sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,391 (5,248) | 28.3 [26.9-29.6] | 1,464 (4,384) | 34.5 [32.4-36.7] | $893(3,960)$ | 23.8 [22.3-25.3] | $524(2,980)$ | 18.8 [17.0-20.7] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | $636(2,379)$ | 28.3 [26.2-30.4] | $814(2,370)$ | 35.4 [32.4-38.3] | 376 (1,750) | 22.2 [19.7-24.6] | $256(1,336)$ | 21.8 [18.7-24.8] |
| Female | $755(2,869)$ | 28.3 [26.4-30.2] | $650(2,014)$ | 33.7 [31.0-36.3] | $517(2,210)$ | 25.4 [23.5-27.4] | $268(1,644)$ | 16.2 [14.8-17.6] |
| Currently sexually active |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $942(5,229)$ | 19.2 [18.0-20.4] | 1,024 (4,238) | 25.3 [23.5-27.0] | $713(3,960)$ | 19.2 [17.9-20.4] | $336(2,904)$ | 12.5 [10.7-14.3] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | $392(2,367)$ | 17.4 [15.6-19.1] | $540(2,274)$ | 24.5 [22.4-26.6] | $284(1,750)$ | 17.0 [14.8-19.2] | $127(1,269)$ | 12.8 [10.2-15.4] |
| Female | $550(2,862)$ | 20.9 [19.0-22.8] | $484(1,964)$ | 26.0 [23.5-28.6] | $429(2,210)$ | 21.2 [19.3-23.1] | $209(1,635)$ | 12.2 [10.6-13.7] |

*Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
When comparing survey years: 1) students from kura kaupapa Mäori are not included in 2019 results, as previous years did not include kura kaupapa Māori
students. 2) The data reported has been calibrated to adjust for differences between the national population of students and those who took part in surveys. See
the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods report for details (available at www.youth19.ac.nz).

Table 19: Pākehā and other European youth condom and contraception use, 2019*

|  | Always uses condoms |  | Always uses contraception |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
| Total | 231 (512) | 43.6 [37.9-49.3] | 321 (518) | 61.2 [57.5-64.8] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 128 (247) | 50.3 [42.5-58.1] | 155 (250) | 62.8 [58.0-67.6] |
| Female | 103 (265) | 35.5 [28.4-42.5] | 166 (268) | 59.2 [54.6-63.8] |
| Neighbourhood deprivation* |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 100 (202) | 44.0 [36.0-51.9] | 126 (202) | 62.6 [58.1-67.0] |
| Medium | 81 (188) | 43.9 [35.1-52.8] | 127 (192) | 65.0 [56.6-73.5] |
| High | 24 (66) | 38.4 [23.9-52.9] | 37 (68) | 50.6 [41.0-60.1] |

* Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
\# NZ Deprivation Index 2018: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).
Table 20: Pākehā and other European youth condom and contraception use trends*

|  | 2001 |  | 2007 |  | 2012 |  | 2019 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] | n (N) | \% [95\% CI] |
| Always uses condoms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 482 (923) | 51.2 [48.4-54.1] | 512 (1,013) | 50.1 [46.9-53.2] | 339 (699) | 47.7 [43.8-51.6] | 231 (512) | 43.6 [37.9-49.3] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 226 (386) | 58.9 [54.4-63.4] | 298 (532) | 54.9 [50.5-59.3] | 154 (271) | 55.7 [50.7-60.6] | 128 (247) | 50.3 [42.5-58.1] |
| Female | 256 (537) | 45.1 [41.1-49.2] | 214 (481) | 45.5 [41.2-49.9] | 185 (428) | 42.0 [37.1-46.8] | 103 (265) | 35.5 [28.4-42.5] |
| Always uses contraception |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 642 (923) | 69.9 [67.2-72.6] | 657 (962) | 70.0 [66.6-73.4] | 486 (694) | 69.3 [65.7-72.9] | 321 (518) | 61.2 [57.5-64.9] |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 255 (379) | 69.5 [64.9-74.0] | 326 (494) | 67.2 [62.1-72.3] | 177 (270) | 64.4 [59.3-69.5] | 155 (250) | 62.8 [58.0-67.6] |
| Female | 387 (544) | 70.2 [66.5-74.0] | 331 (468) | 72.5 [68.4-76.6] | 309 (424) | 72.9 [68.5-77.3] | 166 (268) | 59.3 [54.7-63.9] |

*Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
When comparing survey years: 1) students from kura kaupapa Māori are not included in 2019 results, as previous years did not include kura kaupapa Māori
students. 2) The data reported has been calibrated to adjust for differences between the national population of students and those who took part in surveys. See
the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods report for details (available at www.youth19.ac.nz).

## Access to sexual and reproductive healthcare

As detailed in the Youth19 healthcare access report (Peiris-John et al., 2020), most students ( $74 \%$ ) access healthcare from their primary care providers (i.e., GPs), followed by school-based health services (22\%). There is a concerning increase in the proportion of young people who are unable to access healthcare when needed ( $18 \%$ in 2012 and $20 \%$ in 2019), particularly among Māori ( $22 \%$ in 2012 and $27 \%$ in 2019). The decline in condom and contraception use among young people who are having sex demonstrates that we as health providers need to do better.

For youth to get good sexual and reproductive healthcare, health providers and services must provide high-quality conditions for these consultations - including ensuring privacy and confidentiality. Young people will not disclose personal sexual behaviour when caregivers are present or when confidentiality has not been explicitly discussed. Despite this, only $40 \%$ of the students accessing healthcare in the previous year had talked with a healthcare provider in private and only $44 \%$ were assured of confidentiality. Talking with a health
professional in private has not improved for females since 2012, but a greater proportion of males talked privately with a healthcare provider in 2019 (42\%) than in 2007 (36\%). There was no significant change between 2012 and 2019 for students being assured confidentiality by health professionals.

Family Planning or sexual health clinics were accessed by only $2.7 \%$ of students. These services were used more by students from high-income areas (4.3\%) compared to medium deprivation areas (1.5\%), high decile schools (4.3\%) compared to low decile (1.4\%) and medium decile ( $1.7 \%$ ) schools, and urban areas (3.0\%) compared to small towns (1.4\%). These services were also used more by older students (e.g., $5.8 \%$ for those aged 17 and over compared to $0.9 \%$ for 14 -year-olds and $1.8 \%$ for 15 -year-olds) and female students (3.9\% compared to $1.5 \%$ for male students).

Please see the Youth19 healthcare access report (Peiris-John et al., 2020; available at www.youth19.ac.nz) for more details.

## Youth voice

In the open text parts of the Youth19 survey, we asked students about the biggest challenges facing young people today and what could be changed to support them. As shown in Table 21, 1500-2800 participants answered these questions (for more detail, see our [Fleming, Ball et al., 2020], available via www.youth19.ac.nz). Participants commonly reported that mental health issues,
climate change and other factors affecting their futures (such as job insecurity and housing insecurity) were the most important issues. Smaller numbers identified issues such as abuse, restricted choices, sexuality and identity as important. A number of students called for improved support around sex or gender identity and sexual health education. Example quotes are given below.

## Acceptance and support

In the area of sexual and reproductive health and sexuality, students highlighted the need for more support, particularly for those with poor access to quality sexuality education and health services.

Not having a voice in their future.
Needing a larger support of sexual health.

- Pacific female, age 16, school decile 1

Support people to come out... by having more support groups in schools.

- Pacific female, age 13, school decile 1

There were also broader issues around sexual and reproductive health and young people wanting to have a voice and a hand in reshaping and improving our communities in Aotearoa.

The older generation not being accepting of the changes in today's society for the younger generation e.g. sexuality and that we are trying to help improve the environment but feel that our voice is not being heard.

- Māori female, age 14, school decile 1



## What young people think should be done

There was a strong call to improve sexuality education and information that included safer sex, sexual wellbeing, relationships, and sexual and gender identities.

Better healthcare regarding mental and disability health, better education mainly concerning sexual well-being and better youth protection.

- Pākehā, gender diverse, age 16, school decile 4

More programs that teach people about sexualities and health.

- Pākehā female, age 15, school decile 4

Young people also expressed the need for accessible, appropriate and safe healthcare. There was a strong call for relatable, well-trained health professionals who were known to them highlighting the importance of relationships and trust for young people before they will engage in care, particularly for Māori.

Trained professionals wandering around locations e.g. schools just talking to students without having an appointment and the professionals themselves go around and speak out as young people might be too shy to go to them to talk about their issues.

- Māori male, age 15, school decile 7

Students highlighted the need for empowering and non-judgmental approaches to education and healthcare that acknowledged their many pathways in life. They wanted to eradicate various types of discrimination, such as that based on ethnicity, sexuality, gender, religion or being a teen parent.
...to have more people empower the youth instead of bringing us down... Establishing things such as more teen parent units or educating us more about safe sex.
There should definitely be more youth courses and it shouldn't be normalised that "to be successful you need to go to school and university".

- Māori female, age 17, school decile 10
... free condoms and more support and recognition of mental health.
- Māori female, age 16, school decile 6

Table 21: Open-text question response rate, by sex, school decile \& ethnicity

|  | Q1 Biggest problem |  | Q2 Solutions |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ | Number | \% |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 7 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |
| Female | 1515 | $37 \%$ | 836 | $20 \%$ |
| Male | 1226 | $35 \%$ | 727 | $21 \%$ |
| Another gender | 22 | $38 \%$ | 16 | $28 \%$ |
| Low decile school (1-3) | 533 | $34 \%$ | 303 | $20 \%$ |
| Mid decile school (4-7) | 1021 | $32 \%$ | 570 | $18 \%$ |
| High decile school (8-10) | 1198 | $42 \%$ | 699 | $24 \%$ |
| Asian | 657 | $37 \%$ | 361 | $20 \%$ |
| European | 1107 | $36 \%$ | 639 | $21 \%$ |
| Māori | 476 | $31 \%$ | 285 | $19 \%$ |
| Pacific | 382 | $40 \%$ | 213 | $23 \%$ |
| Other ethnicity | 136 | $35 \%$ | 78 | $20 \%$ |

## What will improve the sexual and reproductive health of young people?

There are many things we can do in our homes, schools and communities to help support the sexual health, sexuality and relationships of young people. Things that help promote good sexual health include:

For families - facilitate conversations that start early about relationships, boundaries and safety by:

- Ensuring that young people have access to quality information
- Supporting them to access healthcare (of any kind) when they need it
- Preparing young people with conversations about porn, sexting and online sexual experiences (sending nudes, etc.)
- Talking about consent and setting boundaries
- Talking about difficult relationship issues and how to negotiate them (e.g., breakups, bullying, pressure to send nudes)
- Providing a safe and accepting space so that they will talk to you when they need to.

For schools - provide high-quality sexuality education through:

- Ensuring staff are well prepared to teach sexuality education through professional development opportunities
- Implementing the refreshed sexuality education guidelines (Ministry of Education, 2020), which provide updated guidance for sexuality education, including positive relationships, consent, pornography, culturally responsive sexuality education and inclusive sexuality education for Takatāpui and Rainbow students
- Providing high-quality school health services and ensuring that school nurses are included in wider school pastoral care and health education teams
- Developing and supporting student-led groups and movements, including gender-sexuality alliances, diversity and feminist groups, peer sexual support programmes (PSSP), environmental and disability action groups that foster a caring and inclusive society
- Addressing and critiquing restrictive and problematic gender roles that undermine many aspects of positive relationships
- Early childhood education and primary schools are key settings to explore more positive and expansive constructions of gender roles (e.g., men in carer roles) and bullying culture.

For health providers - provide high-quality confidential and culturally safe care by:

- Providing youth health training for GPs, doctors, nurses and other clinicians about how to deliver safe, confidential, culturally safe and non-judgmental care
- Providing high-quality, free primary care services designed to be accessible and youth friendly (i.e. GP services)
- Providing easy, non-judgmental and nonshaming access to free condoms and contraception for young people
- Ensuring that sexual health and Family Planning services are accessible to young people, particularly for Māori and those living in poorer communities
- Supporting and adequately resourcing youth services (i.e., youth one stop shops, school-based health services, youth services) to safely deliver sexual health care and support
- Ensuring that reception staff are welcoming, friendly and culturally competent.

For wider society and policymakers create environments that are safe, non-judgmental and inclusive:

- Adequately fund and resource sexual and reproductive health services, youth services and Māori and community providers to provide high-quality, culturally safe and non-judgmental care to youth
- Create a safe and more inclusive society for youth to confidently talk about their sexual health and relationships
- Support violence prevention strategies that teach young people about gender roles, good quality relationships and coercive behaviours
- Eliminate racism, discrimination, ableism and homo-, bi-, and trans-phobia in healthcare so that youth feel welcomed and valued
- Implement poverty reduction strategies that improve access to healthcare in all forms.


## More publications to come

We are in the process of analysing Youth19 data in more depth. Please note, this report provides an overview and we will publish more information about sexual health, Rainbow youth, youth with disabilities and healthcare access issues.

Please see our website for publications, or sign up to receive our newsletters that will alert you to new publications www.youth19.ac.nz

## For young people: Getting the sexual and reproductive healthcare you need

Talking about sexual and reproductive health can be really uncomfortable and embarrassing when you don't know what to say or how doctors, nurses, youth workers and other professionals will react. You can ring up and make an appointment without having to give a reason. You can take someone to support you - a whānau member, a friend or someone else you trust.

There are a range of people you can see for sexual health issues:

- You can see your family doctor
- You can see your school nurse or doctor
- You can see a Youth One Stop Shop for youth friendly services: www.healthnavigator.org.nz/healthy-living/y/youth-one-stop-shops/
- You can go to Family Planning for a range of information, sexual health and contraceptive services for young people: www.familyplanning.org.nz
- You can go to sexual health services (NZSHS) for free information and sexual health screening clinics for young people: nzshs.org/clinics

There are a range of good quality websites if you want more information about sexual and reproductive health:

- www.justthefacts.co.nz
- www.healthnavigator.org.nz/ healthy-living/s/sexual-health-help-for-young-people
- www.youthline.co.nz/advice-hub.html
- www.familyplanning.org.nz
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[^0]:    * 'Ever had sex' is taken from the question "Have you ever had sex? (by this we mean sexual intercourse). Only include sex that you wanted,
    or consented to - this does not include sexual abuse or rape."
    \# 'Currently sexually active' is taken from the question "Have you had sex in the last 3 months?" i.e., students who report having had sex in the last 3 months.
    $\wedge$ The sexual health of gender diverse youth is not reported here and will be described in a Rainbow-specific report at a later time.
    ** School Decile: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).
    \#\# NZ Deprivation Index 2018: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).

[^1]:    * In 2012 and 2019 students were asked if they had ever had sexual intercourse, excluding sexual abuse. In 2001 and 2007, sexual abuse was not explicitly
    excluded, which may partially account for the change in numbers.
    When comparing survey years: 1) students from kura kaupapa Māori are not included in 2019 results, as previous years did not include kura kaupapa Māori students. 2) The data reported has been calibrated to adjust for differences between the national population of students and those who took part in surveys.

    See the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods report for details (available at www.youth19.ac.nz).

[^2]:    *School Decile: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).
    \#NZ Deprivation Index 2018: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).
    $\wedge$ Urban (population of 10,000 or more), Small towns (population between 1,000 and 9,999), Rural (population less than 1,000).

[^3]:    * Question differed between 2001/2007 and 2012/2019. In 2001 and 2007 students were asked, "How often do you use condoms as protection against
    sexually transmitted disease or infection?". In 2012 and 2019 students were asked, "How often do you (or your partner) use condoms as protection against sexually transmitted disease or infection?"

    When comparing survey years: 1) students from kura kaupapa Māori are not included in 2019 results, as previous years did not include kura kaupapa Māori students. 2) The data reported has been calibrated to adjust for differences between the national population of students and those who took part in surveys. See the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods report for details (available at www.youth19.ac.nz).

[^4]:    * Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method
    \# NZ Deprivation Index 2018: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).

[^5]:    *Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
    When comparing survey years: 1) students from kura kaupapa Māori are not included in 2019 results, as previous years did not include kura kaupapa Māori
    students. 2) The data reported has been calibrated to adjust for differences between the national population of students and those who took part in surveys. See
    the Youth19 Rangatahi Smart Survey, Initial Findings: Introduction and Methods report for details (available at www.youth19.ac.nz).

[^6]:    * Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
    \# NZ Deprivation Index 2018: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).

[^7]:    * Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
    \# NZ Deprivation Index 2018: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).

[^8]:    * Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
    \# NZ Deprivation Index 2018: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).

[^9]:    *Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.

[^10]:    * Ethnicity is categorised using the NZ census ethnicity prioritisation method.
    \# NZ Deprivation Index 2018: Low (1-3), Medium (4-7), High (8-10).

